

From 04/16/19 11:54 AM To 04/16/19 04:16 PM Eastern

---Begin Transcript---

Chat

denise lozeau -> All Participants: thank you for the audio message. Its comforting to know my connections are intact :)

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: Tip: In order to hear the presentation, you will need to connect your audio by either dialing in on the phone or by connecting through your computer speakers. To connect, click ~38quot;Audio~38quot; at the top and then ~38quot;Audio conference.~38quot; The pop up box will give you the two options to connect. Follow the instructions in the box.

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: We heard you Tom!

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: Yesterday's conference recordings and slide decks may be found here: <https://www.fdlp.gov/spring-2019-depository-library-council-virtual-meeting>

denise lozeau -> All Participants: Marchve?

Carla Pritchett -> All Participants: No

Julie Mielke -> All Participants: CRDP?

Jan Edmiston -> All Participants: crdp program records

Jennifer Leffler -> All Participants: Not on mute, I just don't do any of those (and don't know what many of them are)

Patty Andersen -> All Participants: We do State electronic as well.

Katherine Paterson -> All Participants: OCLC worldshare

Michelle Babot -> All Participants: We are currently in the beginning process of digitizing some older documents of the collection

Jayne Germer -> All Participants: Got to the poll too late! We get ours through OCLC WorldShare.

Caroline Gilson -> All Participants: SCARY!

James Jacobs -> All Participants: bummer that multiple answers were not allowed for the first poll. Perhaps we could change that and repost it at the end of the presentation?

Lori Gwinett -> All Participants: We're working on digitization now, but want to work on digital collection development as well

Deb Kelly -> All Participants: Former director thought we are too small. Asking current director.

Jayne Germer -> All Participants: We also do the state electronic docs too.

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: My library has is digitalizing local materials. Sorry I couldn't log-in on time and didn't have a chance to answer the first question(s).

Carolyn Frenger -> All Participants: Yes, I can hear you, James.

Naomi Lederer -> All Participants: Not my choice--I can't decide what goes in my library's digital depository. Just lost my quest for EIS's of local interest to be added. I'm VERY unhappy about this! But not for me to decide.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: We will soon be having a Library Director change here, so many of these polling questions I cannot answer or predict. So many of these things I will discover later in FY20 when we have new library leadership here.

Kenya Flash -> All Participants: I can't hear too well

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: we are increasing Heather's volume. how's that?

toya2703 -> All Participants: yes I would participate

Jayne Germer -> All Participants: I can hear her much better. Thanks.

James Jacobs -> All Participants: Thanks for the quote Heather!

Heather Christenson -> All Participants: apologies re sound level, thx Kelly

James Jacobs -> All Participants: citation please for the 2007 GPO white paper on digital

distribution. Thanks!

robbie sittel -> All Participants: link to white paper and presentation from 2007 DLC meeting: <https://www.fdlp.gov/file-repository/outreach/events/depository-library-council-dlc-meetings/2007-meeting-proceedings/spring-dlc-meeting-denver-colorado/309-council-session-digital-distribution>

James Jacobs -> All Participants: Thanks Robbie!

James Jacobs -> All Participants: I think it'd be important to have digital deposit managed similar to the DOI system. That is, a GPO PURL in a library's catalog could resolve to a local copy of the file and if there was a problem, the user would simply be linked to the next available copy (in govinfo or in another FDL...)

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: I joined late - technical issues. Are we suppose to add what we would like to see in digital deposit system?

James Jacobs -> All Participants: yes please Jenny

Deborah Rexon -> All Participants: More digital items, ease of selection and addition into our catalog. (I'm a reference librarian, not a cataloger)

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: An ideal digital deposit system would begin with GPO creating a national bibliography related to the national collection. Then each depository would agree to take a depository title for deposit. Those who wish to take more than a single title, could. but it would start with a single title on deposit. Each depository would sign a MOU with the SuDocs to keep that title in perpetuity. There are some other criteria that David outlined yesterday and in the past. That is the ideal start and we build from there.

Julie Mielke -> All Participants: Monthly deposits as with CRDP but more means of selection

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: I'm seeing older GPO PURLs redirecting to newer ones - but that means I get an error in link checker tools. I'd like to see ways to easily have this addressed by GPO.

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: Learn about govinfo API: <https://api.govinfo.gov/docs/>

Thomas Fischlschweiger -> All Participants: Server space is the new shelf space. For many institutions, digital deposit will be just as (and perhaps more) difficult an issue to resolve as tangible collections.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Historic documents (ex. Decennial Census publications) included - not just current publications

Theresa Zahor -> All Participants: Agree with above, more digital files, easier access. I would like downloadable .pdf's but our web people are limiting our college web pages in the near future. So would need links.

Theresa Zahor -> All Participants: DOI would work for me because as stated above we are going to be severely limited on posting .pdf's

James Jacobs -> All Participants: but unlike shelf space, server space continues to go down in cost. 1TB is now ~\$150

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Exactly what James is saying!

toya2703 -> All Participants: Digital Files

Martha Ballinger -> All Participants: You can

Martha Ballinger -> All Participants: Sorry.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: I would like to see an ability to choose "latest edition only" with one DOI-type link, AND choice to select to get all editions/revisions

Martha Ballinger -> All Participants: When you weed, you can't really offer up a digital item to other libraries....

Lori Gwinett -> All Participants: I second Jenny's point about options to retain latest only or all editions

Naomi Lederer -> All Participants: Data sets can be rather large.

Jennifer Leffler -> All Participants: I'm in a smaller library, we (in the library) don't have control over servers. Entering into a program like this would require constant education with our IT and

Digital Initiatives people as to why these things are there

Jayne Germer -> All Participants: Agree, Jennifer.

Kris A -> All Participants: Agree with Jennifer's point about control over server's ~38amp; IT resistance

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Ideal - would mean full compliance from all federal publishers. Stop snickering at that idea. It's ideal

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Going back to what I said, the MOU would give us a say. I think a lot of administrators think of hidden costs of long-term storage.

James Jacobs -> All Participants: That's a good point Jennifer. I don't think anyone is suggesting that *every* library needs to do digital deposit

Martha Ballinger -> All Participants: Agree with Aimee Quinn...

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: A way to search across several repositories - patron doesn't need to know if at GovInfo, Hathi or Internet Archive. One place to search (besides google or WorldCat) - just federal digital documents

Martha Ballinger -> All Participants: Weeding is a very good question...

Deborah Rexon -> All Participants: Agree with Jenny

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: I agree with Jennifer, being a small community college, the library does not have control over the servers, our campus ITS dept does. I too would have to help educate the need. Lots of changes here on the horizon - new library director sometime in FY20, and planning for 3 years out of a new Learning Commons that the Library will be a part of,. But these are good discussions to have, and I am interested.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Ability to view a smaller file size or a larger file size - depending on need of user. It would help patrons having slower connections (remember old days with option for text only view?)

Sarah Erikson -> All Participants: Would we have to use the hub and spokes model of regionals and selectives? Could we instead pilot only selective deposit?

jennifer nelson -> All Participants: Is there an analogy with how the National Library Service for the Blind and Physically Handicapped distributes materials to its regional libraries that could lend insight?

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: I am concerned that directors, as they fight for server space and physical space, that they question being an FDL

Arlene Weible -> All Participants: I would like a system that allows for collection curation ... we like to have all documents related to our state, and could more easily commit to long term preservation with that kind of focus

Martha Ballinger -> All Participants: Agree with Kathy Hale.

Thomas Fischlschweiger -> All Participants: This is where a detailed and well-developed pilot program will be vital. A demonstration not only that it can work, but how it can/will work will be needed to convince admin and IT that this is feasible.

Martha Ballinger -> All Participants: Also agree with Arlene Weible. Item number system has always been a problem.

Arlene Weible -> All Participants: Right, no item numbers in this news system, please!

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Ability to have joint curation between libraries - like RI does with item # selection

Thomas Fischlschweiger -> All Participants: Item number system rethink was going to be a topic, but HR 5305 intervened...

Julie Mielke -> All Participants: Like Jennifer's idea

Carolyn Frenger -> All Participants: Thanks to all the presenters for this great webinar! Lots to consider.

Shari Laster -> All Participants: Thank you for working on this!!

Heather Christenson -> All Participants: Thank you all for the good comments!

Sandy Himel -> All Participants: Thank you. Very good discussion.

James Jacobs -> All Participants: yay!!

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Will cataloging being monographic as level as serial?

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: I think this would really help some of the oldest depositories.

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: We are working on some audio issues - apologies!

Arlene Weible -> All Participants: In my experience, a lot of this material already has cataloging copy available in OCLC WorldCat. I think the ~38quot;cataloging~38quot; work associated with this kind of project is more about finding matching records than creating original records.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: That's impressive UVA!

Barbie Selby -> All Participants: I love the ohhs, and ahhs I just heard!!!

Mary Ann Ries -> All Participants: lost audio

Theresa Zahor -> All Participants: lost sound

Barbara Allred -> All Participants: I just lost the sound

Julie Mielke -> All Participants: Lost audio

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: here too

ginney bilbray -> All Participants: The speakers microphone is not working

Beth Williams -> All Participants: yup

Theresa Zahor -> All Participants: lost sound

Marynelle Chew -> All Participants: audio?

Susan Jackson -> All Participants: lost audio

Monica Dorame -> All Participants: sounds went off

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: ditto

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: We are working on it

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: it is back

Kate Irwin-Smiler -> All Participants: back.

Monica Dorame -> All Participants: ok

Chris Brown -> All Participants: ok now

Marynelle Chew -> All Participants: back

Barbara Allred -> All Participants: It is back now

Brent Abercrombie -> All Participants: It's back

Caroline Gilson -> All Participants: its back!

Beth Williams -> All Participants: back

Barbie Selby -> All Participants: It's back

Monica Dorame -> All Participants: its on now

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: back now

ginney bilbray -> All Participants: sound is back

James Jacobs -> All Participants: now it's back

Fang Gao -> All Participants: Back

Mary Ann Ries -> All Participants: you are back

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: It's back.

Patty Andersen -> All Participants: Been there, done that!

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: Currently working through Alma migration challenges as well.

Ruth Terry -> All Participants: Oh yes.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: yup

Lynn Walton -> All Participants: What was the overall condition of your collection?

Barbie Selby -> All Participants: That's really cool about your administrators taking more interest in the gov docs due to GPO's interest. Is there anything further you can say about that?

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Agree with Barbie - admin interest would be nice to hear about

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Those working with Alma migration issues - any chance of

sharing tips (not here at DLC)

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: I also agree with Barbie. So many administrators think electronic is the best way to go even though they do not understand how much the historical print will support the curriculum and the community.

Barbie Selby -> All Participants: thanks

James Jacobs -> All Participants: +1 for submitting title page images for cataloging :-)

Arlene Weible -> All Participants: Right. GPO could be most helpful if its expert catalogers could take on some of the gnarly cataloging problems! Especially serials!

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Great idea Alicia! Thanks!

Barbie Selby -> All Participants: +1

Amy Laub-Carroll -> All Participants: Wonderful idea!!

Lynn Walton -> All Participants: Excecllent idea

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Agree with Alicia

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: I'm not a cataloguer - but wouldn't it be great to get ~38quot;authority~38quot; work out of this as it moves past pilot stage

Lynn Walton -> All Participants: the complicated serials are a killer

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Glad to hear about existing services. How can we become a cataloging partner? What would GPO staff like to see from potential partners?

Fang Gao -> All Participants: Laurie is right that we are already doing this. We get some requests through askGPO.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Lynn Walton - the name of your upcoing mystery book?

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: That's related to what Laurie just talked about.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: I'm joking - the complicated serials are a killer - sounds like a mystery title

Carmen Tinker -> All Participants: I have sent in single fugitive monos from our collection to askGPO and gotten them cataloged pretty quickly. It's great!

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Thanks to all! This is helpful.

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: Partner info: <https://www.fdlp.gov/about-the-fdlp/partnerships>

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: I suggest calling or emailing the Regional to check their shelves because there are lots of items that are not cataloged but could be. maybe the institution does not have the resources to do a retro cataloging. Just because it doesn't say so in OCLC doesn't mean it doesn't exist.

Arlene Weible -> All Participants: Adding SuDoc numbers to existing OCLC records would also be a huge help ... missing key access points can be another barrier to getting collections cataloged

Scott Matheson -> All Participants: Is anyone doing this work in conjunction with digitizing? Metadata costs can be a big part of digitization projects... partnerships would help.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: SuDoc numbers will need to have corrected numbers as well as first assigned - like US Documents Masterfile includes |z field

Laurie Fortier -> All Participants: Are there any discussions occurring between GPO ~38amp; OCLC so that once similiar titles are cataloged, they are merged back into a single record? This is an ongoing problem especially with the PREX 3.10/4:...CIA maps.

Scott Matheson -> All Participants: Thanks - just wondering if bad old records (or fugitive without SuDoc) could be updated as part of imaging project... Sounds like a possibility

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Such a great idea!

Scott Matheson -> All Participants: Yep, thanks David

Sarah Erekson -> All Participants: Did you see similarities in condition assessments between the institutions so far? besides the stacks maintenance were there indications that some areas were in better condition than others?

Reiley Noe -> All Participants: Good point, Jenny about the SuDoc corrections and changes.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: I guess this is what I meant by ~38quot;authority~38quot; -

not so much authority files - but creating authoritative records that are consistent

Laurie Fortier -> All Participants: I'm finding 2 different editions being merged and therefore the 2 different sudocs are added to the single title.

Leslie Delserone -> All Participants: It's hard to hear David.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: @Laurie Fortier - then merging records would not be a correct action. Merge ONLY when absolutely same records

Arlene Weible -> All Participants: OCLC has been trying to tackle the duplicates problem, and as a result, has merged records incorrectly. Make sure to report to OCLC when you find them.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Questions - I'm not as up on my historic documents - but documents were printed/published by more than one printer - did FDLP libraries all get the same items (I'm talking 19th cent. more than 20th) or did they get items from different printers depending on geographic location? That would impact cataloging

Fang Gao -> All Participants: To reply to Laurie Fortier: GPO notifies OCLC if problems are discovered. If you are an OCLC member, you can report that directly to OCLC too, so that OCLC can resolve the issue sooner.

Laurie Fortier -> All Participants: Thank you

Arlene Weible -> All Participants: Methods for reporting to OCLC are here:
<https://www.oclc.org/support/services/worldcat/documentation/records/instruction-and-guidelines.en.html>

Jan Edmiston -> All Participants: I had the understanding that Preservation Steward participants were going to receive priority for cataloging support as well for fugitive documents.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Jenny, in early 19th century, it depends on the item. For example, some documents and maps in the Serial Set were substituted so different libraries received different printings. We have identified at least 4 different printings.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: The history of printing has been thrown out as a topic for articles - but it is hard to do in spare time!

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Jenny - there are several good articles on the history of printing. I did an annotated bibliography on the history of government printing for Choice last Fall.

Jan Edmiston -> All Participants: Would that include new items that we would potentially add to our Preservation Steward holdings and submit to GPO on a spreadsheet?

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Thanks Aimee - my current library doesn't get much library literature

Jan Edmiston -> All Participants: Thank you very much!

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: I will send it to you, Jenny along with some of the articles.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Thanks

James Jacobs -> All Participants: here's another on printing and fugitives ~38#x201C;Issued for Gratuitous Distribution~38#x201D;: The History of Fugitive Documents and the FDLP
<https://purl.stanford.edu/yc376vd9668>

Arlene Weible -> All Participants: It would be good if you could document geographical differences between collections ... an argument for getting data from other parts of the country!

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: +1 Arlene

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: You can download the LSCM Update Handout here:
<https://www.fdlp.gov/file-repository/outreach/events/depository-library-council-dlc-meetings/2019-meeting-proceedings/2019-dlc-spring-virtual-meeting/3871-lscm-update-handout-2/file>

Dominique Hallett -> All Participants: thanks for the link

Lee Lipscomb -> All Participants: The ALA/ASGCLA Federal Libraries Interest Group is sponsoring a session on FDLP ~38“ Title 44. We are finalizing our speaker list, but still looking for speakers.

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: FDLP eXchange training is available here:

<https://www.fdlp.gov/fdlp-exchange>

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Yes!

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Would it have a time limit? Like 5 years or something?

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Will you need test libraries for the new enhancements or will they just be rolled out?

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: The ~38quot;not needed~38quot;. So a regional might not need an item at one point - but several years later it might need the item.

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Hooray - why not put that on the slide? 1st in country

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: I can't read this diagram

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: we'll make a larger version available in the archive

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Knowledge bases - please don't have my questions go through automated system first. Often FDLP librarians are writing in about exceptions, so a knowledge base may help - but might be really frustrating (speaking from experience with other interactions with other federal agencies that filter questions through knowledge base before a human gets to see it)

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Will there be any change in how questions are entered in Government Information Online from Salesforce?

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: GPO Needs List (LibGuide) is available here:
<https://libguides.fdlp.gov/GPODigitization>

Marion Scichilone -> All Participants: yeah Washington!

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: musical chairs!

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: But you might not now it walked out the door

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: Thank you!

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Thanks, Laurie!

toya2703 -> All Participants: Thank you!

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: thanks

James Jacobs -> All Participants: thanks everyone for the good discussions!

Katherine Miles -> All Participants: Thank you all.

Monica Dorame -> All Participants: Thank you

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: Meet you back at 1 p.m. Eastern tomorrow!

Bernadette Johnson -> All Participants: Thank you!

Sandy Himel -> All Participants: Many thanks!

Theresa Zahor -> All Participants: Thank you

Jenny Groome -> All Participants: THANK YOU for saving chats now.

toya2703 -> All Participants: This webinar was extremely informative.

---End Transcript---