>> >> [Captioner standing by
] >> >> Prior to the meeting so
I'm going to just one second -- we will go ahead and do introductions as first pass and we do it looks like we don't want -- [Indiscernible - Participant too close to microphone] quite a bit on the agenda today if you could go ahead and pass the microphone around if you could say your name institution what regional or not and if you are regional tell us how long you been the regional coordinator and if you're not a regional coordinator don't tell us how long you've been -- just kidding we want to keep it
short as possible. >> Utah State University regional coordinator since 2017 >> University of Memphis back

>> State of Arizona research library regional coordinator since [Indiscernible]

>> Regional coordinator since 2013 >> Crystal Washington state library regional for Washington Alaska since 2012 >> Lori Thornton New Mexico state library regional since 2012 since 2002 in Washington

>> Alyssa Lauren state library Ohio we regional and I became the regional coordinator sometime this past spring I don't remember when. >> Bill University South Carolina coordinator since 1999.

>> Sinclair from Manoa regional for Hawaii Guam and lost American Samoa and Micronesia invented coordinator since 1999

>> Jan Carl regionalist for North Dakota State University since 2018 before that Montana and 2011 a long time -- >> [Indiscernible] GPS back

>> Kathy Hale State Library Pennsylvania we are the regional for Pennsylvania and been the regional sense 2001.

>> Sarah Erickson University of Florida regional coordinator since one year ago today or not today but 2018. >> Harper University of Wisconsin Madison since 2002 >>
North Public Library New Jersey we are the regional for New Jersey one of very few Public Library regionals left and I've been the coordinator there since 1995. >> John Nelson University of Iowa been original since 2017. >> University of Minnesota regional Michigan South Dakota and regional there since 2016 and was a regional in North Dakota since 2013. >> RenÃ©e Bosman USC regional since 2015

>> [Indiscernible]

>> University of Virginia regional coordinator since earlier this month. >> Barbie Selby University of Virginia X regional

>> John Devine regional Massachusetts one of 13 public libraries that original although one is a shed. >> Greg Curtis University main regional form a New Hampshire Vermont since 2010 >> [Indiscernible] >> Mallory University of Georgia regional coordinator just over year

>> Tammy University of Kentucky
regional since 2018 Johnson uses a regional since 2017

>>

>> Mia Rogers Virginia interested party --

>> West Virginia University regional since 2017 >> Michelle
California state library regional but not the regional coordinator >>

>> [Indiscernible]

>> University of Montana regional since 2018 >>

>> Not a regional but a peaceful regional collection I hold us hostage >> Washington state library

>> Abercrombie Indiana state library regional since 2015 >> Lori Hal G

>>

>> University Colorado not the coordinator --

>> Bailey University

>> University of Maryland Delaware DC then coordinator since 2012 before that complicated

>> Monica University of New Mexico regional since 2015 >> University Texas -- >> Then introduce myself the state library of Oregon and been the regional coordinator since 2008 so [Indiscernible] [Indiscernible - Poor Audio] online folks we don't have audio for them but folks on the line people want to put in -- say that one of the reasons I wanted to see how long as I knew we had a lot of people in the [Indiscernible] [Indiscernible - Poor Audio] Laura 1995 maybe the oldest now
worried it was me glad to hear --

>> We didn't have anyone else online so we will quickly talk about the minutes the group that coordinates these meetings is a group of people and we were the ones that coordinate and volunteer to take minutes and that kind of stuff we distribute the information to the regional email list so Jen took the minutes from the last meeting and distributed those out and I was like do we need official approval we are not that organized most the time unless anybody has any faults with the minutes we will go and assume they are approved if you do go back and see something make sure -- [Indiscernible - Poor Audio] thank you, Laura for taking minutes for the meeting and we will go into our agenda and first we are going to hear some updates from thugs who are working on in the process of interstate regional agreements so --

>> Shortly after the multistate regional policy was formalized last fall it was approached by Nevada by led by Kelly Robertson of the Nevada state library archives they've been since 2011 and [Indiscernible - Participant too far from mic] Idaho in California as well thanks to [Indiscernible - Poor Audio] midway through the process MOU drafted and waiting approval GPL on the process operating procedure for many of you don't know there's intricacies due to be discussed and keep it brief happy to answer questions if anybody's interested in pursuing the process talk about experience as coordinator and Manager

>> [Indiscernible - Participant too far from microphone] >> Talk about experience and open it for questions.

>> Are regional plan went live October 1 a couple things in the conference couple of things to address is that I am from North Carolina and regional for Virginia we do hope to have some collaborative things moving forward educational opportunities networking etc. and still have two regional serving to states that are MOU was focused planner focused on collection and an MOU went through the process Senate approval etc. and happy to talk about that with folks with more detailed answers questions and a plan serves as a living document plan is flexible at the moment idea nine of us will be getting
half of our collections tomorrow but the plan will allow us to meet selectively as we need to in the future and confirm UVA has material and vice versa we kind of laid out microfiche tricky formats with limited road space so for those USC will keep this etc. now with Ryan that much at that will give us flexibility moving forward

>> Is being closed for renovation and offers flexibility with things like microfiche and that
and going to be starting cabinets and moved so knowing we don't have to move all of them

>> If we could have the microphone out

>> Kathy Hale State Library of Pennsylvania one of the things we had talked about when we are first talking about these kind of shared regionals was that there are libraries probably closer in Maryland to North Carolina and vice versa have you put that as part of your plan? >> While the plan is that IOL can be served by North Carolina or Virginia so we were to leave something going forward and one of our selected needed it they can from UVA the two about three hours selective's something from us or UVA not going to be a huge time difference so we are going to work those with and it does not answer your question --

>> Any changes was selected [Indiscernible]

>> North Carolina we use the role database as Virginia we will continue with that and the way ACE Central works is selective database first goes to me and goes to after I can review it goes with the process of COE and other folks in the state and maybe look at whether or not it's possible for UVA to get more of a first crack at this comes from North Carolina but we haven't got to that yet. >> My questions for Jennifer what is it like taking on a state that hasn't had a regional in so long? >> Time will tell. How much I regret the decision
. The hardest part was reestablishing contact Nevada had contact through the state library association and Kelly Robinson shouldered a lot of that work. Reestablishing contact but the upper levels of administration be on the coordinator really need to be brought back into the fold and of course all day here they can lead and all I hear is there'll be a process [Laughter] I mean we will have meetings you'll basically double the size of the Region I currently have eight this is on seven but there are strong ties between Utah and Nevada in the statewide collection. >>
Serves the same types of people so -- >> Felt like a good fit >> We've gone through this and 2013 and a main focus and finally at this point later we feel like we pass a lot of the project with Michigan and now dated a regular sort of leading where there was a lot of leading and anticipate obviously

>> Just for those of you preservation service centers getting contacted by Nevada would be a way I don't have to review the discards you can take them it will be a good outlet >> I just want to make a comment thanks because C was one pretty much pulled up with you guys assistance at arrangement and

congratulations and glad it worked out the processes in place but to remind folks this is the first time we've done something like this so from ministering the program that and GPL we have selective regionals and always said that and going forward there's collection decisions in the regional they would get everything we ship so they are getting their duplicate dual shipments and considered regional sump once a process goes further you start thinking also about new material coming in and making those decisions so from administrative point of view you may now become for internal purposes a selective rather than a regional so one of the things I think we talked about as you go through the process you are focusing on existing collections but now
what is your collection strategy now that you are sharing so you can get this group of hearings and don't need to get the same group of hearing sump that's something I think that you guys are still exploring because you're not there yet but I something to let everybody know up to this point we have it worked out but also some administrative things GPO we will have to modify and change with the models.

>> I would say that those in Oregon where we have the shared regional collection was selective's we kind of got a little of the ground already because the state library of our grunt is a selective because we don't receive all the regional item numbers and stuff so I think we played hopefully some groundwork with the GPO folks about you can't just send everything to regional and
have to look at item numbers so those kind of things hopefully that will work
will continue as more people do the agreements >> And I want to add something to what Lori said and thank you for the questions you been raising about that issue that you just mention Arlene because
it was that so fresh in our minds that led us to talk to them about thinking about what you're going to do in the future and not at that point yet as Lori mentioned but that's one of the reasons why we are implementing implementation plan is living document so they can add to it everything has been approved and they know that when a decision is made they need to let GPO know what is going on because they know we will have to make some internal adjustments to the distribution process. >> I have a really old perspective and it predates I was about seven when this took place so it's reviewing paperwork that and I hope Oregon hasn't had this problem at one point in Madison
original looks like we had to select a and we were getting shorted on things and so that's just I think that --

>> I'll give you an example that we render trouble with when things get distributed to regionals only what they do is they have the boxes for regionals and when area and what would happen is because we didn't necessarily select the item number for whatever reason we didn't get it but also the institution that did select the item number but wasn't technically original the kit it either so yeah and likely we've been able to fairly quickly identify those problems to get them corrected almost all the time and it is a process thing on GPO's and they are aware of now and hopefully that will be an issue in the future. >> I was also going to mention that that Georgia and Florida are in the process of also working on an agreement and one of the things that I think would be helpful and I would if you can do this if you could share your documents on regional LI think I would certainly love to see with the documents great agreements look like so we can kind of anticipate those of us who may be wanting to explore to see what the language is like and that kind of thing so everybody ago you'd like to see those?

>> Sounds like you've got plenty of questions already at the conference I'm sure you'll probably get more but thank you very much for sharing so I guess we will go ahead and move on and we have folks that will come up and just give us some updates on the FDLP exchange so Melissa as well as Alicia come on up.

>> Alicia I am starting and unfortunately I will talk about the development plans unfortunately there's not updates since the last time because it is still stuck in procurement we have started doing virtual sessions which are planned by monthly and have the first one in February and in May and August and the next one would be in November and because we are still stuck in procurement so we don't get too far ahead of ourselves in the planning creates an expectation for something we are doing to [Indiscernible] thinking about possibly talking about exchange in November maybe talking about another system possibly like talking about replacing [Indiscernible] talk about descends and exchange and I think Anthony mentioned that this morning [Indiscernible] otherwise we have a webpage that has requirements we are trying to get the next development cycle anything that doesn't make it into the development cycle this go-round will be put into the requirements backlog for later hoping to do a major development after this next minor development which would include more required to support regional discards and [Indiscernible] I forget this word pops out of my head I looked Anthony Nee says and he's not here I can't say -- preservation stewards thank you
you can be a handful -- so anyway we do have a next to ideas about the next major development to development cycles from now and hopefully the next one will take as long. Anything I'm forgetting? As I said I don't have more information to share.

>> I was asked to talk about the regional perspective with exchange but I don't know I'm interested in hearing more from you all about who is using exchange and I was talking to Melissa about this but we don't really have a good idea of how regionals are using this kind of holistic level so I'm going to do an informal calisthenics for exchange so how many of you
are using exchange to process your selective's discards? Can you stand up and -- online can you raise your hand? There's a button with a hand on it on the bottom obviously not the most technical person here there's people out there though. [Indiscernible - Multiple speakers] >> For those of you online if you raise your hand to hit the hand but again to raise your hand? So for those of you who are not using exchange to process your selective discards how many of you are still using the [Indiscernible] listing your new or selective supposed materials for the National list
anyone doing other work in exchange if they're not doing selective discard?

>> [Indiscernible - Participant too far from mic] >> Wanted to know [Indiscernible]

>> How many of you not using it are you [Indiscernible]

>> [Indiscernible - Participant too far from mic] >> If a selective is discarding something that I don't need but I think someone else because I tell them they need to check the list of preservation stewards and if there's a preservation steward that is out there that matches what they discard they got to put it on exchange it is not this is two different circles and I don't wanted to just spend within the center circle if I'm asking for people to send me stuff from all over the country, it's got to be reciprocal the other way around and if they are not willing to do it I will tell my selective put it like I've always said you put it in a box that we will put it on exchange for you.

>> Okay thank you.

>> [Indiscernible - Participant too far from mic] >> I heard from a library that requires libraries to post anything published before 1900 online --

>> I'm not sure how much time we have for any discussion but I guess I'd be interesting I imagine GPO is interested in reasons why people are not using it for whatever weather selective discard or for the larger National repository if it's lack of time and resources to move to a new system if it is functionality that isn't there and encouragement to try to get more to use exchange and I can personally attest having moved solely to exchange for my selective by GPO has been awesome to work with and the next rollout of updates and functionality that I think everything that one thing her is on that list of the next thing to be updated and so they are responsive we are the regionals the ones probably have the most weight in this to get feedback from what it is we'd like to see so -- >> I will start us off because I am one state that doesn't do it and there's a couple reasons although I have to say that I think I'm learning from this particular conference that we need to revisit some of the decision-making to get around this in one of the issues was our shared regional and the fact that even though there's a capacity to be go in as different institutions to review regional

it was kind of messy when we were first looking at it and so that kept us from implementation. Consciously the other thing is we don't have huge numbers of discard list in our state. We certainly have did we have done a lot of transitions to eat only depositories among a lot of ours and have 20 to begin with so it's a combination of factors but I think the thing I am really hearing at this conference is the desire to have things offer naturally because other people are building collections and honestly in Oregon we are not I mean none of the institutions are actively building those of us who have original collection are doing what we need to do to maintain our regionals but are not as active in building them and clearly between what GPO is doing to collect for digitization and centers of excellence are doing and all those kind of things clearly there probably is some reason for me to encourage the selective to do exactly what you're talking about certain kinds of materials maybe by date maybe by knowing what the preservation stewards needs are and stopped encouraging people to use it for that National option so that is my perspective in Oregon I think I'm going to revisit some things when we get back and once I get back and I'm sure we have other folks.

>> So we adopted it in North Dakota and if you arm gleaming a large amount of items here's a protest turn off the email notification. Other than that I was wondering we recently updated our estate plan to include the exchange in I'm wondering how many people have also updated their estate plans to include that? >> We were planning to discuss updating our estate plan which was last done way before exchange was even [Indiscernible] imagine so -- >> I use it quite extensively because when I first got to state library of Pennsylvania they use to either send me CD-ROMs or floppy disks or all of that technology to look at and then we went to emails and I would get tons and tons of emails and created a Facebook page and

a lot of our especially public libraries were not allowed to use Facebook for their library so thank God for this because that is made my life so much easier. >> In Wisconsin we haven't used it currently when Irene was saying we don't have libraries building collections it is mainly a shared housing site that does our discard list and they are collecting and I think partly they didn't want to learn a new technology they actually run the discard list. The other thing was the conditions having to give a condition what kind of condition each document was in was a little bit of a hurdle and on the other hand I have had selective that want to use it, they are ready to go so like Arlene I will relook at it and the person who handles our discard list is going to be retiring also and that's a good time to revisit this. Sorry I'm Beth Harper University of Wisconsin. >> [Indiscernible] all make a comment related to what Suzanne said but I think we all probably have some workarounds or shortcuts we figured out for things that don't work quite how we want them like for example we get really annoyed the mailing addresses aren't available and matched and you have to reach out to them separately to figure that out and we compiled a spreadsheet so we have them as we work with those library so the next time we get something from them we don't have to go through that same process of trying to figure out what that was but I'm sure a

lot of people have individual workarounds and things we could maybe share best practices in various [Indiscernible]

>> GPO is one of our priorities
on future developments is to make it easier to have library information and to expand on what Alicia said if you have steps that work with you show them with us we are always like a tip of the week that's right almost every week and I run out of things --

>> Sarah Erickson University of Florida one of the things that I've struggled with and then go back to and trying to again is my needs list so I'm a COE and have preservation stored agreements and so on and so forth and I want to have National ability to acquire nationally but the needs list I inherited is 10 years old and did not fit the format that I need to be in and so I'm trying to get a student to go through it and I mean there are certain things you can kind of code in you can choose good condition I don't want anything that's below good condition or something like that so getting to the right format has been a little bit and taken me more time than I wanted it to because I know people are using it and I probably need these Florida Everglades things that are stuck someplace else that you know I don't know they are being on their.

>> Bill University of South Carolina I almost want to to get a T-shirt printed that said I survived loading my needs list. [Laughter] having a needs list of almost 5000 items I did it in batches of 100 and would go in and have to correct 97 lines out of 100 so that's what I did for about five Fridays on a Friday afternoon and unfortunately couldn't have adult beverage there to help me. But yes if there were a -- I know it sounds like we had this database and wish we could just pop it in kind of thing but it is mostly the condition list the condition being able to solve that and I think that was a thing that I was fixing the most.

>> [Indiscernible - Participant too far from mic] >> I was lucky at 4800 blah, blah, blah items I had five titles didn't translate and that was like look but for anyone in the future particularly for preservation partners who have large needs list that's what I would ask for them to be able to have.

>> I can attest to the fact that our needs list as [Indiscernible] libraries it is 15 or we have matches outside the Region I think we collected almost 70 volumes and the needs this exchange over a year and seems like a lot but I think it's a lot but things we probably would get otherwise because -- some of they were manual labor but having our needs list is different --

>> Any last thoughts again let's let you know about issues

>> FDLP outreach@GPO.gov answer any questions if they can't they will send it to somebody who can me or Lisa or Corey and nothing you want surprises we've seen it all if we can't figure it out we'll figure out how to figure it out so >> A last comment regional list try to utilize that as a tool to communicate what's not working and struggles we are having and have this conversation continue
so thank you.

>> I guess we didn't have questions online. Is not working for some reason. So we will keep moving here doing great on time so the next one is discussion of the regional library superseded decisions documents and I guess again depending on how long you been involved in this program you may or may not know about some of the history with the superseded list and discussions that regionals had a botch in that 18 90 -- timeframe when superseded list was first introduced and evaluation of how regionals

interacted with that superseded list was happening so I hope everyone had a chance to take a look at the document that document itself has a good introduction and history of how the superseded list came into being and how regional disgusted during that timeframe. The reason we added this to the list is to the list of things to discuss today is because there were questions that came up in terms of some regional time to understand what their obligations and requirements were around some of these decisions that were almost in all cases made by our predecessors at regionals so I did want to talk a little bit about that because that certainly has been discussed in these meetings over the years. The thing that I would say about this document and particularly when you get into the details nitty-gritty and see that your regional library is listed under one of the titles that says you retain all the superseded material is that it's not required. Can I mean these were decisions that were made in formally among regionals and I think this document is incredibly informative to current regionals about your wondering why you retained a lot of superseded material and probably still want to consider keeping that material because you already have it so I think there's a lot of value in this document but I also think that it's really important to understand that it is not something that GPO is saying you must retain the superseded material. Now in the case of Oregon for example the institution that is listed in this document is no longer the regional. It was transferred to the state library from the time period this time period in 2008 and we you know we didn't sign on to this agreement so so I wanted to give that context for it and I would love to hear people's thoughts about in terms of is this a document that is worthy of reevaluating? I will give my opinion about it because I am not shy. We have [Indiscernible] retaining now and preservation stored agreements and have MOA's with other libraries we have selected housing agreements we have a lot of ways that are better tools to document our correction decisions when it comes to retaining material and I think that's a much better method than informal list that we kind of know about but always but perhaps forget about and don't have enforcement power to begin with so that is my thought about it is that we have other tool to do this now and perhaps it is time to put this kind of legacy document to rest like I said I don't think that doesn't mean this document has value because I think it can inform you about what was happening in your collection in this timeframe but again this is my opinion and so I really want to hear what other folks have to say about it.

>> Valerie University of Georgia in my introduction I mentioned I'm at the University of Georgia over year
and a decade ago I was a regional at University of Alabama and I remember having discussions about this exact same thing so while it all comes around needless to say I agree with Arlene I agree we have other much more effective ways of recording these agreements more formal structured agreements and yeses may provide value to your collections but now I'm an institution that has suffered fire has suffered flood and so even if we were adhering to all these agreements previously it may not have survived or managed to re-collect superseded materials so that's my two cents.

>> Barbie Selby UVA, UVA never participated in this I mean I was around what happened but we just said it was funny because Walter my predecessor kept everything. But I would just ask as I agree this document has probably outlived its usefulness but the libraries that did participate it would be nice to know they were looking at it you know when they make decisions about whether they want to continue to retain these materials are not and you know for some reason at some point they kept all these materials so it would be nice to know that they at least made that conscious thought about do we still want to retain these are not. >> I think this is an opportunity again how many of you who saw this agenda item come out this is the first time you've ever heard or seen anything about this document okay so that in and of itself tells you something about in terms of its ability to continue on and to be useful if you don't know about you certainly cannot use it. So any of the new folks have any reaction or had a chance to take a look at it and have thoughts?

>> Amelia state of Reese Arizona research library to speak to your last question so I regional in 2017 not informed about the existence of the document discovered it by accident on her shirt drive about six months in when I had already been doing some we need preparation for major collection move thankfully have not started going to the superseded materials and Arizona's all the document we agreed to retain lots and lots of materials and I did start going by the list, with I think one or two exceptions were looked at some things that I've no idea why we have decided to keep this makes no sense for the progress and most everything else make sense with our collection focus anyway and are the kind of things we want to retain anyway but also that I was not even worn with the document was out there -- >> Amelia don't say that. [Laughter]

>> Bill University of South Carolina would invite any library that signs are name on this list and their associated education materials send them to me I will do deal with that.

>> So I think what I'm hearing is nowhere on -- revisit any of the right on -- you all know about it so again as Amelia was saying this can be helpful I mean I'm not saying the document is not helpful and if you know more about you know what regional we you know you know there was a lot of review and people did see value in retaining some of the superseded materials so that in and of itself is helpful because there is just that expertise of having looked at things and decided that it was worth retaining so I do think there's a lot from the document but you know as we said we have other ways to document these decisions that we should use them and we should use them because they are more formal and they carry a lot more weight so sound like everybody's pretty much in agreement about that. So of course the next obvious thing to talk about is the regional [Indiscernible] policy so I think what our intention was to kind of divide the discussion between you know what current policy is and I think particularly now that we have a title that is eligible for discard to kind of ask any questions that we may have about the current policy but then the second agenda item is really more to comment on the draft revision so let's you know I guess maybe we should kind of divide this do people have [Indiscernible - Audio cutting in and out] presidential papers and specifics of that eligible title, who discarded it? That's what I want to know. [Laughter]

>> [Indiscernible - Participant too far from mic] >> John Ellis and University of Iowa in my T-shirt if I have one would read Iowa GPO guinea pig since I was the first only to participate in this not an easy decision my Director initiated the idea and that figure on the scale we weighed the pros and cons and so we thought well why not and also see it as an opportunity to promote our.gov site because it's their and so the process has been pretty straightforward I haven't filed anything up yet I would encourage people there is a time limit to discard so if you have your day set at 45 for your selective's to review my want to set that to 30 because there's two stages of course with this so your total time could easily run over to 90 day limit

>> GPO there's three stages if you are regional and discarding you have to offer nationally required

>> That's correct so take that into consideration and otherwise it's been a fairly smooth process so far and still in the stages of being offered some that's where I am.

>> University of Iowa John Nelson >> GPO again really quickly want to rerun anybody online that if you are asking questions make sure you are asking them to all participants and matches privately otherwise

we won't be able to see them and get them to the correct person. >> This is grandson Claire University of Hawaii at Manoa I have to say I was thrilled when I saw that the public papers came up on the first item that we could discover because I had to discard almost all of our volumes recently because they were moldy and I was not looking for to having to replace them so now I've just I don't have to replace them and no one ever uses our public papers volumes ever they I guess use them online or don't care about presidents unless they were born in our state or something. [Laughter]

>> When I'm curious so you're not like going to try to get I was volumes you are not going to actively replace him? Back on concentrating on I am still replacing stuff from our flood in 2004 and some of you have sent me stuff and thank you for that and I'm really focused on those less common items that fewer libraries have like war Department stopped and there's only one of me and I can only do so much so I cannot try to replace everything.

>> So we've had this [Indiscernible] Sarah Erickson University of Florida so in response to the fact that we've had title, that is eligible for this but what might even next title that could come up are we close in any other titles that -- piggyback the next guinea pig --

>> [Indiscernible - Poor Audio] >> We have for geographically dispersed -- preservation stewards -- and have coverage on [Indiscernible] 1951

>> Can I ask a follow-up question does that mean they'll see an announcement about that title relatively soon coming out >> As soon as -- Suzanne added we need to have item holding records in the CPG first and at the moment as a manual process someone sows can be loaded. >> Anybody going to discard the statutes at large or think about that more than the presidential papers I guess maybe?

>> University of Maryland and my ears perked up when I heard that we don't have Law School at my University in our budget is ugly and we can hold Westlaw and hair on my head because Nexus is making me batty -- I will digress but want to anyway so when I hear that kind of to me is like I hate to say and feel like I should go like the FDL [Indiscernible] definitely very much consider probably discarding as soon as it was announced so I'd be the guinea pig for that one I think. >> Glenn sorry Lori GPO and because by the current policy as its written you should ask permission to us we know they are moldy so if you quickly ask permission we will say yes so just wanted to make sure that you are revising the policy as well make people know following the policy you need to request permission to withdraw from the superintendent document we reviewed the situation in case we said yes in your case we don't want them multi stuff around but I think we're looking for the notification of that so if you send me an email tomorrow or tonight Cindy and I will take care of it this week and get and follow the process yeah.

>> Bills others University of South Carolina regarding the statutes at large I would don't know this is guinea pig or not but I would still retain the current full set in the new set that is coming out but I would be willing to get rid of anything else before that is that part of being a guinea pig or not? Or is that splitting the hair on it I don't think I'll go totally without the current full set >> Is quite a set historically so it's got a pretty big range so what I'm hearing is that what is likely come out to be approved is only from 1951 forward is that right? So has three older than seven years.

>> Thank you Jamie running the microphone around and telling us back

>> There's only about four fields and submitted it will come to Cindy -- do that tonight we will start.

>> Maybe we can go ahead and transition into the revision. That I hope everybody has a copy of if you don't, share with the body but I don't know did Cindy or righted you want to say a few words about a before we discuss it or?

>> GPO, we put out a notification that we have a draft revision of the regional discard policy that announcement out last week
and it looks like the other --
this as a link you can provide comments we are interested in any comments you may have about the draft revision. The major changes to the policy in the draft revision are first off that we are expanding the eligibility of content to be discarded from not only being -- we are expanding the eligibility of content that has to be on cub info to God info to digital preservation steward partners and to federal agencies that have a trusted digital repository. So at the time when we first developed this policy we were at a time when God info was in process with being soft launch as beta and this was going to be the big system of record with lots of revisions and everything so it was the feeling of the eighth floor at GPO that we wanted this to be for Gov Info and the corrections on Gov Info and just from looking at the hands tonight of who else might discard the public papers or who might discard the statutes at large that this is not going to be the kind most likely the kinds of collections that what you all are going to be wanting to discard and is exactly know that from the preliminary survey we did before the policy went into effect we did a survey of regional directors that asked if this past and became effective how would you implement it and there were most of the corrections on FD at the time Gov Info libraries indicated they would keep them so one of the reasons were
revising this into spread that beyond Gov Info is to provide more flexibility in the discard process to help make other content eligible to be more flexible to help you in your collection management needs hearing again and again and again the space means the space grant you all are facing and trying in other ways to help you alleviate that problem. That is a major change. The other change is that we change the seven year retention 25 so it matches what's in the statute for selective's to be able to discard material. So those are -- the two big changes.

>> Jan Cal State University and
what you just explained is clear in the document is clear you're trying to give us flexibility have a couple questions and can you give me a couple examples of what this policy would consider to be a trusted additional repository that is of non-GPO run?

>> Good question that something we would define in procedures for this to take effect and I can give you an example there are some in Interior Department USGS repositories that have gone through not the iso 1363 that we have but other kinds of certifications and we don't expect all of our partners or indeed all the federal agency to get iso 16363
we found that to be important for us as part of our mission to preserve and very important not necessarily that high of importance with other agencies so we know that interior I forget the certification that just changed its name -- anyway
we know that and we know there are some institutions that have used the old track moderate and we know that the audit processes can be expensive and be timely and know that not everybody will be able to do it we know their academic institutions that of done peer to peer reviews so we are not in the definition provide any specific
limits or measures that need to be met we left that open because we will look at it case by case. >> Suzanne GPO we do have criteria in order to be a digital preservation tort and checking with criteria would use to evaluate an agency partner and that criteria is linked from the partnership at GOP.gov and currently one digital preservation in the room with us you and the and

>> We have that criteria and that was put together a few years ago now and we may want to review that but we want to be as flexible as we can but still ensure the preservation of the content and the accessibility of the content from the digital repository.

>> Bill University of South Carolina I'm fishing for a more specific and you mentioned UNT so there trusted repository and they have the cyber cemetery therefore I could petition to discard anything that's listed as part of the cyber cemetery including -- that comes under the four -- I was referring to trusted digital repository

>> You would still need for preservation for the tangible --

>> [Indiscernible - Participant too far from mic] >> We will try to get a digital copy on the screen and [Indiscernible] University of Florida I don't know if this is something in some ways are there -- we are expanding -- digital copy can be held are there -- Lori asked about the other titles that might be moving towards being able to be discarded, are there -- how do we know when say three geographically dispersed preservation steward's agreements I mean do we have to be going back and checking that partnership site or you know what you know Southeast what if I could be the fourth steward for something or maybe we need to coordinate with somebody who's in another geographic location to be the fourth you know to sort of -- to keep it moving --

>> I mean I would encourage Utah state -- GPO to reach out to really regional in the needed regions at least if it's West talk to me.

>> Thanks for the offer Jan.

>> University of Virginia Library regards would be fairly easy for us to there was a title we were getting very close to need someone else to Region also be willing to be preservation stewards.

>> Suzanne from time to time in different preservation's has put in her slides we are in need of in the Northeast or in the West or wherever -- we have an update?

>> The promise are so many I can't keep track of you and my head and good problem to have and inventories are available at FDLP.gov and the partnership page and announced this morning and working on a larger project at items of holding record to the CGP when that occurs and they'll be able to variously see where the stewards are and working on automated process because right now it's a manual process with three of us entering items and as you can imagine that is not a sustainable model so that is the hope that eventually [Indiscernible] [Indiscernible - Low volume]

>> Harper University of Wisconsin Madison the agency serving as tested digital repository so -- commitment to public permanent access preservation stewards what they
 detailed preservation tort spelled out the MOA Imo amylase for agencies

>> We know the National libraries have trusted repositories we have in the past tried to reach out and have reached out with little to no success and wanting to partner officially partner so we'll have to take I think a different approach with that and when we look at an agency that has a digital repository that we

can contact them and be proactive and reach out to them and just talk to them about their digital repository and indicate what we would really like to do. We will inform the community when other digital repository content would be appropriate --

>> Mia Rogers Virginia Commonwealth University would it be difficult to add an RSS feed to that page? So as people sign agreements you guys get people who want notification get notification and then they can see where things like rather than having to remember to go back to the page and the off chance a library has been added in the last however many days can there just be an RSS feed? >> We do send out press releases. On news alerts when we get new partners.

>> Arlene from Oregon, the one thing I mean I really trust National libraries when it comes to understanding what permanent axis means I'm not sure I trust the Department of Interior and I certainly don't trust agencies that have political appointees running them so I guess I would say just for feedback purposes is that it would be helpful for everyone if we saw that there were formal agreements around us because it just makes me slightly nervous and I know that you can get all levels of certification but things can change in an agency really quickly and again I trust libraries more just because it's part of their psyche in some ways but I can see folks in the committee being nervous in other circumstances so --

>> Additionally, Cindy GPO, additionally the agencies also have OMB guidance for providing preservation for their digital content. So that's another different level of authority to the agencies. Part of the digital strategy. Government digital strategy. But I certainly understand your hesitancy. We can get MO use if an agency is willing

>> University of Minnesota I also wonder if it would be helpful if you go through the process to let us know who is okay to look at in terms of repositories that we have some idea imagine there's tons of these types of repositories in the agencies you talked about earlier said is 400 some agencies and how do we know which one to look at it which was not to look at the second thing I was wondering is there any way in the regionals identified certain materials in his repository they can be adjusted to [Indiscernible] brought in a different way we can trust?

>> That's a different path. We could consider. >> Downloading the [Indiscernible] [Indiscernible - Low volume] what we don't want to do is replicate something like the USGS publications warehouse. In talking about the USGS they digitize all their professional papers water supply papers huge huge sets.

>> Barbie Selby UVA, I haven't look at this for a while but it might be helpful if Suzanne could send out a note to regional about where exactly we see which titles how many preservation stewards have signed up because I'm looking all around that page and I'm having a hard time getting to the meat of what we are trying to say like UVA want to consider doing a title where's the quickest place I can look to find the biggest bang for our bike kind of thing? Suzanne Carl -- may be wrong last time I looked at that list you had to look either by agency or by title and it is like the way that it's organized either look for whoever is holding the materials or the specific materials if you just added another tab in that list, that maybe had on top link the ones that had three followed by two and the ones that have one preservation steward that might be a quick way for people to be able to go I hadn't thought about doing that one and I could totally be the fourth or third person to do that because that's actually part of why we became preservation stewards for the papers of the President as well is because I saw one of those notices we were getting really close and that when seem like a good way to get our feet wet as far as being a

preservation steward >> You are talking about the list of all the different partnerships we had that's on the partnership page you list the searchable list?

>> I don't know if we could do that or not but we can investigate.

>> Alicia University of Minnesota and following Suzanne even some sort of map graphic like a census Region and somehow make the Region clickable and I can see like here's a preservation stewards and titles clickable I can see the other ones for that title right like make it a little easier to parse.

>> John Devine Boston Public Library, I kind of want to put the early discussion on Ted went happens when you get a fourth preservation agreement for something that is purely tangible for example I know there's one agreement on the monthly catalog so you have to for grants on monthly catalog since probably is not a trusted digital version of it, aside from the CGP of course what would you do in a situation like that? Would you be allowed to discard? >> No.

>> Make sure I interpreted that right -- that we have the CGP and getting ready to do digitize the Moe Katz and checklist and in the acquisition process would be a while but so if there were for preservation steward for the monthly catalog, today we would just have for preservation stewards for the monthly catalog. There has to be a digital version available.

>> Will University of South Carolina, the four regions that have been created are they fairly equal in the opportunity i.e. the number of libraries that could possibly participate or is one area got more than another or do you see a pattern where it is harder to find partnerships in one of the four regions? >> Harder to find partnerships in the Northeast were there's lots of depositories. I really appreciate all these questions in the comments and there is a link to a form I don't know if regionals want to look like to submit something separately if you want to submit something representing all the regional departments depositories that's an option for you all to discuss as well you might want to discuss that you might have submit that thing submitted on behalf of the regionals in the past to be glad to do that and tell you that we've had the announcement out it within two hours I had two submissions and haven't had any since then.
They weren't really comments that would change the policy that's one was I hope people keep things that are of local interest and we hope that also, but that isn't something that would go on the policy. And another one suggested that GPO be more proactive in getting some preservation stewards for tangible content.

>> And a starting place might be going back to that original results from the survey of intended implementation though it's been a few years. You all know where I work [Laughter]

>> Thank you Cindy I think probably the lack of comments was waiting for some clarification on some issues which I think we've got a lot of that today so. I would ask do we have interest in trying to formulate a collective response on behalf of regionals or would we prefer to submit them individually?

>> I think it we come from different perspectives on some of these issues I think it might be slightly difficult to come up with a good collective statement so that is my initial reaction but

>> Kathy Hill State Library of Pennsylvania we are all over the Board with things and been ingesting a lot of information today we want to go back and talk to the people at our administrations and libraries before we say sure let's put a document together. >> Maybe what I will commit to do is is I will repost the policy the link to comments on that Cindy mentioned out to regional and we can kind of go from there that we generate more discussion and obviously don't have every regional in the room right now or even online so like you said we need to kind of think a little more about these issues so I will commit to doing that at original hour after we get back home and so that's it for our agenda and any online comments?

>> Remind everybody online if you have questions or comments please make sure you are sending them to up so we can see them and pass them to Arlene and I don't see any at this point so I have to assume there are not any but if you have any feel free to ask them. >> Arlene, I would like to give notice that I would only like to do regional L one more year. So I'm giving people 366 days to figure out who would like to do it next. I have been around regional L for long enough and it's my second time to retire in his time to have let somebody else have the Joy of having to put Memphis back on every time. Still don't know what's wrong and other joys of wrestling with people systems and things like that. It is getting -- >> I will say since we got here we can talk about it tomorrow one of the things I do at the state library is administer a lot of research so I would be willing to consider a transfer and we can talk more about that at a later time so I am already doing it so one more is not that big a deal and I would expect we maybe have more folks in that situation so
what I wanted to make sure we and Don is and on is a review of the folks that are currently
serving the regal group in this group again the one that organizes beatings we mentioned we didn't -- didn't have a regional meeting with the spring virtual meeting and I wanted to get like a raise of hands do we really think that's important to make sure we try to have regional meetings with the spring and fall conference or we can also do them as needed also that is the other option so should we definitely try to do something in the spring raise hands? No strong opinion one way or the other? All right well we will take that feedback and at a time of you think there's something that the regionals should be doing in terms of responding to things coming from GPO that kind of thing, contact whoever is on the West or the folks on the west and we'll talk amongst ourselves and figure out the best way to deal with those issues and thank you everyone for participating including the folks on line and we will go ahead and call it a day. Enjoy your dinner.

>> [Event Concluded]
[Event Concluded]