

CGP of the Future – Audio transcript

[Please standby for realtime captions]

welcome back, everyone. This is GPS of the future and Jennifer Morgan is going to get us started.

Hello, everyone. Welcome to program on the CGP of the future. On the next slide, I would like to show the collection and discovery working group. It was established at the request of the GPO in the fall of 2019. It is a standing -- our charge, as you see the bottom of the slide, is to assist in coordinating the thoughts, research, plans, and efforts related to cataloging and metadata and expanding and enhancing discovery and access services that GPO provides to the depository community and stakeholders, and to engage the depository community in these processes. As you can see on our chart, our current our current roster includes meant members from counsel, the government publishing office, and the depository library community. On the next slide, you can see who is here with me to lead our discussion. Stephen Kharfen, Elizabeth McDonald, and Will Stringfellow , and GPO systems librarian, Patricia Duplantis, who is the CGP expert , joins us on the panel if you have any specific questions about the CGP. During this open form, we ask that you type your comments and suggestions and ideas and your questions into the chat box. That will be saved and made available to you later along with a link to the video recording of this session. And we have some more help monitoring the chat to help us to keep up with any questions or comments that you have, some additional working group members, Cindy, Valerie, and Mike. You can see that it takes a whole village to have an open forum.

It has been more than 15 years assist the catalog of government publication was launched. And the CGP is such an important resource, as you can read here on the slide because it is a finding tool for the electronic and publish that make up the national bibliography of U.S. government publications. Recently they received funding for a next generation CGP. They are moving forward planning the modernization of the catalog. So our working group planned this program and the GPO are interested in learning what features you would like to have in your ideal on online catalog or discovery tools. During today's discussion we hope that you can help us plan the catalog that will best desk share your thoughts, your ideas, share your good use stories and even your CGP horror stories. Let's get started with the first poll question on the next slide. We would like to get a sense of who was with us today. The first poll question is in your position, what is your primary area of responsibility? Go ahead and select all of the options that apply to you. It looks like the pole has ended. Waiting for the results. It looks like the book of responders are government information librarians. We have cataloging/meditating services. Reference, collection. You can see that the results are ranked from highest results to lowest.

Let's move to the next slide for the second poll question. We would like to know how often you use this CPG. Please select the option that represents your usage. The poll has begun. Okay. The pole has ended. We will wait for the responses to be tabulated. Okay. Here are the results. The highest number of results are for one 25 times per month. Let's move to our next slide for our first discussion question. Our first discussion question is for what purposes do you access the CGP? Again, but your responses in the chat box. Okay. Mark Hamilton says I first used it to find items for library patrons. The chat is flying by. Oh, my goodness. Archive. FindWhat has been distributed under an item number. In check for changes. That is great feedback, Mark. Alex checks it if it has a Perl I can attach to as I'm waiting. The first step in the onion documented process. Reference. We have more pseudo-numbers. Research. Reference. Finding

items for users. Identify whether an item is in scope for cataloging. More online versions. To find records when there are too many choices

[Audio disconnected please stand by while reconnecting] in Sandy says that that works great with brows. Will have to try that. Yeah, unrecorded publications. That is the new terminology for the former digit of docks. Patricia Duplantis, our GPO CG expert says that for those that mention that the suit upsurge really works for them have you tried using the browse search. If so, maybe we can get more information to provide additional assistance. At the end of our program, I will tell you how you can provide us with more feedback. Stay tuned.

Would call them the secret classified collection.

Jen agrees with Sarah and said she would love to be able to export huge amounts of certain resorts, she is talking in the tens of thousands. Some confirmation from Kevin that sudoc works . Alicia would love away to export large amounts. That is great to. More agreement that a CL number is a keyword would be very helpful chat sense that he doesn't know you could browse all by SuDoc peak as a resource with other insider tips like this? James really doesn't like that search results come only 10 at a time. Vicki has a Havel tip for searching SuDoc . Don't put a space between the colon and the stem with the item number. Amy doesn't like that can result limit either. Exxon up from LTS says that there is a known problem where the CP G search results will display the first SuDoc even when the second field contains the most current number . So that could possibly explain some of the off looking results you get.

Jenny is having a little trouble with her chat. She said that when she encounters issues it is easy usually for older searches, pre-1960.

Okay, GPO, there is more agreement that people want to see display of false will of more than 10 results at a time. I agree with that. More agreement I think the poll is closed and we are awaiting the results. Okay. Thank you very much to everyone who responded. The highest number of results we got was from title. The next is SuDoc number. We got a sense of that from the previous question . A lot of searches by SuDoc number. And then the next category would be subject and most of that is item number -- sorry. Forgot agency name. That is the third, agency nine. Fourth is subject and get this item number. That is very interesting. Obviously the agent name is the issuing body. And access to collections.

Question number two in 2, how do you most often begin your search in the CGP? Put your responses in the chat. They had to search most often, basic search second. Advanced. More advanced. A lot of advanced. This seems to be at this point the main starting point the sub catalogs and the select catalogs we can select congressional materials and serials. A few more basics., Which remember that you're asking to dock librarians. That makes sense. More SuDoc . Sort of in conjunction with this question I would like to ask what you search on that particular way. What information do you start thinking about? Obviously if you're searching by title or SuDoc, you could be doing that for various reasons. Patricia says for those asking about increasing the default number of default records, we can research that. You can select up to 20 results from the preferences for those FDL's that have a login, you can set that as your default setting, and will we note the multiple options request for the future. A chance to get more relevant work, including limits -- links to subject headings. Sort of thinking FindWhat the subject headings are and go to the subject headings and see what other work researchers are available. Good research practices.

Andrea says that she's not using the title keyword she's using an OCL number next. Sounds like you are looking for a specific publication. If you have the OCL number and you want to see what the record looks like in the CGP or perhaps 10 test interest is most is I work with her for the basic search, the advanced search needs an approved label by the known search. I always start with advanced search. Thank you. That is very interesting. Keyword search for title for Alicia. Advanced searches usually by SuDoc .. Perhaps like a mono graphic series. Perhaps by using the stem of the congressional committee to do that. Their special mentions I'm wondering if anybody, how often anybody uses the expert search, the common command language search, which gives you a lot of options that are available in advanced search, but also give you some options that are not available. You could search with the date type so that you could look for open serials or you could look for closed serials with a common code language. Is correct me, Patricia. Or a date type. You can search for bibliographic level, which is W BL, word and BB lock goal level. Getting a couple of responses about the search. Using the expert search. Absolutely. See. Here's a question about is their recent webinar on using CGP for the LPs . Perhaps I could ask Patricia to respond to that

Thank you. Currently there is no recent webinar. When we do release the help page, there will be some brief tutorials. And based on feedback, we received from the session and others, we will probably schedule such a webinar in the future.

Thank you, Patricia. Okay. Let's see. Shall we move on to the next poll question ?

Do you want the next slide?

Yes, please.

Actually, we are on discussion question number three. Can you go back one slide. In the poll is running.

That poll question is related to Elizabeth's questions for. Shall we continue with the poll.

We can close it out. Here is discussion number three, which is yours, Stephen point

Okay, thanks. What current features and functionality of the CGP do you want us to keep in the new CGP? Again, please put your responses in the chat. David says the ability to see the marked record, a lot of discovery layers have blocked this.

New titles and tabs, browsing a lot of comments about Browse searches. That is very interesting and important to hear retained individual files for more focused searching . Chad says it would be cool if we could search our default view. These things feel unique to CGP. I would hate to lose them. The ability to email records. Mark records. Access to the metadata in the market format. That is important , critical feedback for us. Any other comments? PURLS. Thanks for the comments. That is important for us to hear. Thank you. And link to subjects behind the scenes webinar. Information about searching for subjects. Sorry if I didn't get that correct... Make sure that the system makes sure that all the fixed fields completely agree. There is a lot of important data in the fixed fields. And to be able to have the system to take advantage of that is very necessary. It looks like we have some good responses on that question. Shall I hand it over to Elizabeth?

Hi. This is Elizabeth. As you know, we have a new poll coming up . We would like to know do you currently download CGP records into your catalog? We will take a minute to get those answers and. We will take a moment to get those answers in.

Your results should come up in about 10 seconds.

Okay. Thank you. It looks like most of the responses are no, with only about 12 of the respondents saying that they actually download records into the -- from the CGP. I think that might lead into, if you can do the next slide. Our next question, which is please tell us about the functions and features that you use in your library catalog that you would like to see in the new CGP. As a part of that, it may be useful for us to know why you don't use the download records, or if there would be a way to make that easier. I know that we have seen some good suggestions already.. We have seen one with variable numbers. We have mostly of the ability to download more than 20 results or to download all results from the search. It is easiest to have a single cataloging workflow. Let's see. James says many of the people that answered no probably has more kites. Boy, it moves fast sometimes. We already produce Arkansas social often already has the record in the network some. I usually use CGP as a discovery told me get the records elsewhere. In house foreign Khmer on the Chinese origins of COVID, after the Texas representative whose appointees ranking members. Keyword search. Number of people saying yes, they use Marcive. They get records from Marcive. Marcive current releases. Scott, guess what, Andrea said clarify in CGP. Yes. Tend to use the number in the record and get it there, but I would like to export, but it has not worked for me and not described in the help section why not. Although I sometimes find it problematic from a patron perspective. Would it be possible to get the more relevant results at the top?. Really need -- it is usually new serial issues.

At the CGP have variant outdated SuDoc items. We try to keep up, but we come across some old numbers and we can't always verify. What we want to be able to limit results via facets, limited digital and expanded number of results beyond 10. Search within results, which is a good idea. A tree -- true union catalog. We talked a little bit about search features, but there are other search features that everyone can think about. Keep Browse SuDoc number. We dropped most and I really miss it. We dropped most Browse functions, and I really dislike it.

That is important too. I like the idea of what you don't like in your current catalog. Including results most viewed or popular. We have just a little bit about this in the chat. But how to -- we talked about display lists and exporting lists. Is there more about exporting list or export features that you would like to see? If we can limit by format language and collection and our catalog, which I find very useful. I would also like to limit by government agency.

That is a really good idea. My library decided not to include a SuDoc index because it was too tricky, and I evidently was the only one who used it. I guess my question would be is your SuDoc then lumped in with your do we index or your SC index? Export full results in Excel or.CSV. Maybe even select which features. I can search for item numbers in my catalog, but searching for item numbers only works well if it is a four digit number and not a compound number. Keep SuDoc Browse. We don't have it either. Export full results in Excel. We also no longer have a Browse SuDoc. Need export to's Otero.

Time for the poll. We can move on .

All right. Fantastic picked for our next poll, which said be the last one of the session. What types of training would you like LSCM to provide for the CGP? Please select all that apply. Webinar live, webcast

prerecorded, tutorials, hands-on training, and other, please describe those in the chat, or none, I do not want CGP training. We will give you a few seconds for this poll to run. Okay. It looks like it has closed. We are waiting for the results to come in. Okay. While -- wow, it looks like we have about 84 are looking for webinars that are lied and about the same number is looking for tutorials , prerecorded training. 48 are looking for hands-on training. We have eight in the chat, and I will check that in a moment. And only two out of the whole group do not want any CGP training. Maybe you all are the folks they could do some training for our fellow colleagues. Let me move over to the chat and look at what my other responses are. Okay. That's the pulse seems to leave off the prerecorded webcast. We do see the folks to want some of those. More visual examples. Jennifer would like handouts or short video tutorials that she could show to the business. Scott also looking for short demos. And he pointed out more prerecorded webcasts. We have several that agree with Scott on the short video demos. Sara recommends a short YouTube Arctic type site or to talk search. Several are green with the prerecorded. The idea for the smaller videos would be great to embed in Lib guides. Margaret notes that I would like help pages with written instructions on circle -- search options and examples. From Jen, who agrees with Andrew, we use something called guide on the side which is very helpful.

Tabitha, a short highly focused content videos point

Thank you so much for answering that poll question. I apologize that the one little piece was missing. At this time, I will ask if we can go to the next slide. The discussion question is what training materials and topics for the CGP would you like LSCM to all for -- offer? We will give you a few minutes to put those answers into the chat. Highlight the quick guides first and then provide more detailed help. I really need better advanced search help. Mark says a webinar or webcast covering expert searching in detail. How to download records directly into catalog. We have several that agree with you guys. Andrea says links to the explanations in your guides like SuDoc classification expedition on .gov. Lawanda says refresh. Can you explain more about what you mean by that? Clarification by Cass going to OCLC . Could you explain a little bit more what you mean by bypassing and going directly to -- I'm not sure exactly what you were asking for. More information on how to add electronic resources. A response to Jenny. The best example that is not in a subscription database is here, and there is a link. Refresh training. She's asking for refresh training. Are you talking about just general refresh training for the CGP? Is that what you're talking about? Okay. Thank you. Scott says getting records via a 3950. Tips for showing patrons how to best use the CGP , something patron focused. Okay. Valerie, thank you for trying to help clarify. Okay. But it is a questions how to add records directly from the CGP instead of going through OCLC for records. We got about three more minutes left before we have to go to the close-up. If you have more suggestions, we would like to see those. Jennifer asked what anyone like training materials on the specialized catalogs? Serial set, congressional publications, the serials, the historic shellfish. Something on the historic shortlist. Excellent. In which would like additional training on? Stephen would like to mention the repository for obtaining CGP records. You can get those free of charge from the link that Stephen is providing in the chat. Andrea says yes, on training for the specialized catalogs, especially the historic shelf list. Per Mark, yes training on specialized catalog. I forgot to look at them. There is a news and event notice for the catalog. From Margaret, agrees with Jenny. I despise video training for things that are written. Written instructions work best for me be revision and updates to our CGP about and help pages. The latter will include short tutorials for basic use and is anticipated to be released by the end of 2021. From Amy, I would like to see some kind of crosswalk between the specialized catalogs and the national bibliography especially for titles which continue such as mono graphic series which turned into serials. And thank you, Stephen, for those additional links to those catalog records. Okay. We probably have less than one minute. I am going to head back to Jennifer. Covers for Lib guides. Okay. It

does seem that our chat is coming to a close for answering this question. It would probably be a great time for me to hand it back to Jennifer for the rep.

Thanks. Thank you everybody. That is tremendous. All of you have can tribute it to the discussion. You can keep posting your comments into the chat also, if you have any general questions for the working group, you can type them into the chat. If you have any questions for Patricia specifically about the CGP, you can put that there. Also, while you are doing that, Kelly, can you please advance to the next slide.

If you think of something later that you want to share with us or that you have any more questions for the discovery services working group, then we ask that you go to ask point GPO point go and fill out the form and under select category, choose cataloging, metadata, policy and records, and be sure to type in the phrase follow-up to DLC CDS WG open for him in your message. The working group and we just really want to thank you so much for contributing to this discussion . And I am sure that library services content management are going to find your input very useful. Again, you will receive a link to the video recording of this program and the entire chat transcript. An essay Patricia wrote into the chat, also, we always welcome suggestions for CGP enhancements, request for the current or future CGP, using the suggestion CGP technical enhancements/meta-Lib resource catalog and she provides a link there in the chat. More details of CGP and related resource enhancements will be provided via at DLP news. I know we are going to be looking forward to to receiving that. Thanks for letting us know. I am glad that everyone appreciated the session. It looks like people are learning some new things about the CGP. Some more suggestions. And herbal specs -- Andrea wants best practices. Kevin loves the CGP. Thanks, everybody. Thank you so much. Blaine has a suggestion about gaps in serial records. It may be a cataloging question. Stephen and Patricia, do you see that? Blaine, if no one can answer you right now, maybe we can follow up with you. I will copy your question and paste into a document. Blame, Stephen says he is going to check on that and get back with you. In Texas says that there are obviously people with all areas of experience with this tool. Yep. Patricia is thanking everyone for the great feedback. Thanks to the working group. It looks like it is 3:15. Our session should be over. Thanks, Kelly. Thanks, everybody.
