>> Good afternoon. The final session. Mary, do you want to take it over? I can go forward. At the end of the 2 1/2 days. I'm going to start off and remind everybody with the DLC recommendations were for the fall. The recommendations and GPO's responses are published and available on the FDLP.gov website so you can see the written responses. I am just going to summarize what they are. Recommendation number one,
 the Council recommends GPO providing a date about the grantmaking authority proposal and study. We recommend GPO continue to monitor the possibility of a grant program for the future. He is a bulleted list of the response GPO put together. In the December 2017 draft of the reform bill did include grantmaking authority At that time during that draft, GPO did some investigation. We contacted the I am LS and talked with key people about the grantmaking in the federal government and we also earmarked funds to conduct some investigation into grantmaking. The bill HR 5305 which came out in March eliminated the entire grantmaking proposal for GPO to take on. At that point in time, we stopped doing investigative work and we put the funds on hold. Vince that Bill didn't pass, we are not doing anything right now related to the investigation of grantmaking authority. If that sometime in the future a new bill relating to FDLP comes forward and/or any other issue related to grantmaking, we will open up the issue again. That is basically a high level view of what we risk bonded to. Recommendation two, counsel recommends GPO seek feedback regarding FDLP exchange to ongoing development and support. We recommend GPO make feedback publicly viewable and report on the status of any request. In general, LSCM had a discussion February 2019 and we have one coming up in May. We responded to inquiries. We shared feedback internally. We created
 a new Tip of the week that reflects a lot of the FAQs we received and we are developing an enhancement request form which is the publicly available requirements log and a known issues log. We are doing some webpage development and public development calendar analytics dashboard. We had a presentation yesterday on
 FDLP exchange and there is more information in the handout as well about what is going on with exchange. Recommendation number three, counsel recommends working group explore needs related to digital deposit, the dissemination of content and acceptance of content. At a minimum, two Jeep [Indiscernible] appointed to serve on the working group for one year. Composition of the working group should be chosen by DLC in consultation with GPO staff. The working group shall report findings and recommendations at the wall 2019 FDLP annual meeting. LSCM submitted the names of staff and they were approved and those key staff members are Lisa LaPlante from program strategies and technology and Jessica [Indiscernible] our librarian. The working group has met several times and they did a presentation obviously during this conference. That is where we are with that and the group is going to work is going to work in the fall.
>> I am now going to turn it over to Mary Clark.
>> Is Lori Thornton available?

>> I hope so. Can everyone hear me?
>> Yes.
>> I would like to introduce everyone to the chair elect of counsel and that is Mary Clark of the Library of Virginia and the nominee for secretary is Jane Canfield, pontifical Catholic University Puerto Rico. Council members will vote on that nomination. All of those in favor please say yay? Any opposed say Nay. I am saying yay, this is Lori. I can't hear what is going on in the room. >> We have taken our boat and it appears to be unanimous but Jane is a lack did as our next secretary.

>> Congratulations Jane and Mary. Moving onto counsel recommendations. I would like to begin with the fall 2017 copyright recommendations. Council recognizes the revised scope of government information products included in the cataloging and indexing program and disseminated through the

federal depository library program policy released April 9th last week. With this revision, the scope policy explicitly states federal information product protected by copyright are in scope of the cataloging and indexing program and that works

protected by copyright and for which the government does not have rights to publish or otherwise use for federal purposes are not in scope of the FDLP. Additionally, the scope policy now reaffirms the GPO cataloging progress was creating a note in bibliographic record when copyright information is available. The revised scope policy paralleled the thinking and the intent in the DLC statement on the fall 2017 recommendation on copyright statements in CPT records which was also issued in early April. Now I would turn the mic over to Mary

who will talk about new recommendations and the issues counsel will be focusing on in the coming year. >> Thank you, Lori. We have had a really busy three days. The programming has been great. We have all been meeting every morning beginning at 9:30 AM and carrying on until 11:30 AM and logging back at 12:30 AM and making sure everything works listening to the great programming. Through that we have been meeting with each other and GPO discussing

what is going to be on the radar for counsel and GPO in the coming year and that would be beginning June. The first thing on the list is the depository library counsel will be reviewing the depository libraries counsel information guide. Which will correspond GPO reviewing the depository library counsel charnel and they will be bringing that in line with the federal advisory committee act. We are looking forward to seeing this to bring this in line as an executive agency policy but we will bring us in line for managing government information. We are looking forward to working with him. They will be doing the initial draft and we will be able to review that. The next one I am a little excited about, counsel intends to create a cataloging working group to assist library technical services and what we are hoping is that this group will work with the technical services department and working on cataloging policy and procedure. Counsel would like the group to include two members from counsel and two skilled interested catalogers from the community in addition to members from GPO. There are a number of possible activities. Consideration at this point would be including redoing the methodology behind electronic titles distribution.

Rethinking required titles. Making record packages available for GitHub, and that came out previously. Those are just the top of the list and other things may bubble up as more or less important. [Indiscernible] and Stephen are looking for more guidance about cataloging priorities for library technical services.

I am hoping counsel can contribute to that.
>> The other thing we think is very important is ensuring federal depository libraries are involved in census 2020 promotion and education. I think we will be looking for members of the community to perhaps present in the fall.

We have until April 2020 when everything gets counted. We all know how important it is everyone be counted. We have already got them outgoing councils who may wish to do some promotion and I hope the community will contribute presenting for us so we can be educated on how best to promote education in the 2020 census. The digital deposit working group will be presenting a report on what they have been working on. You heard them present this week and they will be presenting on a final report. Finally, it may be time to explore modifying the item exception list. Explore being the operative word. This also goes along with some of the opportunities we may have with cataloging and records collection and building record groups that can be added to GitHub and other ways of dissemination.
>> We also have several potential commendations and recommendations. First of all the commendation for the FDLP exchange team. They have put together a wonderful product. They have been really responsive to the needs of the community. They are open to continuing to modify. We will be formalizing commendation for them. We have a couple of other recommendations we will be considering. A question came up, and we will recommend a fall program be held on access to

presidential social media contact that we are looking forward to hearing that program. We recommend another guidance for digital depositories which we are today. We heard it from our group that we presented earlier. We are having existing guidelines and recommendations in earlier GPO publications so bringing that altogether. Finally we recommend the biennial survey continues. Should there be modifications coming up in any forthcoming legislation,
 the biennial survey was not included in some of the earlier drafts that never made it out, but we want to ensure it continues. We all find it really valuable. Our regional counsel found available. Another councilmember was really interested in doing the data crunching and finding out changes over time so we are recommending that and all of these will be formalized in the coming month or so. Thank you.
>> Open forum. We do have a couple of possible conversations. You just heard our topic for recommendations and programming in the fall. We are hoping you all are thinking about this. GPO has some promotional things to suggest in our open forum so I am going to let Lori talk a little bit about this.
>> Actually we want to put in a plug for a couple of things so I have my staff were going to talk a little bit about the topics on the screen you see.

>> I am Susanna and I hope you are thinking about becoming a preservation steward after the session, particularly the presentation discussion. As a starting point to help you get ranking, here are a few titles that we are in need of a preservation steward for. There are six libraries preserving all or portions of varying collections but because collections can vary between libraries, where always on the lookout for more preservation steward's. What we have five for the congressional record, we still need another steward in the Northeast. We need libraries in the Midwest, South and Northeast to preserve the Federal Register in a preservation steward in the Northeast. We need preservation stewards in the West, South and Northeast for the CFR and in this out the Northeast for the serial sets. If you have a Bureau of Indian affairs in the Midwest or West
, send me an email. If you happen to have the [Indiscernible] and know you are never going to get rid of it, we need preservation stewards in all the regions except the Midwest. This is just a list to get you thinking
 and consider titles essential to your libraries teaching focus in your collection development policies. You can be a preservation's word for anything you want. If you would like to talk more about becoming a preservation steward you can reach out to me
. I put both of my emails in the chat box.
>> I am Megan, GPS collection development librarian. We hope you will keep GPO in mind. We are not suggesting you weed any of these titles but if you engaging in rightsizing your collection we hope you will let us know. We are constantly adding to our newest list but right now I'm looking for the issues of the monthly catalog in U.S. government [Indiscernible] and if you do want to offer issues to the monthly catalog please check whether or not you have complete volumes. Many libraries cut out the month the indexes to ship [Indiscernible] we are having a hard time finding complete volumes. We are looking for issues that the congressional directory particularly prior to 19 know nine and looking for issues. GPS needs are posted on the FDLP exchange . If you using FDLP exchange , we will match automatic if you are using classification numbers. I would like to take the opportunity to encourage libraries to consider offering nationally. You're only matched to the needs list if you are configured to offer nationally. If you're going to offer nationally [Indiscernible] or email FDLP outreach . [Indiscernible]. I want to emphasize GPO's only eligible to obtain materials from depository libraries after they have completed the withdrawal process. On the GPO needs this guide you will find a spreadsheet for each publication we are looking for with the individual issues we need. If you are embarking on a weeding project and not using FDLP exchange encourage you to keep GPO in the loop. Once you have completed for any materials please let us know in the mailbox because I would be interesting in reviewing claims in your region.

>> This is [Indiscernible]. I would like to mention a couple other things. During the last couple of days we have heard a lot of interesting topics and we have heard people talking about preservation. There is a pilot initiative and also our digital deposit. Online is the metadata. In order to do all of these there is metadata. Better metadata means better access. I am really glad that counsel has made that recommendation and make the cataloging issue the focus. That is the thing we have been talking about. At GPO I can assure you our staff are committed to provide the best to you all but sometimes when we do things we ask ourselves if this is helpful to our community. What is the best way to do that? A couple of examples is when we made that proposal to discontinue the historic URL

. We got some feedback. We still wanted to hear more from you and getting feedback from you guys. We thought this working group is going to help us tremendously. Hopefully with that group it will provide a forum for us to exchange ideas and initiatives and serve as a venue to discuss opportunities for collaboration and supports. There are a lot of things going on within GPO. As you know, things are changing with the technology with the application of the AI. In the library world we are thinking how to take advantage of some of the things in our work. Weird definitely evaluating our processes and see whether we can streamline workflows and make things more effective and efficient. You all know about our [Indiscernible] collection to see how to work

 with large batches of records rather than looking at one record at a time and manually creating a record one at a time. We want to take advantage of some of the new technology that helps us get some of these tasks accomplished. I am hoping we will have people from the community interested in this kind of project and we can work together.

>> Another thing I wanted to mention is there is a lot of things out there happening. For example, the restructure and redesign of RDA. I'm sure a lot of you in the library may be involved in working in some of these projects. If you are may be we can talk about it and collaborate on some of these things so that is another thing I wanted to talk about. If you are involved

 in some of the cataloging and metadata projects in your library, maybe you can share some through the chat so later on we can connect with you, or what kinds of systems and tools you are using. You may be able to use artificial intelligence or open refine or some other APIs you are using. Yesterday Alicia mentioned using API when were talking about maybe using metadata. All the things we are doing in this world we do not want to reinvent the wheel. If there is already metadata out there we wanted to repurpose it

. We do not have to reinvent the wheel, that would be great. That would increase the use of the metadata. We also have interest in that. My staff has started looking at the API and started to get metadata from their because right now my staff is getting a notification looking in the database and seeing what new titles have been ingested and then catalog.

 One thing that helped us now my staff is getting the notification. When new content is ingested we get notification automatically.

 Now since the API metadata is available we are thinking maybe we can use them in metadata and transform them. If you're interested and are to have some ideas, we can exchange ideas and make that happen. Those are some of my thoughts. I would like to turn it to Stephen to talk about GitHub. >> When Amos Stephen Carson and I am at GPO.

 We were talking about the info API. One of our staff members, a technical services librarian, we have gotten to the point of generating preliminary records from the hearings collection. That is what we are starting with. We are close to testing and uploading them into the online file. We are going to test this as a new workflow in the very near future. We still have a lot of work to do obviously. Starting up we have to draft crosswalk so we are making some progress there and hopefully in the near future we will be testing it and using the metadata to create a basis for our general cataloging workflow and creating records and finishing the record and producing it and exporting it. A couple of steps toward getting somewhat halfway there.

We are very excited about it because we are taking advantage of our own technologies and repurpose sing existing metadata and also looking at using metadata that is in Gov info we don't normally include in our cataloging records because there is a lot of input and keying in. There opportunities there for enhancing metadata we generally put in our cataloging records. We want to say we greatly appreciate everyone's recommendations and great ideas we have heard over the last couple of days regarding cataloging data. A lot of great ideas to think about and a lot of work to do. Some of it sort of dovetails with what we are thinking and planning ourselves so there is some great synergy going on and we are very excited about putting a lot of that into action. The CGP on GitHub repository, we started this in October 2017. We had a service where we would centrally sell our Mark records. We discontinued that and replaced it with posting on GitHub which in brief is a site for hosting and reviewing and sharing the development of code and project management and building software which [Indiscernible] already uses in various ways related to Gov info . Our thought was that Lori suggested we start using GitHub ourselves for distributing our catalog records. Again in October 2017 we started to produce monthly files of new and updated records from the CGP and posting them on the repository. We have been adding monthly files since then

and keeping approximately six to seven months of files available on the site at any given time. Recently at the start of the new fiscal year we embarked on a project to look at the usage of the repository and evaluate that and look at some of the data that we can select from the site and analyze the data and also think about other ways of using the site. We have heard lots of related ideas about creating customized record says

and how this works with our existing item numbers system and how this involves records that don't have item numbers or have an active item numbers. That is an area where we will be spending quite a lot of time in looking at ways of's of making records available. A lot of those were records that were cataloging retrospectively

. We have heard various things and input from the community about getting availability and how to obtain those records. Part of this project is to look at various options for producing different kinds of record sets we would post on GitHub. One thing we would like to ask is what is your familiarity with GitHub? Have you used the site? Have you downloaded any files? If you have downloaded files, the file is pretty much imported into your local system. How does that work? Are you finding that fairly straight forward or rather complex? That is some of the feed back we would like to get. And whatever kinds of ways are using records you are obtaining from GitHub? Are you extracting subsets of the records in various ways? Are you trying to look for only records that relate to your states? That is one thing we have heard. I should say on our group we have members from outreach. Laura Flint and Ashley is on our group. We have representation from our projects and systems department. They are intra-goal

to mechanics and the logistics of the whole process of generating the record sets. [Indiscernible]. If I understand the question, the records going on to GitHub, those are records from the CGP.

Our process were cataloging as we catalog is we export to [Indiscernible]. Theoretically those records should already be in [Indiscernible]. We have been working on a particular project with the NIST collection and we posted several files of about 2 1/2 thousand records on GitHub a couple weeks ago I think.

>> [Indiscernible] >> I think you would have to do that yourself. What we are posing on GitHub is the bibliographic record as exported from the CGP. >> Just to clarify that question, we at GPO pay for the setting original holdings on world cat.

For those regionals who opt into the program, we have 30 some.

>> Thank you for the clarification.

>> As I mentioned, another way we started to use get have recently was [Indiscernible].

Our records for the most part we got from OCLC. We took record sets ourselves into some general batch processing and imported them into All of. We did not look at every single record. There was

approximately 10,000 records in the collection in the collections origins are from NIST . There was mention of the basic title collection in the very near future. We will be posting those records on GitHub. Also part of the project, we have been reviewing and revising and revamping the repository and its organization, the readme files on the site that describes the repository and the types of files on the repository and some basic information on how to use them and how to use the files. Our exploring is another means of creating record sets. It could be by geographic coverage. That was one way that was mentioned to us by Laura and Ashley that libraries may be interested in say all the records that have subject matter related to a particular state so we will be testing that. There is obviously lots of options for doing this. We have a lot of metadata in our records at all of those in various combinations can be used to generate various kinds of records that have another way of distributing our records
.
>> This is an open forum so any comments or questions? The next topic is the FDLP Academy . Just to give you a taste of what we have been doing this year, women started the Academy, the main driver for the content was the FDLP forecast study. It was perfect timing the two of those aligned, the launching at the Academy and the study and we asked what they want to be trained on. We had a ton of data to use to formulate our programming forum. We have done that. We have done a lot of what you asked for already. We also developed programming from on the go visits when librarians are with you and your staff and you have request. A lot of our programs are formulated because we get a ton of questions about a certain topic. We formulate those in all sorts of ways but this year we decided we needed to come up with a refreshed way to get data to figure out what you need or want to be trained on. Behind-the-scenes we are trying
 to reformulate some of our internal processes, and how we are recruiting presenters and communicating with presenters and promoting the programs we are offering. In March, we launched the Academy survey on 1010.gov. The intent was focused on agency programming and what types of training and content from federal agencies were you looking for? Even to that we got a lot of suggestions about all kinds of content. In the far right column you see the responses we received, since this training, data sources, FDLP management and environment and cataloging and beyond that we got a lot of great suggestions. We are mining that data so we are going to go back with our team and work on what types of programming we can offer based on that. In conjunction this year while we have been trying to develop some new processes and get new data, there are certain things we know we offer
 GPO specific we should be training on. The latest topic is the far left column of what you see. We launched the FDLP webcast series and launched six so far and will be launching more as the year goes on. The get have webcast which I posted that link in the chat as Stephen was discussing that. This series of
 Gov webcasts. You see a theme that this year we have been offering more webcasts which are short and brief instructional videos instead of the one hour-long webinars. Both of these types of training have their merits but we have discovered with our websites and resources that if we can give you something quick
 that you don't have to devote an hour to, you can hop on went every you need it, use it and come back to it. In the center column you will see what is next. These are things we have been planning to do that we are working on that are coming in 2019 more on the exchange and more Gov info and some regional. A series of CBG webcast, we want to do more short task-based videos like we have done for the exchange and for Gov info. Cataloging digital resources. And offering that won't take the form of traditional training but kind of break down has some
 specific coordinator duties putting in a wrapped up concise packet so you have easy reference material. My question for the open forum,
 beyond what you see, what else are we missing? What else would you need or want to see training on? If you chat your responses or if you want to talk it out, we are going to be recording this in using this

chat log is much as we are using surveys and every other type of way we get our information moving forward.

>> Counsel, is there anything you see not represented you feel is a need or a gap? This is Cass from the University of Washington libraries. One of the things that came up over the three days we have been enjoying just talking to each other is more responsiveness, and it could be from the communities, it doesn't necessarily have to be from GPO on current events as reflected in government publications. There is so much government news. If you just take different two or three pages of every day's newspaper, there is so much federal government news and can we be highlighting resources that are FDL resources more effectively tied to current events. I feel like this would tie in with the K-12 education and all of that.
>> This is Kiersten. I want to clarify one of those points because I know it has come up before on this request about the census. GPO in the past [Indiscernible] I'm guessing a lot of people in the community want information on the upcoming census and how this questions are going to be asked so please correct me if I am wrong.
>> As far as the survey goes, you are correct, upcoming census.
>> This is Alicia. Building on that especially with our last presentation, something about engagement and how we build into that, [Indiscernible] it sounds like we have specific engagement libraries in our community that could maybe talk about that too.
>> I'm also seeing a lot of good suggestions in the chat, thank you.
>> Any other suggestions? I'm also putting my email in the chat box so if you think of something after this, you can reach out to me.

>> This is Selena McDonald, University of Maryland. I am not sure if this is the right time to ask this, I was wondering about chat transcripts from webinars as well as from the depository library from meetings. I was trying to do a search on the website and was having a hard time navigating. That could be the Wednesday afternoon brain deadness. If that is not automatic rolled into that, that would be nice to see. >> That is something as of recently is becoming more prevalent. We are trying to transition everything into that new Academy repository. You will see with this recent meeting we have included the chat transcripts and we are starting more and more to do that. >> Will you add any from before like earlier?
>> [Speaker too far from audio source] >> We do include the chat transcripts from the fall and spring conferences but for general webinars, there is not a chat traffic.
>> This is Jane Canfield. Is a relatively frequent webinar presenter, I would like to make a plea to all of you in the community, please think about what you are doing.
 There are things you are doing in your community, anything from how you do orientations with patrons, what you are doing in terms of cataloging a special collection, something you are doing in the community that you may not think is any big deal but it could translate into helping somebody else in the community with what they are doing. I would like to put in a plea for more presenters. One of my webinars out there is how to do webinars. It is kind of lonesome sometimes to see how few people there are willing to present webinars.
>> Okay, this is Lori Thornton.
 I would like to think superintendent capital Hall in the GPO staff for our virtual meeting platform which allows so many of us to attend and for a thoughtful and productive meeting. Thank you presenters for informing and enlightening us and most of all
 thank you to all of the attendees for your insights
 as well as constructive conversation. Please note all participants will get a link in their email to recordings, slides and handouts in the survey. Also GPO will respond to the questions and comments

posed in the chat so be on the lookout for those. I personally look forward to seeing all of you at the fall meeting October 20 one [Indiscernible] thanks so much everyone.

>> Thank you Lori. I just wanted to thank you, Beth, Tom,

 Kiersten and Cass for serving of the DLC and all your thoughtful conversations and your hard work. We appreciate it. Best of luck and we expect you to be at the other meetings in the fall and participates on the working group and do webinars for us but I want to thank you for serving GPO. It has been an interesting last couple of years. We appreciate all of your insights and thoughts so with that I will turn it over to Mary. >> I also want to thank counsel and all the staff of GPO. It is a well oiled machine. If you were sitting here with us the last three days, everyone just keeps everyone flowing so smoothly and they make it look very easy

 and the amount of knowledge they have stored in their heads that make it all happen is really amazing. I truly want to thank Lori Thornton for being our leader this year.

 We still have a couple of calls left. She is not done yet. We still have more before we formally transfer to our new incoming members in June. Lori, any final words? >> No, just thanks so much to everyone who participated. We will see you all in the fall.

>> Lori, can you formally adjourned us?

>> Yes, I formally adjourned this spring fortune [Indiscernible] the spring meeting.

>> [Event Concluded] >>