

FALL FEDERAL DEPOSITORY  
LIBRARY COUNCIL MEETING AND  
CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPTS  
ARLINGTON, VA | OCTOBER 18 - 20, 2010



FEDERAL DEPOSITORY LIBRARY PROGRAM  
EASY AS FDL | <http://www.fdlp.gov>

1 GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
2 DEPOSITORY LIBRARY COUNCIL  
3 FALL MEETING  
4 MONDAY  
5 OCTOBER 18, 2010  
6  
7

8 The Council met at the Doubletree Hotel  
9 Crystal City, Crystal Ballroom A-B, 300 Army  
10 Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia, at 10:30  
11 a.m., Suzanne Sears, Chair, presiding.  
12

13 COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:  
14

15 SUZANNE SEARS, Chair, Depository Library  
16 Council, Assistant Dean for Public  
17 Services, University of North Texas  
18 Libraries  
19 HELEN BURKE, Hennepin County Library  
20 DAVID CISMOWSKI, Head, Government Publication  
21 Section, California State Library  
22 STEPHEN M. HAYES, University of Notre Dame  
23 SARAH (SALLY) G. HOLTERHOFF, Valparaiso  
24 University Law Library  
25 JAMES R. JACOBS, Green Library, Stanford  
26 University  
27 PEGGY ROEBUCK JARRETT, Gallagher Law Library,  
28 University of Washington  
29 SHARALYN J. LASTER, Bierce Library, University  
30 of Akron  
31 JILL A. MORIEARTY, Knowledge Commons Liaison,  
32 J. Willard Marriott Library, University  
33 of Utah  
34 DANIEL P. O'MAHONY, Brown University  
35 JUSTIN OTTO, John F. Kennedy Library, Eastern  
36 Washington University  
37 DEBBIE RABINA, Ph.D, Pratt Institute School of  
38 Information and Library Science  
39 ANN MARIE SANDERS, Library of Michigan  
40 CAMILLA TUBBS, Yale Law Library  
41

42 ALSO PRESENT:  
43

44 RICHARD G. DAVIS, Director, Library Services  
45 and Content Management, Acting  
46 Superintendent of Documents, GPO  
47 CATHY HARTMAN, Associate Dean of Libraries,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

University of North Texas Libraries  
JAMES MAULDIN, Manager, Office of Archival  
Management, GPO  
TED PRIEBE, Director of Library Planning and  
Development, GPO  
KELLY SEIFERT, Lead Planning Specialist,  
Office of the Director, Library Services  
and Content Management, GPO  
ROBERT C. TAPELLA, Public Printer, GPO

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Plenary Session: Council/GPO Welcome and  
Kick-Off

Robert C. Tapella, Public Printer, GPO

Richard G. Davis, Director, Library Services  
& Content Management, Acting Superintendent of  
Documents, GPO

Lunch Break on Your Own (Regionals/Selectives)

Council Session: Progress from Spring DLC  
Meeting and Draft Recommendations, plus  
Discussion on FDLP Benefits for Libraries &  
the Public

Council Session: Born Digital At-Risk  
Material: The Future of the Digital FDLP

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

10:30 a.m.

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: I would like to call the meeting to order for the Fall Federal Depository Library Conference and Depository Library Council Meeting. Again, I would just like to welcome all of you.

My name is Suzanne Sears and I'm the Assistant Dean for Public Services at the University of North Texas Libraries and I'm the current Chair of the Depository Library Council.

(Applause)

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Before we get started I would like to have the other Council members and the honored guests we have at the table to introduce themselves, please.

David, would you start?

MR. CISMOWSKI: How do I turn this on?

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: You push the button.

MR. CISMOWSKI: This is David

1 Cismowski from the California State Library.

2 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
3 Stanford University.

4 DR. RABINA: Debbie Rabina, Pratt  
5 Institute School of Information and Library  
6 Science.

7 MR. O'MAHONY: Dan O'Mahony from  
8 Brown University in Providence, Rhode Island.

9 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
10 of Michigan.

11 MS. JARRETT: Peggy Jarrett,  
12 Gallagher Law Library, University of  
13 Washington.

14 MS. HOLTERHOFF: Sally Holterhoff,  
15 Valparaiso University Law Library in Indiana.

16 MR. DAVIS: Ric Davis, Director of  
17 the Library Business Unit and Acting  
18 Superintendent of Documents.

19 MR. TAPPELLA: Bob Tapella, Public  
20 Printer of the United States.

21 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
22 University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah.

23 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,

1 University of Akron.

2 MR. OTTO: Justin Otto, Eastern  
3 Washington University.

4 MS. TUBBS: Camilla Tubbs, Yale  
5 Law Library, New Haven, Connecticut.

6 MS. BURKE: Helen Burke, Hennepin  
7 County Library, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

8 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes,  
9 University of Notre Dame.

10 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Thank you,  
11 council. I get tasked with all of the  
12 housekeeping items. I have a long list here  
13 so bear with me. First off restroom locations  
14 for this meeting room.

15 If you go out to the registration  
16 table there is a little hallway and like an  
17 escalator that goes down and they are right  
18 next to that so if you go to the registration  
19 table, make a little bitty right and then a  
20 left and the men's and women's restrooms are  
21 right there.

22 Also, if everybody could please  
23 turn their cell phones off or to silent it

1 would be much appreciated. To all of the  
2 speakers both in this meeting and throughout  
3 the conference, we would appreciate it.

4 The wireless in the room, we have  
5 wireless for about a hundred people so I think  
6 we'll be fine. You need to go to the P-A-S-V  
7 when you're looking for your wireless network.  
8 PASV is the network and the password is U-S-G-  
9 P-O, all in lowercase.

10 We do have live blogging and so,  
11 although the council members will not be live  
12 blogging, they will be reading the questions  
13 into the record if there are any from the live  
14 blog.

15 We also have a Twitter hashtag,  
16 which is #dlc10f. So announcements: there is  
17 an announcement board that is back by the  
18 registration table. If you are trying to meet  
19 with a group of people for lunch or have just  
20 general announcements to make to the  
21 community, that is where you need to put them.

22 Also you can hand them to me and I  
23 can make announcements during the beginning or

1 the end of our different council sessions  
2 throughout the conference.

3 The audience questions, if you are  
4 new to council meetings, the way that it works  
5 is after the presentations we open up to the  
6 floor for questions. Usually we ask questions  
7 for the council first because GPO has paid for  
8 us to come here to get information so we try  
9 to get our questions answered.

10 After council is through asking  
11 questions we will open it up to the audience.  
12 You have microphones in the middle of the  
13 floor for you to come up to and line up and we  
14 will call on you to ask your question. We do  
15 ask that since we have a court reporter that  
16 you do state your name and your institution so  
17 that we know who you are for the record.

18 If you're not comfortable coming  
19 to the mic and you have a burning question we  
20 do encourage you to ask that of the council  
21 members during the breaks. We do ask that you  
22 please be respectful if we're running to the  
23 bathroom to let us go there first. Then you



1 will have all of our undivided attention if  
2 you do that for us.

3           You can also write it down on your  
4 FDLP pads and hand it to the registration  
5 table and they will make sure that we get it  
6 up here and we will try and get those  
7 questions answered.

8           Your packets have orange  
9 evaluations in them and we do ask that you  
10 fill those out. When we're planning for April  
11 it will be very important to us to have that  
12 information from how you felt about this  
13 conference and what sessions you would like to  
14 see and what things you would like council to  
15 consider.

16           Also in your packets are some of  
17 the handouts for the sessions that council  
18 will be giving. In the backs of some of those  
19 slides you will find discussion questions that  
20 are questions we are going to be asking during  
21 those sessions so if you would like to read  
22 ahead on those questions and be prepared to  
23 discuss those questions, that would be very

1 helpful to us.

2 Today at noon is the regional  
3 selective lunch. I already have seen out on  
4 the announcement boards the Texas librarians  
5 are meeting at the registration table at noon,  
6 the Kansas librarians are meeting at the  
7 registration table at noon, the Michigan  
8 librarians are meeting at the registration  
9 table at noon.

10 Also the California librarians are  
11 meeting at the hotel cafe outside at the front  
12 at 12:05, I believe, is what it says. Is that  
13 correct, David?

14 (No Response)

15 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Somebody  
16 handed me Florida, Puerto Rico, and U.S.  
17 Virgin Islands are meeting at noon in the  
18 lower lobby.

19 We have a lot of really great  
20 sessions put together for you today. The  
21 council members worked really hard on these  
22 sessions. They each were assigned a session  
23 and are moderating their own sessions. Please

1 be sure that if you come to the session if you  
2 have questions that you don't get a chance to  
3 ask that you talk to one of the council  
4 members on that session and make sure that you  
5 get your input to them.

6           It's very important and part of  
7 our role as an advisory committee is to  
8 represent you as a community. To do that we  
9 need your feedback so we need you to talk to  
10 us and tell us what it is that you would like  
11 for us to ask, what questions you would like  
12 to have answered, or just if you have comments  
13 that you would like us to pass along we would  
14 really appreciate having that feedback from  
15 you.

16           Okay. Now it's time for the all-  
17 time favorite calisthenics to wake you up. So  
18 sometimes we do this on funding but I have a  
19 little different take. I would like for those  
20 of you who have been less to four council  
21 meetings to please stand up.

22           So those of you who were seated,  
23 if you have somebody standing up next to you,

1 that's the person you need to take under your  
2 wing and show them around and let them have a  
3 good time.

4 If you've been to more than 20  
5 council meetings, please stand. These people  
6 know a lot. Find them and talk to them.

7 If you have become a depository  
8 coordinator within the last two years, please  
9 stand up. If you are a regional librarian,  
10 would you please stand. Regionals remain  
11 standing, please.

12 Very loudly I would like for you  
13 say the state that you represent as you go  
14 around the room because I don't want to make  
15 you all come to the microphone but I do think  
16 that several selectives do not know who their  
17 regional are so I would really appreciate it.

18 Starting with the lady here in the  
19 lovely orange.

20 (Introductions of Regional  
21 Librarians)

22 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Thank you all  
23 for that. Now we have skills for knowing

1 whether your regional is here or not.

2 Ah, we missed David. Go, David.

3 And Ann. You weren't standing.

4 MR. CISMOWSKI: I'm short.

5 California.

6 MS. SANDERS: Michigan, and I'm

7 even shorter.

8 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Okay. With  
9 that without further ado I'm going to pass it  
10 over to our distinguished guest today. It is  
11 my great pleasure to introduce to you the 25th  
12 Public Printer of the United States, Mr. Bob  
13 Tapella.

14 MR. TAPELLA: Thanks, Suzanne.

15 Good morning. Oh, come on. We just had a  
16 coffee break so let's try this one again.

17 Good morning.

18 ALL: Good morning.

19 MR. TAPELLA: Thank you. As  
20 Suzanne said, my name is Bob Tapella and I'm  
21 the Public Printer of the United States.  
22 Welcome to the Fall 2010 Federal Depository  
23 Library Council Meeting and the Conference

1 here in Arlington, Virginia.

2 Suzanne, welcome as the incoming  
3 chair. Actually as the current chair, I  
4 guess. So far I hear you're doing a great  
5 job.

6 We also have a number of new  
7 council members, Helen Burke, Stephen Hayes,  
8 Peggy Jarrett, Shari Laster, Debbie Rabina.  
9 Welcome to council.

10 I would like to begin by thanking  
11 all of you for your hard work. The new  
12 council members came to Washington this summer  
13 for boot camp. I think it was an eye-opening  
14 experience for them as they saw just what the  
15 GPO is all about beyond just the Federal  
16 Depository Library Program.

17 For all of you in the audience,  
18 thank you for coming. I understand we have  
19 nearly 400 people at this conference. I  
20 appreciate you taking the time to come here  
21 and your continued hard work and commitment to  
22 government documents.

23 Now, the first question that

1 always seems to come up is, "Are you still the  
2 Public Printer?" And the answer is yes, which  
3 is why I'm standing in front of you. The  
4 President did nominate my successor and it is  
5 currently sitting within the United States  
6 Senate.

7 Then the next question I'm always  
8 asked, "So, when is it going to happen?" My  
9 real answer is I never presume to know what is  
10 on the mind of the U.S. Senate so if you have  
11 any questions, ask them, not me.

12 We are at the Government Printing  
13 Office celebrating our sesquicentennial. It's  
14 a big word that I had to learn this year and  
15 it means 150 years. GPO was created on June  
16 23, 1860 when President James Buchanan signed  
17 Joint Resolution No. 25. We opened for  
18 business March 4, 1861, the same day Abraham  
19 Lincoln was inaugurated as President.

20 To begin our celebration of the  
21 sesquicentennial GPO honored our current and  
22 retired employees on June 23. With the help  
23 of the Archivist of the United States, David

1        Ferriero -- by the way, he's a former GovDocs  
2        librarian -- we unveiled a facsimile of the  
3        seven-page handwritten document that created  
4        the agency.

5                    The celebration continues and for  
6        that date we published a sesquicentennial  
7        edition of GPO 100 Years which was originally  
8        issued in 1961 on our 100th anniversary. 100  
9        GPO Years takes a chronological approach to  
10       GPO history beginning with a history of public  
11       printing in America prior to 1860 and  
12       describing the events year by year right  
13       through 1961.

14                   Now, as part of the  
15        sesquicentennial edition we made a few  
16        changes. We added an introduction and we also  
17        added an index for those historians in the  
18        room that like to know what's going on.

19                   Continuing as part of our  
20        publication world we also introduced GPO's  
21        first comic book called Squeaks Discovers  
22        Type. The comic book takes a unique approach  
23        to educate readers on the importance of



1 printing and the role it's played from the  
2 beginning of civilization to today.

3 Jim Cameron, who is somewhere in  
4 the room, or maybe he's out at the booth,  
5 wrote the story. Nick Crawford, one of the  
6 GPO designers, did the illustrations. The  
7 book is for sale in our bookstore in the back  
8 of the room. Actually I guess it's in the  
9 anteroom there. If you have an interest, I  
10 suggest you thumb through it. It's actually  
11 a pretty interesting book. We've been  
12 receiving rave reviews for it.

13 Of course, the comic book is for  
14 sale in our bookstore. Speaking of  
15 bookstores, we've just remodeled the GPO  
16 bookstore. For nearly a century the bookstore  
17 has been in the main building of GPO and has  
18 served the American public by making it  
19 possible to purchase Government publications.

20 Unfortunately, it has been  
21 probably 50 or 60 years since the bookstore  
22 had any alterations of any significance. We  
23 decided that it was time in celebration of our

1 150th anniversary to make it a modern  
2 bookstore and it is now a bookstore where you  
3 can browse, where you can see, and where you  
4 can touch. I think it's a great resource and  
5 I'm very proud of the folks at GPO who had the  
6 vision to do this and to move it forward.

7 Now, we also, of course, are  
8 online. Perhaps some of the biggest news is  
9 that we are going to be moving into the e-  
10 publishing world in the very near future. We  
11 just signed some contracts. Pretty soon our  
12 Government publications will be available on  
13 e-readers as well as in print, as well as free  
14 for download through FDSys.

15 Now, as we continue into March of  
16 2011 there will be additional events and  
17 activities taking place at GPO. One of them  
18 is that we are publishing an updated history  
19 of the Government Printing Office and that  
20 will be released on March 4th of 2011. We are  
21 also developing a historical exhibit and  
22 museum at GPO.

23 We are doing that because we want

1 to preserve the great history of the  
2 Government Printing Office and the role that  
3 it has played in keeping the documents of our  
4 democracy available to the public. I hope  
5 you'll join us as we move forward celebrating  
6 GPO's 150 years.

7 Now, under real business. The  
8 fiscal year 2011 budget for FY 2011 GPO has  
9 requested a total of \$166,560,000 and that  
10 funding will enable us to meet projected  
11 requirements for GPO's Congressional Printing  
12 and Binding Fund as well as for information  
13 dissemination operations during fiscal year  
14 2011 and recover the shortfall in the account  
15 accumulated in FY 2009 and 2010.

16 They will fund the operation of  
17 GPO statutory information dissemination  
18 programs and provide investment funds for  
19 necessary information dissemination projects.  
20 We will continue the development of FDsys and  
21 its implementation.

22 We will also be covering some more  
23 IT infrastructure, perform essential

1 maintenance and repairs for our aging  
2 buildings, undertake necessary continuity of  
3 operations initiatives, and provide funding  
4 for employee retraining and workforce  
5 development.

6           For the SME specifically we are  
7 requesting \$44,208,000 for fiscal year 2011,  
8 an increase of \$3.297 million over fiscal year  
9 2010. As part of the appropriations request  
10 for the FDLP GPO is seeking funding to  
11 continue three specific projects funded in the  
12 appropriation for FY 2010.

13           First, a half a million dollars  
14 for the modernization of legacy computer  
15 systems supporting the FDLP. These systems  
16 are essential for meeting program needs and  
17 must be migrated to current and stable  
18 hardware and software solutions.

19           The systems to be modernized  
20 include shipping lister, item lister,  
21 depository distribution information system,  
22 the acquisitions classification and shipment  
23 information system, and the automated

1 depository distribution system.

2 Ric will be updating you on some  
3 of the contract awards we have recently made  
4 on these systems when he speaks. This funding  
5 that we're requesting in fiscal year 2011 will  
6 serve to continue the development and  
7 modernization needs.

8 Second, we are requesting a half a  
9 million dollars to support the digitalization  
10 of historical public domain government  
11 publications to make available for permanent  
12 no-fee public access via online dissemination  
13 through GPO.

14 This project will help citizens  
15 overcome barriers to public access to  
16 depository collections due to geographic  
17 distance, protect and preserve valuable  
18 information content from damage and  
19 deterioration, expand public access to federal  
20 information resources for a broad range of  
21 educational and other purposes, and promote  
22 greater openness and transparency in  
23 relationship between citizens and their

1 government.

2 Funding for this initiative will  
3 be directly applied to the cost of the actual  
4 digitization process for the FDLP material  
5 pending approval of GPO's plan by the Joint  
6 Committee on Printing.

7 Third, we are requesting \$200,000  
8 for establishing performance measures and  
9 survey instruments for evaluating depository  
10 library access, collections, service, and  
11 cooperative efforts. This data will continue  
12 to build a foundation for ongoing program  
13 assessments.

14 As part of the appropriation  
15 request for cataloging and indexing for fiscal  
16 year 2011 GPO is seeking funding to continue  
17 a specific project funded in the appropriation  
18 for FY 2010. That is a half a million dollars  
19 for special cataloging and indexing projects  
20 including completing the creation of the Mark  
21 21 records for current and historic serials  
22 and investigating a long-term solution for  
23 bibliographic record distribution.

1                   As part of this project  
2 bibliographic records will be distributed from  
3 GPO's integrated library system to federal  
4 depository libraries. As of now we are  
5 operating under a continuing resolution with  
6 no additional funding but will keep the FDLP  
7 community abreast as that changes.

8                   Our appropriators are telling us  
9 that this is going to be a tough year but  
10 GPO's finances overall are strong. We have  
11 just finished our 7th consecutive year in the  
12 black. I believe that our fiscal  
13 responsibility at GPO and the prudence we have  
14 shown will weigh in our favor as the  
15 appropriators make difficult decisions.

16                   I would like to move on to FDSys.  
17 I am happy to report that the migration of  
18 content from GPO Access to FDSys is now  
19 complete and the two systems are running in  
20 parallel. This will remain the case until the  
21 end of December when GPO Access will sunset.

22                   With the sunset of GPO Access  
23 FDSys is scheduled to become the primary

1 digital content management system for GPO  
2 securing and providing access to digital  
3 government information for the American  
4 people. FDSys will be heavily relied upon by  
5 federal agency publishers and our FDLP partner  
6 libraries to archived and host digital  
7 publications and harvested web content.

8 As digital repositories have  
9 become more important to libraries and  
10 archives in their role to preserve and provide  
11 digital information, a body of evidence has  
12 developed that defines what constitutes best  
13 practices for the design and management of a  
14 secure repository of digital content.

15 This fiscal year GPO made the  
16 necessary plans to ensure that FDSys will  
17 serve as a certified trusted repository. GPO  
18 will be conducting an internal review of FDSys  
19 very shortly. I think it's in two weeks. An  
20 audit of FDSys will require GPO's preservation  
21 librarian and program management office staff  
22 to work together to assess the FDSys system's  
23 architecture, ingest in archiving methodology,



1 as well as GPO staffing and financial support  
2 for FDSys.

3 After reviewing FDSys  
4 documentation, GPO will engage the services of  
5 an independent vendor to review FDSys  
6 documentation and conduct an independent  
7 external audit of FDSys. A key requirement  
8 for such a vendor is experience in TRAC which  
9 is Trustworthy Repositories Audit and  
10 Certification.

11 The trust of the practitioners in  
12 the digital curation preservation community  
13 and other interested parties are part of TRAC.  
14 TRAC is an auditing tool to assess the  
15 reliability and commitment and readiness of  
16 institutions to assume long-term preservation  
17 responsibilities.

18 We have requested specific funding  
19 to accomplish this as part of our budgetary  
20 process. Once the sunset of GPO Access is  
21 complete the next two top priorities for FDSys  
22 are: first, enable direct submission of  
23 content by Congressional users and, second,

1       discovering born digital information so that  
2       it is not at risk of loss.

3                You'll learn more about these at  
4       the FDsys educational system tomorrow.

5       Correct? These are major steps forward for  
6       GPO in creating a one-stop site for published  
7       authentic government information.

8                As we work to transition from GPO  
9       Access to FDsys GPO's program management  
10      office and library services and content  
11      management staff continue to work together to  
12      make the transition smooth from the FDLP  
13      libraries and their patrons.

14               Staff members have developed  
15      extensive transition plans, training materials  
16      for staff and the public, and a wide variety  
17      of promotional activities. Ric will be  
18      telling you more about these activities.

19               Another exciting FDsys development  
20      is the recent release of the continuity of  
21      access, COA, instance on September 30, 2011.  
22      This allows GPO to provide a robust disaster  
23      recovery solution to ensure continuity of

1 access to all data within FDsys. This release  
2 allows GPO to declare a milestone victory in  
3 its mission to continue permanent public  
4 access to official government information.

5 The separation of content allows  
6 GPO's information to reside beyond the reach  
7 of accident or attack and ensures that the  
8 documents of our democracy will be accessible  
9 under any circumstance.

10 Moving onto open government. This  
11 past July GPO and the National Archives,  
12 Office of the Federal Register, launched the  
13 Federal Register 2.0 prototype in a user  
14 friendly online version of the Federal  
15 Register. I often talk about the government  
16 newspapers that GPO publishes, the  
17 Congressional Record and the Federal Register.

18 As we look at this new Federal  
19 Register 2.0 it mirrors the best that online  
20 newspaper websites have to offer. This daily  
21 journal of government information has provided  
22 the public with access to government  
23 information and federal regulations for the

1 past 75 years.

2 The Federal Register 2.0 features  
3 a new layout that organizes content by topics  
4 similar to the best newspaper websites. The  
5 site displays individual sections for money,  
6 environment, world, science and technology,  
7 business and industry, and health and public  
8 welfare.

9 The website has improved search  
10 and navigation tools to guide readers to the  
11 most popular topics and relevant documents.  
12 Users can submit comments and stay connected  
13 through social media.

14 A few months back we announced the  
15 conversation of the Code of Federal  
16 Regulations into XML and that it was being  
17 placed online via FDsys and the government  
18 site for government data [www.data.gov](http://www.data.gov). I'm  
19 pleased to tell you there are now over 20 CFRs  
20 and Federal Register XML data sets available.

21 Another item, GPO and the Office  
22 of the Federal Register are currently drafting  
23 a vision document for a point in time system

1 for regulatory information that will replace  
2 the current e-CFR. As further progress is  
3 made on this endeavor we'll keep you updated.

4 On another note regarding open  
5 access to government information this past  
6 year GPO developed a new partnership with the  
7 Cornell University Law Library for a pilot  
8 project to evaluate a conversion process of  
9 the Code of Federal Regulations into XML.

10 The Cornell Legal Information  
11 Institute is utilizing GPO CFR XML data and  
12 will be making this data available on the  
13 University's website for research. GPO and  
14 Cornell will use the lessons learned from this  
15 pilot project to find ways of providing public  
16 openness to government documents.

17 There will be a focus group here  
18 at the hotel this evening at 7:00 p.m. with  
19 representatives from GPO, the Office of the  
20 Federal Register, and Cornell in attendance.  
21 I encourage all of you, particularly those  
22 from the law community, to learn more about  
23 this exciting open government initiative and

1 hope you will attend.

2 Authentication. FDSys is  
3 continuing with the essential work of  
4 authenticating U.S. Government online  
5 publications that began with GPO Access. A  
6 workshop was hosted in June of 2010 pertaining  
7 to document authentication.

8 GPO stakeholders from the library  
9 community, academia, and federal government  
10 agencies were invited to attend. The  
11 objective of the workshop was to facilitate  
12 input from stakeholders related to user  
13 community requirements for different levels of  
14 authentication assurance on the same content,  
15 the standards and techniques that should be  
16 used for native XML authentication, standards  
17 and techniques that should be used for chain  
18 of custody, and user community requirements  
19 for granular authentication.

20 A summary of the conclusions of  
21 the workshop are as follows. First, XML  
22 content is the content type that GPO should  
23 next concentrate on as to authentication

1 methods for future GPO systems employment.

2 Second, the W3C technical standards for XML  
3 digital signatures are the appropriate  
4 authentication standards for XML data.

5 Third, the granular authentication  
6 concept presented deserves feasibility and  
7 cross-analysis in the context of the overall  
8 FDsys system's delivery. And, forth, reuse of  
9 authenticated content is very important to the  
10 end user community and XML authentication  
11 techniques need to permit easy content reuse  
12 and processing by end users. There is one  
13 other sort of over-reaching or over-arching  
14 conclusion from the workshop and that is that  
15 the dialogue amongst the community is so very  
16 important.

17 Now, on October 21 of 2010 GPO  
18 will be hosting an industry day to gather  
19 information on technology options for XML  
20 digital signatures that would be of use to GPO  
21 and our end-user community and stakeholders.  
22 Once again, GPO stakeholders from the library  
23 community, academia, and federal government

1 agencies are invited to attend.

2 The next steps that GPO plans to  
3 take in this area are, first, evaluate the  
4 concept for granular authentication that you  
5 feel presented and determine if it's feasible  
6 to produce and deliver. If it is, proceed  
7 towards the development and to actually  
8 deliver it.

9 Second, to communicate the hash  
10 value distribution that FDsys already delivers  
11 and foster the use of that for segments of the  
12 user community that would benefit and desire  
13 to use that approach. Third, update GPO  
14 authentication white paper to incorporate the  
15 lessons learned at GPO based on our  
16 experiences with digital signed content and  
17 our understanding of how authentication can  
18 support GPO's mission and benefit the user  
19 community.

20 Moving on to some broader topics  
21 within the Government Printing Office.

22 Earlier this month I spoke about the successes  
23 about GPO's sustainable environmental



1 stewardship initiatives at the very first  
2 GreenGov symposium.

3           The White House Council on  
4 Environmental Quality invited me to be part of  
5 the event which brought together leaders from  
6 federal, state, and local governments,  
7 nonprofit and academic communities, and the  
8 private sector to share the challenges and  
9 best practices in going green.

10           Among the topics I discussed I  
11 emphasized GPO's sustainable paper  
12 achievements in the agency's factory and paper  
13 options for federal agencies. GPO employees  
14 made history by printing the Congressional  
15 Record and the Federal Register on 100 percent  
16 recycled newsprint.

17           GPO is trying to expand the  
18 sustainable paper choices available to federal  
19 agencies by testing and validating 100 percent  
20 recycled and other sustainable office papers  
21 available through the GPO paper store. GPO  
22 also soon will be testing paper made from the  
23 pulp extract from sugar cane which is 100

1 percent tree free and biodegradable so you may  
2 see some differences in your paper collections  
3 in the coming years.

4 We also recently completed an  
5 installation of a new highly reflective  
6 roofing system. This new roof includes  
7 several bio-based layers and reflective  
8 coating that increases the efficiency and the  
9 life expectancy of the roof on our 100-plus-  
10 year-old factory. Furthermore, we updated our  
11 fleet of vehicles used to deliver printed  
12 products for Congress and federal agencies  
13 with alternative flex fuel and hybrid  
14 vehicles.

15 Now, in case you aren't socially  
16 connected to GPO I want to let you know where  
17 you can find us. You can check us out on  
18 YouTube and we are GPO Printer on YouTube. We  
19 also are on Twitter at usgpo. Finally, I  
20 would like you to check out our government  
21 BookTalk blog which is GovBookTalk.  
22 worldpress.com.

23 The new blog is a mix of informal

1 first-person reviews of federal publications,  
2 discussion of past and present federal  
3 content, and personal stories about encounters  
4 with government information and updates about  
5 GPO information dissemination activities.

6 As always, stay up-to-date with  
7 the latest innovations and progress of the  
8 FDLP and utilize the various tools in order to  
9 enhance public service by visiting us on the  
10 FDLP Desktop. Of course, you all know that,  
11 FDLP.gov.

12 Furthermore, start sharing your  
13 knowledge, experience, and resources while  
14 also benefitting from the expertise of other  
15 library professionals from around the country  
16 by signing up on the FDLP community. With all  
17 of you who stood up and Suzanne asked how many  
18 had been to less than four meetings, I hope  
19 you'll join us online.

20 The site is designed to create a  
21 online interactive venue to enhance the world  
22 of government documents. All members of the  
23 Federal Depository Library Community can

1 create an account at Community.felp.gov.

2 Finally, I just want to take a  
3 moment to thank Lance Cummins and his staff  
4 for putting on yet another wonderful  
5 Depository Library Council meeting. My  
6 special thanks goes to Lance Cummins, Yvonne  
7 Ellis, who I know isn't here today but she'll  
8 be here tomorrow, Bridget Govan, and Debbie  
9 Smith. As always, they are here to serve us  
10 and we greatly appreciate their commitment.

11 (Applause)

12 MR. TAPPELLA: Now, I hope you  
13 enjoy yourselves over the next couple of days.  
14 We all are kind of looking forward to learning  
15 in collaboration that this fall conference  
16 always brings. I hope you are happy with the  
17 hotel. I understand Suzanne actually has --  
18 Jill actually has soap in her room.

19 Are you embarrassed, Jill?

20 MS. MORIEARTY: Yes.

21 MR. TAPPELLA: Good. With that, I  
22 will conclude my remarks. Thank you.

23 (Applause)

1 MR. DAVIS: Good morning everyone.

2 ALL: Good morning.

3 MR. DAVIS: I'm Ric Davis. I'm  
4 the Director of the Library Business Unit at  
5 GPO and I'm also the acting superintendent of  
6 documents. UI am very, very pleased to see  
7 such a turnout for this event. A lot of old  
8 friends and new faces as well. Let me also  
9 welcome you to this conference.

10 I want to start by also thanking  
11 the staff here at the Doubletree for making  
12 this event happen. We've been coming here for  
13 a couple of years now and it's just really  
14 interesting to see how we sync up now in terms  
15 of running and hosting this event. Thank you  
16 staff here for your work as well.

17 I also want to welcome our new  
18 council members. As Bob mentioned, we had  
19 this bootcamp at GPO. From the very beginning  
20 they have hit the ground running. Sometimes  
21 I feel like asking do you know what you've  
22 gotten yourself into. Some of you who have  
23 served on council before already know it's a

1 lot of hard work and I really appreciate your  
2 efforts and what you've already put into this  
3 program.

4 I also want to thank the staff who  
5 I work with every day in the Library Business  
6 Unit. Their dedication, their commitment to  
7 this program, their passion for the work in  
8 supporting this program is absolutely  
9 unparalleled. I also want them to -- if they  
10 could just raise their hand. I won't ask you  
11 to stand up. If you could raise your hand if  
12 you're with the Library Unit.

13 (Applause)

14 MR. DAVIS: They also have to put  
15 up with me every day which I can tell you is  
16 not always the easiest things when I'm sending  
17 emails at 2:00 in the morning so thank you all  
18 for your dedication.

19 I have a lot of things I would  
20 like to cover today including some initiatives  
21 going on in the Library Unit as well as some  
22 program related activities. Before I get  
23 started I want to recognize some special

1 guests who are here with us at the conference.

2 There are a number of students  
3 from the University of Maryland who are part  
4 of a new curriculum that is designed to  
5 prepare them to be government information  
6 librarians with a focus on e-government  
7 services and digital government information.

8 I know it's early but if you are  
9 here with us this morning, could you please  
10 stand up.

11 (Applause)

12 MR. DAVIS: I know we have a  
13 couple of activities planned with GPO over the  
14 next couple of days and I look forward to  
15 talking to all of you. In many ways you  
16 represent our future and the future of this  
17 program and I hope that this conference is  
18 very beneficial to you in learning about what  
19 we're doing and the initiatives we have  
20 underway.

21 First I would like to talk a  
22 little bit more about GPO Federal Digital  
23 System, FDsys. As Bob mentioned, GPO Access

1 and FDsys run in parallel until the end of  
2 December. At that time FDsys will become the  
3 primary digital content management system for  
4 GPO securing and providing both current and  
5 permanent public access to our information for  
6 the American public.

7 The staff from the Program  
8 Management Office will be giving a  
9 demonstration tomorrow at 4:00 p.m.

10 Additionally, as Bob mentioned, as part of  
11 that staff from my unit in Library Services  
12 and the PMO will be talking more about this  
13 trusted digital repository aspect that I think  
14 is absolutely critical for ensuring permanent  
15 public access.

16 I also want to inform you about  
17 what we're doing to promote and help promote  
18 FDsys. GPO Access has been with us for a very  
19 long time. It's about 16 years now and making  
20 the transition from one system to another  
21 system and making people aware of what's  
22 occurring without creating confusion is almost  
23 as much of a challenge as launching a new



1 system.

2 Right now we have 24 different  
3 promotional activities going on for FDSys.  
4 This includes a complete revamp of all of the  
5 brochures and literature associated with this,  
6 as well as a nationwide media campaign. I  
7 encourage you to attend tomorrow's session on  
8 tools for promoting the FDLP and FDSys to hear  
9 about what we're doing.

10 Now I would like to turn attention  
11 to what is going on in my unit, Library  
12 Services and Content Management. In FY 2009  
13 we developed and implemented a formal customer  
14 relations program for depository libraries.  
15 This is unlike anything we have ever done in  
16 the past.

17 You are all familiar with the  
18 biennial surveys but this is a little bit  
19 different. We try to better gauge depository  
20 library needs, what were the unique  
21 characteristics of libraries to gather data  
22 analysis on this and look at how we run our  
23 business operations.

1                   We contracted with Outsell to  
2                   develop and administer data gathering  
3                   techniques. The first step of this was to  
4                   develop a segmentation survey to look at  
5                   libraries by type. Not just regional and  
6                   selective but a more granular breakdown.

7  
8                   As a follow-up to the segmentation survey a  
9                   needs assessment was conducted as well as the  
10                  abbreviated biennial survey that we do every  
11                  couple of years.

12                  A couple of highlights I want to  
13                  mention from that process. 92 percent of  
14                  respondents plan to remain in the FDLP long  
15                  term. Access to depository materials was  
16                  rated by over 90 percent of you as the most  
17                  important service provided.

18                  More than 80 percent of  
19                  respondents reported that having cataloging  
20                  records for online and monographs and serials  
21                  was critical. Interestingly, staff levels  
22                  were reported as adequate by 87 percent of  
23                  respondents but not surprisingly over 80

1 percent of you reported that budget  
2 constraints remain a primary issue that we  
3 have to deal with.

4           The last data gathering activity  
5 that we want to do is a user survey. This  
6 survey is to help determine the value of  
7 depository libraries from the user perspective  
8 and continue to answer that age-old question  
9 that we all get, and I know that you get from  
10 directors and administrators: What is the  
11 value of an FDLP, particularly in the digital  
12 age?

13           The survey results will be used to  
14 develop outcome space performance measures.  
15 We have a handout literally hot off the press  
16 in the back of the room. I encourage you to  
17 pick that up on your way out and we'll also  
18 have copies at the registration table.

19           Likewise, strategic planning for  
20 the future is continuing. We have the goals  
21 of developing a sustainable model for the FDLP  
22 for the future to ensure that the public has  
23 systematic and secure access for the 21st

1 century and beyond. The desire of a  
2 consultant to perform program modeling had  
3 broad support across the depository community.

4 At the recommendation of the  
5 spring 2009 Depository Library Council GPO  
6 sought and received funding for library  
7 program consulting services. We conducted an  
8 open and competitive federal procurement  
9 opportunity.

10 The way that opportunity worked,  
11 as many procurements at GPO do, is we first  
12 put it out on the General Services  
13 Administration schedule for qualified GSA  
14 bidders. Interestingly, there were no  
15 bidders.

16 As a result of that we then opened  
17 it up to everyone and we put it out through a  
18 lowest cost technically acceptable procurement  
19 model that was recommended by our procurement  
20 and legal staff. As a result of that process  
21 Ithaca S & R was awarded the contract.

22 Working with stakeholders from  
23 across the FDLP community they will

1 development recommendations for sustainable  
2 models under the guidance of GPO and the  
3 guidance of Library Council for the FDLP that  
4 ensures systematic and permanent access to  
5 government information in the future.

6 In accordance with this contract  
7 there are various tasks that they are expected  
8 to do for us culminating in a final report  
9 which is to be issued publicly the first  
10 quarter of 2011.

11 Ithaka S & R has already completed  
12 their first task which is to provide details  
13 on the goals and strategy of this project and  
14 to create a website where all of you can offer  
15 input and your comments on what they are doing  
16 and how this approach is being taken.

17 If you have not visited the  
18 website, it's a very short URL  
19 [fdlpmodeling.net](http://fdlpmodeling.net). I believe Roger and Ross  
20 are also here at the conference and I know  
21 they would welcome the opportunity to talk  
22 with you as we go through this process  
23 together.

1                   As Bob mentioned, we have also  
2                   been making significant progress with the  
3                   migration and enhanced stabilization of legacy  
4                   systems that we talked about at previous  
5                   conferences.

6                   Just to clarify, these are systems  
7                   that are outside the scope of GPO's federal  
8                   digital system but are not withstanding  
9                   equally important because they serve the  
10                  critical needs of information processing for  
11                  this program.

12                  A couple I want to mention. The  
13                  WEBTech Notes migration has been completed  
14                  followed by additional enhancements that we've  
15                  made. Also, one of our favorite topics,  
16                  PURLZ. GPO has completed the migration from  
17                  the OCLC PURL Resolver software to the PURLZ  
18                  Resolver software for the PURL implementation,  
19                  and an announcement on FDLPL and the Desktop  
20                  went out about that this morning. For the  
21                  distribution systems and the federal bulletin  
22                  board legacy systems we've likewise already  
23                  enabled full backup and failover systems.

1                   For some of the systems that Bob  
2 mentioned like DDAS, Access, our item listers,  
3 our amendment of item selections, fortunately  
4 we received funding for that in FY 10 and  
5 leading up until September 30th we awarded a  
6 contract to further stabilize and migrate all  
7 of those systems. We'll be working closing  
8 with the council on that, with GPO's IT  
9 department, and we'll keep the library  
10 community informed of progress.

11                   Also progress in terms of  
12 disposition of depository materials in federal  
13 depository libraries. As some of you remember  
14 from a couple of sessions ago, there was a  
15 request that GPO develop an automated tool for  
16 disposition of materials to help regional  
17 depository libraries better manage the  
18 disposition process in their states.

19                   I want to emphasize again this is  
20 a voluntary tool that we are developing. I  
21 often hear from some regionals that they have  
22 a process. "It's working very well and leave  
23 me alone." We are happy to do that. But, at

1 the same time, I've also heard from others  
2 that you are looking for relief. You're  
3 looking for us to develop something to help  
4 you.

5 As a result of that we developed a  
6 requirements document, a concept of operations  
7 document. We put that out for council  
8 comment, comment to the broader community, and  
9 we received a lot of good feedback that  
10 impacted the development of those final  
11 requirements.

12 As a result of that leading up to  
13 September 30th as well we went through a  
14 procurement process and we made an award to a  
15 company to help us develop this. We'll be  
16 working closely with council on that as well  
17 to make sure it continues to meet your needs.

18 Also, back in October 2009, if you  
19 remember, GPO announced the launch of a one-  
20 year pilot project to address the need for  
21 distribution of cataloging records to  
22 depository libraries. The pilot project  
23 tested marked 21 record distribution



1 processes, compatibility between catalog  
2 records and FDLP work flows. Through that  
3 process we did this contract with Marcive  
4 which I think was very successful.

5 A report of the feedback that we  
6 received, the takeaways from it, is part of  
7 your handout packet. We received funding this  
8 year to continue that and we are now  
9 implementing a one-year expansion of it. The  
10 number of libraries now participating is 75.  
11 I think those were the ones who all expressed  
12 interest so the numbers have increased.

13 We're looking forward to looking  
14 at better methods of how we can push out these  
15 cataloging records based on user preferences  
16 and we look to continue to expand that  
17 project. If you're interested in that and  
18 expanding it to your library, please let me  
19 know.

20 I also want to advise that based  
21 on the Depository Library Council  
22 recommendation GPO and the Depository Library  
23 Council have been working together to create

1 a comprehensive list of benefits for libraries  
2 participating in the FDLP.

3 The intent of this is to have some  
4 mechanisms so that you can better communicate  
5 with your library directors on the importance  
6 and value of this program. Upon completion  
7 two documents will be available to  
8 depositories both on the Desktop. The first  
9 will be a top ten benefits afforded to all  
10 libraries. This will serve as a quick  
11 reference handout.

12 The second document based on the  
13 segmentation analysis I mentioned at the  
14 beginning will provide detailed examples of  
15 the benefits by being a regional, by being a  
16 selective and a more granular breakdown.

17 As part of this process it's  
18 important for all libraries participating in  
19 the FDLP to have the opportunity to provide  
20 input to us. We're going to be having a  
21 council session at 2:00 p.m. today in this  
22 room where we talk about progress on Library  
23 Council recommendations from Buffalo.

1                   We are also going to be taking  
2                   time to go over that document and we would  
3                   welcome your input. It will not be your only  
4                   chance for input. We'll have this out again  
5                   on the Desktop and we have a room where you  
6                   will be able to provide more information on  
7                   your thoughts.

8                   We are also continuing work on the  
9                   transcription of the historic shelf list. In  
10                  January 2010 we awarded a contract to begin to  
11                  transcribe the shelf list cards into mark  
12                  records. We began with the Y4 Congressional  
13                  information and we have now moved on to other  
14                  SuDocs classes.

15                  Library unit staff had been  
16                  enhancing these records by adding one Library  
17                  of Congress subject heading and also one  
18                  corporate name authority to the transcribed  
19                  records.

20                  At present there are over 31,000  
21                  shelf list records in the CGP so duly noted.  
22                  We have also completed the digitization of  
23                  nearly 300,000 shelf list cards for internal

1 use and a contract has been awarded to  
2 complete the digitization of the remaining  
3 shelf list cards.

4           Next I would like to turn  
5 attention to the integrated library system.  
6 I'm pleased to announce to you if you didn't  
7 see the announcement as you were getting on  
8 the plane that MetaLib was recently released  
9 with an initial collection of 53 databases.  
10 This is a federated search tool component of  
11 the Catalog of Government Publications that we  
12 mentioned at the conference in Buffalo was  
13 under development.

14           It launched on Friday. You can  
15 use this to retrieve reports, articles, and  
16 citations by simultaneously searching across  
17 multiple data bases. It is our intent to  
18 expand this seed list of 53 or so resources on  
19 an ongoing basis and I would welcome your  
20 feedback on this service and to see what you  
21 think about it.

22           Another item that we talked about  
23 at the conference in Buffalo is being under

1 development was the Catalog of Government  
2 Publications FDLP login page. That is going  
3 to be released tomorrow. This gives  
4 depository libraries access to authenticated  
5 services in the Catalog of Government  
6 Publications. This includes the ability for  
7 you to set up selective dissemination of  
8 information searches where you can get results  
9 returned to you by RSS or email.

10 In addition, it allows you to  
11 customize information according to your  
12 preferences to create folders and store  
13 information and save them across sessions.  
14 There is also an option to save authenticated  
15 local users PC and the option to set and save  
16 user preferences according to results page and  
17 results formats.

18 A topic I always like to talk  
19 about at these conferences, and it dates back  
20 to when Barbie Selby was chair of council, is  
21 marketing initiatives. I wanted to inform you  
22 about some new resources we have available to  
23 FDLP libraries.

1                   First, I hope as part of your  
2 packets that all of you picked up the new  
3 event planner. This 2011 planner highlights  
4 facts about the FDLP and it also provides  
5 cross references to various events going on in  
6 2011.

7                   I'm told that literally hot off  
8 the press this morning we also have a CD that  
9 is now available out at the registration desk  
10 and that has a screen saver and FDLP Desktop  
11 wallpaper information for your public access  
12 work stations.

13                   We've also recently contracted  
14 with an organization called NAPS, N-A-P-S,  
15 which stands for North American Precise  
16 Syndicate. Through this we are disseminating  
17 information articles about the FDLP to 10,000  
18 print and online publications nationwide. We  
19 also have radio spots to promote the FDLP on  
20 over 400 FM radio stations throughout the  
21 nation.

22                   For more information on our  
23 promotional efforts I encourage you to attend

1 a session tomorrow morning at 10:00 a.m.  
2 We'll have copies of our new products  
3 including the CD and I encourage you to pick  
4 one up. These will also be available as well  
5 as the event planner for you to get as  
6 promotional items off of the FDLP Desktop.

7 I want to turn attention next to  
8 the Registry of U.S. Government Publication  
9 Digitization Projects. You'll hear more about  
10 this during the council session this afternoon  
11 but I want to mention that at the conference  
12 in Buffalo when we talked about the registry  
13 there was discussion about certain things that  
14 library council wanted to see appear on the  
15 registry to make it easier to find  
16 digitization projects that we're all  
17 collaborating on.

18 Also kind of revamp with a fresh  
19 look and feel of the registry page. We've  
20 made some strides in that regard partially by  
21 profiling things that I think were a little  
22 bit hidden on the advance page. We've also  
23 established additional links and made some

1 enhancements.

2           There's going to be a  
3 demonstration of the new look and feel of a  
4 beta of this registry at an 11:15 session  
5 tomorrow on web services for the FDLP. I  
6 encourage you to take a look at that. We'll  
7 also be releasing that in a form where you can  
8 offer comments after the conference.

9           I would like to turn attention  
10 next to public access assessments. Public  
11 access assessments have sort of evolved from  
12 the historical inspection program, as we  
13 called it at GPO over the years. It's very  
14 focused now on outreach initiatives and what  
15 I deem to be partnering with all of you.  
16 It's about helping you better manage his  
17 program and be involved in the program.

18           We were recently conducting public  
19 access assessments and in attendance in a  
20 number of states; Arizona, Arkansas,  
21 Connecticut, Georgia, Mississippi, Nebraska,  
22 Oklahoma, Texas, and New Mexico.

23           I want to encourage all of you



1 when you think about assessments from GPO also  
2 think about other things that we can do;  
3 speaking at events, participating with you at  
4 events at your university to promote the  
5 Federal Depository Library Program. Also,  
6 most critically, to help provide training to  
7 your users and to each other. I think we have  
8 a real collaborative opportunity to really  
9 expand this.

10 In terms of expanding it I think  
11 at the last conference I mentioned that we had  
12 three library assessment staff on board. We  
13 now have doubled that staff and we are up to  
14 six. We've never had six assessment  
15 librarians since I've been at GPO and I'm very  
16 happy that we have so many people on board who  
17 are available to work with you and support  
18 this program.

19 Again, speaking at events. I want  
20 to encourage you to contact us to do that. I  
21 think right after this conference I'm going to  
22 be heading down to the University of Virginia  
23 to help Barbie celebrate the library down

1 there so please let us know when you would  
2 like us to come out and work with you.

3 I would like to conclude by  
4 talking a little bit about partnerships. GPO  
5 has entered into a service partnership with  
6 St. Mary's University for the government  
7 information on the web subject index which  
8 provides a starting point for browsing subject  
9 areas and bringing broad and detailed subject  
10 listings from many libraries together into one  
11 index.

12 I'm also happy about GPO's recent  
13 involvement in joining the Digital  
14 Preservation Alliance, associated with LOCKSS.  
15 We're getting a lot out of that. Our digital  
16 preservation librarian David Walls who joined  
17 us. He wasn't at the Buffalo conference but  
18 I believe he's here today. There's David. He  
19 has been involved in this and we are very  
20 happy to work with James and the LOCKSSstaff.

21 By joining LOCKSSI think we've  
22 taken a major step forward as well as the work  
23 we're doing with certifying FDSys as a trusted

1 digital repository to put some real teeth into  
2 this process about permanence of government  
3 information which to me is equally as critical  
4 as discovering all of the born digital  
5 information that's out there that is within  
6 scope of the program.

7           Even with all of the attendance  
8 that we have here today, obviously we know  
9 there are a lot of colleagues who could not  
10 make this conference. As a result of that,  
11 providing online learning opportunities and  
12 the way to do things virtually I think is  
13 equally important.

14           We've been doing a lot of things  
15 with OPAL over the last few years which is  
16 online programming for all libraries. I know  
17 a number of you have participated in that and  
18 we have a lot of archived presentations but  
19 we've also developed with a contractor  
20 educational training modules.

21           We've launched one on WEBTech  
22 Notes, the FDLP Desktop and more to come. I'm  
23 getting a lot of good feedback on that and

1 it's a way to virtually connect and for all of  
2 you to share information about the program  
3 with others.

4 Staff often cautioned me about  
5 doing this but I have to again. If you need  
6 help from GPO we have a help desk but I always  
7 want you to contact me as well. My email  
8 address is rdavis@gpo.gov. I've started  
9 giving out that email address several years  
10 ago. I can tell you that not only have I  
11 gotten a lot of good feedback from all of you,  
12 I've gotten these incredible land deals  
13 overseas that --

14 (Laughter)

15 MR. DAVIS: I don't know why I'm  
16 here today because apparently there are  
17 fortunes all over that if I had just got on a  
18 plane I could go get them. Again,  
19 rdavis@gpo.gov. In all seriousness, if you  
20 need help with anything, I encourage you to  
21 contact me.

22 I want to thank all of you for  
23 coming again. I can't thank you enough for

1 your dedication to this program, the passion  
2 you bring to it. I appreciate the opportunity  
3 to work with all of you and to see you  
4 throughout this conference.

5 I now want to turn it over to Bob  
6 to announce an award, our Library of the Year.  
7 Thank you very much.

8 (Applause)

9 MR. TAPPELLA: Thank you, Ric.  
10 Ric's former problem was that he was on the  
11 "do not fly" list.

12 Since 2003 GPO has been awarding  
13 the Federal Depository Library of the Year.  
14 We look for a library that demonstrates  
15 innovation, creativity and leadership in its  
16 mission to keep America informed.

17 Past recipients have included last  
18 year the Oklahoma Department of Libraries, the  
19 Law Library for San Bernadino County, the  
20 Middendorf-Kredell Branch Library, Benton  
21 Harbor Public Library, the New Mexico State  
22 Library. We do know it's in the United  
23 States. Southern Oregon University's Lenn and

1 Dixie Hannon Library. And the very first  
2 recipient was the Tulsa City-County Library.

3 This year's recipient provides  
4 excellent customer service and an ongoing  
5 commitment to open government. They have  
6 implemented many initiatives in order to  
7 connect the public with federal government  
8 information including an annual GovFest event  
9 where the library connects government agency  
10 representatives and business owners to create  
11 and expand economic opportunities.

12 They participate in the GovDocs  
13 kids group, a national group of government  
14 document librarians that provide government  
15 information and resources to the K-12  
16 students, teachers, and librarians.

17 During the 2009 tax season the  
18 library's government information web pages and  
19 Tax Tips blog assisted over 5,000 people with  
20 federal tax related issues. The library  
21 includes a central resource library and 12  
22 neighborhood libraries.

23 In 2009 over 2.7 million people

1 visited library locations and 2.2 million  
2 people visited the library's website from  
3 their homes, offices, and other locations  
4 outside the library.

5 The library was established in  
6 1952 by volunteers and last year's patrons  
7 checked out over 6.9 million items. There are  
8 over 1.1 million publications in the library  
9 not counting the GovDocs. The vision of the  
10 library is to create an environment for people  
11 to learn, to explore, to enjoy, to create, and  
12 to connect.

13 Please join me in congratulating  
14 the 2010 Federal Depository Library of the  
15 Year, the Johnson County Library from Overland  
16 Park, Kansas.

17 (Applause)

18 MR. TAPPELLA: Accepting the award  
19 is Donna Lauffer, the county librarian, and  
20 Martha Childers, the government documents  
21 librarian. While Donna is holding that, we  
22 actually -- you get to hold it for a little  
23 while.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

MS. LAUFFER: Okay.

MR. TAPPELLA: I actually have a congratulatory note from our congressman Dennis Moore. "It is with great pride that I congratulate the Johnson County Public Library on being awarded the 2010 Federal Depository Library of the Year. The prestigious award is provided to the library that furthers the goal of the Federal Depository Library Program by ensuring that the American public has free access to its government information.

As a long-time supporter and user of the Johnson County Public Library system, in particular the central resource library which is located just down the street from my Congressional office, I know this award is well deserved. I send my heartfelt congratulations to the libraries and staff of the Johnson County Public Library on this special day. Congratulations from Congressman Dennis Moore."

(Applause)

MS. LAUFFER: I had to come up



1       beforehand to make sure I could see over the  
2       podium. Thank you very much for this  
3       prestigious award. I'm very proud to accept  
4       it on behalf of a very dedicated staff that  
5       really truly believe that this is what they do  
6       every day. The long list of accomplishments  
7       is just no big deal because that's what public  
8       librarians do.

9                        They have a great team spirit that  
10       Martha Childers, our government documents  
11       librarian, they all help her do things even  
12       though she has that uncanny talent of really  
13       sticking to it and dogging it all the way to  
14       the end of the project so that we end up with  
15       a result that is more than anybody imagined.

16                       We have been challenged in the  
17       last couple of years by the economic downturn.  
18       People come to the library much more during a  
19       recession. I think that is one of the reasons  
20       why the GovFest was so successful because we  
21       had lots of people that had never been without  
22       a job before.

23                       You can't even get a job at Pizza

1 Hut without doing it online so we do a lot of  
2 computer literacy and financial literacy with  
3 our patrons. Let's face it, if the federal  
4 documents folks are tweeting and blogging,  
5 then everybody has to retool in this day and  
6 age.

7 We are very appreciative that the  
8 documents are now integrated into our  
9 collection, into our catalogue. They are  
10 interfiled in the collection. They are much  
11 more accessible to patrons. Of course, the  
12 online collection is featured in a website  
13 that we have developed.

14 We also have found over the years  
15 that the camaraderie of our neighboring  
16 agencies such as the IRS, the election office,  
17 the Health Department, the federal archives in  
18 town, the human services and aging folks in  
19 the county, the civic engagement nonprofits,  
20 and even the census folks are much easier to  
21 work with now. We all are moving in the same  
22 direction and that's a joy to see because I've  
23 been in the library world for over 30 years

1 and it wasn't always that way.

2 One thing I would like to share  
3 with you in closing, though, is that all of  
4 the new staff that come to the library have an  
5 orientation session with me and I always ask  
6 them, "So, what kind of services do your tax  
7 monies provide?" They kind of scratch their  
8 heads and think of, you know, public  
9 education, public safety, roads and bridges,  
10 waste water, water health.

11 So then I ask them, "Why is the  
12 government in the library business?" They all  
13 kind of are puzzled at that. They eventually  
14 come to the conclusion that people need to be  
15 informed. But, you know, it's really  
16 important in a democracy and important to the  
17 federal government and to state and local  
18 government that we have an informed citizenry  
19 when they vote. Hopefully they will do that  
20 in great numbers in the next couple of weeks.

21 In fact, our democracy depends on  
22 people that are informed and have the  
23 opportunity to receive information in a free

1 and balanced way that libraries can do. In  
2 fact, it's the very same reason that the  
3 federal depository library program was  
4 established by James Madison in 1813 and it  
5 still holds true for today.

6 The partnership of the federal  
7 government and the local library to help  
8 people with their lifelong learning challenges  
9 is a way to keep democracy alive and well, and  
10 it is both in the United States and even in  
11 Kansas.

12 (Laughter)

13 MS. LAUFFER: We accept all points  
14 of view.

15 On behalf of Johnson County  
16 Library Board of Directors and all the  
17 dedicated staff I thank you very much for this  
18 honor and designation as Depository Library of  
19 the Year.

20 (Applause)

21 MS. CHILDERS: You're getting the  
22 tall and the short of it today. The person I  
23 sat next to on the plane, and we had a really

1 nice conversation, had a cold and I came  
2 Thursday so I hope you can hear me.

3           It is an honor and a pleasure to  
4 accept this award on behalf of the Johnson  
5 County Library. For those of you who don't  
6 know where Kansas is, it's next to Missouri.  
7 That's where I live. We actually serve the  
8 whole Kansas City metro area. Some of you may  
9 have flown over.

10           We do actually answer questions  
11 from all over the world. Many of you get  
12 those, too, I'm sure. I love government  
13 documents, I really do, and I love sharing  
14 that passion with others.

15           Many have contributed to this  
16 award today, our public printer who defends  
17 our needs to Congress. Just to name a few,  
18 Robin Haun-Mohamed at GPO who has helped me so  
19 much the last few years, and Katie Davis and  
20 her web content team who have helped the  
21 Gov.kids group create a presence on the FDLP  
22 community. Of course, all of the Government  
23 Printing Office staff.

1                   The Kansas Library Association  
2                   Government Documents Roundtable is a small,  
3                   active, and supportive group. Here today are  
4                   Nan Myers from Wichita State University. I  
5                   can tell you Wichita isn't what it used to be.

6                   There is Regina Beard from Kansas  
7                   State University; Antoinette Satterfield,  
8                   formerly of Kansas State University, currently  
9                   as Annapolis; and Casrmen Orth-Alfie, our  
10                  regional from Kansas University. I would also  
11                  like to acknowledge our capable county  
12                  librarian and the hundreds of library staff  
13                  and volunteers who make everything happen at  
14                  our library.

15                  There was a time when Johnson  
16                  County Library before Donna was our county  
17                  librarian was thinking about getting out of  
18                  the Federal Depository Library Program. It  
19                  has been an uphill battle winning staff over.

20                  When Lance Cummins called to tell  
21                  me of this award, I mentioned it to one of my  
22                  capable colleagues who has been a librarian  
23                  for 30 or 40 years. She laughed. She said,

1 "We don't even have any government documents."

2 (Laughter)

3 MS. CHILDERS: I said, with my  
4 iron skillet in my hand, "Anyone with a  
5 computer and internet access can read most  
6 current government information anywhere on the  
7 planet and probably outer space." The  
8 Government Printing Office is not just giving  
9 lip service to going paperless, they are  
10 really doing it.

11 So how did we keep the Federal  
12 Depository Library Program? First, it's very  
13 difficult to get out and I am so --

14 (Laughter)

15 MS. CHILDERS: I am so grateful  
16 for that. As Donna mentioned, we integrated  
17 our collection. We're emphasizing reliable  
18 information rather than the publisher. I  
19 realize many of you would not be able to do  
20 that. We are a public library. We document  
21 usage.

22 Government agencies create  
23 information and academic libraries collect and

1 preserve it and keep the fires of liberty  
2 burning by monitoring its content. I see  
3 those fiery emails out there. I'm grateful  
4 for that. We at the public libraries are  
5 serving it up with a smile.

6 At our library government  
7 information questions rank third among  
8 specialty reference questions. First is  
9 business and we have four people doing  
10 business. I'm the only one doing government.

11 We get everything from a high  
12 school student doing a paper on human  
13 trafficking to a senior citizen needing the  
14 telephone number to the local Social Security  
15 office, to an individual who wants to become  
16 a U.S. citizen needing forms and study guides.

17 We know that a government  
18 information specialist can provide this  
19 information better and faster so we do  
20 outreach. Many of the projects that we do  
21 have already been mentioned.

22 Last year together with the AARP  
23 we served 4,000 people, senior citizens and



1 low income to have their taxes done free. And  
2 we protect our country by encouraging children  
3 to think about and describe their experience  
4 with the U.S. Constitution.

5 In closing, I would like to share  
6 this video that was put together by one of our  
7 staff. It last 30 seconds and it's very  
8 quiet.

9 (Whereupon, the video was played.)

10 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Okay. We have  
11 about 10 minutes. I lost my clock but -- oh,  
12 there it is. We have about 10 minutes for  
13 questions for Bob and Ric. Council, do you  
14 have any questions? Any questions from the  
15 floor? I see people moving that I think they  
16 are headed to lunch. Okay. Well, we might  
17 get out early for lunch then. I do have a few  
18 notes.

19 Oh, you had a question?

20 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
21 Stanford University. I'm looking through my  
22 notes here. Maybe this is for Ric or Bob.  
23 I'm not sure. You mentioned that there is

1 money for fiscal year '12 to do digitization  
2 of documents. I'm wondering if those  
3 digitized documents will be available through  
4 FDsys.

5 MR. DAVIS: Ric Davis, GPO. There  
6 was also money available in this past fiscal  
7 year's budget as part of the appropriation  
8 process. Just an update on where that is. We  
9 had talked about as a first activity for that  
10 GPO and the Library of Congress wish to engage  
11 in a pilot project to make already digitized  
12 content from the Library of Congress available  
13 through FDsys. Initial focus on statutes at  
14 large, digitized material, and also  
15 Congressional record material.

16 Approval to proceed on that and  
17 proceed with digitization is still before our  
18 oversight committee, the Joint Committee on  
19 Printing. Once we have that approval we'll be  
20 able to engage. In the meantime our focus is  
21 on the registry standards collaboration.

22 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Camilla.

23 MS. TUBBS: Camilla Tubbs, Yale

1 Law Library. Just a quick clarification on  
2 the ECFR, Ric. I checked out FDsys and I see  
3 the link to the ECFR and it goes to the old  
4 GPO Access site. Will that link remain the  
5 same or has the content migrated to FDsys? I  
6 know you mentioned that it is in development.  
7 I was wondering about the status of keeping  
8 the GPO Access site for the ECFR.

9 MR. DAVIS: Ric Davis, GPO. The  
10 ECFR is shall we say a more complicated  
11 application. Development aspects of that  
12 based on requirements are currently being  
13 worked on with the Office of the Federal  
14 Register to define what the requirements are  
15 to migrate forward. Once that's completed  
16 that will also be part of FDsys. For now it  
17 stays the same.

18 MS. TUBBS: And that site will  
19 stay active?

20 MR. DAVIS: Yes, still active.

21 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
22 Stanford University. One more question. This  
23 one about PURLZ and e-publishing. I'm

1 wondering if those PURLZ are going to  
2 integrate all of the new and current e-  
3 publishing opportunities so if somebody goes  
4 to PURLZ they will be able to get an iPhone  
5 reader, a Kindle reader, PDF, etc., etc.

6 MR. DAVIS: Ric Davis, GPO. I  
7 think the very first thing we wanted to do  
8 based on the great PURL crash of 2009 was  
9 stabilize. I talked about building a bridge  
10 of stability to keep PURLZ active. I think  
11 that we certainly have the capability to do  
12 that. I think what I would like next from  
13 council and from the community is now that  
14 we've stabilized, which was critical, to  
15 define where we go next with persistent name  
16 in general.

17 There were some initial  
18 requirements developed several years ago that  
19 I think need a substantial refresh. I think  
20 particularly looking at use of technologies  
21 like that and the ability to adapt to mobile  
22 devices is equally critical. The first and  
23 foremost thing was stabilize.

1 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
2 California State Library. Again, on PURLZ I  
3 hastily read this morning's release on FDLPL.  
4 I wasn't quite sure I understood what the  
5 transition to PURLZ means for PURL referral  
6 statistics.

7 Are those statistics going to be  
8 available and collected during the sort of,  
9 what is it, a three-month transition period or  
10 so, or is there going to be that gap in the  
11 statistics since a lot of depositories depend  
12 on those statistics to justify the purchase  
13 and loading of catalogue records with PURLZ in  
14 them.

15 MR. DAVIS: Ric Davis, GPO. There  
16 is not going to be a gap that I'm aware of.  
17 The first thing to do is to launch to get off  
18 the old system. I had mentioned at the last  
19 conference that the referral capability is  
20 actually going to provide enhanced statistics  
21 over what we've been able to offer from GPO.  
22 Now that we've launched, that comes next.

23 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Anymore

1 questions from council? From the floor?

2 Barbie.

3 MS. SELBY: Barbie Selby,  
4 University of Virginia. It's not a question  
5 but it's an announcement. Can I do it?

6 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Sure.

7 MS. SELBY: I just wanted to  
8 stress to everyone that the meeting tomorrow  
9 afternoon at 4:00 in this room. It's called  
10 a regional meeting but it is open to everyone.  
11 It's a discussion of the Title 44 initial  
12 working drafts that the Title 44 regional  
13 group got together. It is a very open  
14 meeting. Please come. Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Any other  
16 questions or comments from the floor? Okay.  
17 I have a few announcements. First off, we  
18 would like to invite you to a celebration for  
19 the Library of the Year. It's from 3:30 to  
20 4:00 at the break. It will be right out where  
21 the coffee for council was this morning and  
22 there will be cake so if you each lunch, you  
23 have dessert at 3:30.

1                   The Cornell Focus Group that Bob  
2 mentioned in his speech is from 7:00 to 9:00  
3 p.m. in the Wilson/Harrison Room. The  
4 Maryland, D.C., Delaware Region will be  
5 meeting by the elevators at noon for lunch.  
6 I hope someone from that group is here in the  
7 room because it doesn't say south elevators or  
8 north elevators. Who's from that region that  
9 knows where you're meeting? Nobody? I guess  
10 you get to just choose.

11                   The Law Librarians and Friends, I  
12 think that's thanks to me. About 10 years ago  
13 I crashed their meeting so now they are "and  
14 Friends." The sign-up sheet for dinner, which  
15 us on Tuesday evening, is on the bulletin  
16 board and you need to sign up before Tuesday  
17 at noon so they can get the reservation in.

18                   MS. LASTER: Ohio is meeting at  
19 12:15 in the lower lobby.

20                   CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Ohio is  
21 meeting at 12:15 in the lower lobby. Any  
22 other announcements? I've got one waving  
23 hand.

1 PARTICIPANT: New York, we don't  
2 have our regional here but we want to meet for  
3 lunch right here.

4 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: New York is in  
5 the far corner by the lovely lady in blue.  
6 The regional is not here but they will get  
7 together anyway.

8 Okay. If that's -- oh, wait. And  
9 Washington is just meeting somewhere. Cindy  
10 has her hand up.

11 MS. ETKIN: Cindy Etkin,  
12 Government Printing Office. This evening from  
13 6:00 until whenever we're going to be doing  
14 some useability testing of Science.gov. If  
15 any of you are interested, see me or Tim Byrne  
16 back of the room. These will be 15-minute  
17 sessions so we'll be having people come in and  
18 out at 15-minute intervals. If you're  
19 interested, please let us know. Thanks.

20 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: And Janet, do  
21 you have --

22 PARTICIPANT: Yes. The librarians  
23 from Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico,



1 Utah, and Wyoming will be meeting tonight for  
2 dinner 6:00, the lobby.

3 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: I believe for  
4 the new attendees Kathy Behr invited you all  
5 to lunch. I can't remember where you said for  
6 them to meet.

7 MS. BEHR: At the registration  
8 desk.A

9 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: At the  
10 registration desk. Of you have nobody to go  
11 to lunch with, Kathy Behr has volunteered to  
12 take you -- to go with her. You can meet her  
13 by the registration table with the large  
14 groups that are all meeting there.

15 Thank you very much. We will see  
16 you back at 2:00.

17 (Whereupon, at 11:57 a.m. off the  
18 record for lunch to reconvene at 2:00 p.m.)

19  
20  
21  
22  
23

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N

2:00 p.m.

MS. MORIEARTY: Hello, everyone.

Do you want the doors opened or closed? Could we have at least one of the big doors closed, please? If everyone would be seated. Most of you know me which is why you're obeying me which is very strange.

Hello, everyone. My name is Jill Moriearty. I'm with the University of Utah, J. Willard Marriot Library. Just a little plug for the Marriot group. I would like to

1 introduce you to the group that worked on this  
2 session.

3           They are David Cismowski, Head of  
4 the Government Publication Section, California  
5 State Library; Helen Burke, Minneapolis  
6 Central Library; Ted Priebe, Director of  
7 Library Planning and Development; Kelly  
8 Seifert -- how was that? -- lead planning  
9 specialist, Office of the Director, Library  
10 Services and Content Management. The purpose  
11 of this session everyone is to review the  
12 draft recommendations from the spring council  
13 meeting. Is everybody ready? All right.

14           If you have your packet, there is  
15 a handout there. Who has the PowerPoint  
16 control? Thank you. Let's start with the  
17 first draft recommendation which is the  
18 ability to browse digitization registration by  
19 project looking for collaborator and highlight  
20 collaborator N & Os in navigation. Response?

21           MR. PRIEBE: Thank you, Jill. Ted  
22 Priebe. In terms of the enhanced search  
23 capability for the digitization registry it

1 actually has the core functionality enabled  
2 and it has been enabled but because it was not  
3 as easily noticeable you have to go into the  
4 advanced search functionality to actually to  
5 be able to leverage it.

6 One of the things that we're doing  
7 now, and as Ric mentioned earlier today, is we  
8 will be having a session which is kind of a  
9 beta release, if you will, of a new format and  
10 look of that registry and that's going to be  
11 taking place tomorrow.

12 Web services in the FDLP, that's  
13 at 11:00 so I would encourage all of you who  
14 have used it but would like to understand how  
15 to leverage it better and have some of that  
16 enhanced functionality to be used to join us  
17 for that session.

18 With that being said, any  
19 additional comments from council?

20 MS. MORIEARTY: Comments, council?

21 MR. PRIEBE: Anyone from the  
22 community that would like to add anything to  
23 this spring council recommendation?

1 MS. MORIEARTY: All right. Seeing  
2 no movement toward a mic, David.

3 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
4 California State Library. The second DLC  
5 draft recommendation is add a link to the  
6 Grant Opportunities document delivered in  
7 Tampa on the Desktop under instructions and to  
8 the priority titles for digitization to  
9 digitization registry.

10 Ted.

11 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.  
12 What we've done, and I'm actually going to  
13 just break out of our slide deck for a moment  
14 and actually show you from the registry where  
15 that grants document is and just to give you  
16 a quick look for those of you who have not had  
17 an opportunity to see that.

18 Off of the registry page if you go  
19 to the front top face here there's the link.  
20 When you click on it, it actually brings you  
21 to a broader article off of the FDLIP Desktop  
22 which is the federal publications digitization  
23 and public access file initiative. When you

1 go all the way to the bottom, you can see that  
2 list of links and list of opportunities.

3 For those of you who have not yet  
4 been to that portion of the site interested in  
5 finding more about how to leverage grants that  
6 are available in both the federal government  
7 as well as private institutions, I would  
8 encourage you to take a look at that.

9 Comments from council? Anybody in  
10 the community have any additions on that?

11 MS. MORIEARTY: All right. Helen.

12 MS. BURKE: Helen Burke, Hennepin  
13 County Library. Council intends to pursue  
14 discussions with associations that collect  
15 statistics and rate libraries to explore the  
16 issues surrounding the weighing of e-  
17 collections vis-a-vis tangible volumes in  
18 their metrics.

19 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.

20 This may be the shortest response of many of  
21 the GPO responses. In this scenario it really  
22 is just on behalf of GPO putting ourselves  
23 forward that, you know, we are certainly ready

1 and able to collaborate with council if there  
2 are any particular actions or recommendations  
3 from some of that work that's occurring that  
4 is of interest that we can move forward on.

5 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
6 University of Utah. So far has no one  
7 approached you or have you reached out to  
8 anyone?

9 MR. PRIEBE: This is one of the  
10 items from council that we saw as a council  
11 action that was put forward as a potential  
12 pursuit piece, not necessarily GPO leading  
13 that activity. If council feels like that is  
14 something that needs to be prioritized, we  
15 certainly look forward to working on it.

16 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
17 University of Utah. I was aware but I just  
18 wanted to find out if anyone had come forward  
19 at this point.

20 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. Not  
21 at this point.

22 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
23 Dame to your right. Who is taking the lead on

1 council on doing some of this outreach? Is  
2 there a group? Did I miss something?

3 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Suzanne Sears,  
4 University of North Texas. So far we haven't  
5 assigned anybody, Steve, but if you are  
6 volunteering, I would love to have you.

7 MR. HAYES: Go ahead, yeah.

8 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
9 University of Utah. I nominate Steve Hayes.

10 MR. JACOBS: Second.

11 MR. O'MAHONY: Third. Dan  
12 O'Mahony, Brown University. I would be happy  
13 to work with you, Steve, on that.

14 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
15 University of Utah. Does the community have  
16 any comment?

17 Jill Moriearty, University of  
18 Utah. This is the quietest group out there  
19 I've seen in years. Our next recommendation.  
20 Oh, hot dog.

21 MS. MACKIN: Sandy Mackin,  
22 University of Kentucky. To what end are you  
23 doing this investigation? I wasn't here last



1 year so I don't know the background.

2 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Suzanne Sears,  
3 University of North Texas. Sandy, the point  
4 behind it was we are trying to find ways that  
5 we can encourage libraries to digitize. We  
6 all know that our directors listen to the  
7 statistics they are forced to gather.

8 If there is some way to start  
9 including the materials that are digitized  
10 into those statistics that count towards ARL  
11 status or ACRL statistics that it might be  
12 easier to convince our directors to do that.

13 We were going to investigate just  
14 exactly where that stands with them because we  
15 know they have been talking about whether or  
16 not to include those statistics and try and  
17 find out what is the status of that and is  
18 that something they see moving forward. Does  
19 that answer your question?

20 MS. MACKIN: Yes. Thank you.

21 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
22 University of Utah. Our next recommendation.  
23 GPO staff should share with the community a

1 detailed summary of the scope and target  
2 completion dates of the GPO shelf list  
3 conversation project.

4 Ted.

5 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. We  
6 did post a fairly extensive summary on the  
7 project. Laurie Hall, director of LTIS, will  
8 also be discussing this during her session.  
9 Again, I wanted to break out of that  
10 PowerPoint for a moment and just go ahead and  
11 pull up a link. For those of you who have not  
12 yet seen it we do have a full article with  
13 tremendous amount of background on this.

14 A few snippets. There really is  
15 -- we are estimating over \$1 million of these  
16 cards that are arranged by SuDocs order.  
17 There's about 400,000 of those cards that are  
18 OCLC cards that were produced by GPO through  
19 OCLC.

20 We do have, and we started in  
21 January of this year, a contract to supplement  
22 staff in the creation of the transcription of  
23 those cards into our catalog of government

1 publications. As of this month there are over  
2 31,000 of those. As Ric mentioned as well  
3 earlier in his speech today, we did recently  
4 award a contract for digitization of the  
5 remaining shelf list cards. From a high-level  
6 summary those are some of the activities that  
7 have been started, some results that have  
8 happened and that will continue.

9 For those of you that would like  
10 to get even more information on this, if I  
11 scroll all the way down to the bottom there's  
12 also a link off of that page for an OPAL  
13 session that Laurie and several of the key  
14 members facilitated that will give you just a  
15 plethora of information on what that process  
16 is.

17 Council, any follow-on with that?

18 MS. MORIEARTY: Community? All  
19 right. Our next recommendation, David. Oh,  
20 is someone coming? Excellent.

21 MS. McKNELLY: Michele McKnelly,  
22 University of Wisconsin-River Falls. Okay, so  
23 I see this one and I see the one about the

1 digitization of collections. Are links to the  
2 new collections that are being scanned are  
3 going to be included in this historic shelf  
4 list when it goes into the CGP? Right?

5 You've got collections that are  
6 being scanned so will there be links directly  
7 from the records in -- they are added in from  
8 the historic shelf list back to the full text  
9 collections.

10 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. If  
11 I understand your question right, community  
12 members that are digitizing content and your  
13 question is with the records that are made  
14 available in the CGP will there be a link  
15 added?

16 MS. McKNELLY: Link back to the  
17 full text collection, yes.

18 MR. PRIEBE: Okay. I'm going to  
19 ask one of my colleagues, Laurie Hall.

20 MS. McKNELLY: Sorry, Laurie.

21 MS. HALL: Laurie Hall, GPO.

22 Suzanne has told me we will only be linking to  
23 digitized document content for official

1 partners. If you're a partner with GPO, then  
2 we will be linking to your content.

3 We also have cataloging  
4 partnership that we are working on. It's not  
5 finalized yet. Correct? Working with Jennie  
6 Burroughs hopefully in Montana to pick up the  
7 pieces of things that we don't have. We are  
8 doing a lot of little projects. Does that  
9 answer your question? Okay. Great.

10 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Suzanne Sears,  
11 University of North Texas. Laurie, don't  
12 leave the mic, please. So I'm unclear when  
13 you say official partners. For instance, the  
14 University of North Texas is a partner with  
15 GPO and CyberCemetery. We have a lot of  
16 material in our additional library.

17 Would we need to be a partner on  
18 each one of those items or just because we  
19 have a partnership then anything we digitize?  
20 Like when we put up the LCC record we have to  
21 have a partnership with that for it to be  
22 linked. Then we would have to have a link for  
23 U.S. Bureau of Mines publications. Do you

1 understand what I'm saying?

2 MS. EBANUES: Suzanne Ebanues,  
3 GPO. Yes, Suzanne. We could do an overall  
4 partnership for all of your digital library if  
5 you have a large collection. We could talk  
6 about that.

7 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: So you would  
8 need an MOU between the institution and you on  
9 digitization?

10 MS. EBANUES: It would depend on  
11 the exact collection and project. None of our  
12 MOUs are exactly the same so there's a lot of  
13 discussion back and forth as we develop one.  
14 I know in your case we could talk about doing  
15 an over-arching one. Just like the  
16 CyberCemetery I know started out as little  
17 bits and pieces and then became an over-  
18 arching so we could do that if you're  
19 interested.

20 MS. ETKIN: Cindy Etkin, GPO.  
21 Suzanne, because you have an existing  
22 partnership it's easier than to modify an  
23 existing partnership than to create a new one

1 for like your digital library or something  
2 other than CyberCemetery.

3 MS. MORIEARTY: Any other  
4 questions from council? Council questions  
5 come first. No questions? From the  
6 community?

7 MS. BRAUNSTEIN: Stephanie  
8 Braunstein from LSU. I keep feeling I need to  
9 reiterate the clarification of what makes one  
10 a partner. LSU is currently a partner with  
11 GPO for a product that is not a digitization  
12 project. If we wanted to get involved with  
13 that, we would have to have another MOU  
14 completely separate from that. Right? I see  
15 heads going up and down which seems to me yes.

16 MS. ETKIN: Cindy Etkin, GPO.  
17 That's right, Stephanie, because the kind of  
18 partnership that you have now is a service  
19 partnership where you are providing a service,  
20 a digitization access to content has different  
21 requirements by both parties.

22 MS. BRAUNSTEIN: Thank you.

23 MS. MACKIN: Sandy Mackin,

1 University of Kentucky. My apologies. This  
2 may be in your summary but the 31,000 records  
3 that have gone into the CGP have those also  
4 gone into OCLC?

5 MS. HALL: Laurie Hall, GPO. No.  
6 We've recently gotten a report back from OCLC  
7 who tested a sample of the records. They have  
8 some major concerns about how we code things  
9 and how they are going to de-dupe us loading  
10 into OCLC where there already is existing  
11 records. That's one of the projects we have  
12 in the fall to take a look at their analysis  
13 and decide how we are going to go forward.

14 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. I  
15 just had one follow-on. Some good discussion  
16 on the opportunity for partnerships and  
17 digitization. For those of you who are out  
18 there, just a reminder we do have a link off  
19 the FTLP Destop for partnerships.

20 Anyone who is interested in  
21 submitting, Suzanne Ebanues, who came to the  
22 microphone, is our lead within Library  
23 Planning and development on the partnership



1 side. I would encourage any of you out in the  
2 audience who are thinking about what you've  
3 done and how we might work together that would  
4 be a very quick and easy vehicle to start that  
5 discussion.

6 MS. MORIEARTY: Next  
7 recommendation.

8 MR. CISMOWSKI: GPO should conduct  
9 a segmentation survey in order to learn about  
10 the diverse needs of the various types and  
11 sizes of FDLP libraries and integrate these  
12 needs into strategic thinking.

13 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. So  
14 at the spring conference in Buffalo we had not  
15 yet published and made those results  
16 available. We subsequently have all of the  
17 data accessible again off the FDLP Desktop.  
18 There is a fairly extensive article that was  
19 written in regards to that.

20 For those of you that have not yet  
21 reviewed that material, we've got the link in  
22 that handout. Additionally here I just pulled  
23 up that summary page. You can see in terms of

1 that segmentation survey data and also the  
2 needs assessment survey which really  
3 facilitated part of our normal biennial survey  
4 data.

5 All of that was comprehensively  
6 put together as well and us accessible for  
7 council as well as the community to review.  
8 With that, any additional comments from  
9 council?

10 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.  
11 Anyone from the community? Anything to add?

12 MS. BURKE: Next recommendation.  
13 Collaboration between council and GPO to  
14 develop a plan for utilizing the biennial  
15 survey, to gather information, and solicit  
16 input from Federal Depository Libraries in  
17 order to provide relevant data on strategic  
18 and operational issues facing the FDLP.

19 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. I  
20 could probably respond to this recommendation  
21 as well as the following one in terms of GPO's  
22 activities since the spring. What we did as  
23 a business unit is created a proposed project

1 plan and we sent that this summer to council.  
2 From that plan it is at a phase where we are  
3 really awaiting next steps or further input on  
4 where we can go with that in groups.

5 In terms of high level and what  
6 that proposed plan consisted of, it's really  
7 developing that feedback list of gathering  
8 mechanisms with the FDLP community. Parts of  
9 what we were proposing was analyzing trends,  
10 reviewing what other tools that could be  
11 leveraged in terms of enabling this.

12 With that I'll open it up to  
13 council. No comments. Community, any  
14 additional input?

15 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
16 University of Utah. Seeing no movement we'll  
17 go to the our next draft. I think the answer  
18 has been provided, yet I want to make sure we  
19 cover this in case we have any comments.

20 Collaboration between council and  
21 GPO to explore ways to solicit timely feedback  
22 from the Federal Depository Libraries through  
23 the use of web survey or other web-based

1 tools.

2 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.  
3 Council, I would respond to both of these on  
4 that last one but any additional input from  
5 the spring recommendation?

6 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
7 University of Utah. I think on this one I've  
8 always had a little bit of a concern about  
9 timely feedback. We left it wide open but  
10 there was discussion about what constitutes  
11 timely feedback. My inclination is ASAP but  
12 being a semi-reasonable person I think a  
13 month, six weeks.

14 I want some hard date, I suppose,  
15 for feedback. That might be a hard thing to  
16 deliver but if I know that within three  
17 months, six months, six days I can expect some  
18 kind of feedback, I think that goes a long way  
19 to building confidence.

20 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. I  
21 think we echo that desire in response to the  
22 recommendation. Frankly, the answer sometimes  
23 is it depends in terms of the mechanism of the

1 survey.

2                   If we are getting a slow response  
3 rate, many times we, as well as I'm sure the  
4 council has shared this same challenge, you  
5 put a stake in the sand in terms of a targeted  
6 time for getting responses but you still will  
7 have opportunities where people will come back  
8 and say, "Hey, is it too late? Can I get our  
9 feedback into it? We have some really  
10 important data."

11                   In those instances you do end up  
12 actually holding off or, in this case  
13 sometimes with the contracted from, we'll have  
14 them hold off assuming we're going to have  
15 some of that additional input. Once you have  
16 it the time frame that it takes to do the  
17 proper analysis of the data many times is a  
18 bit subjective.

19                   We may want to get a draft that  
20 we'll share as we have in the past with  
21 council. I'm sure that we've got the core  
22 information covered. Sometimes that will lead  
23 to some enhanced work on the part of GPO or,

1 in this case, with Outsell and the task at  
2 hand that really brought this recommendation  
3 forward.

4 I don't know that I've answered  
5 the comment but our policy is to get the data  
6 out as quickly as possible but, at the same  
7 time, ensure that the analysis is done  
8 properly, that we've given everyone every  
9 opportunity to provide input because, of  
10 course, that is the objective of the surveys  
11 as we put them forward.

12 MS. SIEFERT: Kelly Siefert, GPO.  
13 I just want to add to that. As part of our  
14 draft plan that we were putting forward we not  
15 only want to examine new and different survey  
16 tools but we also want to talk about within  
17 GPO how often we survey.

18 If we need to develop parameters  
19 and priorities for what deserves a survey,  
20 there is often a feeling of being over-  
21 surveyed in the community and we don't want to  
22 over-saturate with that so that's part of that  
23 plan as well.

1 MS. MORIEARTY: Council, any other  
2 comments?

3 MR. PRIEBE: Anyone in the  
4 community? Have we surveyed you enough?

5 MS. SMITH: Lori Smith,  
6 Southeastern Louisiana University. You know  
7 that I'm no opponent of feedback. I'm all for  
8 feedback but we have been, I think, inundated  
9 recently with things about which you want  
10 comments. "Here is a new draft policy. What  
11 do you think?" "Here is this new thing. What  
12 do you think?"

13 I think if that's going to  
14 continue, and I see that's probably going to  
15 continue, you add surveys on top of that and  
16 that's a lot. You're asking a lot. I  
17 appreciate your concern about over-surveying  
18 us because I think we're getting close to that  
19 without formal kind of surveys.

20 MS. McKNELLY: Michele McKnelly,  
21 University of Wisconsin-River Falls. I answer  
22 a lot of surveys from a lot of places and I'm  
23 over-surveyed all around. Have you ever

1 considered sampling instead of surveying  
2 everybody? Just going out and taking a sample  
3 because I think your response rates are not  
4 necessarily good when you do these surveys.

5 Part of it is you're going out for  
6 100 percent and you're coming back and you're  
7 getting 20 percent of the people. Maybe you  
8 should try sampling and saying, "You're a  
9 small representative here for the whole  
10 community and please take this seriously," and  
11 you get a better response rate.

12 It's not perfect but if you only  
13 get 17 or 20 percent of the people answering  
14 the survey, that's not that good anyway. Then  
15 there would be fewer surveys for all of us.

16 MR. PRIEBE: Thank you. Ted  
17 Priebe, GPO. Michele has gone back but one of  
18 the questions that I had, and maybe I'll pose  
19 this to council in terms of that subset or  
20 sampling, I'm not sure the basis of the  
21 evaluation or what criteria you use or who you  
22 survey to ensure that the full community  
23 overall has been provided that opportunity for



1 input.

2 At least up until now any surveys  
3 that we've done on proposed new tools, value-  
4 added services we've taken that holistic view  
5 of trying to give everyone the opportunity to  
6 provide that feedback because we have to  
7 obviously support, as you do, the entire  
8 community and all the different types and  
9 branches.

10 A good comment and I think worth  
11 probably additional discussion with council on  
12 how that perhaps could be implemented. We  
13 look forward to input.

14 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
15 California State Library. It strikes me that  
16 perhaps there could be a blend of both. That  
17 is you could identify certain libraries that  
18 would be sampled and then you could also open  
19 it up to whoever else wants to comment since  
20 the purpose of this really is -- the purpose  
21 is not to actually vote or to conduct a  
22 scientific poll but to get feedback from the  
23 community. That would be a way of ensuring

1 feedback from a fairly representative sample  
2 but still allowing others who are not annoyed  
3 by too many surveys to continue to comment.

4 GPO? James?

5 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
6 Stanford University. That reminds me that  
7 there could be other types of tools involved  
8 like at the point of access. If you're  
9 talking about getting feedback on a new  
10 collection on FDsys or something like that,  
11 you don't necessarily -- well, you want  
12 libraries to give you input but you also want  
13 the public and users to give input.

14 It's fairly simple to put a little  
15 script when somebody hits a website. It pops  
16 up a little box that says, "Hey, got a second?  
17 Let us know what you think." There's lots of  
18 different ways.

19 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
20 Dame. I think, Ted, you're right. As much as  
21 I agree sampling is a good way to do it  
22 depending on what you're trying to accomplish.  
23 The difficulty is, as you've identified,

1 drawing that sample and then communicating to  
2 the group that, "Yes, you are the sample." We  
3 are doing it for our purpose to actually draw  
4 a conclusion as opposed to get the broadest  
5 amount of feedback.

6           It's two different things. I  
7 think it would behoove council to take a look  
8 at the methodology and make some suggestions  
9 or concur with certain suggestions to see how  
10 we want to do things because I think the  
11 sampling technique is one that I use at our  
12 institution. It's a little bit better. Yes,  
13 sampling and feedback is now approaching spam.

14           If I get asked one more time,  
15 "Congratulations. You used the Office for  
16 Information Technology. How did we do?"  
17 Delete. It is because it has become so easy  
18 but it is very careful what conclusion you  
19 want to draw. If your sample is not  
20 representative, you can't draw any conclusions  
21 other than to say here's all the comments but  
22 there's no action to take.-

23           MR. PRIEBE: I think Cindy was

1 next and then the community.

2 MS. ETKIN: Cindy Etkin, GPO. I  
3 just want to point out that we did consider  
4 sampling for the upcoming user survey at the  
5 suggestion of Outsell because we learned from  
6 the earlier survey I believe it was 75  
7 libraries were providing 80 percent of the  
8 service in the community. Something very  
9 similar to that. We were talking about  
10 potentially just using the user survey at  
11 those libraries.

12 We took a look at what we were  
13 trying to find out and thought that all of the  
14 libraries would benefit by knowing what their  
15 users are saying about them. We could then do  
16 some localizing value statements and things  
17 like that. It gets back to what Steve just  
18 said about what are we trying to do and how  
19 are we going to use the information when we  
20 get it. We have talked about not doing a  
21 survey of the entire community like that.

22 MS. SMITH: Lori Smith,  
23 Southeastern Louisiana University. I kind of

1 like the point of use survey idea. Depending  
2 on what point that is, you may be getting  
3 input from non-depository libraries and there  
4 may be times where you would want non-  
5 depository input because a lot of your  
6 products don't just impact us. They are out  
7 there for everybody.

8           Also, I think a phone call is  
9 something you might want to consider.  
10 Occasionally I don't want to type another  
11 response to something but if you called me, I  
12 would be happy to talk to you for five  
13 minutes.

14           MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
15 University of Utah. Anymore comments? All  
16 right.

17           David, the next one.

18           MR. CISMOWSKI: Council request  
19 notification if there is substantial slippage  
20 in announced deadlines for the planned Release  
21 1 and Release 2 of FDsys and the sunseting of  
22 GPO Access by December 2010. Council pledges  
23 to respond within two weeks with comments.

1                   MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. Bob  
2 Tapella and Ric Davis spoke a bit about the  
3 sunseting of GPO Access so I won't continue  
4 beyond just reaffirming that targeted schedule  
5 at the end of December with FDsys being the  
6 system of record.

7                   A couple of items of note, as well  
8 that continuity of access. Basically the  
9 search access capability or failover being  
10 enabled at the end of September was a great  
11 milestone for the system. What Release 1 is  
12 defined as in terms of having that content  
13 management system, full search enablement, and  
14 the preservation repository, all three of  
15 those core pillars of that system being  
16 enabled and ready to go at this point.

17                   Everything is on schedule from  
18 what we've discussed in spring in Buffalo,  
19 what we went over. There will be an  
20 educational session tomorrow that takes place  
21 at 4:00 and that's an opportunity as well to  
22 learn a lot more about what will happen in  
23 this current fiscal year with FDsys.

1 Council, additional comments?

2 Anyone in the community?

3 MS. MCKNELLY: I feel like I'm  
4 doing the perp walk up here. Michele  
5 McKnelly, University of Wisconsin-River Falls.

6 Ted, when FDsys goes live now that  
7 you have the failover we going to stop getting  
8 these messages that FDsys is down at 5:00 on  
9 a Friday from maintenance? The failovers will  
10 be live with the system and that's all over  
11 with?

12 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.  
13 That's exactly what that means. Real-time  
14 failover capability with search and Access.  
15 Yes, indeed.

16 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
17 Stanford University. Ted, can you just --  
18 this is probably just a reminder but when GPO  
19 Access switches over to FDsys for good and you  
20 have the new PURLZ, with a Z, server all of  
21 those PURLZ are going to automatically go over  
22 to FedSys and things are going to be cool.  
23 Right?

1 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.  
2 Absolutely in terms of the redirects. The  
3 PURLZ, nothing will change in those. They  
4 will be completely resolved under FDSys. With  
5 GovDoc and all that great technology behind  
6 the scenes it's all part of our planning that  
7 has been taking place over the past years as  
8 we get to that final finish line.

9 MR. JACOBS: I appreciate that.

10 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
11 University of Akron. Just one clarification.  
12 The scheduling for Release 2 for FDSys is not  
13 taking place until the appropriations have  
14 been made. Is that the correct way to  
15 interpret that?

16 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. Let  
17 me try to respond to that and if Selene is  
18 here if she wants to add in addition to this.

19 GPO has a release schedule set for  
20 fiscal year 2011. In terms of how that  
21 release capability is enabled, we're going to  
22 have PMO go over in a lot more detail tomorrow  
23 afternoon in terms of those quarterly



1 releases. The capability for those releases  
2 there's funding that has been earmarked for  
3 those purposes, I believe.

4 MS. DALECKY: Selene Dalecky, GPO.  
5 That is true. At this point we have been  
6 working on the schedule based upon the  
7 priorities work that we've been doing  
8 internally and with stakeholders. We know  
9 what the priorities are to achieve over the  
10 next year.

11 The funding is the question  
12 because with the continuing resolution I'm not  
13 sure the funding levels have been determined  
14 yet internally. We do have some carryover  
15 funds from the previous year that we will be  
16 able to continue working for a certain amount  
17 of time. That is still a question at this  
18 point.

19 MR. PRIEBE: Community? Any  
20 additional comments?

21 MS. BURKE: Okay. Next  
22 recommendation: Consult Council when major  
23 features of functionality is announced for

1 future releases of FDsys are deferred.

2 Council pledged to respond within two weeks  
3 with comments.

4 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. So  
5 just to carryover what Selene had mentioned in  
6 terms of the educational session, I think one  
7 of those objectives is to talk through those  
8 quarterly releases that have been planned for  
9 this fiscal year. I think what council is  
10 asking for is feedback.

11 Depending on what our final  
12 budgetary appropriation is, if there are any  
13 functions or features in those quarterly  
14 releases that we have planned that cannot be  
15 enabled due to funding constraints. With  
16 that, I think that's a commitment GPO can  
17 follow through on in terms of providing  
18 updates pending our final budget which at this  
19 point we don't have a time frame. We're under  
20 a continuing resolution.

21 In addition to that we did provide  
22 to council late this summer a feature set by  
23 capability and a prioritization where we've

1 gotten opportunity to get some feedback from  
2 council, some validation on what our initial  
3 thoughts were in terms of next releases and  
4 capabilities with FDSys.

5 MS. HOLTERHOFF: Sally Holterhoff,  
6 Valparaiso University Law Library. We did  
7 give you that feedback so will that be taken  
8 into account in setting those priorities or  
9 what are you doing with -- will we come back  
10 to you on that?

11 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. We  
12 did receive DLC's comments and in terms of the  
13 capabilities for this coming fiscal year and  
14 what's projected it has those into account.

15 MS. MORIEARTY: Further comments  
16 from council? The community? All right. Our  
17 next recommendation, please. Jill Moriearty,  
18 University of Utah. As PMO review system  
19 requirements and functionality for future  
20 releases of FDSys stakeholders, including the  
21 FDLP community, should be included.

22 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.  
23 I've got just two bullets on this one. I've

1 got a lot of background from six, seven years  
2 ago when I was involved with digital  
3 conversion services and our pilot operation.  
4 What we've heard from the community, what  
5 we've heard from council is two themes.

6           One, converted content. We want  
7 to get this digitized content that is in a  
8 collaborative sense happening already within  
9 the community. We want the content when GPO  
10 gets the authority or approval from the JPC  
11 oversight to get in the system.

12           We want it in quickly and we want  
13 it in comprehensively. In terms of the spring  
14 meeting that we had in Buffalo, we had an  
15 educational session where we showed as a proof  
16 of concept the capability of ingesting  
17 converted content into FDsys.

18           As we get approval from our  
19 oversight to be more expansive in terms of  
20 that capability, we're ready to do it. We're  
21 waiting that go ahead, if you will, and the  
22 pilot partnership on the stats at large with  
23 Library of Congress is the first part of that

1 piece that we are awaiting information on to  
2 move forward.

3           Second part is harvested content  
4 and that's another part within our community  
5 that we've heard loud and clear. There is a  
6 concern of that at-risk material, the fugitive  
7 documents, if you will, getting not only under  
8 bibliographic control but getting it into the  
9 system so that it's not lost to the community  
10 and the public.

11           We do have within GPO a harvesting  
12 working group that is formed. We are putting  
13 together a draft vision document. We envision  
14 that vision document being shared with you,  
15 council, and getting some input from you and  
16 validation as well in terms of what we see as  
17 the harvesting strategic road map in terms of  
18 moving forward.

19           We currently have processes in  
20 place. Jill McClain's area in the  
21 acquisitions does have a vehicle for putting  
22 GPO on notice in the interim of any documents  
23 and capabilities there but when we look at

1 FDsys what are some core critical issues,  
2 converted content, harvested content. Those  
3 are the themes. We continue to work to strive  
4 toward our goal of getting an effective and  
5 automated process together that will enable  
6 that.

7 MS. MORIEARTY: Community?

8 MR. DAVIS: Ric Davis, GPO.

9 Something I want to add to that is that the  
10 library business unit as a stakeholder and  
11 also council have been working closely with  
12 the Program Management Office to talk about  
13 what additional feature sets are associated  
14 with FDsys releases and also discuss the  
15 prioritization of those given the funding  
16 contingencies.

17 I think that is something that  
18 after this council meeting we're in a position  
19 to share out more broadly for comment with the  
20 community as well since these discussions have  
21 been occurring with GPO and council at this  
22 point to make sure that we've got the  
23 priorities where they need to be for these

1 additional features and if there are any  
2 funding issues that we can prioritize  
3 correctly.

4 MS. MORIEARTY: Michele.

5 MS. MCKNELLY: Michele McKnelly,  
6 University of Wisconsin-River Falls. I'm  
7 interested in your harvesting working group.  
8 Are you harvesting content right now or are  
9 you just talking about harvesting?

10 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.  
11 What we are working on is the capability to  
12 have a more automated capability for FDSys to  
13 be able to harvest.

14 MS. MCKNELLY: So you're not  
15 currently harvesting anything.

16 MR. PRIEBE: GPO has been  
17 harvesting. Ted Priebe, GPO. LSCM has been  
18 harvesting contents, fugitive documents when  
19 you or others in the community put us on  
20 notice of one. A cataloging record has  
21 created the ability to archive so that's been  
22 taking place now.

23 MS. MCKNELLY: Okay. Let me try

1 to rephrase this. That's a manual harvest  
2 based on notification so you're working on an  
3 automatic harvest? Is that what that working  
4 group is working on, a crawl? Harvesting  
5 crawls that will be ingested or is it still  
6 preliminary for the crawls?

7 MS. MORIEARTY: Ted, I think --  
8 Cindy, can you answer that? Do we have anyone  
9 from GPO?

10 MS. ETKIN: Cindy Etkin, GPO. My  
11 mind was going in a different direction from  
12 what Michelle asked earlier so I just missed  
13 what she said.

14 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. To  
15 respond to the first part of your question,  
16 yes, manual harvesting has been and will  
17 continue to take place. But in addition to  
18 that we do have some capabilities to do semi-  
19 automated harvesting as well so both of those  
20 activities are taking place now.

21 Part of what that strategic  
22 roadmap for harvesting is going to be able to  
23 reaffirm is capabilities and phases. Is full



1 automated X available in the first phase or is  
2 it the second phase. We're going to be  
3 getting comments not only from counsel but  
4 from the community on that.

5 MS. MCKNELLY: Okay. Thank you.

6 MR. PRIEBE: We should be  
7 targeting getting a solution that meets  
8 everyone's needs but in terms of what's  
9 practical and what makes sense with  
10 technology.

11 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
12 Stanford University. Michelle, to your  
13 question, the 4:00 to 5:30 session today GPO  
14 is going to be talking about harvesting so  
15 you'll get all the information you need.

16 MR. HAYES: Hearing this likens me  
17 back to the days of bringing up a new system  
18 where suddenly, when Notre Dame brought up Ex  
19 Libris we had a user community of one, it was  
20 very easy to prioritize. When you suddenly  
21 had a user community of 50 how is this going  
22 to be done? I don't envy you your process  
23 here.

1                   It would be interesting to see the  
2 methodology by which because the stakeholder  
3 community is so large; it's the libraries,  
4 it's the council, it's whoever else wants to  
5 comment, how GPO is going about picking out.  
6 You know, we're all equal stakeholders but  
7 some of us are more equal than others.

8                   As well as balance again here is  
9 what the budget can hold and we can implement.  
10 It will be interesting to see from a council  
11 member point of view how that's going to go  
12 and then how is that communicated to the  
13 stakeholder community.

14                   I remember those ballots. You all  
15 remember many of the ballots that says, "You  
16 can do this or this and you've got five votes  
17 out of 50 things. Get your library together  
18 to a degree on B5."

19                   Then it goes in with the other 50  
20 B5s to arrive at a list. As GPO becomes an  
21 information provider, the headaches multiply  
22 and it will be interesting to see how the  
23 stakeholder comments are truly waded through,

1 prioritized, etc.

2 MR. PRIEBE: Agreed. Ted Priebe,  
3 GPO. It's probably one of the most critical  
4 issues that we have to face and in terms of  
5 the requirements gathering and when we have  
6 the requirements how to prioritize them. I  
7 look forward to working with council, no  
8 question. We've got some good folks on our  
9 working group as well for that.

10 MS. MORIEARTY: Community, any  
11 further questions? Comments?

12 Cindy.

13 MS. ETKIN: Cindy Etkin, GPO. I  
14 just wanted to respond to Michelle's question  
15 about the harvesting that we are doing and  
16 we're doing an awful lot of manual harvesting.  
17 The acquisition specialists each have their  
18 agencies that they are responsible for and  
19 they go through and do it manually.

20 We also have the lost docs,  
21 discovery docs that are reported to us. In  
22 addition we've been doing semi-automatic  
23 harvesting for a long time where we go back to

1 agency sites on timed frequencies to pick up  
2 serials, new editions of serials and that kind  
3 of thing.

4 As we're looking at automated  
5 harvesting, you'll recall we did the pilot  
6 project with EPA and we learned an awful lot  
7 from that. We are looking at what happened  
8 there, the results we got to put together  
9 better requirements for harvesting as we go  
10 forward.

11 MS. MORIEARTY: David, would you  
12 do the last recommendation and then we'll talk  
13 very briefly after you're done.

14 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
15 California State Library. GPO should work  
16 with council and the community to create  
17 additional exclusive benefits that will accrue  
18 to official FDLP depositories. Benefits will  
19 serve as incentives for both recruitment and  
20 retention of FDLP depositories.

21 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO.  
22 This is the point where I get to transition to  
23 my colleague, Kelly Siefert. In doing that it

1       seemed like it was a good time for a little  
2       calisthenics after the afternoon lunch. We  
3       have a handout that we really look forward to  
4       in the interest of the last 35, 40 minutes to  
5       get comments from you within the community on  
6       some of the questions we have.

7                     For those of you that are in the  
8       audience that have not grabbed a copy of this,  
9       can we take a two-minute 30-second break.

10       We've got copies in the back of the room and  
11       if you could take time and grab one of these.

12                     I'm sorry they weren't in your  
13       conference packet but it would be a helpful  
14       piece to have. If we wait just for the next  
15       couple minutes, then I'll turn it over to  
16       Kelly on the response to the council  
17       recommendation and that will transition us  
18       into the second half of our session.

19                     MS. MORIEARTY: And, council, you  
20       have a copy.

21                     Jill Moriearty, University of  
22       Utah. Two minutes is up by my clock which  
23       runs fast. We are going to get done and we

1 are not going to go over on our time. At the  
2 end if we finish on time we get cake.

3 All right. Kelly, if you would,  
4 please.

5 MS. SIEFERT: Kelly Siefert, GPO.  
6 With this recommendation recognizing that  
7 creating additional exclusive benefits is  
8 going to take a good amount of time and  
9 specialized funding from Congress and also the  
10 research and data gathering aspect from the  
11 community, we wanted to divide the project  
12 into two phases so that we could give the  
13 community in the near-term a useful  
14 deliverable while we're working towards the  
15 long-term solution.

16 Our two-phase project approach.  
17 Phase 1, develop a document aimed at library  
18 administrators that describe the benefits of  
19 the FDLP, the value that you get by being in  
20 the program. We actually created two  
21 documents as part of Phase 1. One is a top 10  
22 list that you'll see at the top of your  
23 handout, a quick reference piece as a handout.

1                   The second piece will be a more  
2                   indepth booklet that will take the benefits  
3                   and flesh them all out, provide details and  
4                   examples of each one. That will eventually be  
5                   designed, as I said, into a booklet that can  
6                   be a much more detailed piece. That would be  
7                   the Phase 1.

8                   Phase 2 is where we will work with  
9                   council and the community to brainstorm and  
10                  implement these new services for FDLP  
11                  libraries. Then after that the documents from  
12                  Phase 1 will continue to live on the Desktop  
13                  and be updated as we add more exclusive  
14                  benefits.

15                  As for Phase 1 progress, what we  
16                  did was we drafted these two documents and we  
17                  worked with council to get their feedback and  
18                  update a final draft for you. We posted that  
19                  recently. I think this past week we sent out  
20                  an announcement that we posted this draft on  
21                  the FDLP Desktop. If you go to the homepage,  
22                  you can click on benefits for FDLP libraries.

23                  The document in PDF form is there,

1 just as the one you have in front of you, and  
2 you can comment on any portion of the document  
3 from the top 10 list, the benefits for all  
4 libraries, the benefits for regionals, the  
5 benefits for selectives, and the benefits to  
6 the public. You can comment on any or all of  
7 those sections.

8 Over the next several weeks we are  
9 going to continue to gather that information  
10 and produce a revised draft that we will then  
11 again present to council and the community.  
12 Once we finalize this draft we are going to  
13 send it to GPO's creative services department  
14 and they are going to do the design for the  
15 booklet.

16 What will happen then is on the  
17 Desktop you will be able to download the two  
18 pieces, the top ten list and the booklet, and  
19 you will also be able to order these pieces in  
20 print form for your use.

21 Here we just have listed the top  
22 ten benefits. You'll notice that within the  
23 other sections in the document those benefits



1 are fleshed out with examples.

2 The free federal information  
3 products, free cataloging records, free  
4 permanent public access to content from  
5 partners, educational opportunities,  
6 collection development opportunities,  
7 consulting and networking opportunities, free  
8 marketing and promotional material, the  
9 opportunity to participate in pilot projects,  
10 ensuring that dedicated government information  
11 professionals remain on staff, and enhancement  
12 of the status and procedge of an institution  
13 designated as an FDLP member.

14 So, again, as you can see in your  
15 packet, the four sections of the more  
16 expensive booklet are benefits to all  
17 libraries, benefits to regionals, benefits to  
18 selectives, and the public.

19 Shall we proceed to the questions  
20 yet? Okay. The first question for DLC. What  
21 feedback can you provide on our proposed  
22 phased project approach?

23 MS. MORIEARTY: Council first.

1 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
2 University of Akron. I think it's the perfect  
3 approach because this lets you line up exactly  
4 what you are already doing and that helps  
5 decide the best next step to take. Maybe  
6 where there are fewer and where there is room  
7 in the current benefits for more growth or  
8 easy ways to kind of grow some of these  
9 benefits it should be pretty straightforward  
10 so I think the approach makes a lot of sense.

11 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
12 Stanford University. Yeah, I think it's a  
13 great way to go and I'm really glad that this  
14 has come out. It's a good first step. I  
15 wonder if we should also be extending the  
16 conversation not just to what GPO can give in  
17 terms of benefits to the community but what  
18 the community can give for support or move  
19 forward amongst themselves so it's not just  
20 GPO and the community of FDLP libraries. It's  
21 FDLP libraries working together as well.

22 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
23 University of Utah. I think this document is

1       excellent.  When it was first suggested and  
2       sprang, my first thought was there are  
3       benefits?

4                    What I like about it is the way  
5       it's phrased I can take this to my director,  
6       and will, give it to her, give it to all my  
7       assistant directors and say, "Right here look  
8       at these benefits.  If you have forgotten what  
9       the depository library means, this outlines  
10      what we're receiving and without the status we  
11      lose."

12                   MS. HOLTERHOFF:  Sally Holterhoff,  
13      Valparaiso University Law Library.  One thing  
14      I would suggest before this is generally sent  
15      to library administrators would be to show  
16      this to a few possibly skeptical directors to  
17      look at because I see some things in here that  
18      I think a director that I know would probably  
19      -- maybe that I work for -- would look at some  
20      of this and say -- she's not against -- we're  
21      not dropping out of the program but just would  
22      say, "Yeah, but some of these you can get  
23      whether you're depository or not.

1                   While they are good things, why  
2 would you have to be a depository to do  
3 these?" I think those things maybe need to be  
4 eliminated from it even though it's painful to  
5 take some of them out. I mean, access to  
6 digital content through FDsys you don't just  
7 get that if you're a depository or access to  
8 authentic U.S. government information which  
9 you know is dear to my heart but that's not  
10 exclusive to a depository.

11                   I just think that we say it in a  
12 way and you've got to be -- some suggesting  
13 that you might want to test it and see what  
14 comments you get from some possibly skeptical  
15 directors before you send it out to everybody.

16                   MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
17 University of Akron. One way to deal with  
18 that might be to take the approach of  
19 supporting the continued development or  
20 providing input or direct access to the sort  
21 of mechanisms of, for example, making  
22 authenticated content available because we do  
23 get opportunities to provide feedback that

1 aren't made generally public so we work that  
2 way.

3 MS. TUBBS: Camilla Tubbs, Yale  
4 Law Library. Kind of dovetailing off of that  
5 comment, if you could maybe even at the top of  
6 the comment sheet have the benefits that are  
7 open to the entire public that specifically if  
8 you are a depository library here the value-  
9 added things that come along as an incentive  
10 more and more to give get people active in the  
11 program.

12 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
13 Dame. I think the pretest is a good one,  
14 particularly if you can identify those  
15 skeptics that are very good that way. In the  
16 sector that I work in which is business, much  
17 of it is phraseology.

18 We can parse what Sally has said  
19 in that, yeah, there are still some tangibles  
20 that come out that are authenticated, etc.,  
21 but it's the right phrasing because you're  
22 going to have certain people that will read it  
23 one way and it will be.

1                   The others, as I was reading  
2 through this, and I think it's an additional  
3 pass that you were -- you know, some of the  
4 examples may resonate more with the skeptics  
5 who are reading, "It's all digital and it's  
6 all free."

7                   They keep forgetting that, no,  
8 there are some that are still coming  
9 intangible, etc., that are there. I think  
10 some of it is iterative but the pretest if we  
11 can find a couple that will read this  
12 critically might prove useful.

13                   Then, again, I think it's going to  
14 be the additional work as well as your  
15 creative design. Is that the term you used?  
16 Much of it depends on that because perception  
17 is reality. If it looks like, "Ooh, wow."  
18 Some of our director are going to take it as  
19 "Ooh, wow." Others of the directors don't  
20 care. "I've already made up my mind. Don't  
21 confuse me with the facts."

22                   MS. SIEFERT: Any other comments  
23 from the community?

1 MS. SMITH: Lori Smith,  
2 Southeastern Louisiana University. For the  
3 top ten I would like to see something that is  
4 punchier that has more of a list of like "free  
5 publications" and then the explanation. You  
6 know, "free cataloging" and then the  
7 explanation. Something that is more 10 things  
8 and not 10 really long sentences. Something  
9 that's catchier.

10 MR. BASEFSKY: Stuart Basefsky,  
11 School of Industrial and Labor Relations at  
12 Cornell University. One thing that I think  
13 you might want to keep in mind is the audience  
14 that you're dealing with. The context that I  
15 would set up here is what benefits are accrued  
16 here that make the federal depository system  
17 a value center as opposed to a cost center.

18 If you can organize it in that  
19 kind of context, I think you can sell it much  
20 better. What you have written there I think  
21 is fantastic. You just have to make them  
22 realize that the value outweighs any cost that  
23 might accrue.

1 MS. JARRETT: Peggy Jarrett,  
2 University of Washington Law Library. I  
3 really like that comment because my skeptical  
4 director would look at this and say, "What  
5 does it cost me?" because that's really what  
6 she's interested in. There's a lot of really  
7 good language in here but I think we would  
8 make that part as clear as possible.

9 MS. MCKNELLY: Michelle McKnelly,  
10 University of Wisconsin-River Falls. I want  
11 to echo what Sally said that there are many  
12 things in here that are available to everyone.  
13 I actually think it very much weakens the  
14 document. I want to go on to say that there  
15 is no value that is not tied to the mission of  
16 your institution.

17 We are not playing to mission-  
18 based what libraries are about. This is a  
19 laundry list. Not every institution has the  
20 same mission but there are common themes  
21 through types of libraries, through public  
22 libraries, through many academic libraries.

23 I think it would afford you to



1 tailor this message back to those types of  
2 groups because there are very specialized  
3 things that each of those institutions tend to  
4 look for in general, not specifically.

5           If I took this to my library  
6 director, who is a great supporter of the  
7 program, I would get a big "So what?" because  
8 there is nothing here that ties back to a  
9 mission of serving our population. It's just  
10 a laundry list.

11           MR. SCHONFELD: Roger Schonfeld,  
12 Ithaca. Just a suggestion and in some ways  
13 echoing some of the previous comments. There  
14 doesn't seem to be very much in here about  
15 sort of meeting user needs sort of from the  
16 perspective of the user, the population that  
17 the libraries are trying to presumably serve.  
18 That might be another angle that could be  
19 helpful.

20           MS. JARRETT: Peggy Jarrett,  
21 Gallagher Law Library, University of  
22 Washington. I think that in some ways putting  
23 together the last two comments, that's our

1 responsibility to talk to our directors about  
2 our population, our user population, not to  
3 just hand this to them as a laundry list but  
4 to use it as a basis for a sustained and  
5 thoughtful discussion with them.

6 MR. PRIEBE: So I think in the  
7 interest of time, Ted Priebe, GPO, we've got  
8 four questions and 20 minutes so if we can  
9 average about five minutes per question there  
10 will be no cake exodus before the session  
11 ends.

12 MS. SIEFERT: Okay. On number 2  
13 we kind of touched on this with some of the  
14 comments for the first question. What  
15 feedback can you provide on the specific  
16 benefits that are detailed in the draft?  
17 Council.

18 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
19 University of Akron. One piece of feedback  
20 that I would give is that many library  
21 directors are familiar with many different  
22 technical terminology. For the final document  
23 I would suggest that technological and

1 government-specific terminology. Just make  
2 sure everything is explained and clear and in  
3 non-librarian English.

4 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
5 Dame. One of the benefits of the program is  
6 its diversity. One of the drawbacks of the  
7 program is its diversity. As pointed out,  
8 what will resonate with a particular  
9 leadership of a particular type of library  
10 will all vary.

11 I think that's going to be the  
12 toughest challenge that has to be in there in  
13 terms of -- so, you know, if we're creating  
14 one document what do we put in there that  
15 resonates with -- something in there resonates  
16 with whoever. If you've got that answer, I'm  
17 impressed. I think it's going to be the  
18 iterative.

19 Much of what you get in there is  
20 not detailed enough, you know. It doesn't  
21 speak the way a director would review things.  
22 I mean, if we're reading this as a depository  
23 librarian, yeah. As to the public I'm not

1 sure. One of the target audiences to convince  
2 of the benefit is the public.

3 It is the leadership of the  
4 library which is serving the public because,  
5 believe me, at Notre Dame as the public goes  
6 marching in going, "Oh, we really want more  
7 services out of you from that depository  
8 library," my former director would have  
9 listened to it but, "Thank you very much.

10 You're down the list of users that  
11 I have to really make happy." The challenge  
12 will be what resonates, what top X number of  
13 things someone could read and go, "Yeah, that  
14 kind of does it for me."

15 MR. JACOBS: James Jacob, Stanford  
16 University. Maybe a question for Steve and  
17 for everybody. I wonder if it would be then  
18 more valuable to not have just one document  
19 but to have sort of a flow chart. "Are you a  
20 public library and have a document for it.  
21 Here are the benefits for a public library.  
22 Are you an academic library?"

23 It doesn't preclude us from

1 publishing a whole big document but we can  
2 also break it out so that if I'm an academic  
3 library I don't have to read through the whole  
4 document in order to get, "Oh, here's the  
5 benefits for me and my users." Just a comment  
6 and a question.

7 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
8 Dame again. Putting my librarian to the  
9 marketing department hat on, if I was back in  
10 my home this would be a wonderful project for  
11 a marketing class to take and go, "How do you  
12 reach your niche?" Defining the niche is  
13 exactly it.

14 I mean, if you have the one who  
15 goes, "This is an opportunity for online,"  
16 that does exactly what you're talking about is  
17 one. But then you have others that go. "Hand  
18 to me it because that's what resonates with  
19 me." It's got to look good, dah, dah, dah,  
20 and all this other stuff. Again, I think it's  
21 a wonderful tool.

22 It's a real interesting  
23 opportunity from a marketing point of view as

1 an academic who has to try and do this all the  
2 time. There is no simple answer. McDonald's  
3 picks and chooses when and who they're going  
4 to. After they've successfully captured that  
5 market they move on to the next one.

6 Do we go after the top academics?  
7 Do we go after the skeptics that are in here  
8 to make sure they're not -- segment your  
9 market. You're after the vocal skeptic that  
10 has the audience. What resonates with them to  
11 turn them the opposite way so they are no  
12 longer a vocal skeptic, they are a vocal  
13 supporter.

14 That's a niche. How do you get at  
15 those? I mean, maybe Sally and others can  
16 identify and I suspect those of us who are in  
17 ARL can probably identify some less than  
18 convinced leadership. Then it's getting at  
19 them and reading their minds as to what is  
20 going to flip it.

21 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
22 of Michigan. Piggybacking on what Steve is  
23 saying, a theme I'm hearing repeatedly from

1 library administrations of all types is not so  
2 much -- I want to phrase this right. Yes, we  
3 can be part of this program but are we the  
4 only ones? Will somebody else do it?

5 That is something that we aren't  
6 quite successfully getting at in this. This  
7 is why do you want to be the one that does  
8 this as opposed to letting someone else. It's  
9 kind of the cheese stands alone here. We're  
10 seeing it happen increasingly throughout the  
11 community. If there is some way we can get at  
12 that, that might be a useful addition.

13 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes again to  
14 give you a witticism we used to use at Notre  
15 Dame 20 years ago. "What's yours is ours and  
16 what's ours is ours. I mean, you know, I  
17 brought you all this stuff but I really want  
18 you to do it because I'm going to borrow it on  
19 an interlibrary loan and I'm going to call and  
20 use your services. I don't have to do that  
21 but I've got all the answers."

22 Again, what we're doing here is  
23 also why this amongst the other five that

1 bubble up that may be more, "Only I can do  
2 this or I have a sector that they are more  
3 important to keep happy and, therefore, I'll  
4 commit resources and make the choice. We'll  
5 do this but we don't do that."

6 MS. SIEFERT: Anything else from  
7 the community?

8 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
9 California State Library. I was on the  
10 committee that helped develop this. Early on  
11 we talked about developing more than one  
12 document for different library types, or  
13 perhaps for different types of institutions  
14 within the same library type.

15 The task was so daunting that we  
16 decided to try to craft something that was  
17 generic enough that could be applied to all  
18 library types but not so specific that it  
19 excluded any library type. I would like to go  
20 back to something that Peggy said a few  
21 minutes ago. The responsibility of depository  
22 coordinators and individual institutions to  
23 craft this, to message this in a way that



1 makes it specific to that institution.

2           There is nothing in this document  
3 that couldn't be cut and pasted into a smaller  
4 document that is specific for that assuming  
5 that the depository coordinators, which may be  
6 a false assumption, really want to save the  
7 programs that employ them. There may be some  
8 that don't.

9           I don't know but I have to believe  
10 that most to. When we talk about what the  
11 community can provide as well as GPO, maybe  
12 it's part of the community's responsibility to  
13 develop their own institution-specific list of  
14 benefits. It's not all on GPO.

15           MS. ETKIN: Cindy Etkin, GPO. I'm  
16 hearing a lot about local needs in this area  
17 and Michele specifically mentioned aligning to  
18 your institution's mission. All of that is  
19 very important so I want to remind you of the  
20 upcoming user survey because you're going to  
21 get a lot of this information back from them  
22 when your libraries get the reports. I want  
23 you to encourage your users to do the survey

1 and then you can find out what is of value to  
2 them that you are providing.

3 MS. SIEFERT: Okay. The third  
4 question. This one may be more of a homework  
5 type question once you've gotten a chance to  
6 really go through the current document in  
7 depth. Are there additional benefits that  
8 we've not captured already within the  
9 document.

10 MS. MORIEARTY: Council, any  
11 comments?

12 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
13 California State Library again. These would  
14 be benefits that currently exist that we just  
15 didn't happen to think of, not benefits that  
16 could possibly exist sometime in the future.

17 MR. PRIEBE: Ted Priebe, GPO. So  
18 is your thinking that we do have that survey  
19 tool that's available as you reflect on this  
20 tonight, perhaps over dinner on some of the  
21 values that we've got. Use that after as  
22 well.

23 CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Suzanne Sears,

1 University of North Texas. Also on Wednesday  
2 in the closing session we will have some time  
3 so you'll have a couple of days to think about  
4 this and comment in the Wednesday session as  
5 well.

6 MS. SMITH: Lori Smith,  
7 Southeastern Louisiana University. It's  
8 mentioned in here the prestige of being an  
9 FDLP library. I think more could be done to  
10 play up that prestige because I think  
11 documents still have a really bad reputation  
12 for being boring and hard to get through and  
13 down in the basement and nobody uses them.

14 I think you really need to talk up  
15 if GPO is the world's largest publisher, which  
16 I think I've heard a time or two, "Aggregated  
17 access to the publications from the world's  
18 largest publisher." Think of those hyperbole  
19 marketing kind of things that you would be  
20 using if you were a commercial publisher  
21 because I think the prestige could really be  
22 emphasized a little more because we still lack  
23 respect.

1 MS. WEIBLE: Arlene Weible from  
2 the Oregon State Library. I think another  
3 thing that is missing, and I have to admit I  
4 haven't read through all of the really  
5 detailed pieces of it, but one of the  
6 incredible things that I can do in my library  
7 is talk about the unique information that is  
8 in these collections. I don't really see the  
9 value of legacy collections really in here.

10 I know not all depositories want  
11 to have a legacy collection but when I think  
12 about how do I sell this to my director  
13 talking about how a particular user group that  
14 we think is very important to our library like  
15 genealogists how they benefit from this  
16 program is a really powerful argument with my  
17 director because he wants to keep the  
18 genealogists happy. I think that would be  
19 true in academic institutions, particular  
20 faculty that really benefit from the  
21 historical material.

22 Kind of take some of the rich  
23 subject matter in the collection and then skew

1 it with the user groups that either are  
2 benefitting from it or could potentially  
3 benefit more. I think that would be something  
4 that would really resonate with directors as  
5 well as then pass along to these user groups  
6 that we are trying to identify.

7 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
8 University of Akron. I think that ties in  
9 really well with the benefit of ensuring  
10 dedicated government information professionals  
11 remaining on staff because some of these  
12 legacy collections you may have a director who  
13 says, "Oh, we can get this through some  
14 vendor. Why would we need it through FDLP."

15 The answer is that you need  
16 somebody there who can assist your users in  
17 doing the research with these very expensive  
18 and complicated collections and that's a  
19 government information expert so that's a  
20 really good point.

21 MR. BASEFSKY: Stuart Basefsky  
22 again from Cornell University. One of the  
23 things you might want to consider is how to be

1 very strategic in selling this. There are a  
2 couple of things. One, you're lacking  
3 examples in here so it would be a nice  
4 appendix to give specific examples on each of  
5 these points in some sort of appendix.

6           Maybe gear that to different kinds  
7 of libraries. You have to understand that  
8 public libraries, academic libraries, special  
9 libraries, they all have a herd mentality. If  
10 you can get them to herd, you've captured  
11 them.

12           If you had, for example, in the  
13 chronicle of higher education that Yale thinks  
14 this is a fantastic idea and you put it out  
15 why it's so fantastic, everybody else is going  
16 to fall in line because they don't want to  
17 fall behind Yale or Harvard.

18           If you take the New York Public  
19 Library or some of the other major public  
20 libraries throughout the United States,  
21 Seattle, so on and so forth, and they jump in,  
22 all the public libraries feel they have to.  
23 I think you have to be very strategic in how

1 you sell this. You shouldn't focus on  
2 everybody but you should focus on the people  
3 who have political power.

4 MS. TUBBS: Camilla Tubbs, Yale  
5 Law Library. I would like to see in the  
6 future as we develop this and as each library  
7 takes these set of guidelines and develops  
8 them and comes up with incentives for their  
9 own institution, if we could post sample  
10 documents to our community site so that other  
11 libraries can take that information and mold  
12 it to their needs and give specific examples  
13 from their institution, I think that could be  
14 really helpful moving forward.

15 MS. HOLTERHOFF: Also I think  
16 maybe a comment about somehow in this time,  
17 somebody mentioned Seattle Public, many of the  
18 public libraries are having all kinds of cuts  
19 and furloughs. We've got to make sure that  
20 our program doesn't look like something you  
21 could save money by cutting.

22 To the contrary. This would be  
23 the last time. There have been some ads of

1 late I've seen in magazines to the fact that  
2 people thought the internet was going to kill  
3 magazines or newspapers but, in fact, it's  
4 upped the subscription which I hope that's  
5 true.

6 I don't know. I like both of  
7 them. Sort of a reverse swing on something  
8 that you might think that if you're library  
9 budget, especially public libraries, but  
10 academic, too, is in trouble and you can save  
11 money by not being a depository.

12 I mean, you don't want to say it  
13 just that way but this is saving you money and  
14 this is even more important now in economic  
15 hard times to be able to help your user.

16 Government information is really  
17 important in every kind of library. Yeah,  
18 they could get it themselves on their computer  
19 but they don't have a computer and they don't  
20 know how to use it when they get on the site.  
21 They can't find the stuff so they need us.

22 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
23 Stanford University. Building onto what Sally



1 was saying, it reminds me of the document that  
2 everybody has seen, "Myth...reality." Sort of  
3 what's the myth. All government information  
4 is free and online.

5 In reality, you know, there are a  
6 lot of dedicated professionals in GPO and in  
7 libraries who work really hard day in and day  
8 out to make that content available. Maybe  
9 having another document like that would be of  
10 interest getting at a specific niche.

11 MR. WOODS: Steve Woods, Penn  
12 State. Just a comment about the audience  
13 again, who this document is for. This is for  
14 directors. I get all kinds of really slick  
15 ads about products, that atona marketing has  
16 gone into why this product is the next best  
17 thing since sliced bread.

18 Let's face it, GPO is a product.  
19 It is a product. My director is not going to  
20 read that. If my director is who you are  
21 targeting, then maybe what you need to do is  
22 answer the questions that they have. Answer  
23 the questions that the skeptics have. Ask,

1 you know. I would much rather see a document  
2 that says, "Answers to the top ten questions  
3 of a skeptic," or something like that.

4 You're answering something that  
5 they're asking. You're not telling them  
6 something that they don't want to know because  
7 they're not going to spend time looking at a  
8 slick marketing thing. They don't have time  
9 to look at that.

10 On another note, get some of the  
11 directors to provide you testimonies of why  
12 they think it's good. Get them to talk to  
13 their colleagues. It's going to be much  
14 better for my director to know who out there  
15 that she is rubbing shoulders with is saying  
16 this is beneficial for me.

17 They are going to talk to each  
18 other. They are not going to -- that could be  
19 much more effective to get testimony and I  
20 think that was sort of iterated in a previous  
21 comment. My two cents.

22 MS. MORIEARTY: We've only got a  
23 few more minutes but I would like to move on

1 to question 4. As Suzanne said, on our wrap-  
2 up session there will be time for you to make  
3 comments. Also, you'll be getting very  
4 shortly right after question 4 the URL for  
5 these questions.

6 Also, at any time you can write  
7 any responses or suggestions. Get them to any  
8 council member. We'll get them to GPO. There  
9 is a ton of GPO here. Who's working back at  
10 the shop? Get it to them. There's multiple  
11 ways for you to get your response out.

12 Question 4, please.

13 MS. SIEFERT: Other than the  
14 library directors and administrators that we  
15 attempted to aim this at are there other  
16 audiences to which we should be aiming this  
17 message?

18 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
19 of Michigan. State and local governments.

20 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
21 Dame. The power on campus is the faculty. It  
22 would be interesting. I'm looking at Dan  
23 because he knows who motivates him and the

1       undergrad has a certain level of motivation,  
2       but a Ph.D. faculty member who is going to be  
3       with us for 30 years we'll listen to that one  
4       instead of the four year type of thing.

5                   There are a lot of audiences. I  
6       keep thinking that, you know, someone  
7       mentioned that this can't all be GPO because  
8       suddenly GPO is not used to working in an  
9       academic environment and the politics of an  
10      academic environment.

11                   I think how do we get the tools up  
12      that, indeed, as I think someone pointed out  
13      in the audience, the depository librarian who  
14      is there, you know, you've got two things.  
15      You've got a stealth methodology whereby I  
16      mobilize certain faculty to get the message to  
17      my leadership.

18                   How do we enable them to do --  
19      David is correct. This is general and should  
20      be informational for all but how do we craft  
21      it. Again, I have to speak as the business  
22      librarian. Marketing people don't craft it to  
23      all.

1                   They pick out and pick their  
2 battles and that sort of thing. I still have  
3 to go back to I'm not sure how GPO is going to  
4 do this other than develop some tools that do  
5 allow us to readily craft and put into a nice  
6 visual way of getting a message out.

7                   MS. MORIEARTY: The community, any  
8 comments? I just knew.

9                   MS. MCKNELLY: Michelle McKnelly,  
10 University of Wisconsin-River Falls. I think  
11 the GPO would be very well served to aim this  
12 message at school libraries around the country  
13 that there is this opportunity to partner.  
14 There is a network of libraries here that can  
15 help them. We think we've got it bad. School  
16 libraries are under attack in a way that we  
17 can't even imagine.

18                   MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
19 University of Utah. Could you show question  
20 5? I do want people to start thinking about  
21 that before we wrap up.

22                   MS. SIEFERT: It's also more of a  
23 homework question to ponder. For the next

1 phase of this project do you have suggestions  
2 for new benefits, new services that would  
3 increase the benefits of FDLP. Those can also  
4 be submitted through the form on the Desktop  
5 as well.

6 Ted brought that up. As I  
7 mentioned before, if you just go to FDLP.gov  
8 right now it's very prominent on the main  
9 page. You just click on benefits for FDLP  
10 libraries from the main page.

11 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
12 University of Utah. Any final questions or  
13 comments from council? GPO? I'll get to the  
14 community. Just hold on. GPO?

15 MR. PRIEBE: No. Thank you all  
16 for attendance is all I have to say.

17 MS. MORIEARTY: Okay. Community.

18 PARTICIPANT: One last thing. For  
19 many years I was a government documents  
20 librarian. I haven't been one for 17 years  
21 now but long ago the idea was to get this  
22 stuff into the text books for schools. If  
23 you're tied to the educational system, you're

1 in. If you're not in the educational system,  
2 your value is questioned.

3 MS. HARTMAN: Cathy Hartman,  
4 University of North Texas. I think overall  
5 what we should be reaching for is for it to  
6 once again be a privilege to be a federal  
7 depository library. I think we've gotten away  
8 from that.

9 We gather too much to people who  
10 are thinking they want to drop out. Let them  
11 drop out. Let it be the people who want to be  
12 there who think it's a privilege to be a  
13 depository library. That should always be our  
14 message that it is a privilege to be a  
15 depository library and serve the public.

16 MS. MORIEARTY: Yay. Anymore  
17 comments from council? Community? Then let  
18 them eat cake.

19 (Whereupon, at 3:33 p.m. off the  
20 record until 4:07 p.m.)

21 MS. LASTER: Okay. I think we're  
22 about ready to get started. My name is Shari  
23 Laster. I'm at the University of Akron. The

1 other members of the team working on this  
2 particular session are Justin Otto from  
3 Eastern Washington University and James Jacobs  
4 from Stanford who is, in fact, not Ric Davis  
5 so don't be confused by the signs, everybody.

6 This session is about born-digital  
7 at-risk materials. We have, it looks like --  
8 we have basically three topics that our  
9 speakers will address. First will be Cathy  
10 Hartman who is Associate Dean of Libraries at  
11 the University of North Texas Libraries and  
12 she'll be talking about their end-of-term  
13 harvest crawl.

14 Following that we will have  
15 speakers from GPO discussing GPO's harvesting  
16 activities. Who is speaking from GPO? James,  
17 is that you? James Mauldin from GPO will be  
18 speaking about GPO's harvesting activities.  
19 Then finally James Jacobs of Stanford  
20 University will be discussing the LOCKSS  
21 USDocs project.

22 We would ask that in the interest  
23 of efficient use of time please take note of



1 all your questions and we will have a generous  
2 question/answer period at the end of the  
3 session. We also in the packet have included  
4 some slides which list a number of questions.

5           These are what our team is  
6 thinking of as learning questions specifically  
7 for council so that we understand how to take  
8 this information and apply it practically so  
9 at the end of the session we might review some  
10 of those questions if they weren't all the way  
11 covered in the presentations.

12           With that, let me turn this over  
13 to Cathy Hartman.

14           MS. HARTMAN: Thanks everybody. I  
15 like to stand up when I'm talking. I think  
16 people can see you better and maybe hear you  
17 better. I'm Cathy Hartman, University of  
18 North Texas.

19           Actually I'm going to be talking  
20 today about an IMLS-funded research project  
21 that we are working on called Classification  
22 of the End of Term Archive - Extending  
23 Collection Development Practices to Web

1 Archives.

2 As I said, this is IMLS-funded.  
3 It's two-year project. It started almost a  
4 year ago so we are almost half-way through the  
5 project. We have one funded partner. Our one  
6 funded partner is the Internet Archive who has  
7 huge web archiving experience. They've been  
8 a great part of the team to talk with us about  
9 different kinds of tools and analysis that we  
10 are looking at building and using and  
11 expanding tools that they've created.

12 We have an advisory board for the  
13 project that are basically institutions from  
14 our end-of-term crawl project which we'll talk  
15 a little bit about shortly. Basically Chris  
16 Carpenter from the Internet Archive, Tracy  
17 Seneca and Eric Hetzner from the California  
18 Digital Library, and Abby Grotke and Gina  
19 Jones from the Library of Congress. They've  
20 been a huge help in advising us throughout  
21 this project.

22 Also I want to introduce to you  
23 our subject matter experts. These are 10

1 people in alphabetical order -- sorry, Geoff.  
2 You always end up at the end in alphabetical  
3 order -- who have been a huge help to us  
4 already. We met with them again all day  
5 yesterday and they are leaving with  
6 assignments.

7 We'll talk a little bit about what  
8 their assignments are later. If you're here,  
9 I'm just going to briefly read through the  
10 names. If you could stand up briefly so  
11 everyone recognizes you. These are people  
12 that you can always ask about the project if  
13 you would like.

14 George Barnum, Laurie Hall, and  
15 Robin Haun-Mohamed who are from GPO. I see  
16 Robin and Laurie. I don't know that George is  
17 here today. Kevin McClure. Kevin is from the  
18 Chicago college of law. Michelle McKnelly  
19 from University of Wisconsin-River Falls.  
20 John Phillips, Oklahoma State.

21 In the back, Mary Prophet from  
22 Enison. Mary? And Suzanne Sears from  
23 University of North Texas. John Stevenson

1 from U of Delaware. Then Geoffrey Swindells  
2 from Northwestern.

3 Any of you who have been around  
4 docs for a while know that these folks have  
5 lived and breathed government information for  
6 many, many years and we couldn't have selected  
7 a better group of people to help us with this  
8 project.

9 I'm going to talk to you a little  
10 bit about our objectives for the project, why  
11 we decided to do this project in this way.  
12 What we could see was that librarians needed  
13 some way of continuing their collection  
14 practices in depository libraries so our  
15 objective was to, or is to classify materials  
16 in accordance with the SuDoc classification  
17 numbering system so that librarians can  
18 utilize that classification to continue their  
19 existing selection practices.

20 How do you when you do collection  
21 development now as opposed to what you did 15  
22 years ago when you selected from the SuDoc  
23 list, or basically the Adam list which we can

1 match pretty easily to the SuDoc list, how can  
2 you continue to collect in the areas that you  
3 had always been collecting to meet the needs  
4 of your community.

5 Our second objective had to do  
6 with metric for web archives. We can say we  
7 have 16-terabyte web archive. Well, what does  
8 that mean? It means generally nothing to  
9 anyone so how can we quantify it to enable  
10 showing its value, showing the scope of that  
11 collection, and something about the quality of  
12 it so what kind of metrics might we be able to  
13 use?

14 The background information on this  
15 is it's an outgrowth of the project that we  
16 did with a group of other libraries listed  
17 here and GPO. These were members of the  
18 International Internet Preservation Consortium  
19 that were U.S. members. At that time the only  
20 U.S. members of the IIPC.

21 We were meeting in Canberra,  
22 Australia in May and we decided we would do an  
23 end-of-term crawl. If you remember the

1 National Archives that year had made an  
2 announcement that they would not be doing  
3 this.

4           They had policies in place to  
5 collect what they felt like would be the  
6 documents they wanted to collect. This group  
7 decided that we would do it, the Library of  
8 Congress, Internet Archive, the University of  
9 North Texas with the California Digital  
10 Library with GPO sitting in on many of our  
11 calls.

12           We wanted to harvest the entirety  
13 of the U.S. government web presence, the .govs  
14 but also the .mils, the .coms, the .edus.  
15 You'll see it goes on and on, all URL  
16 government websites. We wanted to harvest  
17 them before the election in 2008, after the  
18 election, and then after the inauguration in  
19 2009 to reflect something about how the web  
20 presence changes of the U.S. government when  
21 we have a change in administrations.

22           We used a nomination tool. We  
23 were doing this really rapidly, as you can

1        imagine, deciding in May. We knew we had to  
2        start harvesting in the summer so we quickly  
3        build a tool to allow people to nominate URLs  
4        for harvesting, but we also pulled in lists  
5        from UNI, from the California Digital Library,  
6        from the Library of Congress and various  
7        others who had lists that we could batch  
8        ingest into the nomination tool.

9                        We had about 30 librarians or  
10       government information specialists who also  
11       came in and did some nomination for us. We  
12       are planning this again for 2012 and we do  
13       hope that we have time to get more of you  
14       involved in that process. Then the Internet  
15       Archive UNT and the California Digital Library  
16       did the harvesting.

17                        The Internet Archive did broad-  
18       based harvesting of every seed URL. UNIT did  
19       selective harvesting hopefully in more depth  
20       trying to be sure that we picked up more  
21       information and so did the California Digital  
22       Library. They ended up doing a broader  
23       harvesting than we did.

1                   We then consolidated all of that  
2 information at the Library of Congress which  
3 took some effort to move that much  
4 information. We moved it over Internet 2. We  
5 used the bag it specification to package it up  
6 and we would then make it available for the  
7 Library of Congress to come and get it and  
8 bring it over Internet 2 to their storage.

9                   Then we turned around and grabbed  
10 it back from them for the folks that were not  
11 us. We got Internet Archive's harvest and we  
12 got CDL's harvest and brought it to the UNT  
13 storage. They did the same thing if they  
14 wanted it. We ended up with a 16-terabyte web  
15 archive based on this time frame.

16                   We did some analysis. This gives  
17 you some statistical information about what  
18 was in that web archive, or what is in that  
19 web archive. You can see it's predominately  
20 .gov but significant numbers of other kinds of  
21 material. There were a total of 160 million  
22 URIs in this.

23                   I think the interesting thing is



1 also the subdomains. If .gov is the domain  
2 name, then the next level up would be the  
3 first subdomain. HHS would be a unique  
4 subdomain. There were 14,000 unique  
5 subdomains in the .gov which I think is quite  
6 interesting. You begin to understand some of  
7 the extent of a web archive like this.

8 This is also interesting when you  
9 look at mimetypes. A majority, a 105 million  
10 text/html mimetypes. That was the largest but  
11 look how many PDFs there are. Most often the  
12 PDFs would be an indicator that this is some  
13 kind of document, although we know a lot of  
14 them are html text documents as well but PDFs  
15 often are.

16 So 10 million. How do you catalog  
17 10 million. Those of you who do cataloging  
18 how do you start cataloging 10 million  
19 documents? It becomes an overwhelming task.  
20 Yet, this is what our U.S. government is  
21 producing now.

22 So when we wrote our proposal for  
23 the grant, we had problem statements and

1 research questions that I want to briefly tell  
2 you what they were. Our problem statement was  
3 that the current discovery methods have major  
4 constraints when you are talking about a web  
5 archive.

6 I'm sure all of you have used the  
7 Wayback Machine. You have to know the URL and  
8 then you might have some choices about  
9 timeline but there is not at this time full  
10 text searching that is very effective of these  
11 very large web archives.

12 The problem is that it's difficult  
13 for librarians to identify and select  
14 materials in accordance with their collection  
15 development practices and how can you begin to  
16 do that in the web publishing environment.

17 Then our second problem statement  
18 was that common metrics for materials in web  
19 archives simply do not exist. This is  
20 something that is discussed almost every  
21 meeting of the IIPC, International Internet  
22 Preservation Consortium.

23 Our directors, our funders, our

1 stakeholders have no idea what 16-terabytes  
2 means. That means nothing to them at all so  
3 how can we better count them. All of you do  
4 metrics for ARL, ACRL, the Department of  
5 Education and others who collect statistical  
6 measures.

7 Do any of them have any kind of  
8 measures for web archives at this point? The  
9 answer is no. How can you show the value to  
10 those organizations that collect this kind of  
11 information?

12 Our research questions are  
13 outlined here. Let me say that what we are  
14 going to do, you have a handout in this group  
15 of handouts that are clipped together that  
16 gives you the project's website. That will  
17 also be on the last slide here. We have all  
18 the information about the project there and  
19 we'll put this presentation there as well.

20 How effective is the organization  
21 of large-scale unstructured web archives using  
22 a pre-defined classification system, namely  
23 the SuDoc classification numbering system as

1 evaluated by government information  
2 librarians.

3           What we are trying to do is to  
4 take this web archive and in automated ways  
5 visualize it and see if we can assign the  
6 SuDoc numbering system at the subdomain, that  
7 first subdomain, not the .gov but the HHS kind  
8 of level.

9           We can assign the SuDoc numbering  
10 system at that level in an automated way.  
11 Then that will be verified whether we've done  
12 it well or not by our subject matter experts.  
13 Their assignment as we leave here is to go in  
14 and begin to classify these websites.

15           Now, we're not asking them to do  
16 10 million. We've pulled out a sample group.  
17 They will each be doing 200. Then each  
18 website will have two people doing it so we  
19 can compare.

20           If we have discrepancies between  
21 two of our subject matter experts, then we  
22 will have to figure out some way of deciding  
23 which one is right. Then we use that

1 information to compare to what we've done in  
2 automated ways to see how effectively we have  
3 classified this information in automated ways.

4 Then the second research question  
5 is what measurable units for the materials in  
6 web archives best support management  
7 acquisition decisions and libraries. We are  
8 working on this.

9 We are also very heavily involved.  
10 There is an international standards  
11 organization work group that's been appointed.  
12 The chair of it is a member of the IIPC as are  
13 we so we have been closely working with him to  
14 make sure the U.S. has input into that group.

15 That group currently does not have  
16 a U.S. member so we are trying to make sure  
17 that -- because we have different needs it  
18 took us 10 minutes sitting around a table with  
19 him to understand. The Europeans have very  
20 different needs than we do, as do the  
21 Australians, etc. If we're building an  
22 international standard, we want to have input  
23 from the U.S.

1                   This graph reflects our project  
2 work areas. The items on your left were the  
3 items that happened before the research grant  
4 started. This was the collecting of the seed  
5 URLs and the harvest before the election,  
6 after the election, and then after the  
7 inauguration.

8                   Then the center is our work area 1  
9 where we are looking at the web archive during  
10 the structural analysis and trying to do the  
11 classification. Then the SuDoc URL mapping  
12 that our subject matter experts are doing and  
13 the comparison.

14                   Then our work area 2 is shown to  
15 the right side with the identification of the  
16 acquisition's criteria which we did early on  
17 this year in our previous meeting with them in  
18 April. Then we are trying to figure out what  
19 those measurable units are for web archives  
20 and pose those possibilities so that we can do  
21 metrics for web archives.

22                   Now, one of the fun things that  
23 we've had that our technology team is dealing

1 with is how can we visualize this information  
2 that will really help us. We've tried two or  
3 three different visualizations for the data  
4 that we have and how all of these sites  
5 interlink together.

6 I don't know how well that you can  
7 see this from the back of the room but this is  
8 in an interactive form on our website and I  
9 encourage you to come and look at it because  
10 you can tell so much more from the interactive  
11 form. You can play with it for about 10  
12 minutes and do nothing but just sort of pull  
13 things out and watch them spring back  
14 together.

15 What this is showing you is the  
16 clustering of the sites. HHS is the big  
17 green, lime green kind of blob in the middle.  
18 I should say circle, shouldn't I, in the  
19 middle. Then the other colors that you see  
20 around it are some of the really strong linked  
21 groups with HHS. For example, one of them is  
22 NIH.gov.

23 The breadth of the lines indicates

1 the percentage of links that you're seeing  
2 going back and forth. The one that's called  
3 cms.gov has 100 percent links going between  
4 HHS and that is because, as we discovered,  
5 that is a server at HHS that they used to  
6 serve up all of their images, some of their  
7 scripts.

8           They only use it for the HHS site.  
9 You begin to see those kinds of things. Also  
10 really strong links amongst many of these  
11 sites. This graphs everything that has at  
12 least 1 percent of its links going to the  
13 site.

14           When you use the interactive and  
15 point at the lines it will tell you what the  
16 percentage is. It will also tell you which  
17 direction the links are going in. I encourage  
18 you to go. We've learned a lot from this so  
19 we can see this cluster around Health and  
20 Human Services and know that they are probably  
21 all going to be in that group of SuDoc  
22 numbers.

23           Now, one of the things that we did



1 that we had not planned to do in our original  
2 work plan was a survey of government documents  
3 librarians because we had keyed off of one of  
4 the questions in the biennial report that said  
5 37 percent of the Federal Depository Libraries  
6 would like to receive digital copies of  
7 government publications.

8           Yet, when we started talking to  
9 Depository Libraries we were certainly not  
10 seeing that number that really wanted to  
11 receive them so we didn't understand why we  
12 were seeing that in the biennial survey and  
13 yet we were not getting an indication of that  
14 high level of interest.

15           We are trying to build a service  
16 model for how we might provide government  
17 information to you to help you build your  
18 collections so this is important. We did a  
19 survey and this was not obviously required of  
20 Depository Libraries but we had 416, which is  
21 33 percent response rate. When you do surveys  
22 and research that's a really good response  
23 rate.

1                   What we found we still are working  
2                   on the analysis because this only closed about  
3                   a week ago. A couple of the early findings,  
4                   I think, we wanted to share with you that's  
5                   very interesting. If you look at the chart on  
6                   the left, in the bottom left of that is very  
7                   unlikely. Then at the other end of that graph  
8                   is very extremely likely, so extremely  
9                   unlikely to extremely likely to acquire  
10                  materials.

11                  You look at extremely unlikely and  
12                  it goes down, down, down to only 4 percent  
13                  that it's extremely likely that they will  
14                  acquire government information. That scale is  
15                  really interesting. Then if you look at the  
16                  one on the right and see the percent likely to  
17                  access the materials, the ones who want to  
18                  access the materials, what you see is it goes  
19                  in exactly the opposite direction.

20                  We thought this was extremely  
21                  revealing. Also, though, we are seeing what  
22                  they're saying is they want that person or  
23                  that group, that organization, to be a very

1 trusted organization that they would like to  
2 link to. It has to be someone they trust to  
3 keep the information available. That's going  
4 to be, I think, a key factor as we look at  
5 analyzing all the data.

6 So I wanted to introduce the  
7 project team very quickly. Kathleen Murray,  
8 are you here? Kathleen. See Kathleen in the  
9 back with her hand up. Kathleen Murray is our  
10 Senior Research Fellow and our project  
11 manager. She is a great person to communicate  
12 with about the details of the project.

13 Then Mark Phillips who is in the  
14 center back is our technical lead and our  
15 Assistant Dean for Digital Library Services at  
16 the University of North Texas. People that  
17 are not here, Lauren Ko is our web archiving  
18 programmer who works on this full time with  
19 Mark.

20 We have two graduate research  
21 assistants that are funded by the project of  
22 Cathy Benton who is a graduate student in  
23 library information science. Bharath Dandala

1 who is a computer science graduate student.

2 Our project website is here. We  
3 post everything there. We don't post the data  
4 that connects anyone to any individual but  
5 everything that we can post we post there. We  
6 hope that you'll send us your feedback and  
7 your questions.

8 MS. LASTER: Thank you very much,  
9 Cathy.

10 Next up is James Mauldin from GPO  
11 to talk about GPO's harvesting practices and  
12 procedures and everything else that goes along  
13 with that.

14 MR. MAULDIN: Good afternoon, all.  
15 My name is James Mauldin from GPO. Can  
16 everyone hear me out there? Quick overview of  
17 GPO's harvesting over the past decade. The  
18 title of this one is FDLP and Web Harvesting -  
19 Permanent Access to Online Federal Resources.

20 Web harvesting defined. GPO  
21 defined web harvesting as using a crawler to  
22 scrape a website to capture electronic  
23 resources. We use multiple web crawlers and

1 GPO's use of web harvesting. One of the most  
2 important notes that we do, we do nonevasive  
3 harvesting meaning that we try to cause no  
4 conflicts to the agency's site by crashing  
5 their website by sending numerous bots and  
6 traffic through its websites.

7           What is GPO doing now? We harvest  
8 at the piece level where, for example, on  
9 Cathy's presentation it talked about what  
10 they're doing at North Texas. We actually go  
11 at individual titles and do piece-level  
12 harvesting.

13           We use semi-automated and manual  
14 harvesting tools as well as some automated  
15 tools such as scheduling for serials where we  
16 have our bot scheduled to go at websites  
17 routinely on different frequencies such as  
18 daily, weekly, monthly, and annually.

19           We also archive the harvested  
20 content using redundant storage. We have a  
21 primary server at GPO and then we have a COOP  
22 instance of all that data at our COOP site  
23 which is offsite and geographically separated

1 from the main building.

2 We provide access to the web  
3 harvesting content through our catalog of  
4 government publication and it's available  
5 through searches that are indexed through the  
6 server such as our permanent electronic  
7 collection is also indexed by Google and bots  
8 can actually search it. The CGP is not the  
9 only mechanism to identify our harvested  
10 content.

11 We also assign PURLZ for almost  
12 all of our web-harvested materials. We  
13 actually harvest based on the assignment of  
14 our PURLZ so we have a one-to-one correlation.  
15 If we have one PURL we have one archived  
16 document.

17 Some of the challenges that we  
18 face in web harvesting. Publication versus  
19 web pages. Mr. Priebe had mentioned earlier  
20 about our EPA pilot project where we did some  
21 harvesting. One of the things we identified  
22 is that identifying what constitutes a  
23 publication can be extremely challenging for

1 software so you are not going to get a tool  
2 that can go out there and identify what makes  
3 up a publication on the web.

4 Also, PURL resource exceptions.  
5 GPO does not harvest databases, publications  
6 that are currently on FDsys because they are  
7 already part of our storage architecture.  
8 Things that are multi-media formats that are  
9 very difficult or very large. We do some  
10 flash drive, some video, some movie files but  
11 it depends on the format. Metadata needs.  
12 Graphic requirements and applications within  
13 publications. Those can be problematic as  
14 well.

15 GPO's path forward. We want to  
16 continue to investigate and review web  
17 harvesting best practices. We would like to  
18 identify and test for automated harvest and  
19 ingest into our FDsys.

20 We also would like to increase  
21 partnership activity with agencies for access  
22 to born-digital publications. We are aware  
23 that we can't harvest everything and we are

1 looking to work collaboratively with our  
2 agencies that are posting these files.

3 That concludes the brief overview.  
4 Are we going to take questions or are we  
5 saving them for the end?

6 MS. LASTER: We're saving them for  
7 the end. Okay. Thank you very much, James.

8 That is a reminder to save your  
9 questions for the end.

10 (Applause)

11 MS. LASTER: James, don't go --  
12 other James. Don't go too far because you'll  
13 be taking questions.

14 Now for another James.

15 MR. JACOBS: Can everybody hear  
16 me? I think I might use that mic as well. It  
17 will just take me a second to switch this  
18 over.

19 Well, everyone has their slides in  
20 their packet. Correct? We're going to go  
21 low-fi for this.

22 Hi everyone. Oh, see. Now it's  
23 going to move. I think it's because it's on



1 a movie and I don't know how to turn the movie  
2 off. See if you can figure it out.

3 I'm going to talk about the LOCKSS  
4 USDocs. The first thing I want to do is I do  
5 have an agenda. Everyone has an agenda,  
6 right? I'm going to talk a little bit about  
7 library principles and best practices and then  
8 talk for a minute or so about what is lockss  
9 and then talk about the LOCKSS USDocs project  
10 specifically. Then loop back around and make  
11 it a reflection of the LOCKSS USDocs project  
12 based on those library principles that I'll  
13 talk about in a second.

14 I'm going to put up my slides on  
15 slideshare.net/freegovinfo. Is that it?  
16 That's the agenda but how do you move it  
17 forward? Oh, cool. Is that moving without  
18 you -- oh, okay. How do you move it back.  
19 Okay. Just leave it there and I'll catch up  
20 to that.

21 So the principles. I gave a  
22 similar talk about LOCKSS USDocs two weeks ago  
23 at the best practices exchange in Arizona, hot

1 and steamy Arizona. I've tweaked some things  
2 for this talk but I realize that some of the  
3 same issues and ideas that I wanted to  
4 highlight at best practices exchange hold sway  
5 in a crowd like this of depository librarians.

6           Library practices don't just deal  
7 with purely technical aspects. Because of the  
8 nature and the history of libraries and  
9 archives as memory organizations we also must  
10 deal with the social aspects and impacts of  
11 and on our practices.

12           The social aspects of libraries  
13 are our fundamental baseline, our raison  
14 d'etre. In thinking about what I wanted to  
15 say to this group about the LOCKSS USDocs  
16 project about born-digital government  
17 documents. I kept coming back to these  
18 fundamental principles of libraries because  
19 those principles are at the end of the day the  
20 criteria for judging whether or not our  
21 practices and our projects are, indeed, "best  
22 practices" or solid projects.

23           For me running through this check

1 list helps me to evaluate my work on a  
2 specific project or my daily work as I go  
3 about drinking coffee and doing things like  
4 that.

5           For instance, if I'm evaluating a  
6 project that seems to be valuable but I find  
7 that it uses proprietary software or the  
8 control of the content for the project is not  
9 in the hands of the library or the goal of the  
10 project seems to be profit over the public  
11 interest, then this leads me to have questions  
12 about that project.

13           As a reminder I would first like  
14 to enumerate some of the library principles  
15 that I use as a checklist. Then if you have  
16 others, please let me know and I would love to  
17 add more.

18           So the principles that I use and  
19 the question that I put to myself is does the  
20 project forward democratic ideals; does the  
21 project serve the public interest, public  
22 access, public control, public preservation;  
23 does the project serve the information needs

1 of the community; does the project forward the  
2 long-term institutional viability of libraries  
3 in general; and does the project promote and  
4 leverage collective action.

5 Keep these principles in mind as I  
6 spend the next few minutes talking about  
7 LOCKSS and LOCKSS USDocs. Then you can let me  
8 know how close I got to my ideal. Is it going  
9 to work? Woo-hoo, it does work.

10 So most of you know by now,  
11 hopefully you have a vague idea about LOCKSS.  
12 Lots of copies keep stuff safe. LOCKSS began  
13 at Stanford in 1999. The software itself was  
14 built to solve the problem of long-term  
15 preservation of digital content.

16 It's an open source distributed  
17 digital preservation system based on open  
18 standards like OAIS, OpenURL, HTTP, the Web  
19 ARChive file format, the file format that the  
20 Internet Archive uses, that the End-of-Term  
21 Project uses, and a lot of these harvesting  
22 projects use.

23 Originally LOCKSS was focused on

1 journal literature and today CLOCKSS is going  
2 strong with 81 libraries and 30 journal  
3 publishers participating. Over the last 10  
4 years LOCKSS has also been used by other  
5 projects focusing on things like government  
6 publications and archives, government records,  
7 theses and dissertations, numeric data and  
8 those kinds of things.

9           The goals of LOCKSS is to spread  
10 the economic cost and the responsibility of  
11 digital preservation across a peer-to-peer  
12 network and keep the costs low by using off-  
13 the-shelf hardware and Linux software, open-  
14 source software so that libraries and content  
15 publishers can easily and affordably create,  
16 preserve, and archive local electronic  
17 collections and readers can access archived  
18 and newly published content transparently at  
19 the original URLs.

20           If you think about it, a LOCKSS  
21 box is what we call the baseline, I guess. A  
22 LOCKSS Box is like a digital distributed  
23 bookshelf.

1                   I don't know if you can see that  
2                   slide very well but this is just a couple of  
3                   examples of projects that are using LOCKSS  
4                   currently. There's things like PeDALS, the  
5                   Persistent Digital Archives and Libraries,  
6                   Data-PASS, the data preservation alliance for  
7                   the social sciences, the MetaArchive  
8                   cooperative, the Network Digital Library of  
9                   Theses and Dissertations and, of course,  
10                  LOCKSS and CLOCKSS.

11                  I would be remiss if I didn't talk  
12                  about funding. Funding is always an issue and  
13                  sustainable funding even more so in this time  
14                  of economic uncertainty. This is an issue  
15                  that I really think that LOCKSS is  
16                  particularly good at. LOCKSS is primarily  
17                  funded by the libraries that participate in  
18                  the LOCKSS alliance.

19                  It's also received major funding  
20                  and in-kind support from several other  
21                  organizations like the Mellon Foundation and  
22                  the National Science Foundation, Sun  
23                  Microsystems, HP Labs, and several computer

1 science departments.

2 As we all know, a web is stronger  
3 and more viable than a silo and this is where  
4 LOCKSS really shines. If one node of the  
5 peer-to-peer network goes dark, the content is  
6 still preserved kind of like the FDLP. About  
7 that more in a minute.

8 So now we get to the nuts and  
9 bolts of LOCKSS. How does LOCKSS work. There  
10 are basically two parts to the LOCKSS system.  
11 The first part is harvest and content  
12 collection and the second part is content  
13 checking and replication. This is a sample  
14 LOCKSS permission statement. This happens to  
15 be coming from the FDSys both data repository  
16 for the Federal Register.

17 Once a site puts up this  
18 permission then LOCKSS go ahead and harvests  
19 it, sends out its minions, its harvester which  
20 is the Heritrix harvester built by the  
21 Internet Archive and several others and used  
22 by the End-of-Term Project, the California  
23 Digital Library's web harvesting service, and

1 other projects. Heritrix is really the state  
2 of the art in web harvesting.

3 This is really sort of the special  
4 sauce of LOCKSS software. LOCKSS goes through  
5 the process of checking and polling all of the  
6 digital content in the LOCKSS boxes on the  
7 network.

8 If one box has content that's  
9 different from all of the other boxes, the  
10 software fixes the content assuring that all  
11 of the content in the whole network is exactly  
12 the same. It is for all intents and purposes  
13 injecting stem cells into the network to  
14 replicate and fix content that becomes  
15 corrupted over time.

16 That's really it. That's why  
17 LOCKSS, I think, is eloquent in its simplicity  
18 and it's proven effective over the long term  
19 in keeping digital content safely preserved  
20 over time. In the digital world this is as  
21 close to the Unix maxim of doing one thing and  
22 doing it well.

23 So now onto LOCKSS USDocs. You



1 can see why describing how the software works  
2 that we're really excited about using LOCKSS  
3 for documents. We felt that LOCKSS and LOCKSS  
4 USDocs replicates key aspects of the FDLP in  
5 the digital environment, a network of  
6 libraries supporting access to and long-term  
7 preservation of government documents.

8           It makes reality, or starts to  
9 work toward the reality of the concept of  
10 digital deposit which we've written about on  
11 freegovinfo and think that is an essential  
12 component of the digital FDLP.

13           In the paper environment, as we  
14 know, the de-centralized FDLP is a tamper  
15 evident system so when someone tried to alter  
16 or withdraw a paper document from the system  
17 for whatever reasons, we librarians in this  
18 room and around the country were alerted and  
19 could react to the recall request in a public  
20 and open manner.

21           Using the LOCKSS software we are  
22 really re-implementing this tamper-evident  
23 preservation system for digital documents.

1 Rather than a central silo on a .gov server  
2 digital government documents now resides on 20  
3 servers at 20 different libraries and  
4 counting. I hope they're counting. More on  
5 that soon.

6 So currently LOCKSS USDocs is  
7 preserving two large swaths of content. The  
8 first chunk that we started with was the GPO  
9 Access content from 1991 to 2007 that was  
10 harvested by Carl Malamud at  
11 public.resource.org with the help of GPO.

12 They worked together for him to  
13 harvest all that content out and host it on  
14 public.resource.org so then Carl just put up  
15 a permission statement and we sucked it all  
16 into the network.

17 We just started with FDsys  
18 collections and we are currently harvesting  
19 all of the collections that are now on FDsys  
20 with the help of GPO who, again, put up a  
21 permission statement in all the FDsys  
22 collections at the collection level in the  
23 site map on the bulk repository. We then had

1 the permission to harvest.

2           You're all familiar with GPO  
3 Access and with FedSys. We're talking about  
4 collections like the Federal Register, Code of  
5 Federal Regulations, the Congressional Record,  
6 Congressional bills, Congressional reports,  
7 statutes at large, public papers of the  
8 Presidents, GAO reports, U.S. budget, and lots  
9 more. We do have future plans as well.

10           We currently have 20 libraries  
11 participating in the project as well as five  
12 of those libraries are regionals, I'm happy to  
13 announce, including University of Alabama,  
14 University of Kentucky, University of North  
15 Carolina, University of Virginia, University  
16 of Wisconsin-Madison.

17           We're looking for more libraries,  
18 especially regionals, but we would love to  
19 have libraries of different types, different  
20 sizes, law, special public, academic  
21 libraries. All are welcome.

22           We've started talking about --  
23 Vicki Reich is the head of the LOCKSS project

1 and we've talked about the idea of allowing  
2 non-LOCKSS alliance members to participate in  
3 this project because we really feel that  
4 USDocs is incredibly important and we  
5 shouldn't just have it be LOCKSS alliance  
6 members. Even if you're not a LOCKSS alliance  
7 member, please come and see me or email me and  
8 we can talk about how you can participate,  
9 too.

10 So going back to the principles,  
11 I'll just wrap up real quick, I think we can  
12 answer in the affirmative the principles that  
13 I outlined at first. Does LOCKSS USDocs  
14 forward democratic ideals? Does it serve the  
15 public interest or public access, public  
16 control, and public preservation?

17 Does it serve the information  
18 needs of the community? Does it forward the  
19 long-term institutional viability of  
20 libraries? Does it promote and leverage  
21 collective action? I think we can answer all  
22 of those in the affirmative.

23 What's next? So we are looking

1 for more participants. The more the merrier.  
2 The more nodes on the network, the stronger  
3 the whole network is which is sort of the idea  
4 behind the FDLP. We're looking to expand  
5 collections now that we've got FDsys and we've  
6 got GPO access. We're looking at things like  
7 the essential titles list.

8           Currently I think about 15 or so  
9 collections on FDsys are listed on the  
10 essential titles list and we would like to  
11 target either those agencies or get those  
12 agencies to move those essential titles into  
13 FedSys so that we could easily harvest.

14           These are titles like -- we all  
15 know what the essential titles are;  
16 agricultural statistics, county/city data  
17 book, foreign relations of the United States,  
18 occupational outlook handbook, stat abs, etc.

19           We're also going to start  
20 harvesting CRS reports. There are several  
21 repositories of CRS reports around the web  
22 including the University of North Texas, Open  
23 CRS, several State Department websites and

1 other non-.gov sites.

2 I did a project with Archive-It to  
3 harvest all of those sites up and so the  
4 Internet Archive plays well with LOCKSS and  
5 we're going to start harvesting those CRS  
6 reports. We're looking also for collections  
7 both on and off of .gov servers.

8 In conclusion, Abby Smith Rumsey  
9 in the executive summary of the 2010 Blue  
10 Ribbon Task Force on Sustainable Digital  
11 Preservation and Access -- that's the longest  
12 title of a working group ever I think -- but  
13 Abby wrote that, "Access to valuable digital  
14 materials tomorrow depends upon preservation  
15 actions taken today. Over time access depends  
16 on ongoing and efficient allocation of  
17 resources to preservation."

18 I really think that LOCKSS USDocs  
19 is taking that efficient action today to  
20 assure long-term preservation of our nation's  
21 heritage. I hope that many of you will join  
22 us in this critical work. Thank you.

23 (Applause)

1 MS. LASTER: Okay. Thank you very  
2 much, James.

3 So the next portion of this  
4 session will be questions and answers. The  
5 way we are going to do this is we'll take  
6 questions from council and then questions from  
7 the community. If we absolutely run out of  
8 questions, we have slides with questions on  
9 them. I will turn it over to Justin Otto to  
10 moderate the next 30 minutes.

11 MR. OTTO: Hi. I'm Justin Otto  
12 from Eastern Washington University. Quick  
13 thing for everybody on the council. I think  
14 everybody has heard by now it's like really  
15 cold up here. For those of you who have  
16 laptops you may not realize that the heat sync  
17 on the bottom of your laptop makes an  
18 excellent hand warmer. I'm not kidding. It's  
19 good.

20 I would like to start off with a  
21 question for Mr. Mauldin. A few years ago I  
22 remember, and please correct me if I get some  
23 of the specifics wrong, but a few years ago

1       there was a harvesting pilot project of EPA  
2       materials.

3                   MR. MAULDIN:  Correct.

4                   MR. OTTO:  And there were two  
5       different vendors with two different methods  
6       for harvesting.  For the current harvesting  
7       that GPO is doing, are either of those  
8       vendor's products in use or part of what they  
9       developed?

10                  MR. MAULDIN:  No.  The EPA harvest  
11       was done by two vendors who were actually  
12       looking to do an automated harvest which  
13       identified or could scope what a publication  
14       was.

15                  The scope of the harvesting that  
16       is currently being done by GPO is actually  
17       identified through our content acquisitions  
18       and our control where we actually identify it  
19       as a true publication by human intervention  
20       where the EPA harvest was actually trying to  
21       identify a bot, to have a bot do those same  
22       things that a human would do.

23                  MR. OTTO:  Thank you.



1 MS. LASTER: James, I have another  
2 questions for you and this one came to us from  
3 the blog. What is the current status of  
4 agency materials being ingested into FDsys?  
5 Specifically those from the agency websites.  
6 What is the current status of that? Oh,  
7 sorry. Shari Laster, University of Akron.

8 MR. MAULDIN: James Mauldin, GPO.  
9 Currently FDsys is not ingesting harvested  
10 content. That is scheduled for later release.

11 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
12 California State Library. A few questions for  
13 Cathy. You harvested the agency websites  
14 before the election, right after the election,  
15 and then after the inauguration. Did you find  
16 that there was a lot of difference between  
17 what you got after the election and after the  
18 inauguration? What do you attribute that  
19 difference to?

20 MS. HARTMAN: Well, that is an  
21 exercise we're leaving to the historians and  
22 the political scientists. With the quantity  
23 of materials that we have, that is not

1 something that we can actually look at and do  
2 but we thought it would be something that may  
3 at one point be of extreme interest to us as  
4 a group. Of course to our libraries but to  
5 historians as well and political scientists.

6 But, no, that is not part of our  
7 research project. Our goal is to make the  
8 material available to docs librarians to  
9 collect it in ways that meet their needs as  
10 they collect for their communities for their  
11 research project. The Web ARChive is sitting  
12 there if anyone wants to look at it. If  
13 anyone wants to take that 16-terabytes to use  
14 in other ways, we are really happy to share it  
15 with anyone.

16 Yes, Kate.

17 MS. ZWAARD: Hi. This is Kate  
18 Zwaard from GPO. I just wanted to add a  
19 little bit to what James said about harvested  
20 material. When we talk about harvesting in  
21 FDSys right now, we are actually talking about  
22 a complex set of challenges. Right now FDSys  
23 can accept any type of content. If you want

1 to submit harvested or converted or deposited  
2 content as a package, you can do that.

3 When you talk about enabling  
4 harvesting capabilities in FDsys it's more  
5 than just submission. It's discovery. It's  
6 automated scope determination. It's  
7 packaging. It's complex file types. Right  
8 now if we have PDFs that's simple, but if  
9 we're talking about a like interactive map,  
10 those require special types of requirements.

11 For harvested documents we are  
12 technically able to accept that but the  
13 working group that James mentioned, that's  
14 part of the activity to develop a road map,  
15 look at those challenges, and come up with  
16 ways of solving them and a time line for that.

17 MS. HARTMAN: A follow-up to  
18 David's question. I just wanted to say any of  
19 the project team from UNT or any of the  
20 subject matter experts who would like to  
21 comment on David's question, I hope that all  
22 of that group out in the audience will help me  
23 as we address questions about this project.

1                   It looks like Mark wants to say  
2 something.

3                   MR. PHILLIPS: Mark Phillips,  
4 University of North Texas. One of the things  
5 that we noticed immediately, and this seems  
6 really obvious, but so whenever you have a new  
7 president literally the moment he put his hand  
8 on the Bible and finished they switched over  
9 WhiteHouse.gov. It was gone.

10                  Now, there were certain  
11 considerations that NARA had made to allow  
12 people access to that content but, you know,  
13 depending on the agency and depending on how  
14 public it is and how much change is actually  
15 brought on by an administration change, it  
16 could be very quick that these changes  
17 completely overhauled the website.

18                  It's not so much that the  
19 information is not there. It's just not in  
20 the same place that it was. We saw that  
21 wholesale with the WhiteHouse.gov. Then you  
22 also saw some really interesting things this  
23 last election that had changed .gov that came

1 online just days after -- actually hours after  
2 Obama was elected. That lasted until about  
3 two weeks after the inauguration and they  
4 said, "Oh, by the way, we have this other site  
5 called WhiteHouse.gov now. Just go there."

6 That whole site went away and so  
7 there are a lot of instances like that where  
8 when you have an administration starting to  
9 use technology in a new way and using it to  
10 try to reach a different population, or the  
11 same population in a different way, we're  
12 starting to see things churn much quicker.

13 By being able to capture those and  
14 the three, hopefully at some point we can go  
15 back and look at those. Those are just two  
16 solid examples we had of the change that  
17 happened really quickly this time.

18 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
19 California State Library. Just to follow-up  
20 on that, I guess what I'm asking really is  
21 what is your perception of or your prediction  
22 was as a group between the content immediately  
23 after the election and immediately after the

1 inauguration before the hand is placed on the  
2 Bible. Did you anticipate that there was  
3 going to be after the election, like the day  
4 after the election, that things were going to  
5 start to change at that point?

6 MR. PHILLIPS: I don't think --  
7 well, so the last time we had a big change  
8 like this was the switch from Clinton to Bush  
9 and that was completely different technology-  
10 wise, completely different the way that we  
11 really looked at reaching the public with the  
12 web. Bush to Bush wasn't too much change.

13 I think we were actually pretty  
14 surprised with how much stuff did start to  
15 change between the actual election and then  
16 the inauguration. Whether it's meaningful  
17 change, whether it's change that kept people  
18 from getting to content we don't know.

19 Another really small story that  
20 was interesting. When change.gov came online  
21 it had Obama's roadmap for the White House and  
22 it was pages long. You know, very indepth.  
23 Within a couple of days it became one page and

1 four bullet points.

2 Then the really funny thing was  
3 that all the journalists kept saying, "We  
4 assume that libraries have taken care of  
5 archiving this stuff." We're looking around  
6 going, "Well." There's this assumption that  
7 we were taking care of this and we weren't  
8 except just by happenstance.

9 That was just one of those things  
10 that really caught us off guard. For us it  
11 was an indicator that this administration is  
12 going to be quite a bit different than the  
13 other ones as far as using this technology and  
14 we probably have to be more proactive than we  
15 were.

16 MS. HARTMAN: Just to follow up on  
17 that, I would bet that the people in this room  
18 could give you examples of changes that they  
19 saw as users of these resources on a daily  
20 basis.

21 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
22 Stanford University. It also points to the  
23 idea that, yes, we still need to collect

1 "publications" but because content is going  
2 online and our users want to do different  
3 things, they want to start analyzing big  
4 chunks of data, 16-terabytes of data, we have  
5 to start thinking about beyond simple  
6 publications but publications are still really  
7 important. We still have to collect the PDFs  
8 but we have to do more.

9 MS. HARTMAN: We have historians  
10 who are interested in data mining, looking at  
11 trends, looking at language use, a lot of  
12 different kinds of things, just the raw data.

13 MS. JARRETT: Peggy Jarrett,  
14 Gallagher Law Library, University of  
15 Washington. I have a question for James.  
16 This James, the James closer to me. So how  
17 does LOCKSS deal with version control?  
18 Specifically you mentioned something which  
19 always makes my ears perk up which is CRS  
20 reports and CRS reports have different  
21 versions and sometimes it can be just a matter  
22 of days, weeks. An open CRS will have the  
23 date and sometimes the different places will



1 have different versions and how are you going  
2 to deal with that problem or challenge?

3 MR. JACOBS: It is a challenge and  
4 the way the LOCKSS software deals with it is  
5 it's sort of at the bit level. The harvester  
6 goes to the site and if there are any changes  
7 in the site or in the bits, it harvests it up  
8 and assumes that there are changes.

9 Right now we are only collecting  
10 XML so we can do other things afterwards.  
11 You're right, the change over time is  
12 difficult. It's sort of like an RSS feed.

13 MS. JARRETT: But would you have  
14 both? Would the thing not replace one with  
15 the other but both would be separate discrete  
16 units?

17 MR. JACOBS: Yes, they would. The  
18 CRS reports specifically we harvested from a  
19 lot of different places so if those places had  
20 each version of those, you know, there are a  
21 lot of CRS reports that are published annually  
22 which offer really nice vision of legislation  
23 over time and we've harvested everything.

1 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
2 University of Akron. I have a question, I  
3 guess, both Cathy and non-GPO James -- sorry.  
4 Can you go into a little more elaboration  
5 about what GPO did to support your project and  
6 a little bit more about what they specifically  
7 contributed?

8 MS. HARTMAN: I think my answer  
9 will be shorter than James' probably since his  
10 is a collaboration with GPO. For us GPO was  
11 a member of the International Internet  
12 Preservation Consortium and so they were one  
13 of the groups that originally planned the call  
14 and some members of GPO sat in on our monthly  
15 meetings which we still have.

16 Then as we look at subject matter  
17 experts, we knew that if we could pull some  
18 folks from GPO who handled this material every  
19 day, that would be a real benefit and we were  
20 able to get Robin Haun-Mohamed, Laurie Hall,  
21 and George Barnum to be members of that group.  
22 I think that is how the GPO has been  
23 introduced to the project and the

1 collaboration they've offered.

2 MR. JACOBS: For the LOCKSS  
3 project GPO did not a small amount of work.  
4 They basically had to re-engineer the FDsys  
5 site to include those LOCKSS permission  
6 statements. Kate Zwaard and David Hall and a  
7 bunch of other GPO people put time into  
8 actually making sure that FDsys played well.

9 They also collaborated when we  
10 started looking at FDsys to look at the site  
11 structure. They worked with our LOCKSS  
12 programmer to make a site map to make it  
13 easier for the LOCKSS harvester to crawl the  
14 site.

15 They did not a small amount of  
16 work on that. They are continuing to  
17 participate. They are on the listserv for the  
18 project. They are not actually running a  
19 LOCKSS box but they continue to participate.

20 MS. HARTMAN: One thing I forgot  
21 that Suzanne reminded me. GPO has been very  
22 gracious in providing us a room the day before  
23 these DLC meetings for our subject matter

1 expert meetings. I want to thank them for  
2 doing that. Lance has been a great help in  
3 helping us get space to meet.

4 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
5 California State Library. Once again to  
6 Cathy. I've been thinking about the  
7 discrepancy that you found between the  
8 institutions that said in the biannual survey  
9 that they were willing to accept digital  
10 content in your findings.

11 A couple of things that I've been  
12 thinking is that I can't remember exactly how  
13 the question was posed in the biannual survey  
14 but the definite impression I got when I  
15 answered that question was would you be  
16 interested or would you possibly want to,  
17 whereas your question was posed as are you  
18 likely. The second thing is those biannual  
19 survey questions were done two to four years  
20 ago and yours are fairly recent so maybe  
21 the --

22 MS. LASTER: When was the last  
23 biannual done? I bet Robin could tell us

1 immediately.

2 MR. CISMOWSKI: I seem to remember  
3 that two surveys in a row that question was  
4 asked but maybe I'm wrong. 2007 and 2009.

5 MS. HARTMAN: Kathleen, could you  
6 come to mic? We can't hear you.

7 Kathleen has been working with  
8 that data and comparing it to our data.

9 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathleen  
10 Murray, University of North Texas. The  
11 biannual survey data that we used was 2009  
12 biannual survey data. The question that was  
13 asked and the results that were obtained from  
14 the 2009 survey questions from question 18B.  
15 It has three parts. Actually the survey  
16 responses in 2009 and 2007 were in appreciably  
17 different on the biannual survey.

18 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
19 California State Library. Do you remember how  
20 that question was phrased?

21 MS. MURRAY: I have the wording  
22 back there. I'll go get it and come back.

23 MR. OTTO: While we're waiting,

1 Robin, sorry. I skipped you before.

2 MS. HAUN-MOHAMED: Okay. Now it's  
3 working. Robin Haun-Mohamed, GPO. Two  
4 things. Let me do the last one that Kathleen  
5 is working on. We did participate in the  
6 group yesterday and heard some interesting  
7 discussion about the way the questions were  
8 phrased.

9 We also heard a discussion of the  
10 way I answered it was probably not exactly  
11 accurate because what I'm hoping is my answer  
12 supports the libraries that want to do this,  
13 to move the project ahead. We are going to be  
14 having some discussion about how to ask that  
15 question again for -- guess what? The 2011  
16 survey is coming up.

17 With regard to participation with  
18 the interim harvest project we had totally  
19 mercenary reasons to participate because all  
20 this information gathered together, websites,  
21 lots and lots of publications to gather.

22 While we can't access it and deal  
23 with it in minutiae now, we can in the future.

1 We are very confident of that so our  
2 participation has been one of advisory and  
3 discussion. We'll move into another phrase  
4 down the road.

5 I want to make sure that we  
6 included that. There is nothing nice about  
7 this. We want that information and if you  
8 haven't been to see the stuff they put  
9 forward, go to that site. It is just  
10 incredible.

11 Wait until you see the balls  
12 bounce around with the strings. It's really  
13 a wonderful project. I don't want to do 250  
14 but 240 might be fun on the classification.  
15 I mean, it really looks like an interesting  
16 project so thank you.

17 MS. MURRAY: Just real briefly.  
18 The question from the biannual survey. Are  
19 you interested in receiving digital files on  
20 deposit? You're correct. The question is are  
21 you interested. Have you discussed this with  
22 your library director? Is there  
23 administrative support for receiving digital

1 files on deposit?

2 Our question was how likely is  
3 your library to access materials from a web  
4 archive at a repository that you trust. Then  
5 how likely is your library to acquire  
6 materials from a web archive at an  
7 institution's repository that you trust.  
8 Those questions were different.

9 MS. HARTMAN: Geoff is one of our  
10 SMEs as well.

11 Geoff, you had a comment on that?

12 MR. SWINDELLS: No. Actually it's  
13 a question. Geoff Swindells -- are we doing  
14 questions from the audience?

15 MR. OTTO: Well, any other  
16 questions from the council first.

17 Please, David.

18 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
19 California State Library. The automatic  
20 assignation of SuDoc numbers. A very  
21 intriguing idea whether it's possible to do  
22 that accurately or not. It will be very  
23 interesting -- it's more of a comment than a



1 question. It will be very interesting to see  
2 how much specificity you can arrive at  
3 automatically. I'm assuming that you're not  
4 going to try to go beyond the stem into the  
5 book number but --

6 MS. HARTMAN: Definitely not.

7 MR. CISMOWSKI: But it will be  
8 interesting within the stem itself whether you  
9 can go all the way to the colon or not or  
10 whether you're going to have to stop sooner  
11 than that.

12 MS. HARTMAN: Right now we're  
13 looking at the domain and that next level  
14 subdomain to see if we can do that. I think  
15 we may be able to do that. When you go to the  
16 next level subdomain, that second subdomain,  
17 it gets harder.

18 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
19 Stanford University. This is a question for  
20 my colleague James. I'm wondering about the  
21 GPO harvesting and the fugitive documents  
22 process and whether you've tied those two  
23 together in any sort of fashion.

1                   MR. MAULDIN: Absolutely. There  
2                   are several different tools -- excuse me.  
3                   James Mauldin, GPO. There are several  
4                   different tools that our Acquisitions and  
5                   Bibliographical Control Unit uses. There is  
6                   the Lost Docs process where we can identify  
7                   fugitive materials. It then goes to our Lost  
8                   Docs. It is then worked through our  
9                   Acquisitions and then cataloging program and  
10                  then harvested that way.

11                  We also proactively go out there  
12                  and mine websites. We have acquisition  
13                  specialists who actually mine sites based on  
14                  a list of classes so we look at it  
15                  proactively.

16                  MR. JACOBS: Thanks.

17                  MR. OTTO: Any other questions  
18                  from the council? Do we have some time for  
19                  questions from the audience? Community. Not  
20                  audience, community. Sorry. It's late.

21                  Okay, please.

22                  MR. SWINDELLS: This is Geoff  
23                  Swindells, Northwestern University. This is

1 a question, I guess, for Cathy and James  
2 Mauldin and maybe Robin with her mercenary  
3 comment because I find that intriguing.

4 With the EPA harvest one of the  
5 challenges for doing that automated harvesting  
6 was collecting in-scope materials. As I  
7 understood it, and you can correct me if I'm  
8 wrong, GPO doesn't think it necessarily has  
9 the authority to do a broader grab of  
10 material. That may or may not be right.

11 However, we have seen that the  
12 multiple crawls that occur at the end-of-term  
13 archive created this vast rich archive. As a  
14 partner library with GPO couldn't GPO be  
15 minding those other archives for that material  
16 and sort of refining its harvesting from the  
17 end-of-term archive?

18 MR. MAULDIN: Good question,  
19 Geoffrey. I'll start off by answering we do  
20 sometimes when there are URL addresses that  
21 change and we can't find content, we most  
22 certainly will look at North Texas, UNT, the  
23 Internet Archives and Web Harvest to identify

1 harvest and captures from those type of  
2 harvesting activities.

3 MS. HARTMAN: And, of course,  
4 we're most -- we would be most happy to work  
5 with GPO.

6 Robin, do you have some comment?  
7 We can shift those 10 million PDFs off to  
8 Laurie. She can start cataloging them with  
9 her team tomorrow.

10 (Laughter)

11 MS. HAUN-MOHAMED: Robin Haun-  
12 Mohamed, GPO. Kate, are you ready for this?  
13 I think this is a good opportunity to share  
14 that the working group is meeting and talking.

15 What we didn't want to do is put  
16 out a set of future steps that we couldn't  
17 support so we are working diligently to come  
18 up with the next steps of what to do and  
19 getting into that type of material may indeed  
20 be part of a pilot or identification of a task  
21 to ingest.

22 I want to make sure people  
23 understand we do know it's there. Good

1 question, Geoff. Come on over. We'll help  
2 you start sorting that out with us. That was  
3 the one thing that we did learn from the EPA  
4 harvest project. It got a lot of stuff but a  
5 lot of stuff that has to be compiled.

6 We even did some work with the  
7 community to try and get that pulled in  
8 together and a cooperative agreement and it's  
9 really, really a difficult thing. We want to  
10 make sure the next time we put forth a pilot  
11 that we use the information that we learned  
12 from the previous.

13 MR. OTTO: Hey, please. You've  
14 been waiting in the light blue. Please.

15 MS. WILLIAMS: Rhianna Williams,  
16 Michigan Tech University. This is more of a  
17 layman's question I suppose. For the LOCKSS  
18 Docs project how will patrons be able to  
19 access that data?

20 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
21 Stanford University. It's not a layman's  
22 question. It's fairly technical but the  
23 LOCKSS content that's harvested is not

1 accessed until the content goes away. What  
2 happens is somebody will go to a URL that  
3 we've harvested and if they get a 404 then it  
4 will go into the LOCKSS caches to get it.

5 MS. WILLIAMS: Who will be doing  
6 that checking?

7 MR. JACOBS: Who will be doing  
8 that?

9 MS. WILLIAMS: Or how will that be  
10 checked?

11 MR. JACOBS: It's checked by the  
12 LOCKSS software.

13 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.

14 MR. JACOBS: Yeah.

15 MS. WILLIAMS: And then once it's  
16 released then is it replacing that URL so  
17 people can get to it? Is there a search  
18 feature for them to find it?

19 MR. JACOBS: There's currently not  
20 a search feature to the content that we've  
21 harvested. This is something that I've  
22 thought about and a lot of people think once  
23 you harvest that's the end of the project.

1                   Really what I'm starting to try to  
2                   get my head around that is really the  
3                   beginning of the project because once a  
4                   library has that content harvested and it's  
5                   public domain content, it's currently in XML  
6                   so it's very easy to make public in your own  
7                   web space, to put into your institutional  
8                   repository, to create subject archives of  
9                   public domain content and non-public domain  
10                  content.

11                  I'm really trying to get at that  
12                  idea that that's the beginning of the process  
13                  similar to paper documents. Collecting a  
14                  paper document from GPO, that's not the end of  
15                  your responsibility. That's the beginning of  
16                  your responsibility and then you have to  
17                  preserve it and give access to it. You're  
18                  cutting me off?

19                  MS. LASTER: Sorry. Shari Laster,  
20                  University of Akron. I've been informed by  
21                  our fearless leader that we have time for one  
22                  more question and we would like --

23                  MR. JACOBS: I can talk more

1 later.

2 MS. LASTER: -- the questions to  
3 be -- Cathy will not be available after this  
4 session so questions about GPO and questions  
5 about LOCKSS can also be asked at the  
6 Wednesday session, Wednesday morning at 10:30  
7 session. Are there questions -- is there one  
8 more question specifically about the end-of-  
9 term harvest?

10 Okay. If not, I am told that we  
11 need to adjourn because it's 5:30. Thank you  
12 all very much for attending this session and  
13 we welcome questions Wednesday at 10:30. I  
14 know there will be other people who at least  
15 have some knowledge of the end-of-term crawl  
16 who will be there so we can at least get an  
17 idea of answers to those questions. Thank  
18 you.

19 (Whereupon, at 5:29 p.m. the  
20 meeting was adjourned.)

21

22



1 GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
2 DEPOSITORY LIBRARY COUNCIL  
3 FALL MEETING  
4

5 TUESDAY  
6 OCTOBER 19, 2010  
7

8 The Council met at the Doubletree Hotel  
9 Crystal City, Crystal Ballroom A-B, 300 Army  
10 Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia, at 8:30 a.m.,  
11 Suzanne Sears, Chair, presiding.  
12

13 COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:  
14

15 SUZANNE SEARS, Chair, Depository Library  
16 Council, Assistant Dean for Public Services,  
17 University of North Texas Libraries  
18 HELEN BURKE, Hennepin County Library  
19 DAVID CISMOWSKI, Head, Government Publication  
20 Section, California State Library  
21 STEPHEN M. HAYES, University of Notre Dame  
22 SARAH (SALLY) G. HOLTERHOFF, Valparaiso  
23 University Law Library  
24 JAMES R. JACOBS, Green Library, Stanford  
25 University  
26 PEGGY ROEBUCK JARRETT, Gallagher Law Library,  
27 University of Washington  
28 SHARALYN J. LASTER, Bierce Library, University  
29 of Akron  
30 JILL A. MORIEARTY, Knowledge Commons Liaison,  
31 J. Willard Marriott Library, University of  
32 Utah  
33 DANIEL P. O'MAHONY, Brown University  
34 JUSTIN OTTO, John F. Kennedy Library, Eastern  
35 Washington University  
36 DEBBIE RABINA, Ph.D, Pratt Institute School of  
37 Information and Library Science  
38 ANN MARIE SANDERS, Library of Michigan  
39 CAMILLA TUBBS, Yale Law Library  
40 ALSO PRESENT:  
41 STUART BASEFSKY, Senior Reference Librarian,  
42 School of Industrial and Labor Relations,  
43 Cornell University  
44 TIM BYRNE, Senior Outreach Librarian, U.S.  
45 Department of Energy  
46 SCOTT MATHESON, Web Manager, Yale University  
47 Library

1 MARY PROPHEET, Deputy Director, Head of  
2 Government Documents, Denison University  
3 JUDY RUSSELL, Dean of Libraries, University of  
4 Florida  
5 GEOFFREY SWINDELLS, Department Head,  
6 Government and Geographic Information and  
7 Data Services, Northwestern University  
8  
9  
10 TABLE OF CONTENTS  
11 OPENING MARKS  
12 Suzanne Sears, Chair  
13  
14 150th ANNIVERSARY OF GPO George Barnum  
15  
16 EMERGING MODELS FOR PARTNERSHIPS AMONG DEPOSITORY  
17 LIBRARIES  
18 Judy Russell, Dean of Libraries  
19 University of Florida  
20 Mary Prophet, Deputy  
21 Head of Government Documents, Denison Univ.  
22 Geoff Swindells, Head, Government  
23 and Geographic Information and Data  
24 Services, Northwestern University  
25  
26 QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD  
27  
28 AUTHENTICATION OF DIGITAL GOVERNMENT  
29 INFORMATION: WHY DOES IT MATTER?  
30 Camilla Tubbs  
31 Scott Matheson  
32 Tim Byrne  
33 Stuart Basefsky  
34  
35 QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

8:34 a.m.

CHAIR SEARS: Okay. I'd like to get started if we could all take our seats and stop talking please. Thank you.

This morning we have a session that is going to be run by Ann Sanders but previous to that session, we are going to take just a few minutes for George Barnum to talk about the 150th Anniversary of the Government Printing Office.

George.

MR. BARNUM: Good morning, everybody.

I'm not used to doing this sitting. I can't wobble back and forth on my feet.

It's a pleasure as always to be here and to be talking to you about something that I'm pretty excited about.

In Buffalo, for those of you who were there, you heard our sort of very preliminary plans about the celebration of

1 GPO's 150th Anniversary and we've sort of  
2 solidified those plans a bit. I think you  
3 heard a little bit about that yesterday from  
4 the Public Printer. And I'm going to expand  
5 on that a little bit and then I'm going to  
6 make an invitation to you to participate in a  
7 couple of ways.

8 We kicked off the celebrations in  
9 June on the 23rd when we observed the day on  
10 which Congress actually passed a resolution  
11 that directed that GPO be created. And we  
12 were very fortunate to have the Archivist of  
13 the United States come over and speak to the  
14 current employees and an enormous group of  
15 retirees.

16 If you stop and think about what's  
17 happened to GPO over the last several years,  
18 we have a lot more retirees walking around  
19 than we have current employees. And they  
20 drift in and out for various kinds of events  
21 through the year but we don't actually invite  
22 them to things consciously very often. And so  
23 when we did, they turned out in droves. It

1 was great. We have over 1,000 people at the  
2 two events, the day side one and the night  
3 side one. It was big fun.

4 We had a cake. We gave every  
5 employee and every retiree a copy of the  
6 reprint of 100 GPO Years. We had tote bags  
7 for them but there weren't enough to go around  
8 and boy were they upset about that. But it  
9 was a lot of fun. That kicked us off and we  
10 are now into the heat of the real celebration.

11 The actual day of the anniversary  
12 is March the 4th and we will have another  
13 observance in the GOI auditorium, Harding  
14 Hall, on that day. At that moment we will  
15 officially declare the new history that's  
16 being prepared/published. That history will  
17 be in a somewhat different spirit than 100 GPO  
18 Years. It will be much more graphical, much  
19 more pictorial and it will be a narrative of  
20 the entire 150 years instead of being that  
21 funny time line.

22 You all got 100 GPO Years in your  
23 shipping boxes and I want to point out to you.

1 If you haven't really looked closely at it, we  
2 improved it. We really changed it in only one  
3 substantial way from the 1961 edition. I  
4 indexed it. Thank you. And I'm very proud of  
5 the index. And what do you know? It works.  
6 So, we had to at that point call it a new  
7 edition, of course, because it wasn't just a  
8 reprint anymore.

9 We'll publish the new book on  
10 March the 4th. We'll have another celebration  
11 and on March the 4th we will open about six  
12 months worth of an exhibit about GPO's role  
13 and history in American life. We are going to  
14 be refitting space in Building C. That's the  
15 732 North Capitol, the 1940 building. And we  
16 have hired a really outstanding exhibit design  
17 firm, Reich & Petch, International to work  
18 with us to tell the story and tell it really,  
19 really beautifully. Our designer is just  
20 amazing and joyful to work with and we're  
21 having a lot of fun.

22 This is where the invitation comes  
23 in. That will open on March 3rd of March 4th

1 and the first invitation is please if you have  
2 any plans that will bring you to Washington,  
3 come on down and see the exhibit.

4 I will tell you that we will  
5 certainly do some kind of virtual spinoff to  
6 the exhibit so you'll be able to see over the  
7 web some of what is there. But there will be  
8 one kind of marquee exhibit that we're working  
9 on. We are going to be borrowing from the  
10 Rare Books and Manuscripts Division of the  
11 Library of Congress one of the original  
12 printing states of the Emancipation  
13 Proclamation which we printed and which has  
14 our proofreader's marks on it. And that will  
15 be with us for probably just about 90 days.  
16 We'll have a facsimile after that but we're  
17 hoping that that's really going to spark some  
18 interest in people coming in. So, that's the  
19 first bit of the invitation.

20 The real bit of the invitation is  
21 that you've already seen on the desktop the  
22 call for items that we'd like to borrow for  
23 this exhibit. We'd like to involve you all in

1 this way. We know that there are gems hiding  
2 in your collections. Maybe not even hiding.  
3 And if you're willing to let us borrow them,  
4 we'd really love to have them.

5           There is a sort of notional  
6 preliminary list that appears with that  
7 announcement on the desktop and you can send  
8 me a message that way or you can send me a  
9 message at [gbarnum@gpo.gov](mailto:gbarnum@gpo.gov) and say, hey, we've  
10 got this that or the other.

11           The list is not to be viewed as  
12 set in stone and so if it sparks an idea in  
13 your mind of something else that you have that  
14 we might be interested in, please do let me  
15 know and we'll make arrangements with all the  
16 proper agreements and all that sort of thing  
17 and getting it to us and back to you at the  
18 end and all that.

19           So, we want to have as many  
20 objects borrowed from our libraries as we  
21 possibly can because we want to be able to  
22 give you lots of credit and say, hey, this is  
23 part of what we do and aren't these depository



1 libraries great. This is the breadth and the  
2 depth of these collections that we appreciate  
3 so much.

4 After the March sort of high peak  
5 we will then continue on through the year with  
6 some other smaller events, including some  
7 historical lecture talk kinds of things at  
8 GPO. And so, you know, keep an eye peeled.  
9 I think we'll have things on the history web  
10 page and on the GPO main page of things that  
11 are going on throughout the year that you may  
12 find interesting.

13 If you have any questions, please  
14 feel free to give me a call or email me and  
15 we'll look forward to seeing you as we  
16 celebrate over the next several months.

17 Thanks a bunch.

18 CHAIR SEARS: I'm now going to  
19 turn it over to Ann Marie Sanders.

20 Ann.

21 MS. SANDERS: I'm Ann Sanders from  
22 the Library of Michigan. I had a committee  
23 that worked with me on this session. We're

1 looking at models, new models, some old models  
2 of cooperation among depositories specifically  
3 in regards to the tangible historic  
4 collections.

5                   And we have three speakers, but  
6 first I want to acknowledge that Steve Hayes  
7 and Dan O'Mahony and Cindy Etkin all worked  
8 with me to put this together. And we're a  
9 little short on time so we're going to go  
10 right ahead and we're going to start with Judy  
11 Russell who is Dean of Libraries at the  
12 University of Florida.

13                   MS. RUSSELL: Thank you, Ann.

14                   Good morning. It's nice to be  
15 here with you. Seems kind of like old home  
16 week actually to be back with all of you and  
17 I'm happy to be here and share some  
18 information with you about some initiatives  
19 that I'm involved with now that I'm at the  
20 University of Florida.

21                   I'm going to be talking to you  
22 this morning about an initiative that have  
23 come out of the Deans of ASERL, the

1 Association of Southeastern Research  
2 Libraries. We have 28 members in 10 states;  
3 Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky,  
4 Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South  
5 Carolina, Tennessee and Virginia. And because  
6 Florida serves as the regional for Puerto Rico  
7 and the Virgin Islands, they have become a  
8 part of this initiative as well. So, we have  
9 10 states, 12 regional depository libraries  
10 and 248 selectives, over 20 percent of the  
11 depository program in this region.

12 Before I describe the ASERL  
13 project to you, though I wanted to share with  
14 you one kind of piece of late-breaking news,  
15 and we'll open this up here. Last week the  
16 Association for Research Libraries met here in  
17 Washington and enacted or approved a statement  
18 of principles on the Federal Depository  
19 Library Program. I've given copies to the  
20 Council members. There are a number of copies  
21 out at the front desk. I didn't have time to  
22 get enough copies to hand out to everyone, but  
23 you can certainly take a look at the copies

1 that are on the desk. And actually if you go  
2 to the home page of ARL.org, you will see a  
3 link right off the home page but this is the  
4 link if you wanted to copy it down.

5 ARL has had a strong interest in  
6 and commitment to the depository program. But  
7 Deans are very anxious to see increased  
8 flexibility and improvements in the management  
9 of the program. And they recognized the need  
10 for improved access, particularly to digital  
11 and digitized content. And have kind of  
12 worked up this statement to indicate some of  
13 the concerns that they have in some of the  
14 sort of baseline positions, I guess, that they  
15 are taking.

16 They recognize the great need for  
17 cataloging to manage print and to provide  
18 metadata for digitization and they continue to  
19 be strong supporters of the implementation of  
20 FedSys would like to see it certified as a  
21 trusted repository but also perhaps to create  
22 a relationship with a non-governmental, one or  
23 more non-governmental trusted sites so that

1 there is a backup for it outside of the hands  
2 of the government.

3 There are some things in the  
4 statement that are intended to kind of create  
5 a baseline of where they feel the extent of  
6 the commitments that ARL institutions should  
7 be required to make as distinct from the ones  
8 that they might voluntarily make.

9 So, for example, the initial  
10 principle is that although we may choose to do  
11 more than is required by law, we are not  
12 obligated to do more than what is required by  
13 law. And that's not intended to be a negative  
14 but it is intended to acknowledge that this is  
15 an unfunded mandate that puts a significant  
16 burden on us. And that we should not be  
17 looking at creating new responsibilities for  
18 regionals, in particular, but for the  
19 depository program in general at this point in  
20 time.

21 Along with that note that we are  
22 not required by law to build comprehensive  
23 retrospective collections that many of us

1 choose to augment our collections,  
2 particularly as they meet local and state  
3 needs and you'll hear more about how we're  
4 following through on that interest and  
5 commitment within ASERL but, again, that that  
6 is not a requirement that is imposed on the  
7 regionals.

8           They are asking for a re-  
9 examination of state plans to be sure that  
10 where state plans have been enacted they don't  
11 go beyond the requirements of law in what they  
12 are imposing on the depositories. And they're  
13 particularly concerned because they value the  
14 digital collections and see a strong need for  
15 them, but they're particularly concerned that  
16 we not see a new commitment for digital  
17 deposit at regionals. They feel that that  
18 would be a significant increase in the  
19 responsibilities and probably one that cannot  
20 be taken on. And, again, that's not to say  
21 that people might not voluntarily assume  
22 responsibility for some portions of the  
23 digital collection, particularly those that

1 might be very relevant to their particular  
2 communities or subject interests, but that  
3 this should not become a mandate.

4           They also note that they believe  
5 that we probably should be building toward  
6 having no more than 15 regionally distributive  
7 comprehensive print collections. And I would  
8 ask you to listen to that very carefully.  
9 They're not saying there should only be 15  
10 regional depository libraries. But they are  
11 acknowledging that to really truly have  
12 comprehensive collections is going to be a  
13 collaborative effort involving many regional  
14 and selective depositories and that in the end  
15 we can probably manage with 15 comprehensive  
16 sets. And that isn't to say that regionals  
17 would discard things that they have, but that  
18 we would try to document the holdings  
19 sufficiently that we would have an assurance  
20 that there were 15 copies handled somewhere  
21 within the program. And, again, you'll see  
22 that echoes the plans that we have within  
23 ASERL.

1                   They are also encouraging a  
2                   simplified withdrawal process to facilitate  
3                   it. Any of you who are in regionals are  
4                   certainly aware that our selectives have been  
5                   doing a huge amount of discarding and the  
6                   disposition processes are so variable from  
7                   state to state and they can create an  
8                   additional burden on the regionals. And,  
9                   again, you'll see that echoes some of the same  
10                  kinds of things that we've been addressing in  
11                  ASERL.

12                  So, I encourage you to look at the  
13                  statement. Prue Adler is going to be here  
14                  this afternoon and I believe the statement is  
15                  going to be discussed in the regional meeting.  
16                  So, if there are specific questions or issues  
17                  that you have and I'll be around at the break  
18                  and we'll be happy to talk with you as well.  
19                  But I think it's important for you to be aware  
20                  of the statement. And, again, I would urge  
21                  you to look at it as an affirmative statement  
22                  because while they are stating some boundaries  
23                  if you will, they are also doing so in the



1 context of feeling that these are important  
2 collections for them and that they have a  
3 continued commitment to the depository  
4 program, they are just seeking to be sure that  
5 that commitment is managed in an effective way  
6 and that additional burdens are not placed on  
7 them.

8           So, with that, I will switch back  
9 over to -- assuming that I can get back there.  
10 Put the generic screen back up since I'm not  
11 using PowerPoint. See if I can get back.  
12 Well, I'll just leave it.

13           So, let me switch back and talk a  
14 little bit about ASERL. Much like the ARLs  
15 have been discussing for a long time, their  
16 role in the depository program and the  
17 commitments that they are making, we started  
18 this initiative within ASERL from a desire to  
19 improve access to these collections. All 28  
20 of the ASERL libraries are large collections  
21 of documents and we all see them as enormous  
22 assets, but they have been managed  
23 individually and in a disconnected way. And

1 so we started with a very affirmative  
2 statement that we recognize the value of these  
3 collections to our individual institutions and  
4 to our communities and our states, but that we  
5 further recognize that there is a real value  
6 to them regionally and that there is a strong  
7 desire to manage them collaboratively for the  
8 benefit of the region as a whole.

9           And so we started out with a  
10 decision that we wanted to see some change and  
11 to find some way to collaborate but a way that  
12 was entirely within Title 44. We were  
13 interested in making sure that whatever  
14 initiatives we did were in full compliance  
15 with Title 44. We were not trying to be  
16 renegades. We were not trying to create  
17 radical change, but we were trying to  
18 cooperate as much as we could while staying  
19 within the law. And I will say that we did  
20 submit the draft proposal to GPO and it was  
21 reviewed by their general counsel and they did  
22 affirm that we have managed to create a  
23 proposal that does conform to Title 44, which

1 was one of our primary objectives.

2 We started out by affirming that  
3 we see a strong need for digital access. We  
4 believe that that's the direction that our  
5 users are going, that while there is  
6 significant value in the print and we have  
7 every expectation of managing the print  
8 collections, we are also managing the print  
9 collections in the context of expecting over  
10 time to have improved digital access so that  
11 the print collections become more of a safety  
12 net and less of the primary means of access.  
13 And I'll be very interested, as I know you  
14 will be, in hearing more about the CIC  
15 project. But we are watching that very  
16 closely and in regular communication with them  
17 because we see that as complimenting what  
18 we're doing and facilitating what we're doing.

19 We also set a goal of improving  
20 our best practices for managing the tangible  
21 collections including the disposition process.  
22 And we wanted to work on having common  
23 practices across the 10 states. And you'll

1 see as I talk a little more about it why  
2 that's important. But one of the things that  
3 we're doing as a contribution at the  
4 University of Florida is developing software  
5 to manage the disposition process so that it  
6 will be easier for us to collaborate. And in  
7 order to be able to do that, we really need to  
8 have common practices. It's much more  
9 difficult to have a software system that's  
10 going to facilitate disposition and going to  
11 notify people about what's available if you've  
12 got different rules in each place.

13 So, those are two of the main  
14 objectives of the program.

15 I mentioned that we have 12  
16 regionals in the 10 states. Each of us has a  
17 collection in excess of a million items. So,  
18 collectively we hold something in excess of 15  
19 million federal documents. And probably 40 to  
20 50 percent of those are uncataloged. And this  
21 is not even including the large selective  
22 collections and we have a number of those in  
23 our state.

1                   So, when we know that the oldest  
2                   and largest documents collections in the  
3                   country have over two million items and UF has  
4                   1.2 to 1.4 million print items if we were  
5                   truly trying to be comprehensive, that would  
6                   mean that UF needed to acquire, process,  
7                   catalog and house an additional 800,000  
8                   federal documents to be comprehensive.

9                   It's not practical to even assume  
10                  that there are, particularly when you look at  
11                  the older and more rare documents, that  
12                  there's even that many of them out there that  
13                  we could possibly have 12 comprehensive  
14                  individual collections. But what we're  
15                  looking at is how could we share that  
16                  responsibility so that while we each retain  
17                  our own holdings, we selectively exert a great  
18                  deal of investment and effort in building  
19                  certain parts of the collection  
20                  retrospectively with the idea that then within  
21                  the region we would end up with at least two  
22                  comprehensive collections. And, again, that  
23                  doesn't mean that their people can't continue

1 to collect in any area that interests them,  
2 but that we will really focus our attention in  
3 trying to be sure that we have two sets that  
4 are fully catalogued distributed among an  
5 array of selectives and regionals within the  
6 southeast.

7 We're used a fairly engaged  
8 process to do this. We met at the ASERL  
9 meeting a year ago and agreed that we wanted  
10 to do this collaborative management. A task  
11 force of deans was named which I chair and  
12 which includes both regionals and selectives.

13 We drafted a document that we call  
14 a discussion draft which is linked from the  
15 ASERL home page.

16 We conducted a survey and it was a  
17 survey that was open to anyone with an  
18 interest in government documents so it wasn't  
19 just a survey of ASERL embers or even just of  
20 regionals and selectives within our 10 states  
21 and Puerto Rico and the Virginia Islands. We  
22 used the survey to inform us about questions  
23 and concerns related to the proposal.

1                   We did presentations at a number  
2 of both local and national meetings to get the  
3 word out and to be sure people were aware.

4                   We used email and other  
5 communications with each of the selectives in  
6 our regions so that they were all aware of  
7 what was happening and they were encouraged,  
8 both the directors and the documents  
9 coordinators, to view and respond to the  
10 draft.

11                   In August we had a one-day summit  
12 where we went through at a high level and  
13 talked about some of the initiatives that were  
14 going on within individual libraries. And  
15 then had a whole day working session where we  
16 literally went through the draft page by page,  
17 paragraph by paragraph and identified areas  
18 where we could improve and clarify but also  
19 where there was still some lack of agreement,  
20 particularly on specific aspects of the  
21 disposition process.

22                   And we then identified a number of  
23 what we call parking lot issues that were

1 issues that we couldn't resolve in that  
2 working session but that needed more  
3 discussion. So, for the last several weeks  
4 we've been having email exchanges talking  
5 about things like:

6                   Should we or shouldn't we be  
7 required to list microfiche?

8                   Who should pay the shipping  
9 charges, the disposing library or the  
10 receiving library?

11                   What's the appropriate length of  
12 time for disposition lists to be posted  
13 because we had such a variation in practice  
14 across the 10 states that we needed to  
15 harmonize those things and come to some  
16 agreement?

17                   We're having a luncheon today with  
18 any of the ASERL 10-states actually and the  
19 Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico, any of our  
20 group who are here to kind of talk through  
21 those things one or more times. The ASERL  
22 deans will be meeting in November and we'll be  
23 reviewing that input and coming to some



1 further agreement about how to proceed. And  
2 then we'll do another revision of the  
3 document. We'll submit it back to GPO to be  
4 sure we haven't inadvertently gone outside the  
5 legal boundaries. We'll put it out again for  
6 a very broad public viewing, but hope that at  
7 that point we will have arrived at a document  
8 that can lead us through implementation and to  
9 begin this process.

10 One of the issues that comes up is  
11 how do you even define what a comprehensive  
12 collection is? And one of the things we've  
13 asked in the document is that GPO assist us in  
14 that partly through their retrospective  
15 cataloging initiative so that to the extent  
16 that GPO can identify everything that it has  
17 distributed, at least since it took  
18 responsibility in 1895 and to the extent that  
19 we can from other resources identify materials  
20 that were distributed prior to that, we can  
21 try to define what a comprehensive collection  
22 is. And we all know that there are materials  
23 that we have acquired in other means that were

1 not distributed by GPO and questions will have  
2 to be resolved about how we handle those. But  
3 they may not literally meet the terms of being  
4 a comprehensive FDLR collection, although they  
5 may help us building a comprehensive  
6 government documents collection.

7 We feel that if we can get some  
8 better definition of what's comprehensive, we  
9 then have a better shot at proceeding to  
10 evaluate our own holdings.

11 Some of you heard the presentation  
12 yesterday afternoon by Valerie Glenn and  
13 Chelsea Dinsmore about a separate ASERL  
14 project and that indicated that several of us  
15 have identified ourselves as Centers of  
16 Excellence for specific agencies. We see that  
17 as a building block where we would inventory  
18 and catalog our own holdings, where we would  
19 make every effort to do research to try to  
20 determine if there are items that were  
21 published by those agencies that we don't have  
22 and then to seek copies of those. Preferably  
23 to have print, but where that's not possible

1 to obtain a facsimile or a digital surrogate  
2 or even a microfilm surrogate so that we can  
3 identify and build comprehensive collections.

4 I'd like you to take away a couple  
5 of things. First of all, this is not a  
6 proposal for shared regionals. There is no  
7 change in the regional status of any of the 12  
8 regionals. None of us are disposing of our  
9 collections. All of the building of the  
10 comprehensive segments of the collection will  
11 come from disposition from other selective  
12 depositories but not by moving around  
13 materials within the regionals.

14 And also remember that this is not  
15 an initiative that relies solely on the  
16 regionals. Selectives can and should be  
17 participating and we're certainly expecting  
18 commitments from many of the ASERL deans who  
19 manage selectives to take on responsibility  
20 for specific parts of these comprehensive  
21 collections.

22 This proposal provides an impetus  
23 for investment in the documents collection at

1 each participating institution. And the ASERL  
2 deans' interest in developing and refining the  
3 proposal indicates a significant interest in  
4 making that investment in a coordinated manner  
5 because we want to optimize the return on  
6 investment and improve access to and  
7 management of these materials.

8 At Florida we've already begun a  
9 project to catalog 300,000 documents that were  
10 in storage because they went to storage  
11 without being catalogued and we're now  
12 building a high density facility and they need  
13 to be catalogued and barcoded to move into the  
14 high density facility. That's an example of  
15 an investment in the collection. That's an  
16 effort that will help all of the selectives in  
17 the southeast and probably many of you in  
18 other parts of the country because you'll be  
19 able to know for certain that those copies of  
20 those documents exist in a safe and secure  
21 place.

22 So, that's an example of the kind  
23 of investment that we're making on a case-by-

1 case basis but we're making it in a  
2 collaborative effort so that overall  
3 throughout our region we can better manage our  
4 collections.

5 And I think we're going to take  
6 our questions at the end. Is that correct,  
7 Ann Marie?

8 So, thank you for your attention  
9 and I'm happy to talk with any of you during  
10 the break as well if you have questions that  
11 don't get answered this morning.

12 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
13 of Michigan.

14 The next speaker is Mary Prophet  
15 who is the Deputy Director and Head of  
16 Government Documents at Denison University and  
17 she is going to talk to us about the five  
18 colleges of Ohio cooperative projects.

19 MS. PROPHET: Hi. I am going to  
20 use a little PowerPoint mainly to keep me on  
21 track because we don't have a lot of time and  
22 there's an awful lot to talk about.

23 I'd also like to point out that

1 the title of this particular presentation for  
2 this particular panel is Emerging Models for  
3 Partnership Among Depository Libraries. And  
4 I'd like to change the phraseology of that  
5 just a little bit and change it to emerging  
6 and evolving models. Because over time these  
7 models change and they change based on  
8 experience and all kinds of other things.

9           Within the Ohio Five, partnerships  
10 began developing much earlier than any of our  
11 projects, even before the Ohio Five and all  
12 these partnerships were based on three things.  
13 They're based on trust. They're based on  
14 flexibility or adaptability. And they're  
15 based on mutual support. And those three  
16 things are extraordinarily important in these  
17 kinds of projects.

18           In the 1980s before Ohio Five was  
19 even thought about, we did what a lot of you  
20 do every single day. We worked with our Ohio  
21 local association and we began meeting and we  
22 began cooperating on the very simple things  
23 like sharing reference research help, like

1 providing mutual support and understanding GPO  
2 guidelines. And supplying inter-library loans  
3 to each other which hadn't been done much  
4 within the state for documents before that.

5 I want to give you this history  
6 because it will show you how this trust  
7 develops.

8 The next thing we did was that  
9 when we started this there were no online  
10 catalogs. Documents were not cataloged. The  
11 item lists did not exist. The documents data  
12 did not exist. The only way we had of knowing  
13 who else might possibly have something would  
14 be if we knew what items they selected. So,  
15 we began our selection, our cooperation with  
16 a union list of item sections, a very simple  
17 concept. And we built trust with that because  
18 every quarter when GPO sent out the printout,  
19 everybody sent immediately to the list  
20 maintainer that changes in that list. And we  
21 kept not only the changes but the dates that  
22 such changes occurred in that item list.

23 Almost immediately at this same

1 time, we began discussions about cataloging  
2 our documents collection. Margaret Powell at  
3 Wooster. The College of Wooster was very  
4 strong in support of this. Dr. Fluber and  
5 Scott together discussed it, strongly  
6 supported it, got our directors together. Our  
7 directors weren't quite as far along as we  
8 were and the libraries were quite as far along  
9 as we were in developing that trust and mutual  
10 support and probably for good reasons.

11           There were not the mechanisms in  
12 place that there are now and there were not a  
13 lot of other things in place that there are  
14 now. And so only Wooster went forward with  
15 that cataloging at that particular point in  
16 time. But what did here allowed us to build  
17 on this to move into the cooperation that we  
18 developed during the 1990s.

19           In the 1990s, Ohio Five was  
20 formed. Our consort, our shared catalog was  
21 formed and we began cataloging government  
22 documents. Well, two things happened to that  
23 shared catalog. First, everything that



1       Wooster had cataloged in the 1980s was loaded  
2       into that catalog and became the basis for  
3       what we did after that.

4                 Second, when we got to sharing the  
5       catalog, in the shared catalog we have one  
6       bibliographic record for every item,  
7       hopefully. That's the ideal. And then one  
8       attached item record for each individual  
9       institution's holdings. That worked great for  
10      non-document books monographs because they  
11      were ordered at different times, came in at  
12      different times and so the record was already  
13      there with the documents. We all got our  
14      boxes at the same time.

15                So, you ended up with duplicate  
16      records. So, one of our first big cooperative  
17      projects was to go in and to divide up the  
18      cataloging for institutions by item number so  
19      that each one of us catalogued a certain  
20      subset of those and then the others just  
21      attached their item records.

22                At the same time we thought this  
23      is a great time to do a zero-based review.

1 See if we really need to collect all this  
2 stuff. See if we can't reduce our selections  
3 and that part didn't work so well.

4           While the mutual catalog, the  
5 distributed cataloging worked great, the idea  
6 of doing the zero-based review worked only for  
7 the hearings at which point people changed  
8 microfiche for some things but for the agency  
9 materials, well, if I'm getting it, I probably  
10 need to get it. I've got a lot of time  
11 pressure right now. I don't have time to  
12 review this the way I ought to, so I won't.  
13 And not only that but oh, my goodness.  
14 Wooster is getting this. Maybe I need to get  
15 it too. So, actually, item selections went  
16 up, not down. Which was a total failure for  
17 that particular project, but we learned a lot  
18 from it.

19           Okay. So, the shared cataloging  
20 of card acquisitions began and then actually  
21 the cataloging our historical collections  
22 began actually even before we started the  
23 project and it began because we had Wooster

1 records in the catalog for all that stuff they  
2 catalogued prior and we could begin linking to  
3 that. Then there was money left over from the  
4 Mellon Grant and we began the historical  
5 cataloging project.

6 Moving on into the 2000s we come  
7 up with a slew of projects and I'm not going  
8 to go into any of these in detail except one.  
9 We have a joint storage facility. We did a  
10 serial set out inventory as an outgrowth of  
11 the historical cataloging project, We  
12 catalogued our Foreign Relations of the United  
13 States, and this is the one I want to  
14 concentrate on because this one gives you some  
15 examples of how that cooperative worked that  
16 we did before pays off later.

17 Everybody had great sets of  
18 Foreign Relations of the United States. It  
19 was catalogued under the main serial records,  
20 the main series records for it. Nobody used  
21 them. They sat on the shelves and did not  
22 circulate. We all knew there was great stuff  
23 in there. We had students studying the

1 Vietnam War and wanting to look at the primary  
2 sources of the Vietnam War. We had students  
3 working on China and other places and unless  
4 we hand-pulled them to the shelf they didn't  
5 know it was there.

6           So, Ellen Conrad at Denison  
7 decided to catalog the individual separate  
8 volumes and the subsets of the Foreign  
9 Relations for Denison so that our students  
10 would use it. But she was coordinator on the  
11 historical cataloging project. She had  
12 passwords for all of the other people's work  
13 within the CONSORT catalog. So, she called  
14 everybody up and she said, I'm going to  
15 catalog Denison's Foreign Relations. What  
16 about if I do yours at the same time? Well,  
17 everybody agreed. We all trusted her. There  
18 was a lot of trust built up. There was a lot  
19 of mutual respect built up. We had the tools  
20 already in place to do that. And so she went  
21 through and she did cataloging for all four  
22 institutions for that series.

23           It took her about half the time, a

1 little bit more than half the time to do all  
2 four schools than it would have for each  
3 school to do that separately. That was a big  
4 payoff.

5 Then we came up with shared  
6 policies and we have a number of those. I'm  
7 not going to go into those. And then we moved  
8 on to one of our big current projects, the  
9 collection consolidation project.

10 The collections consolidation  
11 project had a lot of different goals and I  
12 want to kind of go over those briefly with  
13 you. The one thing we really wanted to do was  
14 take the four separate collections and make  
15 one really good historical collection out of  
16 them. We were going to combine these four  
17 separate collections into one. Eliminate as  
18 much duplication as we can and get down to --  
19 that doesn't mean we're going to rule out all  
20 duplication. We're all going to hang onto  
21 certain historical series. House Un-American  
22 Activities is one that pops to mind.

23 But there are others that we don't

1 need all four copies of the appropriations for  
2 the Defense Department. So, we're going to  
3 eliminate unnecessary duplicates. We wanted  
4 to establish a library of record to be  
5 responsible for certain sub-portions of the  
6 collection and to be responsible for the  
7 collection development, the maintenance of  
8 those print materials and to continue to build  
9 strong collections in those particular areas.

10 And when we first started out our  
11 goal was to do this for the entire collection,  
12 all the hearing sand all the agencies. Well,  
13 there's good news and there's bad news. So,  
14 we'll start with the good news.

15 The good news is that as of July  
16 2010 we had transferred more than 14,000  
17 documents from supporting libraries to the  
18 Library of Record to build stronger  
19 collections in those particular areas that the  
20 Library of Record was responsible for. The  
21 regionals will really love this, but we had  
22 weeded more than 127,000 documents. We had  
23 reduced our item numbers selection by 169 item

1 selections. And at this particular time, we  
2 have seven classes remaining to be done in the  
3 hearings.

4           So, just to show you what that  
5 looks like, let me pop up this little  
6 spreadsheet. This is the spreadsheet we  
7 developed to track that and this is in order  
8 by completion. Everything that's gray is  
9 done, is completed. We've gone through all  
10 the paper. We've gone through all the  
11 microfiche. We've consolidated the entire  
12 thing.

13           The things at the bottom in yellow  
14 over on this side, over in the first column,  
15 those are the classes that we are currently  
16 working on. The only remaining hearing  
17 classes.

18           The gray cells are the ones we  
19 finished. A portion of the project we  
20 finished. The yellow ones are the portions of  
21 the project we are currently working on, and  
22 the ones that remain white except for the  
23 columns that say two and three and one are the

1 columns that are -- this column, this column,  
2 this column, this column and this column are  
3 the columns that -- the white parts are the  
4 parts we haven't started yet. That's mostly  
5 microfiche.

6 We expect to be finished with the  
7 entire project -- the entire hearings portion  
8 of the project in the spring. Exactly when in  
9 the spring, I'm not sure. That will depend on  
10 a lot of other things.

11 Now, let's go back and go to the  
12 bad news. In the bad news, the project  
13 required more time, more expertise, more  
14 energy than we ever expected it would. We did  
15 a pilot project and tried it out and thought  
16 for the pilot project we had a pretty good  
17 idea of what it would take. But the pilot  
18 project did not really show the depth and  
19 breath of the materials that we would get into  
20 and the problems that we would encounter.

21 We are now receiving significant  
22 pressure on our staff from other projects. We  
23 recently received a Mellon Next Generation



1 Libraries Grant for the five colleges and we  
2 are getting ready to set up institutional  
3 repositories, digital repositories and we're  
4 not getting any more staff. So, that's  
5 putting additional pressure on our staff and  
6 a place-- the director's are looking for some  
7 of that additional staff time to come from and  
8 guess where? Our documents departments. So,  
9 that's going to put additional pressure on it.

10 Our storage facility which we've  
11 had for over 10 years has suddenly declared  
12 that we are going to have to close it by  
13 October 2012. So we're going to have to bring  
14 everything that's still over there back and  
15 that is putting -- or weed. We're weeding a  
16 lot, believe me. We're weeding a lot.

17 So, we are in the process of  
18 coordinating that. Ellen Conrad who does a  
19 lot with our documents coordination is in  
20 charge of that particular project. And all of  
21 our document staff, Andrea and a bunch of the  
22 others are going to have to work on that a  
23 lot.

1                   And while a considerable amount of  
2                   our shelf space was cleared, which was one of  
3                   our main objectives, it was not as much as  
4                   anticipated because even though we had a  
5                   pretty good idea from our cataloging project  
6                   how much overlap there was, there was some  
7                   historical work that still hadn't been  
8                   completed in a couple of our institutions and  
9                   it's being completed as part of this project.  
10                  But there was also more individuality among  
11                  things that had been lost over the years from  
12                  collections or things that had never been  
13                  received in the first place, or things that we  
14                  had gotten through other means besides GPO.  
15                  So, we didn't clear quite as much shelf space  
16                  as we anticipated either.

17                         That being said, we also realized  
18                         that the hearings like in every other project  
19                         we've ever tackled, the hearings are the easy  
20                         part of the project.

21                         The agencies are going to be even  
22                         more intense. The overlap in the hearings was  
23                         more obvious, it's more direct and with the

1 agencies there's less overlap in selections in  
2 the first place. And so we're going to have  
3 to step back and reconsider where we go from  
4 here.

5 We're still committed to the  
6 Library of Record concept. We really like  
7 that concept that one of us is responsible for  
8 collection development and maintenance in  
9 these areas. But we don't know that we can  
10 consider forward with a project where we  
11 actually work through each item, each class,  
12 one at a time and then send stuff that's not  
13 at the Library of Record over to it from the  
14 original library.

15 So, we're stepping back from that.  
16 We're going to assign Libraries of Record and  
17 we still have to work out the details from  
18 there. With that in mind, that's where we are  
19 and that's where we're going forward.

20 If any of you are thinking about  
21 cooperative processes and partnership I've got  
22 some suggestions for you. And the first  
23 suggestion I have is that do it. Don't back

1 off from it. Don't not do it. Do it, but  
2 start off with some of these ideas in mind.

3           Begin with cooperative effort  
4 project unless you've got great big support  
5 from your deans, as in the southeastern  
6 project, which is great. I would really like  
7 to see how that works out.

8           But if you're doing it from the  
9 grassroots, from the library ends up, start  
10 with simple projects. Because when you start  
11 with the simple projects you can build on what  
12 you've already done. We're still building on  
13 that first item union list. We're still going  
14 back and occasionally using that to build on  
15 something else. We built on it to actually  
16 get the Mellon Grant that funded the five  
17 colleges in the first place.

18           The serial set inventory that we  
19 did as part of the historical project is now  
20 going to be vital in clearing out the storage  
21 unit. Because as we clear out the storage  
22 unit, there was some serial sets sent to the  
23 storage unit. Do we need to keep those or are

1       there enough copies of those serials set  
2       volumes at the other institutions that we've  
3       already inventoried that we can leave part of  
4       that? Do we need to distribute that among  
5       part of the group or keep it in the unit?  
6       That can all be worked out through that  
7       inventory we already did. You can build.

8                 Secondly, success, even partial  
9       success builds trust. That first project we  
10      did one of them was successful, the other one  
11      was not. But the successful one built trust  
12      and we learned enough from the unsuccessful  
13      one that we were able to go forward.

14                We've discovered that you don't  
15      learn much from your totally successful  
16      projects. Where you really learn is from the  
17      projects that you have problems with, that you  
18      work through the problems and then you  
19      develop. And you also develop a lot of trust  
20      as you work through those problems and trust  
21      is key-- really key.

22                From the beginning don't expect  
23      every project to be a success. Just don't do

1 it.

2 I would hope all of you have some  
3 suggestions for beginning cooperative projects  
4 among smaller institutions especially, and  
5 that you'll go ahead and do them.

6 And thank you. I'll be available  
7 to answer questions at the break on whatever  
8 you like.

9 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
10 of Michigan.

11 Okay. Last up we have Geoff  
12 Swindells. He's the Head of Government and  
13 Geographic Information and Data Services at  
14 Northwestern and he's going to talk about the  
15 CIC/Google government documents project.

16 MR. SWINDELLS: I'm not used to  
17 PCs.

18 Good morning, everyone. I'm not  
19 sure how I wound up on the dias again. I  
20 thought I'd abandoned that. Anyway, I'll try  
21 to make this brief because thee's a lot of  
22 really interesting details that we could go  
23 into and maybe some of that will come out in

1 the questions.

2                   Just a little bit about CIC, the  
3 Committee on Institutional Cooperation. It's  
4 a consortium of the big ten universities plus  
5 the University of Chicago and soon Nebraska.  
6 We're stealing folks from my former  
7 conference. And within the CIC there is a  
8 Center for Library Initiatives that helps do  
9 a lot of the cooperative purchasing work and  
10 also helps organize things like the CIC  
11 Federal Documents Digitalization Project. And  
12 also within CIC we have a very active and  
13 long-standing group, the CIC Heads of  
14 Government Publications which have done a  
15 number of projects over the years. And so CIC  
16 was well prepared in many respects to take on  
17 this project and more about the project in a  
18 second.

19                   Some of the list of members.  
20 You'll notice Big Ten means twelve and soon  
21 thirteen. That's because Penn State came on  
22 after the creation of the original Big Ten and  
23 then, of course, we had to add the University

1 of Chicago, although why I don't know. Our  
2 downtown neighbors, the Northwestern of  
3 Chicago.

4           Anyway, in December 2008 at the  
5 behest of the CIC Directors, a CIC Steering  
6 Committee on Federal Documents Digitalization  
7 was established. And they would work  
8 concurrently, and I'll come back to this, with  
9 a pilot project to digitize duplicate  
10 materials from the University of Minnesota.  
11 So the Steering Committee to sort of develop  
12 the sort of whole digitization process was  
13 working while that process was happening at  
14 one institution. And we're responsible for  
15 developing and overseeing a comprehensive  
16 publications management strategy for U.S.  
17 government publications.

18           This includes developing the  
19 process for digitizing print collections of  
20 documents, getting those digital files into  
21 HathiTrust. In fact, making them accessible  
22 to users. And then also once the process is  
23 done, or sort of concurrent with that process,



1 starting to think about how that will allow  
2 sort of the availability of digital files will  
3 allow for a different sort of management of  
4 print collections once those files are in  
5 place.

6           There are members of the original  
7 Steering Committee here including both the co-  
8 chairs, Kirsten Clark and Marianne Ryan. And  
9 Marianne was at Purdue when appointed so we  
10 weren't trying to overweight Northwestern  
11 here. And we were assisted by the Center for  
12 Library Initiatives staff, most prominently  
13 Mark Sandler and Kim Armstrong.

14           And in October 2009 took us awhile  
15 but we created what we called the staging plan  
16 on how this digitalization process would work,  
17 but it did a couple of other things.

18           First of all, it established the  
19 scope of what we were going to digitize and we  
20 had many long conversations on what is a  
21 comprehensive collection of federal materials.  
22 There's lots of definitions and lots of  
23 different sources. And so we decided that it

1 would be at least one of every print  
2 publication distributed to CIC libraries  
3 through the FDLP. And sort of keeping it  
4 within CIC. We still don't know what that  
5 number is because many of our publications --  
6 many of our collections are uncataloged just  
7 like everyone else's. But we'll see and we're  
8 guessing 1 to 1.5 million, who knows.

9           We also established guiding  
10 principles for the project, and that's  
11 compliance with Title 44 and the disposal  
12 guidelines of the appropriate Regional Federal  
13 Depository Libraries. Because although there  
14 are regionals among CIC members, many of us  
15 are in states where the regional is not a  
16 member of CIC. So, that's true with certainly  
17 us in Illinois where it's the State Library of  
18 Illinois or in Pennsylvania where it the State  
19 Library of Pennsylvania.

20           But also we recognize that we  
21 needed to minimize the overall costs  
22 associated with preparing materials for  
23 digitalization. And this was sort of very

1 important in trying to get as much material  
2 through as quickly as possible but also not to  
3 overburden institutions in cataloging and  
4 barcoding material just to send to be  
5 digitized.

6           And we also recognized that a lot  
7 of the details on how this would work could  
8 only come about by bringing in sort of non-  
9 documents folks; catalogers, other people but  
10 we had Irene Zimmerman on the original team to  
11 establish an implementation team to sort of  
12 move us forward.

13           A couple of things about, not on  
14 the screen. This is using sheet-fed scanning  
15 so these materials are at least initially sent  
16 out to Google and disbound and fed through  
17 sheet-fed scanners. And this is not all of  
18 the materials will be scanned this way. We've  
19 already had some exceptions with some of what  
20 we think are maybe unique materials at the  
21 University of Illinois. But a couple of  
22 advantages of that: You can get a lot done  
23 quickly, and also you don't have the problem

1 of gutters and things like that.

2           And with the idea of minimizing  
3 costs, we came up with a multi-stage plan.  
4 The first stages, libraries will contribute  
5 collections of shovel ready, although I  
6 realize that has had a connotation recently.  
7 There are no such things as shovel-ready  
8 projects. But collections that were by and  
9 large, already cataloged and barcoded and that  
10 met the format, size and conditions standards  
11 adopted by Google, and we're using the pick-  
12 list approach. And of course the pick-list  
13 approach assumes that things are cataloged and  
14 doesn't necessarily assume they're barcode.  
15 And so initial stages are intended to use that  
16 approach.

17           In later stages we recognized that  
18 we would have to adjust if we wanted to  
19 approach this comprehensive collection of  
20 digital material and so we essentially then go  
21 into an agency approach with each library  
22 within the CIC taking responsibility for the  
23 publications of a group of individual federal

1 agencies and then using various bibliographic  
2 tools which you're all familiar with trying to  
3 make sure that we actually digitize a  
4 comprehensive collection of print  
5 publications.

6           And in those later stages we will  
7 also identify additional digitalization  
8 partners because some of the material that's  
9 not getting digitized in the first stages are  
10 because they don't meet Google's  
11 digitalization standards. Things have to be  
12 easy to digitize for Google to take them on.

13           Google is getting better at doing  
14 some of this. They're able to handle things  
15 more. We may have a process for dealing with  
16 inserts at some point where they can be added  
17 back in but there are a number of things that  
18 are going to come up that can't be digitized  
19 by Google. And so we'll need to do sort of  
20 more boutique scanning, and so we anticipated  
21 that.

22           And I'll talk a bit about what's  
23 been done but I did want to mention that our

1 proposal, sort of staging plan, was accepted  
2 late 2009. In January 2010 an implementation  
3 team was established and we're really pushing  
4 this process forward.

5 Now, during this period Minnesota  
6 is scanning, and we actually then moved to  
7 Penn State to do some scanning and started to  
8 contact others. So, a lot of this is  
9 happening while we're in the planning stages.  
10 But the implementation team is really about  
11 getting the tools down, assisting libraries to  
12 select and deliver documents to Google because  
13 one of the challenges is knowing what's  
14 already been done and all of those things.  
15 Dealing with quality assurance for materials  
16 because if we are to rely on those, if the  
17 presence of a comprehensive digital collection  
18 allows us to make selection or retention  
19 decisions, which we hope it would, we want to  
20 make sure that the quality is there in these  
21 digital objects. And moving forward on some  
22 of those sort of strategies for materials that  
23 fall outside the parameters of Google.

1                   Where do these things go? They  
2 all go in to the HathiTrust. The HathiTrust,  
3 as many of you know, CIC is one of the  
4 founding partners with others. I won't go in  
5 to the details of the HathiTrust, but we can  
6 talk about that later.

7                   And the sort of initial access  
8 point into these materials is through  
9 essentially a beta catalog put up by  
10 HathiTrust with the knowledge that they needed  
11 to improve that catalog but it combines a  
12 catalog search and a full text search of those  
13 files.

14                  So, what has happened so far and  
15 thee numbers are a little bit off. CIC was  
16 going to post the new numbers this morning but  
17 they haven't yet. And so I may be able to get  
18 folks in the audience to help me with that.  
19 But in the end, Minnesota ended up sending  
20 about 85,000 duplicate holdings to Google. I  
21 mean, Minnesota is a regional but these were  
22 duplicate copies. And as the pick-list  
23 approach sort of is pushing you in this

1 direction, they're all over the SuDocs range.  
2 Sort of things that haven't already been  
3 digitized that Google can find in your catalog  
4 material but they're particularly heavy in  
5 Agriculture, Interior, Census, Labor,  
6 Transportation and some Congressional  
7 committees.

8 Penn State sent only Congressional  
9 Hearings, about 26,000 volumes. I think  
10 they're finished -- fully finished. I think  
11 actually they were probably finished a couple  
12 of months ago and so I don't know what the  
13 final numbers are. And Illinois is about to  
14 start sending materials, and I think the  
15 number is 100,000. Is Mary in the audience?  
16 It's about 100,000? Okay. A 100,000  
17 materials.

18 And as I mentioned earlier, Mary  
19 was able to identify some unique titles, at  
20 least appear to be unique because they're  
21 catalogued materials within the CIC. And  
22 caution is our best watch word here. And so  
23 about 30,000 of those are going to be scanned



1 using handbook scanning and those materials  
2 come back because the disbound materials do  
3 not come back. Although Google did offer to  
4 send us shrink-wrapped loose pages. I think  
5 we largely declined.

6           And so these are deposited with  
7 the HathiTrust. They are accessible to the  
8 entire community. HathiTrust will handle,  
9 will be the trusted repository over time. But  
10 we are also making these files available to  
11 GPO when that ingest capability is available  
12 with GPO. So, we're ready to sort of let them  
13 suck all this stuff up and play around with  
14 it.

15           There's a lot of things I haven't  
16 covered and hopefully we can get at some of  
17 these in the questions.

18           There is a project home page. It  
19 doesn't have any up-to-date numbers on it  
20 because that's what I was relying for on my  
21 numbers and they'll probably be up a little  
22 bit later today. But that has some additional  
23 documentation and you can always contact me,

1 of course. Did I put my name up here? No.

2 And my email.

3 I also realized that I didn't put  
4 up the members of the implementation team. I  
5 just noticed that now, and I can't remember  
6 them all. But Luke Malcolm has continued from  
7 the steering group but also includes folks  
8 like Michael Norman at UIUC to give us some  
9 cataloging expertise and things like that.

10 And that's it. And I guess we can  
11 open it up for questions.

12 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
13 of Michigan.

14 I think we're open for Council  
15 questions now.

16 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
17 Stanford University.

18 Since, Jeff, you went last, I'll  
19 ask the first question of you. I have  
20 questions of others as well.

21 The pick-list that you built, was  
22 that also picked through by Google? Were they  
23 accepting anything that you chose to send

1       them?

2                       MR. SWINDELLS: Well, there's a  
3       couple of things. The way pick-lists are  
4       created is you essentially send them your  
5       catalog records for things you're willing to  
6       send. I mean, you may actually decide to  
7       reserve some back. We're hoping that people  
8       push through as much as possible. But we  
9       recognize that some people have special needs  
10      that may require retention of their print.  
11      But you send them those records and they  
12      compare it to what's already been digitized  
13      and then send you back that list. From that  
14      pick-list though you then need to make some  
15      judgments on whether it fits their guidelines  
16      because they can't tell from the cataloging  
17      records whether they can actually handle these  
18      materials. So, size, format, whether they're  
19      full of lots of colorful inserts, things like  
20      that. And then once that's back, then you  
21      send as many of those as you can to Google.

22                       COMMISSIONER MAY: Jill Moriearty,  
23      University of Utah.

1                   Mary, what are you doing with the  
2 weeded items?

3                   MS. PROPHET: Well, a couple of  
4 things. We do put them on the needs and  
5 offers list and anybody who asked, the  
6 hearings are being weeded widely across the  
7 country right now and so there's not much  
8 request for those hearings.

9                   If we do get requests, we send  
10 them out.

11                   Almost everything that we weed  
12 within our collection, both documents and  
13 otherwise, we send -- there's a couple of  
14 groups, Better World Books, and those kind of  
15 places. We work through them and if they  
16 won't take them, at that point we do recycle  
17 them.

18                   MS. MORIEARTY: It sounds like a  
19 good plan.

20                   MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
21 of Michigan.

22                   I've got a couple of questions,  
23 too, but I'm going to start with Geoff.

1                   Can you enumerate for us Google's  
2                   format, size and condition standards?

3                   MR. SWINDELLS:  Actually, no, I  
4                   can't.  Standard size books, no inserts, no  
5                   problems with sort of -- well, yes.  No bound  
6                   widths and no crumbly paper, essentially.  Oh,  
7                   and that is a lot of material, we recognize  
8                   that.  And so we actually at Northwestern have  
9                   our preservation folks are going to do that  
10                  part of it.

11                  MS. SANDERS:  By non-standard size  
12                  books do you mean larger than 82 by 11?  And  
13                  smaller than 82 by 11?

14                  MR. SWINDELLS:  No.  The range is  
15                  broader.  The range is broader but for  
16                  instance Atlases would be a real problem.

17                  MS. SANDERS:  Pamphlets and  
18                  ephemera?

19                  MR. SWINDELLS:  Huh?

20                  MS. SANDERS:  Pamphlets and  
21                  ephemera?

22                  MR. SWINDELLS:  Pamphlets  
23                  sometimes can go unless they are a folded

1 pamphlet and then there's some problems.

2 Well, because pamphlets aren't always folded,  
3 the definition of pamphlet.

4 But we will bring all those in.  
5 We're getting as much through Google as  
6 possible but then bringing them in through  
7 other ways.

8 MS. JARRETT: Peggy Jarrett,  
9 University of Washington Law Library.

10 I have a question for Judy. So,  
11 this ASERL proposal which I've read that I  
12 understand the idea of what you're trying to  
13 get at but my basic question is, how does this  
14 improve access to the public?

15 MS. RUSSELL: I think we see the  
16 major improvement to access coming by  
17 cataloging and by having people in different  
18 institutions who are focused on expertise in  
19 given areas. So, those of us who are  
20 operating Centers of Excellence and we have  
21 three that are in process right now. One at  
22 South Carolina for the Department of  
23 Education, one at Kentucky for the WPA and

1 we're doing the Panama Canal Commission at  
2 Florida. So, they're just prototypes of this.  
3 We then have expertise in those collections.

4           When I got to Florida and started  
5 talking to selectives that we serve, what I  
6 heard loud and clear was that the thing that  
7 we could do that was most helpful to them  
8 would be to catalog our holdings because  
9 they're making deselection decisions assuming  
10 that we have things because we're a regional  
11 but with no real assurance. And so we're  
12 getting arbitrary management. We're getting  
13 management based on hope, you know. We hope  
14 they have it or they're hoping that when we  
15 get a disposition list we're checking and if  
16 we don't have it, we're willing to grab it  
17 which is a random process for filling in the  
18 blanks but doesn't really create an orderly  
19 comprehensive solution.

20           So, I think the cataloging is a  
21 huge part of it and just a greater  
22 coordination among ourselves. So, we really  
23 are doing some systematic collection building.

1 MS. JARRETT: As a practical  
2 matter though do the ASERL libraries, and this  
3 is my lack of knowledge, do they provide  
4 inter-library loan services or basically if  
5 it's an academic institution if you're weeding  
6 your collection and you had the physical  
7 collection and a patron wanted something in a  
8 tangible form, not in electronic form, and in  
9 the old model they could go to their local  
10 depository which might have been a major  
11 research university and see that. And now  
12 another library has that some distance away.  
13 Are you then shifting the burden to the public  
14 library to provide the inter-library loan  
15 service for that public patron?

16 MS. RUSSELL: No, I don't think  
17 we're shifting it. As regionals we're not  
18 getting rid of anything we have. So, the  
19 change for us as regionals is instead of a  
20 sort of a random retrospective collecting,  
21 we'll have focused retrospective collecting  
22 and we'll really work in a targeted way at it  
23 and we'll draw materials from across the 10



1 states to fill in in areas where we've said  
2 that we're going to be responsible.

3 But we'll still have everything we  
4 now have. So, we'll be able to deliver from  
5 that. We're expecting that we will continue  
6 to provide inter-library loan.

7 We are hoping that for many  
8 patrons, and this is true actually at the high  
9 density storage facility that we're building  
10 where we're dealing with monographs and  
11 serials and other types of materials as well,  
12 that the primary delivery will be digital.  
13 And so we're expecting that we'll rely heavily  
14 on what comes out of CIC, what comes out of  
15 the initiatives at GPO and other places to  
16 offer the patron first an electronic access  
17 but if that isn't suitable to meet their  
18 needs, then we'll have print.

19 So, I don't see it really as a  
20 lessening. I'm going to have everything I  
21 have, but the catalog part of agency "X" may  
22 be at Georgia or at the University of North  
23 Carolina. And so it will be easier to know

1 that Georgia or Florida has it or Georgia or  
2 North Carolina has it. But if they come and  
3 make an inquiry of Florida, we're still going  
4 to obviously check our shelves and if we have  
5 it, we'll deliver it. We're not going to send  
6 them away if we have it. Does that help?

7 You're not hearing us?

8 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
9 Stanford University.

10 Judy, can you talk to us more  
11 about the Centers of Excellence idea that's in  
12 there and what are the subject focuses? I'm  
13 really interested in this, you know, Library  
14 of Record kind of idea that seems to be  
15 bubbling up around the community.

16 MS. RUSSELL: And it's very  
17 similar I think to what you were describing as  
18 your Library of Record. I can give you an  
19 example of what we're doing with the Panama  
20 Canal Commission.

21 We have inventoried our holdings.  
22 We have catalogued our holdings. We have  
23 committed, which the other centers it's an

1 optional thing, but we've committed to  
2 digitizing that collection so that we will be  
3 able to provide digital access to everything  
4 we have, both for our own users but nationally  
5 and internationally.

6           We have done research to try to  
7 identify publications of the Commission and  
8 its predecessor agencies that we may not hold  
9 so that we have an affirmative needs list of  
10 things that were missing. And we're actively  
11 seeking to fill in those blanks. As a result  
12 obviously of working with that collection and  
13 because we have a major Latin American  
14 collection anyway at Florida, so it really  
15 fits the parameters of institutional need and  
16 interest. We're developing expertise so that  
17 if people are interest in research, we're  
18 coming up on the 100th Anniversary of the  
19 opening of the Canal. We think there will be  
20 a lot of additional interest about the Canal  
21 in the coming years that we will have the  
22 expertise to be able to assist them with it.

23           So, that's sort of the broad

1 parameters of what we're doing as a Center of  
2 Excellence. But the components of cataloging  
3 and of trying to identify what the  
4 comprehensive list of publications is, whether  
5 or not they were distributed by GPO to try to  
6 definitively determine what we should have so  
7 that we can then actively seek to fill in the  
8 blanks or at least identify the people who  
9 have things.

10 We've talked among ourselves.  
11 Obviously, if another regional has something  
12 we're missing, they can't transfer it to us.  
13 They're collection is locked. Our collection  
14 is locked, but we could get a digital  
15 surrogate from them or we could ask them to do  
16 a photocopy and provide that to us so we would  
17 at least have a copy of that material  
18 available.

19 MS. TUBBS: This is Camilla Tubbs,  
20 Yale Law Library.

21 I'm also curious about the Centers  
22 of Excellence and coordination amongst the  
23 reference librarians at these different

1 centers.

2 How are you working to inform  
3 subject specialists in Georgia and connecting  
4 them with subject specialists in Kentucky to  
5 make sure that there is a cohesive plan in  
6 effect? Do you have instant messaging  
7 available? Are the reference librarians  
8 working ahead of time to create electronic  
9 research guides to inform other reference  
10 librarians?

11 MS. RUSSELL: We are committed to  
12 doing LibGuides and similar kinds of reference  
13 materials which then can be linked from other  
14 institutions. Obviously, we're still in the  
15 very early stages of this and so we only  
16 actually have three Centers of Excellence  
17 committed to now, although we're in the  
18 process of discussing among ourselves who else  
19 is ready to proceed in this process.

20 But, yes. We're expecting that  
21 there will have to be significant coordination  
22 within ASERL and within all of the  
23 depositories that we represent. So, not just

1 in the 28 ASERL members but across the entire  
2 region.

3 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
4 University of Akron.

5 Judy, I have a question about the  
6 disposition materials process. So, ASERL will  
7 be using a separate tool for its process if I  
8 understood you correctly. Are there plans to  
9 continue to offer nationally or to allow  
10 institutions to offer the materials nationally  
11 in some form, whether through the new GPO  
12 dispositions materials tool or the other  
13 existing processes that we have in place?

14 MS. RUSSELL: Yes. There is very  
15 much an understanding that once we've done our  
16 regional process that we would then provide  
17 information about those materials to the  
18 national process.

19 We're looking at getting a tool  
20 and getting it up fairly rapidly and with  
21 actually probably less complexity than what  
22 GPO is having to do because if we've got  
23 common disposition processes, it's a lot

1 easier to develop a tool against a single set  
2 of disposition processes where GPO has the  
3 challenge of dealing with 49 processes with a  
4 considerable variation. We saw considerable  
5 variation just among our 12 regionals.

6 But we do see the need to extend  
7 certain things to the national needs and  
8 offers list as well but we're trying to get  
9 something that at least is workable for us  
10 across our own states first.

11 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
12 of Michigan.

13 I've got another question for Judy.  
14 I was intrigued by this new document from the  
15 Directors with their basic assumptions or  
16 principles, I guess, is the term I should be  
17 using. Particularly the one about that state  
18 plans should be modified.

19 I worked in the southeast but it  
20 was a number of years ago and it was before  
21 Tennessee had a state plan. So, I'm not up to  
22 date with the individual state plans involved.  
23 But certainly our experience in Michigan is

1 that sign off by any institution on a state  
2 plan is a voluntary activity. And it's also  
3 been our experience that if we write a state  
4 plan that everybody agrees with, we don't  
5 achieve very much.

6 We've always operated on the  
7 principle that a state plan should be  
8 principles we can all agree to aspire to as  
9 opposed to something that we already agree to.  
10 So, I'm a little intrigued by the idea that  
11 you would like to modify state plans so that  
12 none of them exceed Title 44, and I thought  
13 maybe you could comment on that.

14 MS. RUSSELL: And I can't speak as  
15 much for ARL on that, but let me speak for  
16 what's happening in ASERL because it's also  
17 one of our initiatives. The next phase for us  
18 is we've already begun examining our state  
19 plans where they exist. And some of us at  
20 Florida don't have state plans.

21 In Florida we're in the process of  
22 developing a state plan. But I guess I have  
23 a relatively different concept of a state plan



1 than most of the state plans I read which seem  
2 to be largely regurgitation of GPO guidelines.  
3 They don't seem to be plans. They don't seem  
4 to be action plans. So, in Florida we're  
5 working on an action plan of what are the  
6 things that we are going to be doing with our  
7 documents collections to make them more  
8 visible, more acceptable, more useful.

9           And so we'll start with a state  
10 plan that actually -- a lot of the ideas that  
11 ended up in the ASERL plan were things we have  
12 already identified as things we wanted to do  
13 and needed to do.

14           In looking at some of the other  
15 state plans, as I say, the thing that struck  
16 me was for many of them, they're not really  
17 plans. And, you know, they're kind of more  
18 restatements of what are your obligations.  
19 And we know what the obligations are. They're  
20 in Title 44. That's nothing unique or useful  
21 about that. So, trying to look at places  
22 where state plans may have obligations or  
23 restrictions that go beyond what is required

1 by Title 44, I think is what the ARL Directors  
2 are asking for, that there just be a review  
3 and that we be sure that we don't have state  
4 plans that are overly constraining,  
5 particularly against initiatives like the CIC  
6 where we all are going to benefit from the  
7 work that they're doing, because it will allow  
8 all of us to link print collections to their  
9 digital collections and better serve users.

10 So, I don't know if that  
11 completely answers it. I'm not familiar with  
12 the state plan of Michigan so I can't really  
13 speak to that one. But that is the approach  
14 we're taking within ASERL is to compare the  
15 state plans and to compare them against the  
16 proposed process. And if there are areas  
17 where there is a conflict, to try to get the  
18 state plan amended so that it doesn't conflict  
19 with the direction that we're going in our  
20 process.

21 MS. SANDERS: And do you  
22 anticipate opposition?

23 MS. RUSSELL: No, I really don't.

1 You know, I think most of the state plans are  
2 like many strategic plans where they get  
3 written, filed and forgotten. And so just  
4 pulling them off the shelf and running them  
5 back around and having people look at them  
6 again might actually be helpful.

7 But, you know, I can't speak for  
8 what may happen in other parts of the country  
9 because I'm just not that familiar across the  
10 board with what the state plans are. But  
11 we're seeing it as part of this whole process  
12 that if we're getting our selectives and our  
13 regionals to review the ASERL proposal and to  
14 accept it, then they should have no objection  
15 to having a state plan that conforms with it.  
16 So, the two things really shouldn't be in conflict.

17 MS. SANDERS: Thanks.

18 MS. RUSSELL: Ideally.

19 MS. SANDERS: Anymore questions  
20 from Council?

21 MR. JACOBS: Sorry to Bogart the  
22 mic.

23 James Jacobs, Stanford University.

1                   I'm really interested in this idea  
2 of, and this is maybe for all three of you,  
3 the idea of access today versus access  
4 tomorrow, long-term preservation. Because I'm  
5 seeing, for example, the ARL statement is  
6 saying that they're not going to focus on  
7 digital preservation. They don't think that's  
8 required. The Google scans are not  
9 preservation level scans. I know that from  
10 our project as well. And it seems like  
11 libraries are also assuming that primary  
12 access is going to be digital which I'm all  
13 for. And things like ILL are going are going  
14 to be, you know, scanning of copies.

15                   Is anyone thinking about access  
16 for the future? Preservation? Digital  
17 preservation? I'd like to hear your thoughts.

18                   MR. SWINDELLS: Well, I can tell  
19 you that the Google scans are complicated.  
20 The fact of the matter is, CIC will preserve  
21 them over time and through Hathi. And there  
22 are preservation plans in place for those  
23 scans to migrate over time.

1           The initial scans you're right.  
2           They're not, they don't meet GPO guidelines,  
3           etcetera. But it's actually going to be much  
4           more mixed. There's going to be a lot of  
5           content coming in to Hathi from a whole  
6           variety of sources and including the CIC  
7           project which will bring in some materials  
8           that will probably be scanned at a much higher  
9           level.

10                 So, we'll have to see. We do know  
11           that Hathi will make sure that the materials  
12           that it has and you're only seeing the sort of  
13           presentation copy of them, but that those  
14           files are preserved over time. So, there is  
15           preservation there.

16                 MS. RUSSELL: And going back to  
17           our Center of Excellence. Where we're  
18           digitizing the Panama Canal materials, we are  
19           doing them at preservation level and we are  
20           expecting that we will host them locally.  
21           We've also offered them to GPO for FedSys when  
22           they're at a point where they're ready to  
23           receive them.

1                   I think I wouldn't over-read the  
2                   ARL statement. I think each of us is doing  
3                   digitization and each of us has a plan for how  
4                   we host and maintain the things that we  
5                   digitize collaboratively through Hathi or in  
6                   other ways. I think the concern is that to  
7                   have an expectation that as regionals, much as  
8                   we receive everything that's printed, we would  
9                   receive everything with digital and take on  
10                  that responsibility, I think, is where ARL is  
11                  drawing a line and saying that isn't  
12                  appropriate and that really doesn't deal  
13                  appropriately with access and preservation,  
14                  that it is better to have central facilities  
15                  like Hathi or Portico and FedSys that are  
16                  certified repositories and that manage those  
17                  collections.

18                  We make distinctions right now on  
19                  things that we digitize. So, if we're  
20                  digitizing brittle books through Internet  
21                  archive, we don't load those masters back on  
22                  our system. But if we're digitizing theses  
23                  and dissertations, those are our content.

1 We're going to host them no matter who else  
2 has them. So, I think you're going to see  
3 that kind of distinction.

4 I would certainly love to see all  
5 the digitization being done at preservation  
6 level. I recognize when I was at GPO that as  
7 soon as Google started the Google books  
8 digitization and government documents were  
9 getting into it that it had a chilling effect  
10 on getting people to be willing to invest in  
11 the preservation level of digitization at  
12 great expense when you had free digitization  
13 relatively. No free lunch. There's a lot of  
14 prep work that goes into that. But you have  
15 the access level copy.

16 On the other hand I think for many  
17 needs, particularly where we're going to  
18 continue to have strong print repositories,  
19 the access copy has enormous value, at least  
20 in getting an immediate gratification to the  
21 user and very rapid access. Faster than I can  
22 ship it to them and, you know. So, you know,  
23 it's a compromise in a lot of ways but I

1 think, you know, I'm so happy to have access  
2 to and will link, you know, everything I can.  
3 And when we get a request for a loan we'll  
4 look for a digital copy and make sure the user  
5 at last knows that that option is available.

6 MR. SWINDELLS: Just one piece to  
7 that. I mean the CIC project is only one  
8 piece of Hathi. Hathi is only one piece of a  
9 larger environment. And so I hope that, you  
10 know, a thousand digitization projects bloom.  
11 And that we have a much more variegated  
12 landscape. But the Hathi -- the CIC project  
13 was to get a lot up fairly quickly.

14 MS. SANDERS: I realize that we've  
15 only got a couple of minutes, but if there's  
16 no more questions from Council, I'd like to  
17 take at last a couple from the floor. And I'd  
18 like to remind everybody to keep those  
19 questions because we will have an opportunity  
20 at tomorrow's session to come back to this  
21 topic.

22 Why don't you go ahead.

23 MR. BASEFSKY: My name is Stuart



1 Basefsky. I'm from Cornell University.

2 I applied most of what I hear  
3 going on here. I think a lot of it is logical  
4 and makes a great deal of sense.

5 I'm concerned though about the  
6 intentions of Title 44. Most of what I'm  
7 hearing about the partnerships here, and  
8 please explain if there's something  
9 additional, is about the cataloging and access  
10 to these publications. But one of the  
11 intentions of Title 44 is the distribution of  
12 expertise to use them properly.

13 And so has any of that come up in  
14 discussion? What the public often needs to  
15 know and it doesn't matter whether you're a  
16 public library, academic library, special  
17 library how are these agencies merging? What  
18 were they before? How did they change? How  
19 did policy develop? How did we get where we  
20 were?

21 With GPO you have a controlled  
22 American history. Are we moving to a chaotic  
23 American history with no linkage? So, if any

1 of that has been addressed or is on the  
2 planning board, please let me know because  
3 what I'm hearing so far is very much one-  
4 sided.

5 MS. RUSSELL: Well, certainly with  
6 the ASERL project and with the Centers of  
7 Excellence there is an assumption that if you  
8 step up to the plate and you say you're a  
9 Center of Excellence that you will have  
10 expertise and that you will make that  
11 expertise available increasingly. And we  
12 could do a show of hands, but maybe don't want  
13 to.

14 We're seeing government documents  
15 departments merged into other departments and  
16 we still have a department for government  
17 documents and maps at GPO with a Chair and,  
18 you know, as a stand-alone department. So,  
19 Florida still has that kind of a local  
20 expertise that cuts across the entire  
21 collection. But so often users are not going  
22 to the government documents department.  
23 They're going to a subject expert and so we're

1 asking our government documents people to be  
2 cross training people in other areas where  
3 they're likely to get the subject question.

4           So, I mentioned we have a very  
5 strong Latin American collection. I'm  
6 expecting that the people in the Latin  
7 American collection will know as much or more  
8 about what we digitize and have available from  
9 the Panama Canal Commission as what the gov  
10 docs people do and we're actually digitizing  
11 other material about Panama from the Latin  
12 American collection. So, it's not going to be  
13 an isolated government documents collection.  
14 It's going to be enriched by the fact that  
15 it's part and parcel of a broader perspective  
16 that we're providing.

17           But, again, that's an individual  
18 institution. I think as regionals we all  
19 still have responsibility and every selective  
20 as well to try to meet constituent needs. But  
21 I don't know if either of you want to speak to  
22 that.

23           MS. PROPHET: Yes, I think part of

1 the way we're addressing that and it doesn't  
2 come out when I talked about it is a general  
3 across-the-board training between the  
4 institutions where we help each other in  
5 different expertises in different areas.

6 We haven't addressed maybe the way  
7 he's describing it, but we do do a lot of that  
8 cross training. And that cross training is  
9 also done with the State Library of Ohio and  
10 with the other depositories in the state to a  
11 certain extent too.

12 So, I think it's there. It just  
13 may not be as obvious as the other and it may  
14 not have been addressed as obviously in these  
15 presentations.

16 MR. SWINDELLS: The CIC project is  
17 exclusively a digitization and also a late  
18 down the road a sort of print management  
19 project. But the CIC heads of government  
20 publications are committed to really finding  
21 ways to further expertise within the CIC and  
22 I know that's one of the areas that John  
23 Schuler who is currently heading the CIC heads

1 is very committed to. So, that's certainly  
2 within our view, not just part of this  
3 particular project.

4 MS. SANDERS: Final question.

5 MR. WOODS: Steve Woods, Penn  
6 State.

7 I did want to make some  
8 clarifications from some of the things that  
9 Geoff said. And when he's talking about what  
10 we sent, we're actually sending why fors so  
11 that does include committee prints as well.  
12 Essentially, we have these digital  
13 collections. We're getting rid of the paper.  
14 So, we're sort of shipping.

15 To answer James' question about  
16 this pick-list. If you sort of think about  
17 Hathi as a really quick way to provide full  
18 digital access, none of this snippet stuff to  
19 these materials. Okay. And what Hathi is  
20 really providing is copyright clearance for us  
21 to be able to provide full access to these  
22 materials. But that said, if you keep in mind  
23 it's all based on a pick-list. So, it's not

1 going to be comprehensive. It's going to be  
2 based on a pick-list related to what Google  
3 doesn't have.

4 Now, that doesn't mean that we  
5 can't come back later and fill in those holes,  
6 but what it's providing to you folks is access  
7 to that material that you searched in Google  
8 Books and you got stuck with a snippet. Okay.

9 I guess one of the questions that  
10 I have for you folks and I know you guys have  
11 been talking about this concept of  
12 comprehensive list, to me it's not just about  
13 comprehensive list but it's a mechanism for  
14 managing that comprehensive list. And in my  
15 mind it's something that I really hope you as  
16 Council challenge GPO to help us do. Because  
17 when we talk about managing a comprehensive  
18 list, what people are wanting to do is they're  
19 wanting to determine whether or not there is  
20 a digital object that's out there so that the  
21 directors want to weed.

22 Is there a way that they can  
23 create a tool that allows us to manage our

1       tangible collections? Not only that but as  
2       these digitization projects move ahead, I've  
3       got to be assured that the digital object  
4       actually got scanned right, that it was done  
5       well. I will say, you know, where Google is  
6       going to give you the best possible at this  
7       time of mass digitization access. But I would  
8       hope that you as Council would challenge GPO  
9       to come up with some sort of comprehensive  
10      tool, not just defining comprehensive, but a  
11      tool to help not only these folks who are  
12      trying to work cooperatively to manage, but to  
13      also help us manage our collections.

14                   MS. SANDERS: Okay. I think that  
15      we need to cut this off. We're due for a  
16      break and we appreciate everybody's  
17      participation.

18                   CHAIR SEARS: Before you leave,  
19      just one moment.

20                   UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: We ask for  
21      more.

22                   MS. SANDERS: Dan, we will have  
23      time on Wednesday for questions I promise. We

1 will be reviewing each of the sessions on  
2 Wednesday and we will have time for questions  
3 on Wednesday.

4 I do need to remind the audience  
5 that the regional meeting is open to everyone,  
6 not just the regionals today. It is in this  
7 room from 2:00 to 5:00 and my understanding  
8 from the agenda which, David, you can correct  
9 me if I'm wrong, is that the Title 44 revision  
10 discussion is the 4:00 to 5:30. Okay.

11 And the 10:30 session that's in  
12 here is a Council session on authentication.  
13 In your agenda that has been left off. And I  
14 do have one more reminder and that is that the  
15 law librarians and friends need to sign up for  
16 the 6:15 dinner by noon.

17 And I'm sorry. If you're going to  
18 talk, can you please go outside while I'm  
19 finishing. Thank you.

20 The law librarians and friends  
21 have given me an announcement. It's the sign  
22 up for the 6:15 dinner is by noon and the  
23 group will meet at 5:50 in front of the



1 registration desk to walk to the Sine Irish  
2 Pub which is at 1301 South Joyce Street.

3 Thank you.

4 (Whereupon, off the record from  
5 10:06 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.)

6 MS. TUBBS: All right. Good  
7 morning, everybody. Welcome to the second  
8 Council session of this morning called  
9 Authentication of Digital Government  
10 Information: Why Does It Matter? Or as Jerry  
11 Seinfeld would say, what's the deal? Never  
12 mind.

13 My name is Camilla Tubbs. I'm a  
14 reference in government documents librarian at  
15 Yale Law School. This Council session is also  
16 brought to you by Sally Holterhoff, she will  
17 normally be sitting right to my left. And  
18 Peggy Jarrett from the University of  
19 Washington.

20 So, authentication of government  
21 information has long been a concern of law  
22 librarians and legal researchers. Now, I can  
23 remember when I first became interested in

1 this topic and it was during a fall FDPL  
2 Council session and one of the speakers  
3 brought up a reference to Animal Farm. And in  
4 Animal Farm seven commandments were posted and  
5 they were trying to keep order on the farm and  
6 it was the law and regulations for the  
7 animals.

8 Now, since not all of the animals  
9 could remember these commandments, they were  
10 painted on the side of the barn. Now, over  
11 time some of these commandments in the middle  
12 of the night were altered and additions were  
13 painted on secretly and over time about six of  
14 them completely disappeared or they were so  
15 altered that even the animals who could not  
16 normally remember the laws noticed that  
17 something was strange. And this Animal Farm  
18 concept has been a long-term concern with  
19 lawyers, law librarians and legal researchers  
20 across the country.

21 Now, traditionally we rely upon  
22 GPO to provide us with the authentic official  
23 version of the laws so we don't have to worry

1 about somebody corrupt out there changing or  
2 altering the laws that we hold ourselves to.

3 Normally, or traditionally we  
4 refer to the print versions of laws,  
5 regulations that were sent to us by GPO. But  
6 over time this concept has evolved and now we  
7 are looking more and more to electronic  
8 citations. The Blue Book, which is the  
9 leading publication for legal document  
10 citations by lawyers and legal researchers has  
11 recently been amended to allow to electronic  
12 citation of official Internet sources or exact  
13 digital scans of print sources.

14 So, law librarians have been  
15 harping on this authentication issue for a  
16 long time but it became a matter that we've  
17 noticed in Council that this issue actually  
18 could have concerns for researchers and  
19 scholars outside of the legal discipline. And  
20 so that's what we will be discussing in this  
21 Council session today starting with Scott  
22 Matheson, who is the Web manager at the Yale  
23 University Library. He'll provide a general

1 overview of authentication.

2 Followed by that, Tim Byrne to my  
3 right who is the Senior Outreach Librarian at  
4 the United States Department of Energy will  
5 continue this discussion and discuss  
6 manipulation of government data which will  
7 reinforce the importance of authentication.

8 And then finally Stuart Basefsky,  
9 I hope I pronounced that right, who is the  
10 Senior Reference Librarian at Cornell  
11 University School of Industrial and Labor  
12 Relations. He will also discuss the  
13 importance of authentication in different  
14 disciplines.

15 After each one of these speakers  
16 has run about a 15-minute discussion I will  
17 open up the questions for Council. And then  
18 after that I would also like the conference  
19 participants to offer their questions and  
20 comments.

21 So, with that, I will start with  
22 Scott.

23 MR. MATHESON: All righty. Does

1 this one work or should I use the other?

2 All righty. So, first off I  
3 wanted to start with some definitions. I'm  
4 going to do some overview work on kind of  
5 authentication, what it is, why we care and  
6 how it works. And then Tim and Stuart will  
7 talk more about the actual examples of how and  
8 why it's important in work outside of law  
9 because we thought that maybe people were sick  
10 of hearing us talk about the U.S. Code and the  
11 CFR and all the legal materials.

12 So, when we talk about "official,"  
13 and remember those of you who have been here  
14 for awhile will remember we talked about  
15 little O official and big O official and if  
16 we're talking about official, AALL defined it  
17 in their authentication survey from three or  
18 four years ago as an official version of  
19 regulatory materials, statutes or session laws  
20 or court opinions as one that has been  
21 governmentally mandated or approved by statute  
22 or rule. It might be produced by the  
23 government but does not have to be.

1                   Okay. So, somehow it's a record  
2 of data that is deemed official by an  
3 authoritative source.

4                   GPO defines official a little bit  
5 differently because they have a defined scope  
6 under statute to only deal with certain  
7 materials, so their definition from their  
8 authentication page is content that is  
9 approved by, contributed by or harvested from  
10 an official source in accordance with accepted  
11 program specifications.

12                  Now, when they say "official  
13 source," it's a little lower case "o" so  
14 according to program specifications kind of is  
15 what fills in the gray area and what is  
16 official according to GPO. So, things within  
17 the scope of the FDLP. So, that's official.

18                  When we talk about authentic, I  
19 was reading a lot about this and thinking  
20 about this. And then I found through  
21 ResourceShelf actually, Mike Wash's blog post  
22 on authentication and it's very brief. I  
23 commend it to you if you want to Google that

1 and read it yourself. It's brief and it is  
2 very enlightening.

3           Authentic is an adjective.  
4 Authentication is a verb. It's something that  
5 we do. So, Mike's idea is that it's a two-  
6 part process and that the first part is to get  
7 an item from a source you trust, so you're  
8 going to go get a file, a digital object in  
9 our case. And then you're going to determine  
10 that that item has not been changed since the  
11 source that you trust gave it to you.

12           And there's some math we can do  
13 that will do that, and that's sort of how  
14 digital signatures work. We'll go through  
15 some examples and then I'm sure there will be  
16 questions and maybe Mike will help me out.

17           AALL's definition of  
18 authentication largely mirrors this and it  
19 hinges on trust and on the ability to  
20 determine that something is essentially  
21 unchanged since it was promulgated by whoever  
22 created the information object. So, that's  
23 official and authentic.

1                   Now, I want to talk a little bit  
2                   about encryption. Don't worry, this is easy.  
3                   Because as far as I'm concerned, encryption  
4                   just means that you're encoded data. You've  
5                   taken some information and you've encoded it  
6                   in some way. The code can be secret if you're  
7                   using it for security or the code cannot be  
8                   secret if you're using it for error correction  
9                   or even just to communicate in a specific  
10                  language. If we encode this is ASCII text or  
11                  UTF8 text or HTML, we all know that code and  
12                  we have tools to make use with it. But the  
13                  data is encoded.

14                 So, official, authentic and  
15                 encryption. I have one more definition for  
16                 you at the end and that's authentication. But  
17                 I'm going to do a little demo first and we're  
18                 going to talk about encryption and doing some  
19                 checking.

20                 So, I have some messages here,  
21                 some information packets. I'm going to pass  
22                 one to Camilla because it says To Camilla.  
23                 I'm going to pas one to Tim because is says To



1 Tim.

2 Now, we have all sent a fax,  
3 right? Some of us have sent faxes a long time  
4 ago when you would write on the cover sheet.  
5 This transmission includes six pages including  
6 this cover sheet. Well, there's a big number  
7 on the front of the envelopes that I just  
8 passed and what does the number say? Camilla?

9 MS. TUBBS: Two.

10 MR. MATHESON: It says two. There  
11 should be two pieces of information in that  
12 enveloped. Are there two pieces of  
13 information in your envelope, Camilla?

14 MS. TUBBS: Yes, there are two  
15 pieces of information in my envelope, Scott.

16 MR. MATHESON: Excellent. You got  
17 all the information.

18 Tim, are there two pieces of  
19 information in your -- excellent. So, both of  
20 them got all the pieces of information I sent.

21 Now, we arranged a little hash, a  
22 little checksum, an algorithm that Camilla and  
23 Tim are going to perform and they're going to

1       then check -- I did the same thing before I  
2       sent the information and I wrote it on the  
3       back of the envelope.  So, they're going to  
4       check and see if the data that I actually sent  
5       them is what they got.

6                     So, Camilla --

7                     MS. TUBBS:  Yes.

8                     MR. MATHESON:  -- is your checksum  
9       match the one that I wrote on the envelope?

10                    MS. TUBBS:  It does, Scott.

11                    MR. MATHESON:  Excellent.  So,  
12       your data is correct.

13                    Tim?

14                    MR. BYRNE:  I've added this twice  
15       now and it does not.

16                    MR. MATHESON:  Uh-oh.  So,  
17       something has gone awry.  Time didn't get the  
18       right information and he knows that because  
19       when he did the little checksum hash that we  
20       agreed on, it didn't add up to the number that  
21       I got when I did it when I sent it to him.  
22       So, either I'm bad at math, that's true, or  
23       something has happened to the information in

1 the transmission. Perhaps Stuart did  
2 something to it while he was -- so, I'm going  
3 to pass it to Tim again. I have a duplicate  
4 packet and I'm going to send it to Tim and  
5 we'll see if it gets there right this time.

6 Tim, there's two pieces of  
7 information. Do the math. And the number on  
8 the back flap matches?

9 MR. BYRNE: It matches.

10 MR. MATHESON: Excellent. So, now  
11 you both have the information that I sent and,  
12 in fact, I sent the same information to both  
13 of you so you both have the same information  
14 and we know that.

15 So, this was a very simple hash.  
16 It just involved adding things up. It's  
17 reverse -- very easy, not particularly secure.  
18 But we knew. You know, Tim was able to say,  
19 no, I didn't get the information that you  
20 sent. That's one way to do an error  
21 correction, not quite security authentication  
22 but we checked that the information that I  
23 sent arrived intact and that all of the

1 information was received.

2           So, there's a couple of different  
3 -- you know, there's many different technical  
4 ways to implement that sort of correction. We  
5 don't think about that sort of encoding and  
6 error correction and that sort of math a whole  
7 lot but we use it all the time. Who has  
8 listened to a CD? There's error correction in  
9 your CD player, it works the same way.

10 Routers and switches. Anybody ever use the  
11 Internet here? No. Yes, they're full of it.  
12 Anybody a LOCKSS participant? This is how  
13 LOCKSS checks among all of the little boxes to  
14 make sure that the archival units are all the  
15 same. It does a hash and they compare hashes.  
16 They don't send all the data back and forth.  
17 That would be really inefficient.

18           So, to make sure that we're doing  
19 data correction we can use hashes. We can  
20 also use encryption. We don't think about  
21 this a whole lot but whose banked on line?  
22 Anybody ever look for the little lock when you  
23 get to an HTTPS? All right. Anybody ever see

1 the message that says the certificate is  
2 expired or out of date or invalid? Okay.  
3 Send those complaints to me if they're from  
4 the Library because that's what I spend all my  
5 days doing. Not all of the Internet. I can't  
6 fix anybody else's certificates. I'm sorry.

7 But we think about, you know, we  
8 use encryption. We use the same sorts of math  
9 for SSL and for our encrypted WiFi  
10 connections. All of these things are pretty  
11 easy for us to use because they've been around  
12 for quite a long time. We don't have to think  
13 about them.

14 All right. So, when we think  
15 about authentication, remember it's an  
16 activity. It's a verb. It's something that  
17 we do. It can make use of encryption and  
18 often it does. Helps with security. But most  
19 importantly it's based on trust and I was  
20 actually really please to her what Mary  
21 Proffet said earlier that kind of working  
22 together and making the system work is really  
23 based on trust and infrastructure. Because

1 that's exactly what we need for authentication  
2 to work for the FTPL to move into the digital  
3 era fully is trust and infrastructure and  
4 we're going to talk a little bit about that  
5 now.

6 I'm going to pass another message  
7 but it's going to go all the way to Sally. I  
8 can't reach Sally so I'm going to send it via  
9 Camilla. And so when you send the message,  
10 sometimes the message goes through just fine  
11 like it did to Tim and sometimes something  
12 happens to the message in transit. Maybe  
13 somebody naughty does something that you would  
14 not like or just bad stuff happens. There's  
15 a flood, there's a fire, there's an  
16 earthquake, whatever. Bad things happen  
17 there. Sally, you can start doing the math  
18 and see if it adds up.

19 All right. So, you guys work on  
20 the math.

21 MS. HOLTERHOFF: Peggy's going to  
22 help me but we're going to add this up and  
23 wait a minute.

1 MR. MATHESON: Does it match?

2 MS. HOLTERHOFF: No, it does not,  
3 Scott.

4 MR. MATHESON: All righty. So, I  
5 don't have --

6 MS. HOLTERHOFF: I am shocked.

7 MR. MATHESON: I don't have  
8 another one to send but you saw things can  
9 happen and now we know that that didn't  
10 happen. But this trust relationship, now  
11 Sally and I know something about Camilla. We  
12 know that maybe there's a trust problem there  
13 because the data didn't get through quite the  
14 way we sent it.

15 This is the sort of thing that we  
16 think about. I mean, does this sound like  
17 we're going to put things somewhere and leave  
18 them until somebody can come pick them up? A  
19 message sound like depository -- federal  
20 depository library program. People are going  
21 to send you information. You're going to keep  
22 it until your patrons need it and come and get  
23 it. So, there's trust there. Your patrons

1 trust that you are being a good steward of the  
2 information in tangible form or not. And they  
3 trust that GPO is acting as an official organ  
4 of the government promulgating whatever  
5 documents they are asked to by Congress or by  
6 the agencies.

7           So, there's two pieces of trust  
8 there. There's the trust that the Executive  
9 Office of the President publishes the budget  
10 and that GPO doesn't muck with it between the  
11 time that OMB sends it out and they print it  
12 and that nothing happens to it between GPO's  
13 plant and your library. And that then when  
14 your patrons come in to look at the budget in  
15 print, then that you haven't gone in, sneakily  
16 cut out the figures and erased all the zeroes  
17 or something like that. So, there's trust  
18 there and we have that in the print and it's  
19 very evident. Because if you went in and cut  
20 out some zeroes in the budget, your patrons  
21 would see that, right? They'd say, hey,  
22 there's something wrong with this page.  
23 Either you or somebody whose gotten access to



1 this has fiddled with the budget numbers. In  
2 the digital world that's a lot less obvious,  
3 especially to people who are not looking for  
4 problems.

5           Now, when we were passing the  
6 messages around, we were specifically looking  
7 to see if there had been problems. So, we  
8 were alert for it. If you're just looking at  
9 an image, a scanned image of a page or plain  
10 text on a browser screen, it's not at all  
11 obvious that somebody may have fiddled with  
12 that information or because I like to think  
13 people are generally good that something has  
14 gone awry in the storage or in the maintenance  
15 of that information and the numbers are  
16 somehow corrupted.

17           So, authentication really depends  
18 on what Mary said, the infrastructure and the  
19 workflows and the trust that we build up. And  
20 to move that into the digital era part of this  
21 -- who has heard about PKI when you're  
22 attending something? Oh, the PKI. That's  
23 part of extending this trust into the digital

1 environment is having this infrastructure  
2 where audited workflows and audited systems  
3 are able to trust one another and move  
4 information around in a way that allows us to  
5 have the same sort of trust in digital  
6 information, whether that's laws and  
7 regulations or Census data and geo-spatial  
8 data as we have in the printed products that  
9 we would have gotten from GPO or USGS.

10           So, this is not new stuff. There  
11 are two great references that I'm just going  
12 to touch on so that we've got them. One is a  
13 GAO report that Stuart found. It's called  
14 Information Management Electronic  
15 Dissemination of Government Publications.  
16 Pretty relevant. And that is, of course, on  
17 their site. The other one is a CLIR, Council  
18 on Library and Information Resources report.  
19 Has essays by Cliff Lynch, David Levy, kind of  
20 all your information science superstars.

21           Both of these documents -- one is  
22 from 2000 and one is from 2001. These are not  
23 new ideas. But just like it took about a

1 decade for us to kind of incorporate the web,  
2 the Internet, the web into our everyday lives  
3 and work and workflows, so a decade on from  
4 these reports now we're starting to think  
5 about we've got FedSys that has over a dozen  
6 kind of primary source key publications and  
7 series that are now authenticatable using a  
8 digital signature provided you trust the GPO  
9 is a trusted provider, as we do in the print  
10 world.

11           So, you know, a decade on it's  
12 starting to become more common place and I  
13 think we'll see as more and more collections  
14 move into FedSys and as the tools become more  
15 robust and more common, we'll see it more and  
16 more and our patrons will come to expect it  
17 just like you expect to have a completely  
18 secure connection to your online banking or  
19 your insurance when you're doing that sort of  
20 work online.

21           So, I'm going to pass it on to Tim  
22 now who will talk a little bit about the  
23 details of some data.

1                   MR. BYRNE: I'm actually surprised  
2 to be here. I really don't think of myself as  
3 someone who knows a great deal about  
4 authentication. But Sally was very persuasive  
5 when she called and asked me to be on this.  
6 And the more I thought about it, the more I  
7 realized that I probably did have some talents  
8 in this area that I wasn't aware of.

9                   I have been at the Department of  
10 Energy's Office of Scientific and Technical  
11 Information for three years now, and actually  
12 into this month it will be three years where  
13 that I spent over 20 years at the University  
14 of Colorado as the Regional Depository  
15 Librarian there. And I'm still getting a lot  
16 of people I run into at this meeting who have  
17 known me over the years who, you know, are  
18 saying, Tim, what are you doing at DOE? Tim,  
19 what are you doing at OSTI? And I thought,  
20 geez, you know, I'm going to be giving a  
21 presentation here. It's a great opportunity  
22 for me to actually reach out and tell all of  
23 them. And so if you will just indulge me for

1 a minute, I want to, you know, give you a  
2 little idea of what I have been doing at OSTI  
3 and then I will try to sort of relate that to  
4 authentication.

5 So, some people are going to be  
6 surprised that I have actually been doing a  
7 lot more research at OSTI than I was doing  
8 when I was a librarian at the University of  
9 Colorado. I brought some of the things I've  
10 done recently.

11 This is a page from a article that  
12 I had a small part in. You can see that my  
13 name is sort of towards the end here. I had  
14 a small roll in this but I did get my name and  
15 OSTI's name on the document here. This has to  
16 do with the pre-electron laser in Hamburg.

17 So, this one was a study done for  
18 the Pacific National -- Northwest National  
19 Lab. And it had to do with chinook salmon.  
20 This is interesting because when I was at  
21 Colorado our recatalog was called Chinook.  
22 So, it's interesting for both of them.

23 So, again, you know, a joint

1 author here working my way up though.

2 Here's one that is a little bit  
3 more library related having to do with  
4 information review on chemical emissions.

5 So, I've been, you know, working a  
6 lot with these but I still found time to  
7 finish my doctoral dissertation. Here it is  
8 on Biomask Inversion. Yes, yes.

9 I had a real good committee on  
10 this so it really helped.

11 And this is a presentation I did  
12 recently where I weighed in on global warming.

13 Now, a lot of you who have been to  
14 some of my presentations here at these  
15 meetings will really believe that I would  
16 stand up before an audience and talk about  
17 global warming and quality and one that  
18 doesn't think it's real is really stupid. But  
19 probably some of you are surprised about some  
20 of these other things I've been getting into.

21 How many of you believed any of  
22 that? I bet a lot more people believed it  
23 than raised their hands because I actually --

1 I went and met with the guy at OSTI who was in  
2 charge of cyber security and I told him I  
3 wanted to talk about authentication. And we  
4 talked about it for awhile and then I said,  
5 well, let me show you my presentation. And I  
6 showed him printouts of these pages and he  
7 believed every one of them. And this is  
8 someone I've worked with the last three years.

9 So, no, what I did. I didn't  
10 write anything, you know, those five  
11 publications except for the global warming.  
12 I did write the title of that. I just went  
13 onto the information bridge, DOE's database of  
14 full text reports, downloaded random PDFs  
15 really and brought them into a W Acrobat  
16 Professional and put my name on them. And it  
17 was really surprisingly easy. I, you know,  
18 always thought that this would be something  
19 I'd like to do but I'd never actually done it  
20 before. So, this gave me that opportunity to  
21 do so and, you know, I did have fun with this.

22 I don't know how many of you  
23 really looked at my dissertation committee

1 here, you may recognize some of the people on  
2 it.

3 MS. MORIEARTY: Well, Tim, I did  
4 wonder about the committee and you getting a  
5 Ph.D but we weren't going to say anything.

6 MR. BYRNE: And this is, you know,  
7 what I wanted to demonstrate is how easy this  
8 is but the thing is I think this has happened  
9 a lot. And we don't really know how much it  
10 happens. We hear about candidates who  
11 exaggerate their military service or they may  
12 claim to have attended a university that they  
13 just attended a workshop at or something. But  
14 the fact that I think a lot of this goes on.

15 If I were to apply for a job and  
16 submit with my rhizome copies of these  
17 articles that I'm claiming to have written,  
18 they're not going to go look for the articles.  
19 They've got them right there in paper. They  
20 look good. They're going to believe it. So,  
21 this happens a lot and we really don't hear  
22 about it unless it's a really big scandal.

23 In my first professional library



1 job, the library had a business manager. A  
2 very nice woman. She kept trying to fix me up  
3 with her daughter. Her daughter drove a nice  
4 car but other than that there wasn't much to  
5 say for her. But after a few years, one of  
6 the business manager's staff noticed some  
7 discrepancies in the deposits of the photocopy  
8 money and reported it to the director who then  
9 reported it to the police. And they  
10 discovered that this woman had been embezzling  
11 from the library for many years. And the  
12 estimates were between a half million and a  
13 million dollars that had been embezzled.

14 So, as they looked into her they  
15 discovered that not only did she not have the  
16 master's in accounting that she claimed on her  
17 original application, she didn't even have the  
18 bachelor's in accounting that she claimed she  
19 had. So, after a couple of changes at the  
20 university, first the library director was  
21 fired and secondly, the university started  
22 requiring authentication of degrees that new  
23 hires were coming in. So, they had to prove

1 that you actually had that degree.

2 And so when I went to the  
3 University of Colorado I wasn't surprised to  
4 see that they did the same thing. They  
5 required that all new faculty hires had to  
6 supply copies of either the transcript of  
7 their last degree or a copy of their diploma.

8 I don't think they ever really had  
9 a problem with this at CU, so it really wasn't  
10 something that they worried too much about.  
11 It was more of it was just one of those things  
12 that you had to have checked off, you could  
13 start work. Because they didn't require an  
14 official version of the transcript. They just  
15 required a photocopy.

16 Now, you give me the right  
17 software, I can make a nice diploma and I can  
18 do a transcript probably pretty well too. So,  
19 it would be pretty easy to fake thee things.  
20 So, until you actually run into a problem and  
21 really say, okay, we've got to have authentic  
22 versions of it, then people really don't  
23 check. They don't check real closely. So, we

1 really don't know how much of this sort of  
2 thing is going on.

3           Now, I use this example of, you  
4 know, taking credit for publications just  
5 because it was easy to do and it was fun. But  
6 the other aspect is it is as Scott was  
7 talking, where the data in a publication can  
8 be changed. So, I tried playing around with  
9 this. I actually went to GPO and got the  
10 budget and because of the authentication that  
11 they've done, it wasn't nearly as easy as the  
12 other ones that I had done.

13           I think that if I really wanted to  
14 take the time, I could have broken the  
15 certification and really done something with  
16 it. But I didn't want to do that because I  
17 can go to other spots in the government and  
18 find the budget that wasn't authenticated.  
19 And in those cases I could have made change  
20 too except that the W Acrobat that I had  
21 didn't have the same font that the budget  
22 uses. So, I probably could have found that  
23 font too. But I did continue on and here is

1 a page from the Department of Energy. I took  
2 it off of the Department of Energy's website.  
3 It's the FY 2011 Statistical Table of  
4 Appropriations. And this is a section for the  
5 Office of Science and the Office of Scientific  
6 and Technical Information is part of the  
7 Office of Science so we can go down here and  
8 see. There is a line here. Maybe I can make  
9 this bigger for you. So, here we see OSTI and  
10 these numbers look really good.

11 They look good for two reasons.  
12 They look good because I added \$10 million to  
13 OSTI's appropriations. And then because you  
14 can't tell that I did that.

15 Now, it really doesn't do much for  
16 OSTI for me to just add this onto a document.  
17 But it made me feel good. And the thing is  
18 that if someone were to take a document,  
19 change the data in it and put it up and  
20 redisseminate it, it's really hard to tell  
21 that this information is changed. You really  
22 have to compare it against an authenticated  
23 version.

1                   And exactly what I was thinking  
2                   would be something that could really happen is  
3                   that you could take a document that may be  
4                   done by a climate scientist that showed  
5                   evidence of global warming. And you could  
6                   change the data and you could make it so that  
7                   it actually argues against global warming.  
8                   And then you could take that document and you  
9                   could put it up on one of the global warming  
10                  doubter's web pages or blogs and say, this is  
11                  a government report that was suppressed by the  
12                  government because it actually proved that  
13                  global warming isn't happening. And I bet you  
14                  that document would be disseminated all over  
15                  the world in just a matter of days.

16                  And then even if you said this  
17                  document is a fake, they wouldn't believe it.  
18                  And you'd have a real mess out there with this  
19                  document arguing against it. So, it's real  
20                  easy to do. And it's one of the reasons why  
21                  we need authentication.

22                  So, I've given you some examples  
23                  of things that I have actually downloaded from

1 the Department of Energy and made the change,  
2 especially from OSTI. So, why doesn't OSTI  
3 worry about authentication of online documents  
4 that we have about the other agencies?

5 I talked with our cyber security  
6 specialist and it's because it's a theoretical  
7 issue. We can talk about the possibility of  
8 it but right now it's a very low likelihood  
9 risk and at this point we're unwilling to  
10 invest a lot of money on a low likelihood  
11 risk. So, that means that for this really to  
12 be something that OSTI and other federal  
13 agencies really would give a lot of attention  
14 to there has to be one hell of a scandal. And  
15 it has to be something that's not just an  
16 embarrassment to the government. But it  
17 actually causes serious demonstrable harm to  
18 the American people.

19 So, a person from OSTI was saying,  
20 you know, that he really believed for anything  
21 really to happen for Federal agencies, for  
22 OSTI especially to really move in and do  
23 something about authentication, it would have

1 to be probably a government-wide initiative or  
2 at least a department-wide initiative before  
3 they could really start devoting the funds to  
4 do this.

5 So, I hope I've demonstrated that  
6 this is more than just a law librarian's  
7 concern. It's something that we all need to  
8 be concerned about and I hope I've done it in  
9 a memorable fashion.

10 MS. TUBBS: Thank you.

11 Now, we'll move onto Stuart who  
12 will show different uses and other disciplines  
13 outside of law where authenticated information  
14 takes place in research.

15 MR. BASEFSKY: Okay. Actually, I  
16 was a little bit surprised that this topic was  
17 on the agenda here because back in 2001 the  
18 General Accounting Office -- at that time  
19 called the General Accounting Office, did a  
20 study on information management and electronic  
21 dissemination of government publications and  
22 it was largely settled policy that the  
23 government is obligated to authenticate. But

1 people keep questioning the need for  
2 authentication so that's why I'm here, I  
3 suppose.

4 I'm giving you the prospectus from  
5 the social sciences. As you can see from my  
6 title, I'm the Senior Reference Librarian at  
7 the School of Industrial & Labor Relations.  
8 I'm also a lecturer and I'm also the Director  
9 of an Internet news service on workplace  
10 issues.

11 I talk fast, and remember you're  
12 listening too slow. But there are all sorts  
13 of psychological studies that indicate that if  
14 you move fast, and you wear glasses people  
15 think you're intelligent. So, I hope I don't  
16 disappoint.

17 Okay. In any event, this is the  
18 prospective of the social sciences and why  
19 invite me? I'm at a library, the Catherwood  
20 Library that is known as the world's largest  
21 university collection on workplace issues. We  
22 deal with all of the social sciences through  
23 the lens of the workplace. So, we deal with



1 labor law, employment law, arbitration, labor  
2 economics, human resources, psychology and  
3 sociology of work. It goes on and on and on.  
4 Any of the social sciences, you name it. As  
5 long as it's related to workplace in any way  
6 or another, we focus on that social science  
7 through that lens.

8 Now, as I make my presentation  
9 today, this is from my perspective a very,  
10 very serious issue. I'm going to define what  
11 I'm talking about. I'm going to give some  
12 specific examples and I'm going to relate the  
13 significance.

14 Like I say, this is a serious  
15 matter. How serious is it? Well, one of the  
16 things that we forget about is the U.S.  
17 Government issues currency. That is a  
18 government document. Don't forget it. And  
19 it's insured if it's deposited in a federally  
20 insured bank by the full faith and credit of  
21 the U.S. Government. Now, that is the print  
22 version of the dollar. When it goes into your  
23 bank you have the digital version of the

1 dollar. It doesn't look like the print  
2 version but the value is retained. The  
3 content essentially is retained. All the  
4 things that it was intended to have are there.  
5 When you go there you don't want to come out  
6 of the bank with bogus \$100 bills. And you  
7 don't want to be sending stuff with your  
8 credit card realizing that it's really not  
9 working because it's not accepted.

10           You'll notice that there is a U.S.  
11 code provision here, regulations governing  
12 insured depository institutions that actually  
13 requires the full faith and credit of the U.S.  
14 Government to be behind that particular  
15 publication.

16           The Government Printing Office  
17 actually produces what I call the intellectual  
18 currency of the government, the intellectual  
19 currency. And deposits should be backed by  
20 the full faith and credit of the U.S.  
21 Government.

22           Now there may not be a specific  
23 law requiring that but I'm going to go through

1 a demonstration process here indicating that  
2 for all intents and purposes the U.S.  
3 Government intends for the Government Printing  
4 Office to authenticate and why.

5           And before I get into that, I have  
6 two quotes at the bottom of this particular  
7 slide that I think resonate with all of us.  
8 One is attributed to Daniel Patrick Moynihan  
9 in terms of the U.S and whatnot. We're always  
10 told, you are entitled to your opinion. We're  
11 hearing lots of opinions today in politics.  
12 But you are not entitled to your own facts.

13           So, how do we determine what is a  
14 fact? And if we can determine and by  
15 authentication that that is, in fact, the  
16 fact, what do we do with that? Well, then you  
17 have Mark Twain who takes a kind of humorous  
18 perspective on this and says you get the  
19 facts, you can distort them later.

20           So, if we look at the National  
21 Archives right now you can see that there is  
22 a provision in there for the electronic  
23 records management guidance on methodology for

1 determining agency unique requirements. And  
2 I give you a link to that particular  
3 publication on the net and as you go down a  
4 few paragraphs you're going to come up to what  
5 they give as the definition that they take  
6 from the International Council on Archives,  
7 the Guide For Managing Electronic Records From  
8 An Archival Perspective. But what we're  
9 concerned about here is the reliability of a  
10 record is it's ability to serve as reliable  
11 evidence. Authenticity refers to the  
12 persistence over time of the original  
13 characteristics of the record with respect to  
14 the context, structure and content. An  
15 authentic record is one that retains its  
16 original reliability.

17 Now, actually, one of the things--  
18 if you're going to say the federal repository  
19 system put all the federal depository  
20 documents and programs in with manuscripts and  
21 archives. Manuscripts and archives now in the  
22 electronic world have to be current. We don't  
23 wait 100 years for something to show up there.

1 You wait 100 days. Because in the electronic  
2 environment, everything is disposable and  
3 quick notice. And you'll get more respect  
4 because of you work with the manuscripts you  
5 get all the money in the world.

6 Okay. Now, in talking about this  
7 you have to know that you're dealing here with  
8 the general concept of a chain of reliability.  
9 Now, here comes the specific example.

10 In the Census when you look at how  
11 Census publications are produced, they  
12 actually refer you to the Office of Management  
13 and Budget. And in the Office of Management  
14 and Budget there is a thing called the  
15 Standards and Guidelines for Statistical  
16 Surveys. The link is there.

17 The first paragraph states:  
18 "Statistics collected and published by the  
19 Federal Government constitutes a significant  
20 portion of the available information about the  
21 United States economy, population, natural  
22 resources, environment, public and private  
23 institutions." These data are used by the

1 Federal Government and others as a basis for  
2 actions that affect people's lives and well-  
3 being. It is essential that they be  
4 collected, processed and published in a manner  
5 that guarantees and inspires confidence in  
6 their reliability.

7 Now, when we look at that chain,  
8 there's a lot that goes on. If you read into  
9 this document, how do you collect? Well, you  
10 collect in a lot of different ways. In my  
11 school, one of the things you're concerned  
12 about is the BLS Handbook of Methodology of  
13 Methods. Statisticians, academics go to a  
14 great deal of trouble to make certain that the  
15 right processes are gone through. They  
16 collect the data based on those processes,  
17 then they process the data. What's  
18 comparable, what's not comparable, what are  
19 the variables? Then it has to be published.  
20 And if the publication is not authentic, that  
21 chain of reliability is broken. You do not  
22 want to be in the position of offering a  
23 document where you've broken the chain of

1 reliability.

2           Now, that chain of reliability  
3 follows on to the government, and particularly  
4 GPO's very concerned about it. But academic  
5 libraries should be concerned about the  
6 documents that their scholars are looking at.

7           Even in the print world, we have  
8 trouble. A lot of us rely on third party  
9 publishers like CIS, Congressional Information  
10 Service. I worked with them for years. I  
11 admire their work. I've actually helped them  
12 develop some of their publications and  
13 projects. However, I've told them on many  
14 occasions as a government documents librarian,  
15 which I was a number of years ago, we used to  
16 receive corrections to hearings, to committee  
17 prints, to statistical publications. They  
18 don't put any of those corrections in there.  
19 The academy is relying on those publications.  
20 it's just too expensive to fit into their  
21 workflow to add in the corrections. So, some  
22 people are doing their scholarly work based on  
23 information that may not actually be correct.

1 So, that's a problem in the print world.

2 Can you imagine what that problem  
3 is now in the electronic world? Anyway, we do  
4 not want to break that chain of reliability.

5 Now, one of the things that  
6 concerned me because of where I work I  
7 actually collect government documents and we  
8 put it into our institutional repository and  
9 we don't use it as an institutional  
10 repository. We have it at our particular  
11 school. It's separate from Cornell's. We  
12 have over 13,000 materials in there, more than  
13 all of Cornell University together in our  
14 separate little school repository. We have 70  
15 percent compliance with our faculty in doing  
16 it. But we also do a lot of other things. We  
17 work with the Department of Labor. We collect  
18 collective bargaining agreements. They cover  
19 a thousand workers or more from the Department  
20 of Labor. They rely on us to put that out  
21 there. These things re not authenticated.

22 I wish they were. We're doing the  
23 best we can. We're collecting what is



1 available. The reliability of these things  
2 are in question to some extent. And when  
3 you're dealing with union busters out there,  
4 they would love to change what some clauses  
5 might have been or should have been or  
6 whatever in various kinds of collective  
7 bargaining agreements.

8 I also collect key workplace  
9 documents, anything dealing with the  
10 workplace. I'm upset that I collect  
11 Congressional research service materials from  
12 third parties because I can't get it from the  
13 original government office.

14 Has it been modified since I  
15 collected it? A question that academic  
16 librarians should be asking is where are you  
17 getting your documents on the Internet? Are  
18 you getting it from the original source? Are  
19 you getting it through a third parties? I  
20 mean if you're not documenting that, what is  
21 it that you're handing to your faculty and to  
22 the world out there? We know that Google does  
23 a sloppy job. What version are they

1 providing? All those things are really key.

2 Now, I do another thing. I run an  
3 Internet news service where I actually  
4 distribute links. I don't ever distribute  
5 documents. But links to the official  
6 authentic publications of the U.S. Government.  
7 But my audience is largely Europe. They are  
8 relying, International Labour Organization,  
9 the European Foundation for the Improvement of  
10 Living and Working Conditions for comparative  
11 public policy purposes and data to do research  
12 and study and make certain that what we say  
13 that we're doing in the United States is  
14 reliable information.

15 The U.S. Government doesn't  
16 distribute the information. There's a lot of  
17 political reasons for that. I don't have time  
18 to go into it. But I distribute it on their  
19 behalf. And I would like to know that these  
20 things are authenticated. Very often they are  
21 not. And people are relying on it and it  
22 makes me nervous.

23 Now, when you talk about the

1 scholarly method, what is the scholarship?  
2 It's the body of principles and practices used  
3 by scholars to make their claims about the  
4 world as valid and as trustworthy as possible  
5 and to make them known to the scholarly  
6 public.

7 Citation is a very important part  
8 of that. The purpose is to identify,  
9 distinguish and locate documentation material  
10 relied upon in producing studies. This is  
11 done so that others may validate the findings  
12 and methods used. Original source  
13 documentation is preferred, often produced by  
14 the government.

15 Don't forget that GPO is original  
16 source documentation. It is more valued than  
17 the general collections.

18 Academic librarians, public  
19 librarians, they need to know that the  
20 government documents are original source  
21 documentation. They are as valued as your  
22 rare books and your manuscripts and they  
23 should be given the same deference.

1                   Important elements of a citation  
2                   in online environment. Well, we know that we  
3                   have a problem with citation. Everybody in  
4                   the world of scholars, we talked about  
5                   scholarship and we talked about scholarship  
6                   light. The cut and paste dollars. Oh, I  
7                   found this on the Internet. URL. This goes  
8                   in my paper. Anybody checking it, you go to  
9                   their working papers, oh, that disappeared.  
10                  Where is it? Oh, I got to go look for the  
11                  title for someone. Did I find it from the  
12                  source that you found it from? I don't know.  
13                  Where did you find this doggone thing?

14                   The title may be the same. The  
15                   content may be different. Who knows. But the  
16                   purpose, again, of a citation is to verify the  
17                   specific authentic reliable sources used so  
18                   that others may replicate the findings. In  
19                   the world of the Internet without that  
20                   authentication, it's a mess.

21                   So, what we have are issues of  
22                   governance, trust, reliance, confidence. In  
23                   brief, the role of government is at stake as

1 well as the reputations of scholars and  
2 scholarship itself.

3 Now, there are different  
4 perspectives that you can take on this.  
5 Another one would be, most of the states of  
6 the United States have rules against tampering  
7 with government records. Have you ever heard  
8 of the legal notion of an attractive nuisance  
9 like a swimming pool without a fence around it  
10 so kids can go in there and drown?

11 Well, if you have laws on the  
12 books that make it illegal to tamper with  
13 government records, I mean, you create  
14 government records that are easily tampered  
15 with, you're created an attractive nuisance  
16 and we are drowning in that instability.

17 So, anyway, that's enough. Okay.

18 MS. TUBBS: Thank you to our  
19 speakers. I now invite questions from  
20 Council.

21 MS. HOLTERHOFF: All right. Tim,  
22 where's your diploma for Ph.D? Can we see  
23 that please?

1                   That's Sally Holterhoff,  
2 Valparaiso.

3                   MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
4 University of Utah.

5                   Tim, I never believed you  
6 previously and now I really am not. I mean,  
7 however, other than the fact that I questioned  
8 the Ph.D, your last publication did suck me  
9 in. Knowing you, there was just enough truth  
10 and I think you made a very, very powerful  
11 point in that, humorously, but you did suck  
12 many of us in and we are highly skeptical.

13                   But also I wanted to comment on  
14 our last speaker. You make an excellent point  
15 about the quality of the material out there.  
16 At the end of the semester I'm always asked by  
17 some professor to check on plagiarism. And  
18 how they see it as absolutely rampant. But  
19 part of it is that it's not that rampant, it's  
20 the fact that you're looking for this material  
21 and it is gone, especially in the government  
22 publications and scientific area. And so they  
23 immediately assume plagiarism when actually

1 it's just it's no longer there.

2 MR. OTTO: Justin Otto from  
3 Eastern Washington University.

4 For the panel, I'd really like to  
5 know your opinion of the authentication of  
6 PDFs that PPO is now starting to do. Do you  
7 consider it a good first step? Do you  
8 consider it good enough? Please, I'd like to  
9 know what you're opinions are about it.

10 MR. MATHESON: Scott Matheson.

11 I think it's a good first step. I  
12 think for a lot of things it might be enough  
13 for the sort of things that we think of as  
14 traditional documents. However, and this is  
15 where I think the summit that GPO held in June  
16 and where the Industry Day will be helpful is  
17 that we have a lot of data now that is really  
18 useful and that we depend on that can't easily  
19 be represented in a PDF. Things that are geo-  
20 spatial data, things that are data sets.  
21 Things that our patrons use that we can't  
22 easily wrap up in a PDF and authenticate using  
23 the Adobe tools that are kind of off the

1 shelf.

2                   So, I think this is where GPO can  
3 really help break down ground in terms of  
4 validating really flexible formats like XML or  
5 in kind of validating arbitrary binary blobs,  
6 that sort of thing. The other area I see that  
7 there's some room for improvement or for work  
8 for GPO to be a leader is in terms of chain of  
9 custody. And so we talked about that a little  
10 bit, but in terms of having, and again the  
11 infrastructure is now coming up where you can  
12 have chain of custody directly from OFR to GPO  
13 to you, so you know what you got is actually  
14 not only what GPO says, the Office of Federal  
15 Register said, but actually what the Office of  
16 Federal Register said. Same thing with maybe  
17 the Secretary of the Senate and the Clerk of  
18 the House.

19                   So, those sort of things where  
20 kind of across the government you could have  
21 the kind of continuous verification  
22 authentication. I think are areas that could  
23 be used could use some leadership from GPO but



1 I do think what they're doing now is at least  
2 a good first step. And it will help raise  
3 public awareness and hopefully maybe demand.

4 MR. BASEFSKY: Generally speaking,  
5 I go on the premise that, for example, the  
6 concept of digital preservation is an  
7 oxymoron. There's no such thing as actually  
8 preserving anything digital. It's always in  
9 a position to be further migrated into the  
10 latest technology.

11 You have to go under the  
12 assumption that the technology is going to  
13 change. The means of providing digital  
14 signatures, the ways of verifying information  
15 are going to change over time. I think the  
16 most that we can expect is that our government  
17 provide generally the best that's available at  
18 the time, and this is something that's going  
19 to progress over time. We're in a very  
20 fragile world where you can do a lot very  
21 quickly because of technology and because of  
22 technology it increases the risk of things can  
23 fall apart very rapidly as well. So, it's

1 just a matter of due diligence.

2 I think that it's incumbent upon  
3 GPO and all the government agencies just to do  
4 the best they can out there with the  
5 technology that's available with the  
6 assumption that they're going to have to  
7 upgrade over time.

8 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
9 Dame.

10 Tim, if you want to be a coach,  
11 we'll find out that you don't have your Ph.D.  
12 However, if you'd like to be the Dean of  
13 Science, come on over.

14 I have to wonder because 10 years  
15 ago a faculty member in the business school  
16 asked for a data feed from a standard source.  
17 I think Stuart gets at this too. When he got  
18 the data, he noticed that there was an anomaly  
19 that didn't quite pan out. So, we went back  
20 and he checked and indeed the original  
21 supplier going through the vendor, who I will  
22 not name right now, it got mistranslated and  
23 the data was corrupted and we had to literally

1 go to the original source to get the content.

2 I made a note, number one, to ask  
3 Rick, okay. Did you note that? I'll find  
4 this paper and say, we had to do this in  
5 methodology. Number two, I have a whole set  
6 of discs that rely on that data and it is a  
7 common practice in accountancy to use this  
8 particular data in validating for which I'm at  
9 fault. I have not made a notation that says  
10 if you want to use this, you need to know it  
11 may not be accurate.

12 So, my point is two. Tim, how do  
13 we get the crisis that brings this to bear on  
14 this and the other half is, when did this  
15 become GPO's problem. I mean authentication,  
16 as Stuart has pointed out, has been with us  
17 all along. So, you know, are we solving a  
18 world problem here assuming that GPO is going  
19 to do it when, okay, GPO can have a slice of  
20 their content that indeed we can try and make  
21 sure we've done our due diligence to make it  
22 authentic. But after that, I don't know.

23 So, you know, the easy one, Tim,

1 is what's the crisis? How quickly can you  
2 produce it to OSTI so that GPO gets unlimited  
3 funding to authentic each and everything that  
4 we've got.

5 MR. BYRNE: This is where I get  
6 really creative.

7 I think the crisis is out there.  
8 It may not be at OSTI but it's out there and  
9 it's going to happen some day.

10 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
11 California State Library.

12 I kept on thinking of the  
13 President's birth certificate as you guys were  
14 talking about authentication and how many  
15 different versions we've seen of that on the  
16 web. But, anyway, that's totally off topic.

17 Stuart, you mentioned CIS. This  
18 is something that I've also been concerned  
19 about both in print, Congressional  
20 publications as well as on line. We've  
21 actually maintained our uncorrected hearings  
22 in our collection and stamped them superseded  
23 because I thought to myself if a scholar has

1 cited something from an uncorrected hearing  
2 which was the authentic version at the time he  
3 wrote his paper, and if later someone wants to  
4 look at that citation and all of the copies of  
5 the uncorrected versions have been disposed  
6 of, how can that scholar prove that that fact  
7 at that time actually existed?

8           So, I know that version control  
9 which is my understanding of version control  
10 is actually corralling the different versions  
11 and describing them bibliographically or  
12 whatever way so that they can be retrieved.  
13 Version control is one thing, but version  
14 authentication perhaps is a different topic  
15 altogether. But how does version control and  
16 version authentication fit in with  
17 authentication because I see them allied?

18           MR. BASEFSKY: Well, you're  
19 correct. They are allied. In the digital  
20 world things are actually easier to manage in  
21 a sense because you can say, well, what date  
22 did you actually look at this thing. If you  
23 have mechanisms -- we actually need to better

1 citation mechanisms so that when people pull  
2 their documents they get the information the  
3 date they pulled it, you know, they may mark  
4 it down, but there should be a digital record  
5 of that.

6           And if the digital background on  
7 the record they're looking at is somehow  
8 maintained, you know precisely what they've  
9 looked at. You know, nobody's bothered to go  
10 kind in that direction, but those  
11 possibilities exist. I mean, we're in a  
12 position right now in moving from print to  
13 digital world where we have to start thinking  
14 out of the box.

15           I've often wondered in a web  
16 world, why in the heck are we still using  
17 pagination. You know, we should be numbering  
18 all the paragraphs. So, if the paragraph  
19 number is not right, you know something got  
20 stuck in there in between from the time you  
21 looked at it or you were an idiot. You just  
22 marked down the wrong paragraph number.

23           But, you know, I can't solve those

1 problems, but the things is we need people in  
2 public policy addressing these issues. They  
3 are important to the longevity of our  
4 civilized society and there are all sorts of  
5 studies that indicate that civilizations are  
6 in trouble when they become extremely chaotic.  
7 And so it's a question of, you know, what  
8 levels of control are necessary? Some of them  
9 are costly.

10 Cost, you know, I like that  
11 expression. Let's see who is it by? Oscar  
12 Wilde, I believe. We live in a cynical world  
13 and the definition of cynic is the person who  
14 knows the cost of everything and the value of  
15 nothing.

16 MR. MATHESON: I would just add  
17 that, you know, where we have sort an elegant  
18 solution in the star print, in the print  
19 world, so even if your superseded copy were  
20 gone, you would know, oh, well, this is a  
21 correct version. So, perhaps, you wouldn't  
22 know what the data is, but perhaps they have  
23 an excuse for getting the data wrong.

1                   That translates fairly well when  
2 we're talking about discreet digital objects,  
3 digital publications. But, again, it raises  
4 the real hard technical questions when you've  
5 got things that are data streams that change,  
6 you know, over time more or less infinitely.  
7 And that's something that I think that's  
8 really an interesting technical question that  
9 probably needs to be solved sooner rather than  
10 later.

11                   MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
12 Stanford University.

13                   Thanks, everyone, for this panel.  
14 I think it was really interesting. You've  
15 really shown that authentication is a critical  
16 piece of what we do as libraries.

17                   So, I'm wondering if the three of  
18 you want to comment. Do you see a role for  
19 FTPL libraries in digital authentication? PKI  
20 is the state of the art now but it's still  
21 trusting a third party. And do you see a role  
22 for possibly publishing all of our  
23 publications as a wiki?



1 MR. BYRNE: No.

2 MR. JACOBS: It's version control,  
3 right?

4 MR. BASEFSKY: My particular view  
5 is that the Federal Depository Library Program  
6 does and should continue to have a major role  
7 to play mainly because if a library has  
8 allowed itself to be designated as a federal  
9 depository library it takes on a quasi-  
10 governmental role. There are obligations that  
11 go with that. And we can question what  
12 obligations are necessary, what obligations  
13 are not necessary, but when it comes to the  
14 reliability of the publications, you're part  
15 of that chain of reliability. And if you're  
16 looking to have multiple access points for the  
17 public, those access points should be from a  
18 trusted source and the Federal Depository  
19 Library from my perspective has to be -- if  
20 those are going to be the dissemination points  
21 for agencies through the GPO, through the  
22 Federal Depository Libraries that role can be  
23 maintained.

1                   Sometimes people don't know what  
2 they're looking for. So, just to have access  
3 to government documents doesn't help you. If  
4 you become a Center of Excellence, for  
5 example, and your specialty is a small area of  
6 transportation, and they know, well, you deal  
7 with that and they pick up a government  
8 document from there and they know that you are  
9 also a Federal Depository Library, they know  
10 they can pretty much rely on that. They don't  
11 have to go back to the agency that may or may  
12 not exist anymore to find it.

13                   So, that's one of the rules. I  
14 mean, these agencies come and go. The  
15 depository system was created so that  
16 something would be lasting and reliable. And  
17 until they come up with an alternative of who  
18 is the third party you're dealing with? Which  
19 third parties are the most reliable third  
20 parties, you end up being like these stupid  
21 freshman in college who the world of  
22 information is all flat. They don't know the  
23 authority of things.

1                   Oh, I found this. Oh, I found  
2 that and it makes a good paper. By whose  
3 standards?

4                   MR. JACOBS: Just to feed on that.  
5 James Jacobs, Stanford University.

6                   I think Steve Hayes' point about  
7 checking data afterwards, I think that's a  
8 benefit of a FTPL library that is not  
9 currently on the benefits --

10                  MR. HAYES: I just added it.

11                  MR. JACOBS: Okay.

12                  MR. HAYES: I look at it. I'm  
13 going, oh, if we had a benefit here, you know,  
14 if, indeed --

15                  MR. JACOBS: What portion is a  
16 benefit?

17                  MR. HAYES: -- trusted source  
18 resonates with a director of libraries in  
19 terms of we're the trusted source for fill in  
20 the blank here that you have a whole lot of  
21 content that is trusted source. Now, I have  
22 to look at the pen and ink changes that I've  
23 made or not made and the tips in and

1 everything else. But, you know, have they  
2 thought of this as a benefit. So, I just  
3 whipped David's note out and made a note.

4 MR. JACOBS: Thank you.

5 MR. MATHESON: Scott Matheson.

6 I would just also chime in and  
7 say, well, digital authentication is kind of  
8 one more kind of tool in the tool bag of  
9 teaching students about authority and about  
10 teaching research is about authority and  
11 information which is kind of what we all do  
12 anyway. So, it's just one more piece of the  
13 puzzle that we need to incorporate.

14 MS. TUBBS: Anymore questions from  
15 Council?

16 MR. JACOBS: No comment on wikis?

17 MR. HAYES: No more wikis.

18 MS. HOLTERHOFF: I have a  
19 question. Sally Holterhoff.

20 Tim you said that the person at  
21 OSTI was saying they're not willing to invest  
22 a lot of money in something that's a low risk.  
23 I mean, like did you show them your examples

1 of how easy it was to change some of the  
2 things? I mean, I guess I'm just wondering  
3 how much money would be too much or if  
4 technology -- if more tools are developed and  
5 more encryption, PKI stuff that's easier.  
6 That's one problem with state governments is,  
7 you know, in the legal field we've been trying  
8 to get the states on board following CPO's  
9 example. But it comes down to money. But  
10 there are starting to be, there are few, you  
11 know, sort of off the shelf type things that  
12 at least are some protection for states to  
13 maybe turn to. But I'm just wondering like,  
14 money-wise. How much is too much money?

15 MR. BYRNE: First let me point out  
16 that OSTI makes an enormous investment in  
17 cyber security in making sure that the servers  
18 that we have are protected from any sort of  
19 attack or invasion and that you can count on  
20 the documents being the authentic documents.

21 And that really is the attitude of  
22 the people. Because there are attacks on our  
23 servers and we get things, you know, people

1 coming in all the time. So, yes, they're very  
2 aware that this is a security issue and they  
3 really have to work very hard to protect the  
4 servers.

5 The authentication of the  
6 documents, as I said, it was a theoretical  
7 issue. There really has not been a problem  
8 that has been reported and then, you know, a  
9 big issue is made out of. So, that's why I  
10 say it has to be some sort of scandal that  
11 makes a big issue out of it. It forces the  
12 people at the top of the agencies to say,  
13 okay, this is going to be a priority.

14 In terms of how much money as we  
15 talked about it. He was willing to say that,  
16 you know, if GPO, you know, comes up with a  
17 system that is in a reasonable way for OSTI to  
18 authenticate documents in a fairly inexpensive  
19 manner, he'd be all for it.

20 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
21 Dame.

22 Sally was asking that question  
23 again or making notes over here. I see two

1 things. Number one, an academic career could  
2 be very well made on the cost of, you know,  
3 the deliberate or, you know, accidental use of  
4 non-authenticated, inaccurate, etcetera.

5 The second is, is I'm thinking,  
6 you know, at one time we knew people who knew  
7 people who would get someone within the  
8 Congress to ask for a GAO report to say I'd  
9 like to see a cost analysis of so what would  
10 be the cost downstream of an error of using an  
11 unauthenticated, inaccurate piece of whatever.

12 And third being the smart ass that  
13 I am, I'm thinking. Well, after GPO finishes  
14 the ideal marketing plan for convincing all  
15 directors on the importance of maintaining,  
16 etcetera, that you could, you know, take on  
17 the cost benefit and, you know, inaccuracies  
18 and send that out.

19 MR. BASEFSKY: Often you don't  
20 have to wait for a disaster to happen. You  
21 just have to give examples of what could  
22 happen.

23 What happens to a company if

1 somebody tampers with their 10-K report that  
2 just came out and investors abandoned that  
3 company because a competitor got in there  
4 purposely? What happens with military  
5 specifications where a contractor screws up  
6 because they didn't meet the specification?  
7 What if that satellite that came down that  
8 didn't work because somebody made a  
9 calculation in American math rather than using  
10 the metric system, had done so because he read  
11 a government document that said that that's  
12 how the calculation was supposed to be made?

13           You know, to some extent I got  
14 back to my first slide. This is intellectual  
15 currency. The fear of financial chaos,  
16 military incompetence, the government -- it's  
17 just simply intolerable. That's all it is.  
18 We spend a fortune for military but if the  
19 military is basing its operations on material  
20 that can be tampered with, how secure is the  
21 military operation itself? That is a major  
22 concern, you know.

23           When you talk politics, you always



1 talk defense because everybody listens.

2 MS. TUBBS: Anymore questions from  
3 Council?

4 Anyone? Larry?

5 MR. MEYER: Actually two comments.

6 Larry Meyer, San Bernardino County Law  
7 Library.

8 First comment is, I think on  
9 behalf of at least some of the audience, I  
10 appreciate the fact whoever put this program  
11 together, whoever came up with the idea as  
12 well as the participants for one of the most  
13 entertaining, educational programs I've seen  
14 in a long time.

15 My second comment is a reminder if  
16 you want to enjoy dinner with the law  
17 librarians and their friends this evening,  
18 you've got until noon to sign up. We'll meet  
19 around the registration desk at 5:50. For  
20 those of you on tight budgets, the restaurant  
21 we are going to tonight is their prime rib  
22 special night.

23 MS. HARTNETT: Is there a response

1 from Council on -- Cass Hartnett, University  
2 of Washington Libraries.

3 Tim, I was interested when you  
4 talked about your attempt to grab and steal  
5 content from GPO that had digital signaturing  
6 on it and that it was a little bit harder.  
7 The fonts didn't quite match. If you were  
8 someone who was in a reasonable hurry, you  
9 probably would have just found the digital  
10 object elsewhere.

11 Even though I have not delved into  
12 LOCKSS much as a practitioner, to me that was  
13 like a LOCKSS moment where I thought, huh.  
14 Okay, that's an argument for LOCKSS.

15 Comments from Council.

16 MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,  
17 Stanford University.

18 Yes.

19 CHAIR SEARS: Suzanne Sears,  
20 University of North Texas.

21 Thank you, Cass, for saying that.  
22 I sat here and whispered over to Jill as Tim  
23 said that. I said, he said nearly. He didn't

1 say it was impossible. And he, you know,  
2 although he now has a Ph.D, he doesn't look to  
3 me like a computer geek like some of those  
4 I've seen hack into all kinds of things.

5 MS. MALLORY: Mary Mallory,  
6 University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

7 I hope about a year from now,  
8 Stuart, for example, will consider writing a  
9 review of the government information that is  
10 available in HathiTrust. And anyone else who  
11 would be interested in doing that, I hope you  
12 will consider it. We will all thank you.

13 MS. WOLFBURG: Hello. Ava  
14 Wolfburg, University of Maryland library  
15 student.

16 In regards to citation, why  
17 haven't people lobbied MLA Chicago in changing  
18 citation? Major things like students could  
19 put copies of online web and attach them to  
20 their papers and it seems like that would  
21 solve a lot of issues in regards to  
22 plagiarism.

23 Thank you.

1                   MR. BASEFSKY:  It's basically an  
2 historical problem.  A lot of these things are  
3 -- most of the citations are devised by  
4 professional associations, associated with  
5 academics and it becomes really a political  
6 issue within those associations of what they  
7 do or do not do.

8                   The Blue Book is run by students  
9 of Harvard.  It's also a political issue for  
10 them as well.  If you get their ear, you get  
11 it done.  If you don't get their ear, they  
12 rest another few years.

13                  MR. JACOBS:  Can I make one more  
14 comment on that?

15                  MS. McKNELLY:  Michele McKnelly,  
16 University of Wisconsin.  Oh, I'm sorry.

17                  MR. JACOBS:  Sorry, Michele.

18                  James Jacobs, Stanford University.

19                  If you're interested in citation  
20 you should check out Zotero because they allow  
21 the tool itself.  It's a Firefox plugin.  It  
22 allows the person who is citing a work, a  
23 website, whatever it is to take a snapshot in

1 time and to be able to link back and serve  
2 that out. Called Zotero.

3 MS. TUBBS: And I would also  
4 mention that even though the Blue Book allows  
5 for authenticated PDFs, there is for whatever  
6 reason, a miscommunication amongst students  
7 who sometimes feel that, well, I have a PDF.  
8 It was posted to the New York Times website or  
9 was posted somewhere else. So, as long as I  
10 have a PDF and it looks like it's an authentic  
11 reproduction, I'm going to go ahead and cite  
12 to that.

13 So, a lot of the burden too is on  
14 information professionals to work with  
15 students to remind them what is an official,  
16 what is an authentic source that they can  
17 trust.

18 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
19 University of Utah.

20 I also wanted to say that because  
21 several of the professors at the University of  
22 Utah are involved in MLA. I know they have  
23 brought this issue forward time and time

1       again. But huge citation associations move so  
2       slowly and are made up of, can I say editorial  
3       boards who may not use the Internet in the  
4       same way their students already are? And so  
5       there's also a hesitancy to make rules too  
6       fast or make tools that might be too useful  
7       right now but are not going to necessarily  
8       stand the test of time to them.

9                       MS. MCKNELLY: Michele McKnelly,  
10       University of Wisconsin-River Falls.

11                      I would like to go back to the  
12       point that Stuart was making about materials  
13       needing to be preserved and captured  
14       immediately as opposed to this archival notion  
15       of waiting X number of years. And I was  
16       struck yesterday in a discussion of digital  
17       harvest and capture that databases and  
18       datasets are not part of the GPO's scrapes.

19                      Databases in the scientific and  
20       technical and social sciences are incredibly  
21       important and if we do not have the data, we  
22       cannot authenticate it. There's just sort of  
23       a sucking hole here that we're dancing around

1 and I'm very pleased with this panel and Dr.  
2 Byrne, in particular, for pointing out some of  
3 these issues. But there's more.

4           You know, we've made baby steps in  
5 certain areas, but there's more out here and  
6 we need to make these issue very important for  
7 everyone at every level. The political levels  
8 in our states and our congressional members,  
9 but also with the people at agencies that we  
10 know so that they understand that there is  
11 interest in making sure that this data is  
12 available for future scholars and that it is  
13 also authenticated.

14           MS. HOLTERHOFF: And following up  
15 on Michele's comment. I was going to say that  
16 one thought that we had for this panel and I  
17 think it's really happened is to get more  
18 people riled up about this because really it  
19 would be great if a program like this would be  
20 put on at different places besides here and  
21 besides in -- I don't know the associations of  
22 people that work in social sciences, not just  
23 the librarians because that is kind of what we

1 have been trying to do in the legal community  
2 is. We had a summit that AALL did and had  
3 judges there and people from state governments  
4 and some of them really, like, they were just  
5 making assumptions just like most of us do  
6 that well somebody's taking care of it. The  
7 government, you know, they don't trust the  
8 government on a lot of things. But they're  
9 trusting the .gov sites are protected and  
10 somehow it's getting taken care of by somebody  
11 else.

12 So, I guess that, you know, if  
13 there's anything people in this room could do  
14 would be to try to, you know, talk this idea  
15 to other people because we really got to get  
16 more support for this. It's only going to  
17 happen if enough people, you know. Unless  
18 there's the big incident that maybe doesn't  
19 need to happen, you know. The authentication  
20 needs to be worked on now and it's not going  
21 to happen unless enough people demand it.

22 MS. TUBBS: Dan and then --

23 MR. O'MAHONY: Dan O'Mahony, Brown



1 University.

2                   Tim spoke of the experience at  
3 OSTI and DOE and I wondered sort of  
4 extrapolating that to the rest of the Federal  
5 Government and you probably can't speak for  
6 the rest of the Federal Government but I  
7 wondered if -- you know, at least not  
8 accurately. If maybe, I don't know if there  
9 are others in the audience from GPO or  
10 elsewhere, but I just wonder, as I understand  
11 the way you described OSTI's approach, that  
12 they're sinking their resources into their  
13 technical infrastructure to protect that so  
14 that anyone from the public or anyone at all  
15 who goes to their sites can be assured that  
16 that material is authentic, accurate and so  
17 on. And that's one approach.

18                   And another approach is to, you  
19 know, try to build into the infrastructure  
20 that downstream use of that materials is also  
21 authentic and those things aren't mutually  
22 exclusive but they're different. So, I just  
23 wonder what -- if that's the approach that

1 OSTI is taking is a common approach throughout  
2 other federal agencies and to what extent, you  
3 know, when we go to other federal websites and  
4 go to those sources for things, how assured we  
5 can be that they're sinking in those same  
6 kinds of levels of resources and assurance?  
7 Just a question.

8 MR. BYRNE: I think OSTI's case is  
9 pretty typical of at least the other  
10 scientific and technical agencies, the other  
11 agencies that have databases now that we're,  
12 you know, they're very, very concerned about  
13 security in those databases, yes.

14 And in answer to Sally, if we were  
15 to do this for another group, I could cater my  
16 rhizome to whatever group.

17 MS. HOLTERHOFF: It could be an MD  
18 or, you know, whatever.

19 MR. BYRNE: You name it. Any  
20 other questions from the audience?

21 MS. BAISH: Mary Alice Baish,  
22 American Association of Law Libraries.

23 We actually did a program here. I

1 know Rick recalls it in, I believe, 2006 on  
2 legal information. And I'm delighted to see  
3 today's panel and I just want to thank  
4 everybody, all the speakers and those who put  
5 this program together.

6 I also wanted just to let people  
7 in the audience know, well, two points.

8 First, as Mike is looking at me, I  
9 hope everybody here, both on Council and the  
10 audience recognizes how difficult and how long  
11 it took for Mike and his wonderful crew at  
12 FedSys to get the Secretary of the Senate and  
13 the Clerk of the House to agree to let GPO do  
14 a digital authentication of the bills.

15 This did not happen overnight and  
16 it's just sort of a great story as you begin  
17 to think how do you get agencies to understand  
18 what the problem is.

19 Sally mentioned the summit in 2007  
20 where we did invite judges and attorneys and  
21 some of them said, wow, wow. Never thought  
22 about this. And we need that \$5 million  
23 lawsuit that's thrown out because it was based

1 on unreliable electronic information.

2 I also wanted to just let  
3 everybody know that because of the work the  
4 AALL has been doing over the past several  
5 years, the National Conference of  
6 Commissioners on Uniform State Laws who draft  
7 uniform acts for the state has been developing  
8 for the past two years and Mike Wash is one of  
9 the technical observers to that group, has  
10 been developing what is now called the  
11 Authentication and Preservation of Electronic  
12 State Legal Materials Act. It will be a  
13 uniform law that will hopefully be adopted by  
14 incusal next July. And with that time frame  
15 would go out to all of the state legislatures  
16 to provide them an opportunity to enact that  
17 uniform state law in January 2010. And so I  
18 just wanted to alert you to that information.

19 You can come to the AALL website  
20 and find more about it, but, David, I really  
21 appreciated your comment about version control  
22 because we've been working through what, Mike,  
23 about eight drafts of the Act at this point.

1 And the section of preservation originally was  
2 sort of very broad and non-specific. And  
3 advisors to the committee, particularly from  
4 the courts, wanted language in that section  
5 that all primary legal resources must be  
6 preserved. It goes to your point about  
7 version control, right, that we need all  
8 versions of a draft act or we need to keep all  
9 superseded regulations.

10 And so because of the importance  
11 of that particularly to the legal community,  
12 it is explained in that provision that when a  
13 legal resource is altered because of an errata  
14 change or if it has been updated, at each  
15 point when that happened you're actually  
16 creating a brand new record. And I think  
17 that's a really good way to look upon version  
18 control. So, anyway, thank you very much.

19 MS. TUBBS: We have time for about  
20 one more question. So, you can be it.

21 MR. SWINDELLS: Geoff Swindells,  
22 Northwestern University.

23 This is a question for all the

1 panelists.

2                   When we look at sort of community  
3 or really any digitization projects of legacy  
4 materials, I was just wanting to get some  
5 discussion of where that places those  
6 materials in terms of authentication.

7                   I mean, certainly we can say they  
8 came from a trusted source. They were  
9 distributed to a federal depository library.  
10 We may not be able to tell what's happened to  
11 them while they're there and perhaps create  
12 routines for our digitization partners, steps  
13 they go through. But, I was just wondering if  
14 anyone had any ideas on where sort of  
15 digitization projects come in the universe of  
16 authentic government information.

17                   MR. BASEFSKY: Well, my take is  
18 essentially, we can't correct the past. We  
19 can say that we are using information that we  
20 relied upon in print form, we digitized it.

21                   My major concern is not the past.  
22 My concern is the future. If you want to go  
23 back and try to authenticate everything in the

1 past, you've got a big bill in front of you.

2 But in the future if you start  
3 taking care of things now and particularly in  
4 this born digital age, where you are not  
5 having any intention of publishing this  
6 material to any large audience whatsoever,  
7 there might be two or three publications that  
8 are printed for the specific audience that  
9 wants to see it. You know, it's actually  
10 saving money for the future by acting now  
11 because the demand in a truly digital age is  
12 to go back and say, is this trustworthy? Is  
13 this authentic?

14 At least in the digitization you  
15 are taking the assumption of print  
16 authenticity, even though it's always been  
17 somewhat questioned, but that's the way life  
18 was then. So, we aren't going to change the  
19 historical life.

20 But the future life is very scary.  
21 If we don't do the authentication up front,  
22 it's going to cost us a fortune in the future  
23 and it may cost us our reputations, our

1 reliability and I can see all sorts of very  
2 embarrassing things with the U.S. Government  
3 if they don't do it.

4 MS. TUBBS: I was going to --  
5 Scott, and then, Jill, you'll be the last  
6 word.

7 MR. MATHESON: Just one or two  
8 examples. If we, you know, think back to your  
9 serial sets, if you were digitizing a serial  
10 set, I know, Tim, at Colorado you wouldn't  
11 have wanted to digitize that one because it  
12 had suffered many a razor blade for its maps.  
13 And I think that's what you'd find even when  
14 the ASTER set which was filmed quite awhile  
15 ago, I believe Lexis found there were quite a  
16 few things missing from that set and Readex as  
17 well had to go back in and tip things into  
18 their set, kind of digitally as they were  
19 working through it.

20 So, I think for digitization  
21 projects there's the opportunity both to, as  
22 Stuart said, be as authentic as the print  
23 every wa, but also to make an effort to be a



1 little bit better and say, you know, this is  
2 what the print represented. But, hey, there  
3 was this errata sheet. I think there's a high  
4 cost to that but it might be worth pursuing or  
5 something that certainly possible in a  
6 collaborative sense that probably is beyond  
7 the resources of any one particular group.

8 MS. MORIEARTY: Jill Moriearty,  
9 University of Utah.

10 I wanted to follow up with what  
11 Stuart said just a few minutes ago. He said  
12 an embarrassing situation. It's not just the  
13 government. Does anyone remember the  
14 University of Utah and cold fusion? One  
15 embarrassing right there.

16 MS. TUBBS: All right. That's the  
17 conclusion of our panel. I'd like to thank  
18 our speakers today for enlightening us on  
19 authentication.

20 And now, Suzanne, do you have any  
21 announcements for the group?

22 CHAIR SEARS: I have one  
23 announcement. A Metro ticket was found on the

1 floor out by the dessert table. So, I'm not  
2 sure how you can authenticate that it's yours,  
3 but I will leave it at the registration table  
4 with GPO. So, if you can identify it, then  
5 you can have it. Well, if you know the amount  
6 of minutes left, maybe that can be your hash  
7 mark.

8 (Whereupon, the above matter was  
9 concluded at 11:59 a.m.)

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
DEPOSITORY LIBRARY COUNCIL  
FALL MEETING  
WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 20, 2010

The Council met at the Doubletree Hotel  
Crystal City, Crystal Ballroom A-B, 300 Army  
Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia, at 8:30 a.m.,  
Suzanne Sears, Chair, presiding.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

SUZANNE SEARS, Chair, Depository Library  
Council, Assistant Dean for Public Services,  
University of North Texas Libraries  
HELEN BURKE, Hennepin County Library  
DAVID CISMOWSKI, Head, Government Publication  
Section, California State Library  
STEPHEN M. HAYES, University of Notre Dame  
SARAH (SALLY) G. HOLTERHOFF, Valparaiso  
University Law Library  
JAMES R. JACOBS, Green Library, Stanford  
University  
PEGGY ROEBUCK JARRETT, Gallagher Law Library,  
University of Washington  
SHARALYN J. LASTER, Bierce Library, University  
of Akron  
JILL A. MORIEARTY, Knowledge Commons Liaison,  
J. Willard Marriott Library,  
University of Utah  
DANIEL P. O'MAHONY, Brown University  
JUSTIN OTTO, John F. Kennedy Library, Eastern  
Washington University  
DEBBIE RABINA, Ph.D, Pratt Institute School of  
Information and Library Science  
ANN MARIE SANDERS, Library of Michigan  
CAMILLA TUBBS, Yale Law Library

ALSO PRESENT:

JOHN CARLO BERTOT, Ph.D, Director of the  
Center for Library and Information Innovation,  
iSchool, University of Maryland  
RICHARD G. DAVIS, Director, Library Services  
and Content Management, Acting Superintendent  
of Documents, GPO  
JOHN A. SHULER, Richard J. Daily Library,  
University of Illinois at Chicago

A-G-E-N-D-A

JOHN A. SHULER, Richard J. Daily  
Library, University of Illinois,  
Chicago

JOHN CARLOS BERTOT, Director of the  
Center for Library & Information  
Innovation, iSchool, University of  
Maryland

Council Session: Wrap up/Follow Up

SUZANNE SEARS, Chair, Depository  
Library Council

RICHARD G. DAVIS, Director,  
Library Services & Content  
Management, Acting Superintendent  
of Documents, GPO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

8:34 a.m.

MS. SEARS: We'd like to go ahead and get started with our 8:30 session, so that we can finish on time.

Luckily this morning, I have no announcements, so we can go straight into the session, and I'm going to turn it over to Debbie Rabina, who is running this session for us today.

Debbie?

DR. RABINA: Good morning, everyone.

Our last session this morning, New Librarianship Specialization and E-Government Information Services.

I'd like to first thank the people who helped me put the program together, Cindy Etkin from GPO, Ann Sanders and Steve Hayes, from the Council, and I'd like to introduce our two guest speakers, John Shuler, from the iSchool at University -- the other way around, John Shuler, from University of Illinois in

1 Chicago, and John Bertot from the iSchool at  
2 University of Maryland.

3 MR. SHULER: Thank you, Debbie.

4 Good morning, everyone. I can't  
5 tell you how strange it is to be back. I  
6 thought there was at least a little bit of  
7 slack time, but here we go, and I thank the  
8 Council very much for giving this time for  
9 John and I to present our ideas, and also to  
10 all of you out in the audience for making the  
11 students who are at the conference these last  
12 two and a half days very welcome into the  
13 community of practice that we represent, and  
14 the fine traditions of service that I think we  
15 are all so proud of.

16 And, I believe that the remarks  
17 that John and I are going to share this  
18 morning are going to be some thoughts and some  
19 incites onto what this experience has done for  
20 our way of thinking about the future of  
21 graduate education for what we are calling e-  
22 Government Information Services, and,  
23 especially, as we keep in tandem, if you will,

1 in partnership with the specific changes  
2 within the electronic government sphere.

3 And, certainly, many of the  
4 comments that we've heard over the last two  
5 and a half days indicate that these questions  
6 are going to be with us for a while, and that  
7 nobody really has a good answer, and I'm  
8 pleased that the community, and also for the  
9 personal opportunity to try to find out what  
10 some of those answers might be.

11 So, John, did you want to add  
12 anything?

13 MR. BERTOT: Oh, I hit the green  
14 button, all right, thanks.

15 So, just a couple quick things.  
16 One is, yes, echoing John's sentiments, but we  
17 would also like to thank GPO for being  
18 partners with us in the educational program  
19 that we've created, and also the Government  
20 Information Online folks for being partners  
21 with us as well.

22 These are great people, and very  
23 willing to help us in a number of areas, as we

1 work with our students and with you moving  
2 forward.

3           And lastly, I'd like to also thank  
4 the Institute of Museum and Library Services,  
5 which funded the scholarship program for these  
6 students. Without their support, we never  
7 could have pulled this program together. It  
8 provides, you know, the tuition for them, and  
9 it also has provided the travel opportunity,  
10 because they come from all over the country.  
11 I mean, they are not -- I mean, they are  
12 enrolled in our online program, but they are  
13 from all over the U.S. And so, without their  
14 support we never could have brought them here  
15 for you to be able to work with them, and talk  
16 with them, and all those things. And, they  
17 will be back again next year, so we really  
18 appreciate the fact that IMLS funded this  
19 opportunity.

20           MR. SHULER: And finally, we would  
21 like to recognize the unseen member of the  
22 three professors, Paul Jaeger, who is,  
23 actually, teaching tonight, and he couldn't be



1 here and be back up in Maryland without  
2 turning into a 16-18 hour day, so he opted to  
3 stay in Maryland. But, we also want to  
4 recognize his contributions to both creating  
5 the grant, as well as working with the  
6 students.

7 Now, Jill pointed out that I must  
8 have drank the Kool-Aid, because you are  
9 seeing John with a Power Point. Not true.  
10 The other John, I'm only pushing the buttons.

11 Now, the Power Point, we are not  
12 going to read the Power Point, in a sense, you  
13 guys can look at it later, you can look it up  
14 on the big screen, but we wanted to give you  
15 some context of where we are coming from, and  
16 none of this should be of a surprise. We've  
17 talked about this in these communities before.  
18 We've written about it. You've read about it.  
19 You've lived it more often than not.

20 And, it goes without saying that  
21 the digital changes that the government has  
22 been putting into place for the last 15 years  
23 has seriously altered how we think about

1 government documents librarianship.

2           And, I think the couple of big  
3 points that we want to point out with this  
4 slide is that they take as well as they give.  
5 So, in terms of taking, what they are taking  
6 from our practice, our community of practice,  
7 is how we orient ourselves, and that was based  
8 on collections. And, I think the statistic  
9 that was announced on Monday, if I got it  
10 right, anybody can correct me, it's 97 percent  
11 of what is distributed to depositories now is  
12 born digital.

13           These, I think this idea that we  
14 are no longer dealing with things of  
15 possession, things that we collect, also tie  
16 in to what was talked about yesterday morning,  
17 on how libraries are reacting, what they do  
18 with their legacy collections, but the  
19 technologies and the softwares have set aside  
20 some other opportunities, as well as  
21 takeaways, in terms of relationships, and how  
22 we interact with our communities.

23           And, I believe what we are trying

1 to do with this particular new program is re-  
2 imagine the narrative that we are using in our  
3 community of practice to describe what we do,  
4 and how we do it, to get the government  
5 information to the people.

6 And, we are trying to take  
7 advantage that this new technology and these  
8 new organizational changes creates, and try to  
9 build on what I consider to be a century of  
10 traditions and practice, and take full  
11 advantage of the new technologies.

12 John?

13 MR. BERTOT: The only other thing  
14 I would add to that is, I mean, especially,  
15 when you look at the social technologies that  
16 exist, and the match ups, and all the  
17 different things you can do, you have the  
18 opportunity to really create, not just new  
19 communities of practice in terms of  
20 collaborations, but entirely new information  
21 products, and ways of looking at a range of  
22 government information.

23 So, there are -- those challenges

1 are there, but also the opportunities to  
2 really serve our clientele on the completely  
3 different ways exist in this kind of sphere.

4 MR. SHULER: So, now we come to  
5 part two of the back story, what happens to  
6 the students when we add them to our graduate  
7 library programs, and again, as I was raised  
8 as a young library pup, we were trained to  
9 focus on particular organizational arrangement  
10 within a particular institution, with, if we  
11 were talking about federal depositories, a  
12 particular relationship with a government  
13 agency.

14 And, our services were limited by  
15 time and geography. We served the people that  
16 were in front of us, or nearby us, we  
17 collaborated with others in a community  
18 through long distance means, but we were  
19 pretty much a local practice.

20 And then finally, what happens to  
21 all of this when the technology and the  
22 software enables us to skip the time geography  
23 problem, if you will, the 24/7 librarianship,

1 when it doesn't matter when you are asking the  
2 question or answering the question.

3 And, one would have to agree or  
4 argue, in a sense, that given the fluidity of  
5 the changes of our institution, again,  
6 represented by the talk yesterday morning at  
7 the Tuesday plenary session, obviously, this  
8 thing about what we do with our collections,  
9 and what we do with our services, is being  
10 reconsidered by all parts of the library.

11 John?

12 MR. BERTOT: And, what I would --  
13 also a slightly different variant on that  
14 question is, you know, what do you do after  
15 the last box, you know? Since we are getting  
16 to this point where the box, I mean, you know,  
17 you just pointed out, right, it's not  
18 distributed, things are stopping, you know, in  
19 terms of what's being distributed and what's  
20 coming to you. So, what happens after the  
21 last box? I mean, it's creating a completely  
22 different kind of a service context, and that  
23 we certainly need to consider as we prepare

1 future folks going into this profession.

2 MR. SHULER: So, these next few  
3 slides are going to be a bit of an outline of  
4 what we would suggest that we do about this  
5 back story, what to keep, what to consider,  
6 and what to redefined, if you will.

7 So, if we follow the logic of our  
8 argument, what we are truly talking about is  
9 a series of relationships between the  
10 government information services librarian,  
11 their community, and the sources of  
12 information.

13 And, rather than being a community  
14 of local practice within a single institution,  
15 what we are discovering is that it's becoming  
16 a continuum of providers, working with the  
17 citizens to find a specific government  
18 information. So, it's no longer tied to a  
19 particular format, but rather tied to the  
20 information needs of the community, as well as  
21 the information skills of the individual  
22 government information librarians.

23 And, whereas the older traditions

1 may have focused on one or two government  
2 agencies, we now have in play a whole series  
3 of other actors, if you will, both public,  
4 government and non-government. And, if you  
5 think about the financial crisis going through  
6 our universities, our public universities,  
7 because of the failing states financial  
8 conditions, many of our public universities  
9 are becoming de facto private universities.

10           And, I think this also gives the  
11 community a chance to, basically, examine what  
12 I think are the fundamental community levels  
13 that depositories are supposed to serve.

14           Very often you will hear people  
15 talk about communities served as being defined  
16 by the institutions, whereas, the traditional  
17 definition of a community served by a  
18 depository library is congressional district  
19 and senatorial district, as well as regional  
20 library, which is often state or multi state.

21           And, that just points to the idea  
22 that we are going to have to be much more  
23 nuanced in our thinking about where we serve

1 and who we serve.

2 John?

3 MR. BERTOT: Just to add on to  
4 that, I also think in this it's creating this  
5 new context, it's also one of the things, it's  
6 not just practice, but it's also creating an  
7 entirely new sort of controlled arrangement,  
8 you know, because when you look at the  
9 continuum that we were talking about, from  
10 libraries to agencies, to, you know, non-  
11 governmental organizations and providers, and  
12 private sector, there is sort of this loss of  
13 control, if you will, in terms of the  
14 technologies, the content and the services.  
15 We are all sort of vying in the same space,  
16 and each of these different kinds of providers  
17 provide the opportunity for people to go to  
18 them and get different kinds of services and  
19 resources, and the ability to interact with  
20 government information.

21 I mean, for example, you know, in  
22 town, I'm assuming most of you have looked at  
23 some of the Sunlight Foundation's work, or



1 Free Government Information, and we have all  
2 these different ways of interacting with this  
3 content, and it's moving it out of sometimes  
4 our traditional service context.

5 MR. SHULER: So, the challenge  
6 then, as we move into these new service roles,  
7 are listed here, and again, what we emphasize,  
8 that the success of the service is in finding  
9 the information, regardless of where it is.  
10 And again, that raises a whole host of other  
11 issues of verification and authentication that  
12 we fully recognize and embrace, but we also  
13 emphasize, and again, this is a great  
14 tradition in librarianship through the  
15 development of reference tools and reference  
16 services, you take what you find and you  
17 bundle it with other information services,  
18 value-added services that are either produced  
19 by the library, or produced within this  
20 continuum of providers.

21 And again, to emphasize the  
22 points, the future services of the communities  
23 that we are offering here are not going to be

1 bound by time or geography, and it's something  
2 we need to consider.

3           Okay, going on, finally, the  
4 questions and key issues, and all of these  
5 have been raised before, and I think one thing  
6 we want to point out, and I think this is  
7 really what is at the heart of the issue in  
8 the tradition of the depository libraries that  
9 has grown out of the 1962 law, are we  
10 libraries of just in case or just in time?

11           And, I think that's still very  
12 much in debate, and very much in debate, do we  
13 mean just in time in our insularity of  
14 individual local collections, or just in time  
15 on a broad national programmatic basis?

16           And, of course, the issue of  
17 preservation is critical. It's one thing to  
18 be talking about the preservation of born  
19 digital and soon to be born digital, and  
20 dealing with those conditions, but also what  
21 do you do with the legacy collections, and  
22 what is GPO's role in assuring some kind of  
23 sustainability and continuity, as well as the

1 National Archives, as well as thousands of  
2 other government agencies with archival  
3 responsibility?

4           How are we going to measure what  
5 is successful and what isn't? And, I think  
6 this is a big part of our program, in trying  
7 to decide how do you know that you've gotten  
8 where you are supposed to go, what's the road  
9 map? And, I know that has been an issue in  
10 this community for a long time.

11           And then finally, obviously, some  
12 library directors have their own idea of what  
13 future support of document service within  
14 their collections and libraries are going to  
15 be, but I think it's more important that we  
16 remember as a community of practice that we  
17 have our own ideas, and traditions, and  
18 sustainability models for what we do as  
19 government information librarians.

20           John?

21           MR. BERTOT: And also, in terms of  
22 the key questions and issues, one of the  
23 things we are trying to do within this

1 program, but we need to address, I think, as  
2 a community is, you know, what policies, laws  
3 and governance structures should exist that  
4 look at the information flows.

5           There's been a lot of -- I mean,  
6 we had sessions here over the last couple of  
7 days on Title 44, there's been a very robust  
8 discussion of the proposed changes to Title  
9 44, but we really need to look at that in the  
10 broader policy context, and look at,  
11 especially, since we are moving to this sort  
12 of born digital kind of a context, we need to  
13 look at a range of policies that govern access  
14 and social inclusion, so looking at the  
15 American Disabilities Act, the Executive Order  
16 13166, which is approving services to people  
17 who are non-English speakers, and there's a  
18 whole series, I'm not going to read all these  
19 to you, but we have privacy security accuracy,  
20 the Quality Information Act, I mean, there's  
21 all these different things that we need to  
22 look at, including the defunct, you know, now  
23 Sunset E-government Act of 2002. You know,

1       there was movement on that a few years ago,  
2       but, frankly, that has just sort of fallen off  
3       a cliff, and I don't see that, you know,  
4       resurfacing any time soon given that.

5                       But, all of these policies, and  
6       laws, and governance structures, have an  
7       impact on information flows, and access, and  
8       inclusion, and the ability to get access to  
9       information content that's digital. And so,  
10      we need to have a much broader discussion, and  
11      look at all of these as they come together to  
12      create that service environment, where we do  
13      know that people, many of you don't have  
14      access to the technologies, and the ability to  
15      get access to digital content.

16                      MR. SHULER: So, what we are doing  
17      with these 20 lucky individuals who have  
18      agreed to be part of this program is, we've  
19      set up a particular structure designed around  
20      course work practice, professional and  
21      scholarship. And, they are learning -- I  
22      think at one talk I was at they described what  
23      we do as government information librarians, as

1 being the -- we describe the government, we  
2 describe the structure of the government,  
3 because if you don't understand the structure  
4 of the government how can you expect to find  
5 its information, and really, that is one of  
6 our strengths.

7           And, what we are trying to do with  
8 each of these parts is enable the students to  
9 understand, not only the structure of  
10 information policies and the digital impact,  
11 but also how the government works. That is no  
12 different in the 21st Century than it was in  
13 the 19th Century.

14           But, we also want to raise and  
15 continue to highlight this idea that we are a  
16 community of practice, in both our  
17 professional activities, which is the reason  
18 why they are here, this is the highest  
19 concentration of government documents  
20 librarians we can think of that's close by.  
21 Of course, if you think about Washington, D.C.  
22 area, I guess that works, too, but it's also  
23 an opportunity for them to give back, to think

1 about what they are doing at a critical level  
2 and say to themselves, well, that was then,  
3 this is now, what can we do that might be  
4 interesting, different, and in some cases  
5 might even enhance the situation. So, we are  
6 encouraging them to participate in the  
7 activity and the scholarship that is  
8 represented by the various journals that  
9 represent our community of practice.

10 We are also giving them an  
11 opportunity to have what are called digital  
12 internships, residencies, through the  
13 mechanisms of the Government Information  
14 Online Project. I believe Geoff Swindells  
15 mentioned yesterday, when one of the questions  
16 that came up in the course of the discussion,  
17 what about the public services aspect of these  
18 ideas of community of excellence, the  
19 Government Information Online represents one  
20 of those communities of excellence, where  
21 about 25 academic public state libraries have  
22 bound together to host a digital reference  
23 desk that is freely available on the web for

1 anybody to use.

2 And, that's where we hope to place  
3 the students amongst these different  
4 institutions across the country.

5 John?

6 MR. BERTOT: So yes, I mean, so  
7 those are the cornerstones of the program, but  
8 just to give you a flavor of the specialized  
9 course work, because, of course, we have core  
10 courses as part of an MLS program, but the  
11 first year for the students is really to imbed  
12 them within sort of the specialized  
13 concentration course work, and we deal with,  
14 you know, information policy, they will be  
15 taking a course on E-government, planning and  
16 evaluating government services, E-  
17 librarianship, and, of course, as John  
18 mentioned, the internship.

19 And, actually, we've had people  
20 come to us, especially, for students who are  
21 near their own institutions, and want to talk  
22 about possibly sort of imbedding students  
23 within their organizations as well as part of



1 that internship process.

2           So, we are looking at that, and  
3 the idea, of course, is to bring all these  
4 strands together, right, the community of  
5 practice, the course work, which is both  
6 conceptual as well as practice, to create  
7 these future individuals who will come out in  
8 a couple of years and have been exposed to you  
9 folks, the community, the work environment,  
10 understanding the distributed work environment  
11 now, especially, as we go more collaborative  
12 through a range of services, as well as actual  
13 being involved in an actual practice as part  
14 of this program.

15           So, we are trying to hit all the  
16 bases with this, within a two-year period, you  
17 know. So, you know, you only have a couple  
18 of, you know, two years, the students will be  
19 kind of tired, but they will be really well  
20 prepared to come into your organizations when  
21 that time comes.

22           And, the other thing I'll say is,  
23 and it's almost like one of those

1 infomercials, wait, there's more, you know, if  
2 you order now you also get the other set of  
3 knives.

4 IMLS has been really good to us.  
5 We recently received, with a partnership with  
6 ALA, I see Jessica McGilvray out there kind of  
7 hiding in the back, but we just received  
8 another grant that is, actually, looking at  
9 how do you actually create this public service  
10 for people and libraries to provide E-  
11 government services to the communities that  
12 they serve.

13 And, this new grant is looking at  
14 creating a collaborative web resource that's  
15 for librarians to provide E-government  
16 services to their constituents, through  
17 partnerships with government agencies. And  
18 so, what we are doing is, we partnered with  
19 the IRS, and Customs and Immigration Services  
20 initially, as well as GPO, to look, because we  
21 know that those are a lot of the services that  
22 people provide, to look at how do we create a  
23 collaborative space with government agencies,

1 as well as libraries, because we know so many  
2 people end up in libraries trying to,  
3 actually, do E-government, whether it's  
4 applications, looking for information, or  
5 other things, so what's that resource look  
6 like.

7 And so, we'll be incorporating our  
8 students into that process as well over the  
9 next couple of years, so that we can think  
10 about, how do we put that resource up, what  
11 should it look like, how do we create this  
12 collaborative environment.

13 Working with agencies, as some of  
14 you well know, is touchy, you know,  
15 partnerships is kind of a different word for  
16 them, depending which agency you are working  
17 with, and so, I think a good chunk of this is  
18 going to be looking at how do we foster that  
19 collaboration and that partnership, and then  
20 also think about, how do we lay out that  
21 resource for this new community of practice  
22 and this resource that we are trying to build.

23 MR. SHULER: So, what we are

1 suggesting that we are doing here are the  
2 early days of what we call a civic information  
3 service, and it is in the broadest sense of  
4 civic work, and building an infrastructure, a  
5 public infrastructure on the excellent  
6 foundations of the depository library system,  
7 as well as other public systems of information  
8 distribution.

9           And, the idea is to connect the  
10 communities to the information that they need  
11 and that they also produce on behalf of their  
12 governance structures.

13           We believe that this new service  
14 philosophy, if you will, will rest very  
15 solidly on three pillars of what is a century-  
16 old tradition in our group, professionalism,  
17 expertise, and collaborative work.

18           And, we also think, and again,  
19 this is a very old tradition, of learning to  
20 bundle the found information in effective ways  
21 through other value-added services.

22           And then finally, to develop a set  
23 of librarians, if you will, who will be

1 flexible, who will be fluid in the same way  
2 that the government infrastructure is fluid,  
3 and be able to change either according to  
4 organizational changes or to technological  
5 changes, and we hope to give them the skills  
6 and the talents necessary to survive that  
7 constant shifting.

8 John?

9 MR. BERTOT: I mean, so what we  
10 are really trying to do at the end of all of  
11 this is really look at and create a future  
12 practice through education and collaboration.

13 I mean, so we are trying to pull  
14 all these different strands that we know exist  
15 out there through this program, and through  
16 the resources that we are trying to build.  
17 And, it's a big challenge. I mean, you folks  
18 know this better than we do, trying to  
19 encapsulate this in a two-year program, you  
20 know, an MLS program, is a real challenge,  
21 right? I mean, so we are trying to create all  
22 the pieces that will give the students the  
23 ability to work within this community.

1                   But then, it's going to be up to  
2                   you folks to pick up those students and work  
3                   with them within your own institutions, in  
4                   these new, you know, collaborative kinds of  
5                   context, and the new network technologies, to  
6                   see how we actually imbed that in a practice  
7                   that will continue to change over time, and  
8                   give us new ways of servicing the public.

9                   So, I think with that, you know, I  
10                  guess we accept questions, or discussion,  
11                  whatever folks want to do.

12                  So, thank you for your time.

13                  MR. SHULER: Thank you again.

14                  (Whereupon, applause.)

15                  MR. SHULER: Thank you again to  
16                  the Council and members in the audience.

17                  DR. RABINA: Questions from the  
18                  Council?

19                  MR. O'MAHONY: Dan O'Mahony, from  
20                  Brown University.

21                  This is all great exciting stuff.  
22                  I wish I could take two years off and join  
23                  your program.

1 I wonder if you could just talk a  
2 little bit about the E part of the E-  
3 government stuff, the technology side of this.  
4 I'm just curious to what extent, if any, the  
5 technological skills that need to accompany  
6 those value-added enhancements of services and  
7 electronic government environment, where does  
8 that fit, if anywhere, within the programs?

9 MR. BERTOT: Yes, sure, so we can  
10 tag team this.

11 It fits in a couple of ways. One  
12 way is, I think through the Government  
13 Information Online internship, because they  
14 will be exposed to a variety of technologies,  
15 particularly, digital reference tools, which  
16 make use of other, you know, aspects of  
17 presenting information to users.

18 That's one way.

19 The other way is, actually,  
20 through this other grant. I mean, we were  
21 going to build this web resource anyway, but  
22 don't ever tell IMLS that, okay, because, you  
23 know, we needed the start-up funds, to be

1 honest.

2 But, the idea was that through  
3 that tool we would start using and exposing  
4 the students to a range of social  
5 technologies, and looking at how we, actually,  
6 want to embed those E -- you know, those E-  
7 government kinds of services through those  
8 technologies.

9 And granted, we are serving the  
10 library environment through this resource, as  
11 opposed to users, but so many of the libraries  
12 now make use of, you know, Twitter, Facebook,  
13 and various other social technologies, and  
14 mashups, and a whole range of other tools, and  
15 our challenge is to see what's the best way to  
16 embed those kinds of tools to help libraries,  
17 you know, do even more public service, if you  
18 will.

19 So, it's through practice. The  
20 course work, we actually do have a core class,  
21 which is an information technology class, but  
22 that one is a bit more of a general kind of  
23 class. And so, we see the combination of



1       these two really giving the students,  
2       hopefully, a much stronger background and the  
3       tools to use.

4                   MR. SHULER:   And, in my  
5       experience, the students, actually, bring with  
6       them a certain set of social network skills  
7       that, you know -- well, it's somewhat -- I  
8       will confess to you as a professor -- it's  
9       somewhat disconcerting that we use a  
10      particular version of Blackboard at the  
11      University of Maryland, and on the screen it's  
12      divided up into these big blocks. And the  
13      professors have the biggest block, and then  
14      there's this little running side screen where  
15      the students can type in text messages.

16                   I'm getting used to this, it's  
17      been a couple months, but I'm getting used to  
18      it --

19                   MR. BERTOT:   This is part of  
20      John's 12 step program.

21                   MR. SHULER:   Yes.   I mean, I  
22      handled Power Point, now I'm getting used to  
23      this.

1                   So, when the professors are  
2 holding forth, the students are often having  
3 a completely different conversation in the  
4 small text box. They are ordering pizza,  
5 talking about what they watched on TV last  
6 night. So, I think this is -- they know this  
7 multi-tasking world that they are going to  
8 have to work with, and I think they  
9 demonstrated it.

10                   And, some of the things we are  
11 going to add to the curriculum, to sort of mix  
12 it up a bit, is to use podcasts. We also  
13 would like them -- one aspect of this  
14 technology that I think about the civic  
15 information practice is, we are moving the  
16 idea of learning how the mechanics of the  
17 software and technology work, to the idea of  
18 production, we are moving it to producing  
19 things. It's like producing a radio show, a  
20 theater, and you are bringing the information  
21 and you are packaging it in a particular way  
22 that makes it sustainable and useable by your  
23 community, and that's another step beyond, by

1 just understanding how the mechanics work.

2           And, you are going to have to do  
3 that, because if anybody catches the social  
4 software and the social sendings from the  
5 White House, for instance, they are already  
6 well down this road, where they are constantly  
7 throwing things through various bits and  
8 pieces, tweets, and blogs, and other we  
9 combinations and mashups of what had been  
10 traditionally defined through paper and print,  
11 such as the public papers of the presidents,  
12 or the weekly compilation of presidential  
13 documents and statements.

14           MR. BERTOT: I just want to add  
15 one add on to that, though.

16           I think one of the challenges we  
17 face is, it's not just that you have to be  
18 facile with the tools, right? I mean, we all  
19 get that. But, it's really, how do you use  
20 those tools in a public practice, and in a  
21 service context, because it's one thing to  
22 tweet about, you know, your goings on, it's  
23 another thing completely to use it as a tool

1 to deliver a service, or some kind of  
2 information sound byte to someone. And,  
3 that's the real challenge.

4 I'm less -- personally, I'm less  
5 concerned about the technology tools than I am  
6 about, how do you implement it, you know,  
7 strategically and smartly for the services you  
8 are trying to provide. And, that's why I  
9 think trying to bring it into a real, you  
10 know, service, that's going to be out there is  
11 going to be critical.

12 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
13 of Michigan.

14 I know the IMLS grant for the web  
15 resource you are talking about was recently  
16 awarded, but you also said you were going to  
17 do it anyway. So, I'm a little -- I'm  
18 interested in a time frame for that. The  
19 students will be working on developing it, or  
20 working on operating it, I mean, what -- how  
21 it works.

22 MR. BERTOT: Yes, a good question.

23 The grant was just awarded. It

1 officially starts December 1. And, John and I,  
2 and other folks who are involved in our  
3 program have already started working on how we  
4 are going to have students work on analyzing,  
5 and developing, and preparing content for it,  
6 beginning with the spring semester.

7           So, we have our -- you guys don't  
8 know this yet, but we have our E-government  
9 and planning and evaluation of government  
10 information resources class next semester, so  
11 it's perfect timing, actually. It works out  
12 really well for us.

13           The grant itself is just shy of  
14 three years, it's a 33-month grant. So, in  
15 the spring it's really a range of information  
16 gathering. We are, actually, going to do some  
17 site visits to some libraries that have some  
18 really interesting partnership programs with  
19 government agencies already existing, and we  
20 want to look at what makes a successful  
21 partnership, you know, how do you put the  
22 services together with these agencies.

23           And, we also realize that it's not

1 just the Federal level, I mean, there's state  
2 and local aspects of this, too, I mean. So,  
3 this is a fairly complex environment. We are  
4 starting at the national level, before we even  
5 think about state and local resources, but we  
6 know that that piece is out there.

7 So, we have about a three-year  
8 time frame, but it will be in the second year  
9 that we, actually, start making the website  
10 available, and testing it, and asking for  
11 feedback.

12 DR. RABINA: Debbie Rabina, Pratt  
13 Institute.

14 Beyond the time frame for the  
15 initial grant for the students, how do you  
16 plan to continue this?

17 MR. BERTOT: That's a good  
18 question, and so, we have -- this was,  
19 actually, a kick off, because we got -- this  
20 ended up being sort of seed money to start off  
21 an online program at the University of  
22 Maryland, right? So, we now have, you know,  
23 the official permission by the University to

1 have an online program. We had to go through  
2 all these approvals, and it will continue  
3 after this.

4           What is up for discussion, you  
5 know, just to say, is it's a cohort based  
6 program, right, so we bring in, you know, 20  
7 students in this cohort, and we shepherd them  
8 through as a group, and that, actually, I  
9 think helps build cohesiveness and continuity,  
10 and we are doing a mix of ways of delivering  
11 the instruction and bringing people together.

12           So, what we've talked about at the  
13 college is sort of an alternating cohort,  
14 where we would have sort of a general cohort,  
15 and then a specialized cohort. So, I expect  
16 that this will continue probably on an every-  
17 other-year cycle, you know, and that's still  
18 -- we are still working through that through  
19 our various curriculum committees and that  
20 kind of stuff.

21           But, that's the initial plan at  
22 this point.

23           MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs, Temple

1 University. I really admire the fact that you  
2 are engaging students in a rigorous academic  
3 query of the field, and appreciate that you  
4 maybe can't do everything that you would want  
5 to do within a two-year period.

6           Given the fact that librarianship  
7 is an iterative and often artistic process,  
8 and that there's a growing and robust field of  
9 digital curation, digital preservation, what  
10 do you -- have you thought about the  
11 implications of focusing strictly on services  
12 without collections?

13           MR. BERTOT: Yes, actually, this  
14 is what we talk about a lot, actually. I  
15 mean, it's not a -- we are kind of threading  
16 a bit of a needle, right, because, you know,  
17 we have to have one foot somewhat in the past,  
18 because there's a huge tradition. I mean, you  
19 know, as John indicated, and we have those  
20 collections, and there's all this discussion  
21 with another foot firmly, you know, planted at  
22 looking to the future.

23           So, what we are doing -- I



1 probably should have said this, but, you know,  
2 what we are doing for now --

3 MR. JACOBS: Can I say -- can I  
4 add digital collections?

5 MR. BERTOT: You know, I totally  
6 agree.

7 You know, so one of the things we  
8 are doing for -- and John can chime in, but  
9 for next semester is, we are also bringing in  
10 sort of guest lecturers from a variety of  
11 perspectives.

12 So, we have people coming in from  
13 Archives, from the digital humanities areas,  
14 we have, certainly, some -- so, we are trying  
15 to bring in that flavor, to at least make  
16 students aware of it, right, knowing that  
17 these issues are out there, and it's something  
18 that we need to be mindful of.

19 MR. SHULER: I think, too, it  
20 isn't a matter of technology, it's a matter of  
21 political will and professional leadership,  
22 and it strikes me that if in the next  
23 generation of trained librarians we impress

1 upon them the nuance of understanding the  
2 importance of preservation within this larger  
3 context of government information overall,  
4 they would be much better prepared to react to  
5 the challenges, rather than be specifically  
6 trained in a technology or technique of  
7 preservation.

8           Preservation technology is going  
9 to be constantly changing, and it would be  
10 almost impossible, as it would be writing  
11 a text book about it, to keep it on the mark.

12           And, I think what -- especially,  
13 since the focus of the Maryland program, and  
14 correct me if I'm wrong, John, is, is heavily  
15 -- not heavily, but its central emphasis in  
16 some ways is policy and implementation, and  
17 decision making at a leadership level, what we  
18 are hoping to do is aim for this idea of  
19 creating this discussion and this set of  
20 knowledge that looks at preservation, not on  
21 an ad hoc basis, this institution, or this  
22 group of institutions, is going to preserve  
23 something, and then what are you going to do

1 about that, but actually try to build a  
2 professional approach that says, given this  
3 here's what this organization should do, this  
4 organization should do, and this organization.

5 It's a continuum of care process,  
6 very similar to other professions that are  
7 dealing with crisis or failures in systems.

8 DR. RABINA: Debbie Rabina, Pratt  
9 Institute.

10 Just from conversations that I've  
11 had from some of your students, I understand  
12 that many of them are already working in  
13 libraries. So, I guess placement isn't going  
14 to be a measure of success for the programs.

15 So, I'm just wondering, in terms  
16 of how you are going to evaluate if you have,  
17 you know, your outcomes have succeeded.

18 MR. BERTOT: So, we have a really  
19 lovely outcomes-based assessment plan as part  
20 of the grant, so if you want to -- no, but I  
21 think a couple things.

22 One is, yes, a number of our  
23 students are already working in various

1 libraries, but also other institutions or  
2 elsewhere. And, I think that there will be  
3 some of these students, actually, several, who  
4 are probably going to look to, you know,  
5 change paths, I think, after this program. I  
6 hope I'm not like putting words in your  
7 mouths, guys.

8           So, I think one will, in fact, be,  
9 you know, sort of where some of the students  
10 end up will be part of it, but I also -- one  
11 of the things that we were looking at is sort  
12 of a two years, three years after graduation  
13 down the road kinds of measures, like where  
14 have you ended up, what are you doing, what  
15 changes have you influenced or been influenced  
16 by in this kind of career.

17           So, we are not doing like a one  
18 point in time kind of measure, because I  
19 think, you know, one of the things that --  
20 and, actually, I know that it caused some  
21 angst amongst our students initially, was, you  
22 know, we keep saying the world is kind of  
23 shifting around you, so they kind of panicked

1 a little bit there. But, it is changing all  
2 the time, why are we doing -- you know, all  
3 this kind of stuff.

4 But, we do want to look at, you  
5 know, like, well, where are you in a couple  
6 years, what are you working on. We expect  
7 that our students will be going into some  
8 government agencies, some, you know, Federal  
9 libraries, other places, GPO, you know, and  
10 we'll see what -- where they are in a few  
11 years down the road.

12 DR. RABINA: Debbie Rabina, Pratt  
13 Institute.

14 You mentioned government  
15 information online as one of the structures  
16 that will help support this. I'm wondering if  
17 there will be room for others in the LIS  
18 community participate in this, and what I'm  
19 thinking of when I ask this is the Drexel  
20 model for IPL to be used as a teaching tool  
21 for, you know, throughout the LIS community.  
22 So, I'm wondering if that's something that you  
23 plan to incorporate.

1                   MR. SHULER: It has always been  
2 the dream of the GIO managers, founders, to  
3 extend this service in an effective way that  
4 would include the graduates of library and  
5 information science schools, in that this  
6 partnership with Maryland represents the first  
7 step, not the last one.

8                   So, I would welcome an opportunity  
9 to talk, as well as the other folks that are  
10 involved in GIO, to talk how we could manage  
11 that, yes.

12                  MR. BERTOT: And a second step in  
13 that process is also going to be with the new  
14 grant that we received. We are looking at  
15 ways to embed the GIO service within that, so  
16 librarians who go there can actually get that  
17 help, you know, if they are looking for  
18 certain things.

19                  And so, one of the things that we  
20 hope will be an outcome of that will be that  
21 GIO will continue to grow, I mean, that  
22 librarians will see that service and want to  
23 participate in it, so that we can finally get

1 to a deeper level of assistance at that state  
2 and local level, you know.

3 So, we are kind of working all  
4 these things, but, you know, I'm -- how shall  
5 I say it -- the sausage is kind of being made  
6 at this point, right, you know, so we have to  
7 see where it takes us a little bit further  
8 down the road.

9 MR. JACOBS: They don't look very  
10 excited about the sausage.

11 MR. BERTOT: There's probably some  
12 vegetarians out there. It's a vegan sausage.

13 DR. RABINA: Other questions from  
14 Council?

15 Other questions, from the  
16 students, perhaps?

17 MS. MORIEARTY: I would like to  
18 hear from some of the students what their  
19 perspective is, and don't worry, it won't  
20 affect your grade.

21 MS. SMITH: I have a question  
22 always, Lori Smith, Southeastern Louisiana  
23 University.

1                   You do have a traditional  
2                   reference course, don't you? They are still  
3                   going to know what's in the Federal Register,  
4                   and, you know, how to use the statistical  
5                   abstract, because I feel like in my training  
6                   in the stone age I was building a pyramid.  
7                   You know, I would learn one resource at a  
8                   time, and you had a nice big stack of rocks,  
9                   and then the country flooded, and now we are  
10                  just all sort of floating in this, you know,  
11                  digital soup, and I think your students are  
12                  learning to PURL dive, you know. They are on  
13                  the surface of the soup.

14                  But, I want to make sure that they  
15                  still know where all that big stack of rocks  
16                  is, you know, the real basic core sources.

17                  MR. BERTOT: Yes, in fact, what we  
18                  put up in terms of the core -- that really was  
19                  the concentration course work, so that's the  
20                  specialized course work for this program.

21                  But, I think it's actually in the  
22                  first part of the next summer -- you know, the  
23                  summer 2011 where they are going to get that.



1                   So, yes, we have a course on all  
2                   that. It is a more block building, if you  
3                   will, government documents, reference kind of  
4                   course. So, yes, they will be getting that as  
5                   part of this.

6                   MS. MONGEAU: Deborah Mongeau,  
7                   from the University of Rhode Island.

8                   I'm very impressed by this  
9                   program. It seems to be very intense. The  
10                  impression I'm getting is that it's also  
11                  pretty much aimed towards the traditional  
12                  student, the student who can afford to spend  
13                  two years immersed in a program, being willing  
14                  to travel to different places for internships,  
15                  be willing to take a few days off to attend a  
16                  conference, but yet, many of the library  
17                  school students who enter the programs are  
18                  non-traditional students. And, I'm just  
19                  wondering if there's -- you know, they have  
20                  family and work obligations, and have to work  
21                  around that. And, I'm just wondering, are  
22                  there any accommodations in the program for  
23                  the non-traditional students?

1                   MR. BERTOT:  Yes, actually, I  
2                   think out of our students there's -- I don't  
3                   think anybody is not working, actually, so  
4                   they are all -- I mean, yes, and also with the  
5                   online environment, we set this up so that it  
6                   was only two classes per semester, and it  
7                   continues over a two-year period, because we  
8                   figured that a fair number of the people who  
9                   would apply, actually, had other obligations,  
10                  right, and so we were trying to accommodate  
11                  that from the get-go.

12                  The one thing that we did ask,  
13                  and, actually, we went back and forth with a  
14                  couple of students because of time  
15                  commitments, was for the deposit -- you know,  
16                  to be able to come here, right, because it's  
17                  a four-day commitment, you know, a day to  
18                  travel, right, two and a half days here, a day  
19                  to travel back, we all know the routine,  
20                  especially, from the West Coast.  So, we knew  
21                  that, but we let people know that really way  
22                  up front, you know, we tried getting that  
23                  information out, gave them the dates, you

1 know, and said that, hey, we want you to be  
2 able to come to these meetings and all that.

3           So, we tried to accommodate that  
4 as best as possible, and deal with sort of the  
5 non-traditional student. And, actually,  
6 what's really been interesting, I mean,  
7 because there's different ways to measure non-  
8 traditional, right? Our students are from a  
9 range of places right now, they are not all in  
10 libraries, which is really kind of  
11 interesting.

12           So, I think that we've been able  
13 to attract that as part of this.

14           Now, moving forward, once we've  
15 moved beyond this grant, some of the time  
16 commitments may go away. We would encourage  
17 people to come to the Depository meetings,  
18 but, obviously, we wouldn't be able to fund  
19 people, you know, those kinds of things.

20           But, yes, it's a really big  
21 concern, and it's a great issue for us,  
22 because we want to make sure that we can pull  
23 in people who are really interested in this,

1 and are good, and may have other commitments  
2 as well.

3 MR. SHULER: Do any of the  
4 students want to listen to Mistress Jill, and  
5 come to the mic?

6 MS. REGAN: Alison Regan,  
7 University of Utah, also in the program,  
8 Jill's colleague.

9 I have to say that it's been a  
10 remarkably engaging six weeks for me, and to  
11 answer the question of non-traditional, I  
12 think there's about -- there's as much as a 30  
13 year age gap between our youngest and our  
14 oldest students, and, yes, all of us are  
15 working full time, as far as I can tell. Many  
16 of us have children, ranging from -- I think  
17 Lawrence has a one-year old, and a couple of  
18 us have -- four or five of us have teenagers.  
19 So, we know the commitment, the time  
20 commitments involved.

21 And so, I think the program,  
22 actually, is remarkably flexible, and as  
23 somebody who said she would never go back to

1 school again, I have to say that I -- and I  
2 heard this from my classmates, so we are  
3 pretty much all glad we came.

4 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
5 of Michigan.

6 I'm kind of putting everybody on  
7 the spot here, but is there anything either  
8 the students or you all would look to from  
9 Council or from the community that would be of  
10 use at this point, or don't you know yet?

11 MR. SHULER: I think the critical  
12 issue before Council, obviously, is one of  
13 education and continuing education within the  
14 community.

15 And, certainly, at the afternoon  
16 session yesterday there was this other --  
17 University of Maryland is only one school --  
18 there is a constellation of library schools  
19 out there that are training the next  
20 generation.

21 And, what I would hope Council  
22 would work with the library associations, with  
23 the library schools, is establish some kind of

1 best practice sort of beyond just the simple  
2 accreditation, not that what ALA does is  
3 simple mind you, but to get into this idea  
4 that there are specific skills and interests  
5 that are tied, specifically, to being a  
6 government information librarian that should  
7 be embedded, if you will, within the teaching  
8 programs, and further we accredit it as the  
9 person goes through the course work, and then  
10 into their professional life.

11           We see this kind of model in other  
12 aspects of the library profession. The one I  
13 think that is the most robust in my experience  
14 are the medical librarians. They have a  
15 continuing education component to their  
16 further knowledge building that I think we, as  
17 government information librarians, with this  
18 complexity of organization and technology,  
19 would sorely benefit from. And, I think  
20 there's a leadership role here for Council,  
21 there's a leadership role for the schools, and  
22 there's a leadership role for the associations  
23 as well.

1 MR. DAVIS: Rick Davis, GPO.

2 I just want to commend you guys  
3 again for the work on this program, and also  
4 the students, for your participation.

5 I also want to mention, and John  
6 and John know this, I want to make sure all of  
7 you know this as well out in the audience,  
8 that there are a lot of opportunities at GPO  
9 for virtual internships as well. We recently  
10 were on a recruiting visit out on the West  
11 Coast, and we had a number of students who  
12 were interested in GPO, and then we talked  
13 about the opportunities and they said, now I  
14 don't have to move to Washington, do I? And,  
15 the answer is no, there are virtual  
16 opportunities.

17 So, even if you are not based in  
18 this area, we'd like to talk to your students  
19 about some opportunities that we have.

20 MR. BERTOT: Thanks. Thanks for  
21 that, and, actually, GPO has been a great  
22 partner in this, I mean, really willing to  
23 help us out on any number of levels.

1                   And, you know, one other thing in  
2 terms of Council and all know as well, we  
3 really would love to have your participation  
4 and feedback on what we are doing. I mean,  
5 you know, this was -- you write this stuff --  
6 you know, we wrote this grant three years ago,  
7 I mean, it was -- it was our best shot at what  
8 we thought was going to be in place, you know,  
9 a couple years down the road, you know.

10                   I mean, and so, there is a certain  
11 learning process, in terms of the curriculum,  
12 and how you deliver things, and a whole host  
13 of other factors, and we don't make the claim  
14 that we have it.

15                   So, you know, building on John's  
16 comment about, you know, that leadership, what  
17 should be in here, what are some of the  
18 things, I think we would all welcome that.

19                   Also, we'd welcome your  
20 participation. I mean, one of the things we  
21 talked about doing away with, you know, space  
22 and time, and sort of the profession, but  
23 we've done away with space and time to some



1 extent in our course work, because of being  
2 online, and we would love to have folks, if  
3 you are willing and interested, to come in and  
4 give presentations.

5 You know, we use WIMBA and a range  
6 of other tools. It would be great, I think,  
7 for the students to hear even more  
8 perspectives on what is happening.

9 So, I think they would benefit  
10 greatly from that.

11 MS. SANDERS: Ann Sanders, Library  
12 of Michigan.

13 This is more by way of a comment  
14 than anything else, but I'm assuming that for  
15 the students, especially, this being their  
16 first year and they've only been in it six  
17 weeks, at this point they are still, you know,  
18 basically, trying to catch up with the  
19 acronyms.

20 But, I would be, just myself, I'd  
21 be really interested to see next year at this  
22 setting what kind of involvement they have,  
23 and, you know, I'd like to see some of them

1 maybe doing some of the presentations and that  
2 kind of stuff, because that would be really  
3 interesting.

4 MR. SHULER: In fact, that was one  
5 of the things that we had talked about for the  
6 next year, is to have the students put on a  
7 theater production of what we did with our  
8 first year, and offer it up to the community  
9 on what they've learned in the course of all  
10 this fabulous turbulence.

11 MR. BERTOT: Names will be changed  
12 to protect the innocent.

13 MR. SHULER: Yes, that's right.

14 So, we would hope that the  
15 Council, as well as the community, would  
16 welcome that kind of presentation, and I think  
17 it would be, you are actually right, it would  
18 be a good way to show progress in what we've  
19 learned, and what we all might learn in the  
20 future.

21 We were going to tell you that  
22 next week, guys.

23 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes at Notre

1 Dame.

2 As you can tell, those of us in  
3 academia have no concept of how much time we  
4 are imposing on you. It's what we need you to  
5 do, you've got the time.

6 MR. SHULER: It's an honorable  
7 tradition, regardless if there's no time or  
8 geography involved.

9 MR. SWINDELLS: Geoff Swindells,  
10 Northwestern University.

11 In many ways it's a sort of  
12 double-edged sword here. You are trying to  
13 create professionals for a future profession,  
14 but we also have sort of existing libraries  
15 and services, and we need to move those  
16 forward as well.

17 And, I was wondering if you were  
18 bringing in library leaders, especially,  
19 university librarians, sort of to talk about  
20 their visions of organizational structure and  
21 moving forward, and how sort of the kind of  
22 changes you are talking about in this part of  
23 the profession might work into those

1 organizations going forward, because what I'm  
2 a little worried about is, is moving very  
3 dedicated people, with really great  
4 perspective, and lots of skills, into  
5 organizations not prepared to sort of take  
6 advantage of those.

7 I mean, we see that in our  
8 organizations already.

9 MR. SHULER: Absolutely not. Just  
10 kidding. Just kidding.

11 We love the directors. In fact,  
12 John and I have talked about involving the  
13 directors in different ways, either through  
14 guest lectures, and certainly their statements  
15 indicate that they are fully engaged in  
16 reshaping the government in the future, and  
17 would be remiss of us, as professors, to not  
18 include their perspective on the shape of the  
19 program in general, and the future of  
20 government information services within their  
21 buildings.

22 I think it's a very important  
23 voice to include.

1                   And, you are absolutely right,  
2           Geoff, we do -- we are preparing them for this  
3           future role, but they are going to be grounded  
4           in the present, if not in the past.

5                   And, the metaphor I use with them,  
6           and this is where John gets all Zen-like, I  
7           pose this question to them, I say, can you be  
8           a doctor without a hospital, and they say yes.  
9           And, I say, can you be a lawyer without a  
10          courthouse. Now, they wait a few moments  
11          before they say to that. And then I say, can  
12          you be a librarian without a library, and  
13          there's a huge debate about whether or not we  
14          can be librarians without libraries, and I  
15          think your question points to this long  
16          tradition of service within a particular type  
17          of organization we can't ill afford to ignore.

18                   MR. O'MAHONY: Dan O'Mahony, Brown  
19          University.

20                   Sort of taking the other side of  
21          Geoff's question, you know, the government  
22          information environment in many ways just  
23          reflects the larger information world out

1       there.  So, have you begun to have  
2       conversations within the school about how what  
3       you are dealing with here trickles out into  
4       the broader library community, and how you all  
5       then prepare future librarians generally?

6                   MR. BERTOT:  That's a really good  
7       question, and I should say that we also have  
8       a face-to-face E-government program in  
9       Maryland, and right now there's between 40 and  
10      -- I don't have the latest number, so I'm  
11      giving you a range -- let's say between 40 and  
12      55 students that are in that particular  
13      concentration.  It's, actually, one of our,  
14      other than school media, it's one of our  
15      largest, and Archives, our largest, you know,  
16      student bodies, if you will.

17                   And, you know, a fair number of  
18      those students don't go to libraries, you know  
19      what I mean, they are working in agencies that  
20      we can't talk about, you know, although they  
21      may be listening.  They work -- so, I mean,  
22      they work in a range of organizations.  Some  
23      have gone into non-profits, some have gone

1       into areas that work with government services  
2       and resources, but aren't in government, you  
3       know, so I'm thinking like some of the  
4       consulting firms and other places.

5               So, I think, you know, what we see  
6       preparing our students for is the ability to  
7       work in that government information context,  
8       but that context could be in a wide range of  
9       organizations. And, I think we need to  
10      realize that.

11             I mean, you know, is anyone from  
12      Florida here? Well, I know some of our  
13      students are, yes, but, you know, Google has  
14      been doing some interesting stuff with state  
15      documents, you know. Florida was a test bed,  
16      this was a few years ago, I don't know if that  
17      program continued on, but they were becoming,  
18      in essence, the state documents provider for  
19      the State of Florida, you know, and that  
20      raised a whole bunch of flags for me, you  
21      know. It's like wait, wait, what's going on  
22      here, you know.

23             But, there's a range of players

1 out there that are working in this context,  
2 and we need to work with our students to  
3 understand that broad perspective, and it  
4 could be libraries that are changing. On our  
5 campus, I have to say there's a big -- our  
6 library just released its new strategic plan,  
7 and they are talking about, you know, what are  
8 we doing with the documents department, you  
9 know, what are we doing with that collection,  
10 and there's a large discussion around that,  
11 and it's not a settled issue, all right?

12 MS. SELBY: Barbie Selby,  
13 University of Virginia.

14 I'd be curious, as a returning  
15 participant to government information online,  
16 about the role with that, because we are  
17 finding that those questions are among the  
18 most challenging and legally tricky questions  
19 that we are getting these days. I mean, I'm  
20 really honing my skills on, you know, finding  
21 things on line, and knowing the line to walk  
22 between -- among copyright, and law, you know,  
23 what's the newest addition of this regulation



1 kinds of things.

2 So, I'd be curious about the  
3 interaction with the students with GIO.

4 MR. SHULER: Well, it's one of the  
5 reasons why we suggested it, because in my  
6 experience as a librarian, as all of you well  
7 know as we serve at reference desks, we  
8 sharpen our skills on the hardness of the  
9 questions, if you will.

10 And, in my experience with GIO, it  
11 is the one serious concentration of these  
12 kinds of tough questions that are coming into  
13 our life on a national basis, and it's an  
14 excellent forum to sharpen those skills.

15 And, I fully -- I fully expect it  
16 will be the proper harnesses and relays, in a  
17 sense, as they initially get started with it,  
18 just as we all learned in that same fashion,  
19 will have the same support structures in place  
20 when they begin to serve in this fashion.

21 But, I'm very excited that we can  
22 begin to extend the learning process in this  
23 direction for this group of students.

1 DR. RABINA: Debbie Rabina, Pratt  
2 Institute.

3 GIO does not, as far as I recall,  
4 have a kind of like archived question  
5 component to it, does it?

6 MR. SHULER: It does. It's not  
7 obvious. It is what might be called a dark  
8 archive.

9 MR. BERTOT: Although, actually,  
10 one of the things John and I have talked  
11 about, and, you know, it's a question of  
12 resources, is they have received several  
13 thousand questions at this point through that,  
14 and one of the things we've talked about is  
15 doing more of a qualitative study of what are  
16 those questions, can we do some FAQ kinds of  
17 things, and other, you know, build resources  
18 around the resource, if you will, that sort of  
19 answer and help answer some of the questions  
20 that have been asked in the past, and build  
21 sort of that archive of kinds of things.

22 I mean, I can't speak for the GIO  
23 community, but we've looked at it, I've been

1       trying to see if I can get some doctoral  
2       students or some others interested in  
3       possibly looking at that, you know, and seeing  
4       what we might be able to get out of it.

5                   MS. SEARS:  Suzanne Sears,  
6       University of North Texas.

7                   Can you speak a little bit about  
8       any public library outreach that you are  
9       doing?

10                   MR. BERTOT:  Yes, actually,  
11       several of our students are, actually, in  
12       public libraries.

13                   In terms of the other grant that  
14       we just received, a fair number of the  
15       partners, library partners that partnered with  
16       us on that, are public library partners.

17                   So, we are looking at trying to  
18       build in a range of library types, into the  
19       program, right, so we are working with, for  
20       example, on the last E-government we had five  
21       state library agencies that are working with  
22       us, you know, Connecticut, Florida, New  
23       Jersey, Maryland, Texas, did I miss anyone,

1 did I hit five? I hope someone is counting  
2 for me.

3           Anyway, and we have about ten  
4 different public libraries that are working  
5 with us across the country, Hartford Public  
6 Library, Austin in Texas, particularly,  
7 because they do a lot of work with immigrants  
8 and those kinds of things.

9           So, we are trying to build in --  
10 so we are working with the public library  
11 community, and some of the site visits we'll  
12 be doing on, in terms of the collaborations  
13 between libraries and agencies, are, actually,  
14 going to be with public libraries,  
15 particularly, as they increasingly perform a  
16 range of social services that governments no  
17 longer provide. I'm trying to be tactful.  
18 They've gotten out of the business of serving  
19 the public, and so the public ends up where  
20 there's people who are willing to help them.

21           So, yes, we are very much aware of  
22 the public library component.

23           MS. FISHER: Hi, Janet Fisher,

1 Arizona State Library Archives and Public  
2 Records.

3 The focus I'm hearing is people in  
4 the E-government program working in different  
5 libraries, doing different things, but what  
6 about the E-government courses being part of  
7 the core courses, at least one or two? Have  
8 you thought about that?

9 MR. BERTOT: I mean, for like the  
10 general students, I mean, like everyone else  
11 in the MLS program?

12 MS. FISHER: What I constantly  
13 work with in our state is trying to get every  
14 librarian to understand that they have a  
15 responsibility to get their community to the  
16 government information. They can't say, oh,  
17 it's them, they are the ones that know how to  
18 do it, or pass it off on someone else.

19 For every librarian, every library  
20 school student, to have that knowledge is  
21 really powerful, and I think it's something  
22 everyone should do.

23 So, are you thinking in that

1 direction?

2 MR. BERTOT: I'm thinking in that  
3 direction, whether the rest of the faculty is  
4 thinking -- I mean, any of you who have ever  
5 dealt with curriculum issues knows exactly  
6 what I'm talking about.

7 Yes, I mean, and so, okay, I'll  
8 give you an example. One of our core courses  
9 is information services and resources, it's  
10 part reference, but it's also understanding  
11 user needs, you know, so it's a combination of  
12 reference and understanding how people seek  
13 information, 601 is what we call it.

14 The students will be taking that,  
15 but as I taught it a year and a half ago, we  
16 did a whole module on E-government to  
17 introduce students to that.

18 That's probably not universal  
19 across the faculty, right, I mean, so we are  
20 trying to interject that as ways we can.

21 Whether or not our E-government  
22 class, which is a specific class to the  
23 concentration, face-to-face and in this

1 program, would become a course within the core  
2 curriculum, that's a much longer discussion,  
3 you know, that would have to unfold.

4 MS. FISHER: I think you've got a  
5 group here, and others throughout the country,  
6 that would send letters in support.

7 MR. SHULER: Well, we appreciate  
8 that.

9 MR. BERTOT: Please send them, I  
10 will give you my dean's address and contact  
11 information.

12 MR. SHULER: But, I'd also like to  
13 speak to, from my experience at the University  
14 of Illinois, there is another next where E-  
15 government initiatives are coming from, and  
16 that is from public policy -- public planning  
17 and public administration.

18 There's a huge amount of graduate  
19 students taking their places in our government  
20 bureaucracy who are being trained by, not  
21 librarians, but by public administrators and  
22 public policy makers, with a heavy dose of  
23 computer technology, that would deeply benefit

1 from our knowledge about information  
2 management and organization.

3 So, I think we could reach out to  
4 each other, as well as reach out to these  
5 other disciplines that are training future  
6 government information people, and infest them  
7 with librarianship, as we would infest  
8 librarians with E-government.

9 MS. FISHER: Thank you.

10 MR. HAYES: Steve Hayes, Notre  
11 Dame.

12 My official title is the  
13 Entrepreneurial Spirit Endowed Business  
14 Librarian. I did 20 years government  
15 information specialty.

16 When I was moved to the business  
17 information center, which is, as I put it, all  
18 singing, all dancing, all electronic library,  
19 the thought process my director went through  
20 at the time was two. Number one, I wasn't  
21 afraid of the business faculty. Number two,  
22 I had worked in government documents for 20  
23 years. Government people were early adopters



1 to just about everything, you know,  
2 technology, virtual, paperless, you know, all  
3 of that.

4 So, I would second, number one, it  
5 should be part of the curriculum, and I have  
6 300 books, the rest of it is nothing but  
7 electronic.

8 Second, it would be encouragement  
9 for the students, in terms of you will be so  
10 marketable when you are done with this, you  
11 know, because as John has pointed out, the  
12 political science people, et cetera, but you  
13 can also join the dark side, in that the  
14 business people, the other question that gets  
15 passed, you know, oh, it's a documents  
16 question, oh, it's a business question, are  
17 out there, believe me, they'll take you very  
18 quickly because you've got the skill set that  
19 they want.

20 MS. SELBY: Barbie Selby,  
21 University of Virginia.

22 I was just coming up to say, we  
23 have a science technology and society

1 curriculum that's in the engineering school,  
2 and they -- I had a student in who wanted to  
3 talk about E-government in Mongolia, and that  
4 was her project. So, you know, it's all over  
5 the place.

6 MR. SHULER: I think we can safely  
7 say, as librarians, all librarians, we are all  
8 government information librarians now. We  
9 just got there first.

10 DR. RABINA: Anymore questions?

11 MS. SEARS: I want to thank our  
12 speakers. I think this was a very informative  
13 session, and we really thank you.

14 (Whereupon, applause.)

15 MR. SHULER: Thanks, everyone.

16 MS. SEARS: And, remember, we do  
17 have one more session at 10:30, where we will  
18 be discussing what we feel we've learned over  
19 the last few days, and ask you for input also  
20 for the sessions that ended early, that we  
21 weren't able to get all of your questions in,  
22 this would be the time that you can ask those  
23 questions.

1 I would ask Council to briefly  
2 meet with me before you run off, and the rest  
3 of you are adjourned until 10:30.

4 Thank you.

5 (Whereupon, at 9:42 a.m., a recess  
6 until 10:30 a.m.)

7 MS. SEARS: If we could begin to  
8 take our seats, please.

9 I have a few housekeeping tasks  
10 and announcements before we get started.

11 Lance always gives me this -- or,  
12 always gives the chair, this lovely attendance  
13 sheet. Keep in mind that this is kind of like  
14 the Census, if you filled out your  
15 registration form incorrectly then our  
16 statistics are only as good as how you filled  
17 out your registration form. So, if I say there  
18 are so many public libraries, and you say, oh,  
19 there were more than that here, well, some of  
20 them didn't put public on their registration  
21 form.

22 There were 421 people registered,  
23 and there were 385 that actually attended.

1 This is an increase from fall of last year,  
2 there were 336 last year. So, thank you all  
3 for taking the time to come.

4 Break out by library type, some of  
5 you are interested in that. We had 150  
6 academic, 30 law, 18 public, 25 special or  
7 other, and 162 who did not fill out that  
8 particular question.

9 We had 39 regionals, 48 first-  
10 timers, so I want to clap for them.

11 (Whereupon, applause.)

12 MS. SEARS: And, 37 speakers.

13 In addition to actual attendees,  
14 we did have people tweeting from the audience.  
15 I want to thank those people who were helping  
16 us with that, and if you could please stand  
17 when I call your name, so that if you are  
18 still here you can be recognized, and give  
19 your Twitter handle when you stand.

20 Starr Hoffman. Starr's handle is  
21 artgeeklibrarian, for those of you who  
22 couldn't hear her. Oh, libraria.

23 Kirsten Clark, is she here? And,

1 what's your handle, Kirsten? kjclark.

2 Rebecca Hyde.

3 MS. HYDE: altair77.

4 MS. SEARS: I couldn't hear her,  
5 so what did she say, James? Altair77.

6 Jennie Burroughs, jmburroughs, is  
7 that right?

8 Sanai Wood. She may already have  
9 gone home.

10 Roger Schonfeld. You are rschon.

11 And, the number of readers we had,  
12 we had 51, so 51 who were virtually attending.  
13 That is less than Buffalo, but we did not have  
14 PR for this conference that we were going to  
15 be offering it. So, I talked to James, and we  
16 will have PR for San Antonio.

17 Okay, I also want to ask you to  
18 please take the time to fill out your  
19 evaluation. The conference is only as good as  
20 what you put in on your evaluations. If you  
21 let us know what concerns we need to be  
22 focusing on, then we know what we need to have  
23 sessions on. So, you really need to take the

1 time to fill them out.

2 I do want to point out to you, as  
3 I just let slip a minute ago, that on the  
4 question about whether or not you are  
5 attending the spring conference in Buffalo, we  
6 are not asking you to be in a time machine,  
7 and we are not going back to Buffalo in the  
8 spring. That's just an error. We are going  
9 to San Antonio, Texas, and we plan to be there  
10 April 4th through the 6th of 2011.

11 The hotel has not been identified  
12 yet, so that announcement should be coming out  
13 from GPO whenever they get the procurement.

14 I apologize, I had some dental  
15 work before I came, so sometimes you are going  
16 to get a lisp. It won't be there in April.

17 Also, Lance wanted me to remind  
18 you to pick up your certificate of attendance  
19 if you asked for one on your registration  
20 form, it's out on the table.

21 I do need to inform you of a few  
22 votes that we had. We do have business  
23 meetings at the end of each day, Monday at

1 5:30, and Tuesday at 5:30 we had Council  
2 business meetings. You are always welcome to  
3 attend those business meetings, but it's a  
4 silent attendance. You can observe, but we  
5 are voting and discussing things among  
6 ourselves, and we won't call on people from  
7 the audience. To anybody, it is an open  
8 meeting, anybody is welcome to attend.

9 In the meeting on Monday night, we  
10 did have two votes. One was on the charter  
11 changes, and Dan had posted those to govdoc-1,  
12 and put them on the web so that people could  
13 look at those prior to the conference. We did  
14 vote to accept those changes. Probably -- the  
15 one that is dear and near to my heart is that  
16 Council members will begin their appointments  
17 on June 1st instead of October 1st. That's  
18 important to me, because we are trying to plan  
19 a conference the middle of October, and if  
20 they start October 1st they don't really have  
21 a whole lot of time to get caught up on what  
22 they are supposed to be working on for the  
23 conference. So, I think that was a really

1 good change.

2 The others were to just bring the  
3 document up to date, because it was more than  
4 ten years old.

5 We also had a vote on chair elect.  
6 This person has agreed to begin their  
7 appointment in April, following the Buffalo  
8 conference -- the San Antonio conference, I'm  
9 sorry. I am in my time machine.

10 Following the San Antonio  
11 conference -- no, James, it's not October --  
12 I will give it to you on April 6th, and the  
13 person who won that election is James Jacobs.

14 (Whereupon, applause.)

15 MS. SEARS: As many of you are  
16 aware, a few years back Council recommended  
17 that GPO hire a consultant to look into new  
18 models for the FDLP. Ithaca was recently  
19 awarded the contract, and it was due to the  
20 logistics of planning such a large conference,  
21 it was done after we already had the agenda  
22 set. So, I did want to take just a few  
23 moments to let Ross come to the mic and talk



1 very, very briefly about what they've done so  
2 far about the scope, and ask for your  
3 participation.

4 He did this in the regional  
5 meeting yesterday, but that was sparsely  
6 attended by anybody but regional, and it  
7 wasn't in the official record for the  
8 conference proceedings, so I would ask that if  
9 you could please come to the mic and give us  
10 just a brief overview.

11 MR. HOUSEWRIGHT: Good morning,  
12 everyone. Thank you, Suzanne.

13 I'm Ross Housewright, just for  
14 those of you who I haven't had the chance to  
15 meet.

16 And, as Suzanne said, I work for  
17 Ithaca Strategy and Research, which is a non-  
18 profit organization based in New York City.  
19 We also include the services JSTOR and  
20 Portico, as other parts of our organization,  
21 and David was kind enough to invite us to come  
22 out to the regionals meeting yesterday and  
23 talk a bit about the project there, and so I

1 appreciate having the opportunity to do so  
2 here as well.

3           So, a bit about, just very  
4 briefly, I will keep this short, but to just  
5 give you a bit about what this project is.  
6 GPO has hired us to develop a sustainable  
7 model or models for how the FDLP can continue  
8 to achieve its mission, traditional unchanging  
9 mission and values in a digital age, and I  
10 emphasize that to say, that specifically part  
11 of this program is that the mission and values  
12 of the FDLP are not -- those are a given for  
13 us, those are not up for question or  
14 discussion, those are a given, and those are  
15 the basis around -- we are trying to figure  
16 out models, a model or models, by which the  
17 FDLP can achieve these goals in a sustainable  
18 and effective way going forward.

19           And so, what we are doing, the way  
20 that this project has been defined by GPO,  
21 there's several steps along the way that I'll  
22 go through very quickly, but the first thing  
23 I would say is, we have put up, and I give

1 Cindy all credit for this good idea, but it's  
2 a great idea, we have a project website that  
3 we've set up at fdlpmodeling.net, all one  
4 word, which we are using both to sort of  
5 inform the community about this project, what  
6 we are doing, what questions we are asking,  
7 you know, what we are looking at, and also as  
8 an opportunity to get your input into this  
9 project. So, it's going to be a very sort of  
10 transparent process that we are really hoping  
11 we can get as a broad participation from the  
12 community as possible, which will only improve  
13 this project.

14 So, very briefly, there's a few  
15 pieces I just want to walk through that  
16 structure this project, very, very briefly.  
17 So, it started off, we were working on an  
18 environmental scan, which is, basically,  
19 looking to see all of the issues and factors  
20 impacting the FDLP in this changing  
21 environment. So, that's a big project.

22 We've posted sort of an overview  
23 of where we are going with that. We'll soon

1 be posting, in the next, I don't want to tie  
2 myself to a specific date, but in the next  
3 month or so I'd say, there will be a draft of  
4 this environmental scan which we are going to  
5 make available on the website, and ask for  
6 your input and your comments, to help us -- I  
7 mean, there's, you know, it's a broad thing,  
8 and there's pieces of this that there are  
9 people in this room that know a whole lot more  
10 about it than I do, so the more input you can  
11 offer to tell us what we've missed, you know,  
12 where we are not reflecting everything  
13 accurately. That will only serve to improve  
14 the end product of this.

15           The next step is going to be --  
16 and we are just sort of kicking this off now,  
17 is developing a sort of framework or doing an  
18 analysis of the different ways in which  
19 libraries collaborate with each other,  
20 throughout the sort of library world. What  
21 are the different ways in which libraries work  
22 together towards a common goal, and which of  
23 these could be feasible for the FDLP in some

1 pieces, what can we learn from these models.

2 So, you know, what are the  
3 different ways in which -- what are the  
4 strengths and weaknesses of different  
5 approaches, where are there lessons we can  
6 learn from the ways that libraries organize  
7 themselves to achieve a common goal.

8 And so, these are sort of the  
9 research pieces, the major research pieces of  
10 this project, and that's the stage we are in  
11 right now.

12 We are doing research. We don't -  
13 - we don't have a -- we don't know where this  
14 is going, we don't have a preconceived notion  
15 of what the end result of this is going to be,  
16 we are doing this research, and we are hoping  
17 that you will be able to contribute as much as  
18 you can to this research, to help us give the  
19 information we need to make recommendations.

20 So, that's going to be what's going to follow  
21 out of that, is developing a new model or  
22 models for the FDLIP that's going to build on  
23 this environmental scan, and it's going to

1 build on these networks to say, okay, well,  
2 here's -- you know, let's see a model or some  
3 models going forward for how the FDLP can  
4 continue to achieve its critically important  
5 mission in this complicated and changing  
6 environment.

7           So, that's, basically -- and then  
8 that will lead into a final report, and things  
9 like that, and so this is all going to be, we  
10 are going to be sort of narrating this through  
11 the website and posting plenty of times when  
12 we are looking for input. And, like I said,  
13 the more input -- input from the community is  
14 only going to make this project better.

15           So, I said this in the regionals  
16 meeting yesterday, I encouraged in the  
17 regionals meeting for them to -- for the  
18 regionals to do what they can to reach out to  
19 the selectives in their region, and encourage  
20 them to participate. We are really hoping, I  
21 would echo that today, you know, I would  
22 really hope that you'd all participate in  
23 this, that you would reach out to others in

1 your community, be those, you know, the other  
2 people in your library, your directors, your  
3 other people in your library who have an  
4 interest in this issue, the other  
5 depositories, I mean, how many, there was 300  
6 some of you here, but there's a lot more of  
7 you that aren't here, so reaching out to  
8 people who aren't here to make sure they are  
9 aware of this project and are participating in  
10 this project.

11           And really, that's going to be  
12 critically important. There's a lot of voices  
13 in this community, and there's a lot of people  
14 who don't necessarily, you know, get to share  
15 their voice that often, because they don't get  
16 to come to things like this, and we want to  
17 make sure that this is a project that can  
18 bring -- that we can hear all of that, and  
19 take that into account as we sort of move  
20 forward with this.

21           So, [fdlmodeling.net](http://fdlmodeling.net), all one  
22 word, it's a beautiful fancy site design, with  
23 black text on a white background. Yes. I

1 would really encourage you to participate  
2 yourselves and encourage those in your  
3 communities to participate as well.

4 And, thank you.

5 Sorry, that was probably too long.

6 (Whereupon, applause.)

7 MS. SEARS: Thank you, and I don't  
8 think I heard you say anything about your  
9 handout, but Ross has left handouts on the  
10 back table with the other extra handouts from  
11 the other sessions on this project. So, feel  
12 free to pick up more than one, and take them  
13 back to libraries who were unable to attend.

14 Okay, so now we are done with the  
15 housekeeping stuff.

16 For those of you who have been to  
17 previous Council meetings, we are going to do  
18 things a little bit differently. For all you  
19 new-timers, then great, you won't know we are  
20 doing something different.

21 In previous years, Council has  
22 been tasked with coming up with  
23 recommendations for the Wednesday session, the



1 10:30 session. What this entails is, a great  
2 deal of consternation and staying up past  
3 midnight in Council rooms, and trying to come  
4 together with recommendations.

5 I didn't want to get lynched, and  
6 my children wanted to see me again, so I  
7 decided that, you know, it didn't make a whole  
8 lot of sense either to pull together  
9 recommendations when you are brain dead, that  
10 it makes a lot more sense to go home and to  
11 reflect and to pull together what we were able  
12 to get out of this meeting, and what the  
13 concerns we heard from you, and put together  
14 recommendations.

15 So, I have given Council a very  
16 aggressive time line to get recommendations  
17 drafted by next Friday, a week from this  
18 coming Friday, not two days from now. Then we  
19 will hash those out via email, and, hopefully,  
20 we will have something that we can post to the  
21 community no later than the end of November,  
22 and you will see those recommendations.

23 So, instead of presenting

1 recommendations in the Wednesday session,  
2 which was done before, what we are going to do  
3 is use this session to summarize what we have  
4 gathered from the last three days, not only in  
5 the sessions from the speakers, but also from  
6 the questions that you've asked, and from the  
7 questions that you've asked us outside of this  
8 room.

9                   So, we wanted to give you the  
10 opportunity to give us more feedback,  
11 especially, on items we may have missed, and  
12 we know that some of the sessions lasted a  
13 little longer, and that the audience was not  
14 given an ample opportunity to ask questions,  
15 so we wanted to give them -- give you an  
16 opportunity to ask those questions.

17                   So, what we are going to do to  
18 keep this kind of orderly, is we are going to  
19 do this session by session in the order that  
20 we presented them to you, and give you a brief  
21 summary of what we feel we took from the  
22 session, and then let you come to the mic, ask  
23 questions if you have questions from that

1 session, or also point out to us issues or  
2 concerns that you think we should be focusing  
3 on that we maybe didn't hear during the  
4 session.

5 So, without further ado, we are  
6 going to start with the session that was a  
7 review of our April recommendations, and I'm  
8 going to ask Jill Moriearty to come to the  
9 mic.

10 MS. MORIEARTY: Those of you who  
11 know me, Jill Moriearty, University of Utah,  
12 know that I can talk fast. We are short of  
13 time, and so get ready.

14 Along with my colleagues, Helen  
15 Burke and David Cismowski, we reviewed the  
16 draft recommendations that had been issued in  
17 spring Buffalo.

18 You have a copy of these  
19 recommendations and GPO's response in your  
20 packet. Please go to the Power Point.

21 Council, are there any  
22 recommendations or comments for draft one  
23 through ten, drafts one through ten? Any

1 additional changes?

2           Seeing no changes, community, are  
3 there any comments or changes? Any issues on  
4 draft recommendations one through ten?

5           I'm so happy.

6           Let's go to the recommendation 11,  
7 recommendation 11 also led into a presentation  
8 by Ted Priebe, Director of Library Planning  
9 and Development of GPO, and Kelly Seifert,  
10 Lead Planning Specialist, Office of the  
11 Director, Library Services and Content  
12 Management, GPO.

13           Now, one moment. In this session,  
14 we did run over, and we did tackle four  
15 questions. We did not, actually, discuss the  
16 fifth one, and that's why I'm hurrying to give  
17 us some time.

18           From Council, do you have any  
19 comments or questions about the question put  
20 forward by GPO, do you have suggestions for  
21 Phase II potential services that would  
22 increase the benefits of the FDLP?

23           MR. JACOBS: James Jacobs,

1 Stanford University.

2 I think from what I've seen from  
3 Phase II, it seems like Ingest will be coming  
4 along, things like OAI-PMH will be coming  
5 along. So, I don't have suggestions for other  
6 potential services, but I'm really looking  
7 forward to some of these new things that are  
8 coming in Phase II.

9 MS. MORIEARTY: All right.

10 Thank you, Council.

11 Community, do you have any  
12 comments?

13 Now, let me -- while you are  
14 thinking about it, let me also remind you that  
15 at any time you can contact myself, my  
16 colleagues on Council, and get questions or  
17 comments to us. I suggest email. I stopped  
18 Twittering, gladly.

19 MS. SMITH: Lori Smith,  
20 Southeastern Louisiana University.

21 I'm not sure where this fits in,  
22 but I think that the databases that we have  
23 access to, because we are depositories, which

1 was noted as one of the benefits in the ten  
2 benefits, there's got to be a way to make that  
3 easier.

4 If we can't have IP recognition  
5 for those, maybe we could have like a master  
6 page where we just log in with our FDLP  
7 internal password, and we can get to all of  
8 them, or there's got to be something that's  
9 easier to get access to those.

10 (Whereupon, applause.)

11 MS. MORIEARTY: Thank you.

12 MS. MALLORY: Mary Mallory,  
13 University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

14 I wondered if GPO could look into  
15 having, or negotiate, a license for all  
16 Federal depository libraries for LibGuides.  
17 I know it's not the kind of thing that they  
18 normally do, but I wonder if they could  
19 negotiate with LibGuides, or, what is it,  
20 Spring -- Springshare, and have a low-fee  
21 access for depository libraries.

22 Thank you.

23 MR. JACOBS: If I could comment on

1 that.

2 MS. MORIEARTY: Yes.

3 MR. JACOBS: Mary, I don't know if  
4 folks know, but the FDLP community site is  
5 available, and so maybe rather than LibGuides  
6 would you be interested in maybe having some  
7 more robust tools within the FDLP community  
8 site that's already there? Would that be an  
9 option, because I can certainly look into  
10 that. I think that's one of my charges for  
11 this year anyway.

12 MS. MALLORY: Yes, please do. I  
13 guess I was thinking of the advantages of  
14 LibGuides, as we are already sharing guides,  
15 and if we went off on our own than that would  
16 be separating government information from  
17 what's there. So, I'd rather it was part of  
18 the mainstream, but I'm sure there's  
19 rationales for doing it the other way, too.

20 Thank you.

21 MR. JACOBS: Yes, sure, thanks.

22 MS. MALLORY: But, the point is  
23 that you have some reference tool. Okay.

1 MS. SEARS: Suzanne Sears,  
2 University of North Texas.

3 James is correct, he is being  
4 charged and working on the FDLP community.

5 We are -- our reason for looking  
6 at FDLP community, and we are doing this for  
7 all of our Council conversations, as well as  
8 anything else, is that we are assured a little  
9 more of the functionality and the preservation  
10 of the material if it's on FDLP community.

11 If we are using something that is  
12 a private entity, then it's possible that they  
13 could decide to change things, and we could  
14 lose information.

15 This is -- actually, what is  
16 happening, we switched to Google Groups last  
17 year, to try and do our listserv, and they are  
18 changing some things on Google Groups, so we  
19 are trying to get the community to do what we  
20 need it to do, so that we can preserve that  
21 information.

22 And, I don't see any reason to not  
23 put the LibGuides, both places maybe, or



1 something. I don't know if we could do that,  
2 but I do think they need to be on the  
3 community side, just for preservation.

4 MS. MALLORY: Any further  
5 comments, please.

6 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
7 University of Akron.

8 I think that the more robust tools  
9 for searching and discovering government  
10 information, while they are -- they are not an  
11 exclusive benefit in and of themselves, but I  
12 suspect that there may be ways to enhance  
13 access, enhance participation, that would give  
14 depository libraries a chance to, for example,  
15 craft their own landing pages for these  
16 discovery tools down the road, and be able to  
17 fully integrate them into their services and  
18 their web access to government information.

19 MS. MALLORY: Thank you.

20 Hearing no more comments, Helen,  
21 David, have I forgotten anything?

22 MR. CISMOWSKI: David Cismowski,  
23 California State Library.

1                   You haven't forgotten anything,  
2                   but I would really appeal to all of you out in  
3                   the audience to think about -- think out of  
4                   the box, think about benefits that would be  
5                   exclusive to depository libraries, that would  
6                   enhance service to the public, that would make  
7                   -- that would add value to the program, to the  
8                   point where you could point to those when your  
9                   director calls you in and says, why are we a  
10                  depository, you could point to those and say,  
11                  we have these things because we are an  
12                  official FDLP depository. And, other  
13                  libraries do not have these things.

14                   MS. MALLORY: Geoff?

15                   MR. SWINDELLS: Geoff Swindells,  
16                  Northwestern University.

17                   In terms of Phase II, I mean, and  
18                  I'm really glad that OAI-PMH is on the  
19                  horizon, because we like to get stuff  
20                  nowadays, but I'd also recommend that GPO  
21                  start working directly with vendors for  
22                  products like Summon and Ex Libris' product,  
23                  so that that material appears, public material

1 appears in those cloud services that they are  
2 providing to all their clients, which I think  
3 would dramatically increase use of government  
4 information, the discovery of that.

5 And, there may be issues with  
6 that, I don't know, and we certainly can pull  
7 in that information to a lot of these  
8 services, using things like OIA-PMH, but if  
9 you get it in their cloud and it's part of the  
10 general materials that they pushing out to  
11 people, I think we'd see a dramatic increase  
12 in usage of a lot of these resources.

13 MS. MALLORY: Thank you.

14 All right, with that, we are  
15 actually under, and you didn't think I could  
16 do that.

17 Good day.

18 (Whereupon, applause.)

19 MS. SEARS: So now, I'd like to  
20 call James Jacobs to the mic, to talk about  
21 the Born Digital session.

22 MR. JACOBS: Hi, everyone.

23 MS. SEARS: Note to Lance, October

1 next year you may need a longer mic.

2 MR. JACOBS: Yes.

3 I'm James Jacobs, Stanford

4 University.

5 So, our session, which was put  
6 together by myself, Shari Laster, and Justin  
7 Otto, my esteemed colleagues to my right,  
8 stage right, I guess, we wanted to do a  
9 session on Born Digital At Risk Government  
10 Documents. The goal was to learn about the  
11 various projects that are going on in the  
12 community, outside of the FDLP community, and  
13 how GPO is facilitating those projects,  
14 working with those projects, helping those  
15 projects along.

16 So, there were three speakers,  
17 Cathy Hartman, from the University of North  
18 Texas, talked about the end-of-term project,  
19 the end-of-term harvest, and that was a  
20 project of UNIT, the Internet Archive, the  
21 Library of Congress, were any of the UCs  
22 involved in that? Don't think so? CDL, yes,  
23 CDL was involved in that.

1                   They harvested the -- they went  
2 out and harvested broadly the .gov, .mil,  
3 .com, .org, .edu domains of government  
4 agencies. They harvested, approximately, 16  
5 terabytes of data, that's a lot for those of  
6 you who -- a terabyte is a lot of data.

7                   They -- can I say a buttload, is  
8 that -- anyway, you have a foretaste of the  
9 things to come -- they gathered that data, not  
10 knowing, necessarily, what that data is going  
11 to be used for, but they think that it would  
12 be used for future research and other uses,  
13 for things like data mining and those kinds of  
14 things, where CS faculty, researchers, may  
15 want to get at that -- that information.

16                   They put together a system of  
17 automatic SuDoc assignment for the seeds that  
18 they used, and that was just the SuDoc  
19 assignment, the top level domain like  
20 state.gov and one domain down. So,  
21 state.gov/whatever that next one would be.  
22 So, they did do some automatic SuDoc  
23 assignment to maybe help us get access to that

1 content in the future.

2 They had about a dozen subject  
3 experts working on the project. I won't name  
4 them all, because I don't know all of them,  
5 but some of them are in the room, and that's  
6 on the slides that are available.

7 The second speaker was James  
8 Mauldin, at the GPO. What is James' official  
9 title?

10 MS. HAUN-MOHAMED: Robin Haun-  
11 Mohamed, GPO.

12 James' official title, Manager,  
13 Office of Archive Management.

14 MR. JACOBS: So, James gave a  
15 brief talk about GPO harvesting activities.  
16 I thought it was really interesting, the  
17 things that James put forward.

18 For example, he said that GPO is  
19 currently -- it's part of their whole  
20 documents discovery process, is part of that  
21 whole process is harvesting of digital  
22 documents, and there is an OPAL session  
23 available on Lost Docs document discovery, so

1 please go to the OPAL site if you want to know  
2 more about that.

3 He noted that they do manual, as  
4 well as automated and semi-automated,  
5 processes, but there is still a lot of human  
6 intervention to find, and collect, and  
7 describe the publications that they get.

8 They do serials as well as monos,  
9 so that was interesting.

10 He noted there are harvesting  
11 challenges, and it's mostly for GPO generally  
12 format-based. So, for example, they don't  
13 catalog databases or applications within  
14 publications, like if a video is embedded in  
15 a publication, and those are, you know,  
16 challenges that the harvesting community has  
17 in general, it's not a GPO issue, it's a web  
18 issue. I could talk about that for about a  
19 half hour.

20 They are working to increase  
21 cooperation and communication with other  
22 agencies, in order to grow their  
23 identification and access processes, and they

1 are planning in the future to test the ingest  
2 of documents into FedSys, the documents that  
3 they harvest, but that is a future plan.

4           Then the third talk was by this  
5 guy, I don't know who he is, James Jacobs I  
6 think his name was, and he -- can I talk in  
7 the third person, that's kind of odd -- James  
8 talked about LOCKSS-USDocs. This is a project  
9 using LOCKSS, which is Lots of Copies Keep  
10 Stuff Safe, software developed by Stanford.  
11 Currently, there are 20 libraries  
12 participating in the LOCKSS-USDocs project,  
13 including five regional libraries, which James  
14 thanks very much.

15           They are harvesting known  
16 structured government publications, and they  
17 started with the GPO access content, that  
18 Carol Malamud harvested, so that was GPO  
19 access content from 1991 to 2007.

20           And then, with the help of GPO,  
21 they started collecting the FedSys collections  
22 as well, and so they are currently harvesting,  
23 and collecting, and preserving FedSys in a



1 distributed archive.

2 GPO did have active technical  
3 participation in the project. They had to do  
4 some significant changes to FedSys in order to  
5 embed permission statements that are sort of  
6 the special sauce that makes LOCKSS run.

7 And, James is also looking for  
8 more participants, so you can email him at  
9 jrjacobs@stanford.edu, if you are interested.  
10 We are thinking of -- and this is a quick  
11 pitch off -- we are thinking of, not only  
12 allowing LOCKSS Alliance members to  
13 participate in this, but because government  
14 documents are such an important piece of our  
15 democracy we are looking to include non-LOCKSS  
16 Alliance members as well.

17 So, even if your school is not --  
18 or if your academic institution, or your  
19 library, is not a LOCKSS Alliance member,  
20 please let me know if you are interested.

21 And, those were the three speakers  
22 that we had, and I'll open up the floor to see  
23 if there are further questions, or comments,

1 or ideas.

2 MS. SMITH: Lori Smith,  
3 Southeastern Louisiana University.

4 I'm glad we are doing this,  
5 because I had wanted to ask the three speakers  
6 if any of them prepared for their harvesting  
7 projects by playing Farmville on Facebook.

8 I did, though, want to compliment  
9 GPO on their harvesting, because I have been  
10 amazed over the past year how often I click on  
11 a PURL, both for recent things and for pretty  
12 old things, and it goes to one of those  
13 permanent addresses that it has been archived,  
14 and I have hardly, I think, ever found  
15 something that was not there, you know. It  
16 has been archived and staged, and keep up the  
17 good work.

18 MR. JACOBS: Yes. A comment to  
19 that, thanks, Lori, I think it would be really  
20 interesting to the community if we could see  
21 a list of exactly what titles have been  
22 harvested. I think it would be really great,  
23 because I think, like you, there's a lot there

1 that GPO has done to harvest both serials and  
2 one offs, that we don't realize the work that  
3 GPO is doing, and the work that goes into  
4 that. So, it would be really great to have a  
5 little bit of public PR on, hey, look at all  
6 the documents we are actually harvesting.

7 Robin is shaking her head. Okay,  
8 we can talk later.

9 MS. LASTER: Shari Laster,  
10 University of Akron.

11 MR. JACOBS: Hi, Shari.

12 MS. LASTER: I just want to add to  
13 that, that one of the things that I really got  
14 out of these sessions was the immense amount  
15 of work that GPO has both formally and  
16 informally done in support of these projects.  
17 And, I think that in both cases, certainly,  
18 without GPO's help the LOCKSS-USDocs project  
19 could not have come about, and in both cases  
20 the project seemed to have benefitted  
21 immensely from this kind of support, and  
22 that's just a very encouraging thing, and I  
23 want to say that, you know, I think that we

1 are grateful for that support.

2 MR. JACOBS: It really points to  
3 the idea that GPO and the community is working  
4 together on these issues, to make our  
5 community, our whole community, better and to  
6 make access to government information better.

7 So, thanks.

8 Nothing further. Excellent.

9 Thanks, everyone.

10 (Whereupon, applause.)

11 MS. SEARS: We're on time, I'm so  
12 pleased.

13 I'd now like to call Ann Marie to  
14 the mic, to talk about the new model session.

15 MS. SANDERS: I'm Ann Sanders,  
16 from the Library of Michigan.

17 Myself and my Council partners,  
18 Steve Hayes and Dan O'Mahony, and also Cindy  
19 Etkin, put the session together yesterday on  
20 emerging models for partnerships among  
21 depository libraries.

22 Just to kind of recap it, it was  
23 Judy Russell speaking on the ACRL proposal,

1 and also there was a side piece that she  
2 brought us, an ARL statement on principles and  
3 FDLP that came out last week.

4 We also heard from Mary Prophet,  
5 from the Five Colleges of Ohio, and their  
6 incredibly long, rich and interesting history  
7 of collaborative projects.

8 And finally, from Geoff Swindells,  
9 from Northwestern, as recapping the CIC  
10 government documents project, to digitize  
11 government documents, and enable a different  
12 management of print collections.

13 The session ran a bit long, and I  
14 had a sense that we didn't, perhaps, get  
15 everybody's questions in. I know Judy Russell  
16 isn't here, but there are other people  
17 involved in the ACRL project here.

18 As Council, we don't really see an  
19 -- while we appreciated the session, and got  
20 a lot of information out of it, we don't  
21 really see an action item for us out of this  
22 session, unless someone here has something to  
23 offer, and I wanted to open up the opportunity

1 for further questions tied to that  
2 presentation.

3 I just put you ahead of schedule.

4 MS. SEARS: Council or community?  
5 I'm not seeing any movement.

6 MR. STEVENSON: John Stevenson,  
7 University of Delaware.

8 One of the questions that I've  
9 seen come up, and I didn't hear it answered or  
10 addressed in the session, with the HathiTrust  
11 Library, some people have observed that some  
12 of the records have no holding libraries, and  
13 I wasn't sure if this was because the  
14 libraries share the information, and just feel  
15 that it's sufficient to have a record in OCLC  
16 to provide access, and wondered if someone who  
17 is more familiar with this might address that,  
18 because holding symbols mean something very  
19 important, if someone is using WorldCat as a  
20 finding tool, and if one uses WorldCat local,  
21 if you put your holding symbol on it, it  
22 weights it and brings it up higher, giving it  
23 more prominence. And, these look to be very

1 valuable resources, so it's surprising how low  
2 the numbers are for holding libraries.

3 MS. SANDERS: Jeff, can you speak  
4 to that?

5 MR. SWINDELLS: Geoff Swindells,  
6 Northwestern University.

7 No, I, actually, can't. I'm not -  
8 - what I suggest is, if you email me, that  
9 John and I can push that to the HathiTrust  
10 folks, and see what the issue is there,  
11 because I'm not really sure.

12 I see plenty of holding libraries  
13 -- well, you see the source library. You are  
14 looking for all the holding libraries of a  
15 particular title?

16 MR. STEVENSON: Libraries that put  
17 their symbols on it, you know.

18 MR. SWINDELLS: Right, yes, and I  
19 don't know what Hathi's policy is in terms of  
20 those MARC records, so I can ask John Wilkin.

21 MR. STEVENSON: Okay.

22 MR. SWINDELLS: But, you send it  
23 to me and I'll send it to him.

1 MR. STEVENSON: Thank you.

2 MR. JACOBS: James Jacob, Stanford  
3 University.

4 I'm hearing that there needs to be  
5 a HathiTrust presentation at a future  
6 conference, and so I will -- we will look into  
7 that as we can.

8 Thanks.

9 MS. SANDERS: All right, thank you  
10 very much.

11 (Whereupon, applause.)

12 MS. SEARS: The next session that  
13 we had was on authentication, and so Peggy  
14 Jarrett is going to come and present that.

15 Camilla, unfortunately, ate  
16 something bad last night, so that is why she's  
17 not here this afternoon. Hopefully, she's  
18 getting better.

19 MS. JARRETT: Well, we had three  
20 engaging speakers educate us on the value of  
21 authentication of government information.  
22 And, one of the things that we learned is that  
23 authentication is a verb, it's an activity



1 based on trust and based on infrastructure.

2 And, through a couple of rather  
3 entertaining examples, for those of you who  
4 were there, we learned that it's possible to  
5 easily alter data, and it's not always easily  
6 detectable to the user of the data.

7 Most agencies aren't worried at  
8 this moment about the chain of reliability  
9 after the information leaves the agency  
10 source, because it's expensive, and because  
11 nothing scandalous and awful has happened yet.  
12 So, when the agency does the risk assessment,  
13 it's not worth the money right now.

14 We learned the chain of  
15 reliability is important to researchers and  
16 scholars in a variety of fields, including  
17 scientific, and technical and social science.  
18 This isn't an issue just for -- brains, and  
19 that was really the point that all of the  
20 speakers made.

21 We also learned, and this was  
22 something that had never occurred to me, that  
23 there are international implications, since

1 researchers and scholars outside of the U.S.  
2 rely on U.S. Government information.

3 And, we concluded that the  
4 original source document in depository  
5 collections are an important resource, and  
6 should be valued as much or more as the rest  
7 of the library collection.

8 So, out of this discussion we got  
9 the idea that what GPO provides, and I must  
10 say that GPO does a fabulous job with the  
11 authentication that they do, what they provide  
12 is trusted original source material, is really  
13 a taut benefit of the Federal Depository  
14 Library program.

15 And finally, may I pick on Mike  
16 Wash, would you be willing to come up and --  
17 there you are -- and tell us briefly about the  
18 interagency group dealing with authentication,  
19 just come up for a second?

20 MR. WASH: Thanks. I was going to  
21 add that after you were finished, so thank you  
22 for the prompt.

23 There's a couple of interagency

1 things that are going on that I wanted to  
2 share, just so that everybody is aware.

3 GPO has been involved in the IdP  
4 activity since it really got started, and  
5 we've been a member of the National Strategy  
6 Advisory -- National Digital Strategy Advisory  
7 Board, and we are also -- we have been invited  
8 members of the National Digital Stewardship  
9 Board, which is forming now, and the first  
10 meeting is going to be in December, and I see  
11 this as a continuation of activities on  
12 digital initiatives, and I think it's a good  
13 recognition of the role that we all play in  
14 digital materials.

15 But, the activities, specifically,  
16 as it relates to authentication that are  
17 underway, one is a digitization subgroup that  
18 the library group, as well as the IT  
19 organization within GPO participates in, along  
20 with a number of other agencies, National  
21 Archives, the Library of Congress, and others.  
22 And, the digitization initiative has done  
23 things like the creation of a standard for the

1 scanning of information, and they also talk  
2 about the metadata requirements to capture  
3 with the scanning of information, and it leads  
4 into the chain of custody of digitized  
5 material, so that we can start to create an  
6 understanding of, you know, a level of  
7 authenticity, if you will, of material that  
8 could be collected.

9 Another thing that this group has  
10 been doing is, actually, collecting materials.  
11 As we shared in Buffalo, there's been an  
12 activity on the statutes at large, dating back  
13 to 1951, and, you know, those come directly  
14 from the Library of Congress, and they have  
15 scanned to the specifications, so it's a good  
16 example of a partnership within the government  
17 of collecting materials, scanning materials,  
18 and, eventually, making them available for  
19 access on FDSys and other systems.

20 Another group that is now forming  
21 is an authentication group, specifically, part  
22 of the subgroup of NDIV, it's a peer group to  
23 the digitization group, and they asked GPO to

1 lead that, which is, I think, very good. And,  
2 we are in the formation process right now of  
3 finding other agencies within the Federal  
4 Government to participate on this, but it's  
5 going to be a continuation of the type of  
6 dialogue that we had here yesterday on  
7 authentication, and helping to understand what  
8 the attributes are for authentication. So, I  
9 think it's a very positive step forward.

10 MS. JARRETT: Okay, thank you.

11 And, for the court reporter, that  
12 was Mike Wash from GPO.

13 So, does anybody have anymore  
14 questions, comments, thoughts?

15 MR. CISMOWSKI: This is David  
16 Cismowski, California State Library.

17 I would really like to commend the  
18 committee on Council that put on this  
19 particular program. For me, even though it  
20 sounded on the agenda like a program that  
21 would be akin to watching grass grow, those of  
22 you in the audience who attended this I think  
23 might agree with me that it was one of the

1 most intellectually challenging, and yet,  
2 practically engaging programs that I have ever  
3 seen here, and it truly brought --

4 (Whereupon, applause.)

5 MR. CISMOWSKI: -- it truly  
6 brought to my consciousness the importance of  
7 authentication for scholarship, for research,  
8 for factual information gathering and  
9 reporting, in a way that I had never really  
10 thought of before.

11 And, it's kind of a shame to me  
12 that this wasn't recorded, so that it could be  
13 watched again, and again, and again, and also  
14 presented to audiences who don't even have a  
15 conception of how important this is.

16 So, thank you very much.

17 MS. JARRETT: Thank you, David.

18 MS. SEARS: Suzanne Sears,  
19 University of North Texas.

20 I second what David said. I have  
21 sat through, I cannot tell you how many  
22 meetings on authentication, trying to get a  
23 grasp on it, and trying to understand it, and

1 I feel like after that session I really do  
2 have a good understanding of why it's  
3 important and what it is.

4 I'm wondering, as David said, it  
5 wasn't recorded, I mean, do you have the  
6 transcript, which is not going to read as well  
7 as it played out? I'm wondering if maybe the  
8 three Council members that put this session  
9 together would maybe see if there's a way to  
10 make an OPAL session out of it.

11 MS. JARRETT: We will take that  
12 under advisement, although, I'm not sure in  
13 the OPAL session you could have Camilla  
14 pirouetting as she's editing the data, and  
15 giving it to Sally.

16 So, I think that -- and for me,  
17 I'm a law librarian, and this is supposed to  
18 be one of our issues, I learned so much, and  
19 it is one of those things that, frankly, my  
20 eyes glaze over when I hear people say it.  
21 It's a horribly long word even.

22 So, I really appreciate Sally and  
23 Camilla, and, particularly, our speakers, for

1 doing such an entertaining job. The speakers  
2 were fabulous.

3 MS. BURKE: Helen Burke, Hennepin  
4 County Library.

5 As the lone public library in  
6 here, I agree with David's assessment. I had  
7 to be here, because they'd notice if I was  
8 missing, but it was the singular session that  
9 really brought it home to me as a public  
10 librarian, and it's not just to an audience of  
11 law libraries, or academic libraries, it's an  
12 issue that concerns all of us. And, I think  
13 the session really brought that home.

14 So, I'm going to share it with  
15 everybody that I can.

16 Thank you to Camilla and Shari --  
17 or, Camilla, and Peggy and Sally, for putting  
18 that together.

19 MS. JARRETT: Anybody else?

20 I think from here the real  
21 question is how to spread the word that this  
22 is important and this is a benefit, other than  
23 having, you know, Sally call everybody



1 individually and try to convince them that  
2 it's an important issue. I think that's  
3 really our charge.

4 MR. MEYER: Peggy, you don't want  
5 me to speak?

6 MS. JARRETT: Larry?

7 MR. MEYER: Larry Meyer, Law  
8 Library for San Bernardino County, and,  
9 actually, my state regional took away my  
10 original question which was, was it recorded.

11 I am just wondering if GPO,  
12 because it really was perfect, if GPO would be  
13 willing to redo this at the spring meeting in  
14 San Antonio, and this time record it as a  
15 YouTube video that they could then broadcast.

16 MS. SEARS: Suzanne Sears,  
17 University of North Texas.

18 The only problem with that might  
19 be getting the speakers to San Antonio. I'm  
20 not sure if their travel budget would allow  
21 that, but we'll certainly look into it.

22 MS. JARRETT: Yes?

23 MR. McCLURE: Kevin McClure,

1 Chicago-Kent College of Law.

2 I just want to echo everything  
3 that has already been said, and add that at  
4 the FDSys update yesterday GPO staff ran  
5 through some examples of check summing that  
6 showed how it works in a way that I could  
7 understand, and I think if this program is  
8 redone in any way that would make a very good  
9 compliment piece to it.

10 MS. JARRETT: Thank you.

11 Any further comments, questions,  
12 thoughts?

13 Okay, thanks.

14 (Whereupon, applause.)

15 MS. SEARS: I have my little clock  
16 here, so I'm trying to find someplace to put  
17 it.

18 If you haven't noticed from this  
19 conference, I'm a little bit anal retentive  
20 about time, so that's why they all keep  
21 looking at me. It comes from having four  
22 children, you have to stay on schedule.

23 The last session was one that

1 Debbie Rabina held with -- who were your  
2 committee members again, Cindy Etkin and Ann  
3 Marie, is that correct, and Steve Hayes, on E-  
4 government.

5           Since that session was immediately  
6 preceding this session, and it ended early, we  
7 didn't really feel like there needed to be a  
8 summary, but if somebody has a comment or a  
9 question that now with further reflection they  
10 would like to ask, if they want to come to the  
11 mic and speak on that session.

12           Okay, so the next thing that we  
13 wanted to do is open the floor up to all of  
14 you, to bring up issues or concerns that you  
15 would like us to pursue in the coming months  
16 before the April session, that were not  
17 covered in any of the sessions this time.

18           So, I'm opening the floor.

19           MS. SMITH: Lori Smith,  
20 Southeastern Louisiana University.

21           I just have some sort of marketing  
22 kind of issues. One thing we are talking a  
23 lot about at my university is QR codes, and I

1 can see a lot of uses for those on GPO  
2 marketing materials. So, if nobody at GPO is  
3 investigating QR codes, I would like to bring  
4 those to your attention, because they are  
5 really kind of spiffy.

6 And, the other thing is, I'm not  
7 sure if there are mobile friendly versions of  
8 the GPO sites, so if there are not mobile  
9 friendly, that might be something we want to  
10 encourage GPO to work on, because a lot more  
11 people are accessing things on their phones  
12 these days, and that's the direction that our  
13 databases, the commercial ones, seem to be  
14 going, so that's probably what GPO needs to be  
15 doing as well.

16 MS. SEARS: Any further comments?

17 I see Mike getting up.

18 MR. WASH: Mike Wash, GPO.

19 We've been working on a framework  
20 for mobile applications, and that's about as  
21 much as I can say about it right now, but  
22 we've been investigating a lot of the mobile  
23 readers that are out there, tracking what's

1 going on with the various applications that  
2 are providing mobile reader file formats, for  
3 example, as well as applications, iPhone  
4 applications, Droid apps, et cetera, and we  
5 are still very much in the early stages of our  
6 development work. And, it's really more of an  
7 investigation phase, but we are looking and  
8 trying to become prepared to provide some  
9 mobile applications as required.

10 We developed a very primitive type  
11 of prototype of a Federal Register iPhone app,  
12 which was very interesting. It's not fully  
13 functional yet. We've really only discussed  
14 it with the folks at the Federal Register, to  
15 see if they liked, you know, the way it was  
16 going to work, and the type of features that  
17 it could provide in a typical framework of an  
18 iPhone, and they really liked it.

19 So, I think it was validation that  
20 it was on the right path, and also utilizing  
21 the datasets within the repository of FDSys.

22 So, I think there's something  
23 there, and we are working on it. So, it's

1 still in the emerging stages.

2 MS. SEARS: Arlene?

3 MS. WEIBLE: Arlene Weible from  
4 the Oregon State Library.

5 One issue, not an issue, that I  
6 haven't heard a whole lot of official talk  
7 about, is the article that appeared in the  
8 most recent American Libraries, "Wither the  
9 GPO." And, I am wondering, I was very  
10 disturbed to find out that one of the selected  
11 depositories in my state has read that article  
12 and then started thinking maybe they should be  
13 getting out of a dying program.

14 So, I was wondering if there  
15 should be some consideration of doing some  
16 kind of official response to that article, and  
17 I know Council member James Jacobs wrote a  
18 very nice rebuttal piece to that piece on his  
19 blog, but I think that needs to get out, and  
20 if there is something that we can do to  
21 respond to that it needs to get out in the  
22 more mainstream library literature, because  
23 that's what directors read, they don't read

1 every of our specialized blogs.

2 So, I just was wondering -- and I  
3 guess it's as much of a question for GPO as it  
4 is for Council, is there anything being  
5 thought about to officially respond to that  
6 article?

7 MS. SEARS: Ric, do you have a  
8 response to that?

9 MR. DAVIS: Ric David, GPO.

10 GPO does not traditionally respond  
11 to articles like that, but again, I think that  
12 -- I read that article, and, obviously,  
13 there's a lot of information that was missing  
14 about the role of GPO and what this program  
15 provides, and I'd be happy to talk with the  
16 people who developed it, but there's not going  
17 to be an official rebuttal from the Government  
18 Printing Office.

19 MS. SEARS: I also feel that  
20 Council walks a very thin line on what is  
21 appropriate and not, and, you know, James, not  
22 representing Council, but representing his  
23 free government information, did a wonderful

1 rebuttal.

2 I am willing to talk to Ric to see  
3 if he feels that it's Council's role to do  
4 such a rebuttal, because I agree with you,  
5 Arlene, but I'm just not sure that it's  
6 Council's role either.

7 James, do you have any comments?

8 MR. JACOBS: Yes, thanks, Arlene,  
9 for that.

10 I wanted to let folks know that it  
11 wasn't just me, it was me, and my  
12 doppelganger, Jim Jacobs, and Daniel Cornwall,  
13 and Rebecca Blakeley, who collaboratively  
14 wrote that on this cool little tool called  
15 PiratePad, if anybody wants to know I'll let  
16 you know.

17 We did post it to the blog, and we  
18 are looking into a contact at the American  
19 Libraries to see if they'll publish either the  
20 post as is, or some iteration of the post as  
21 a response. So, look for a response in the  
22 more traditional avenues as well.

23 MS. SEARS: Yes?



1 MS. MCGILVRAY: Jessica McGilvray,  
2 American Library Association, Washington  
3 Office.

4 MS. SEARS: Can you speak a little  
5 more into the mic, I can't hear you up here?

6 MS. MCGILVRAY: Sorry, Jessica  
7 McGilvray. I work at the American Library  
8 Association, Washington Office.

9 If you have issues getting in  
10 touch with Headquarters and American  
11 Libraries, yes, talk to me after and I can  
12 help you with that.

13 MS. SEARS: Thank you.

14 MS. BRAUNSTEIN: Stephanie  
15 Braunstein, Louisiana State University, and  
16 thank you, Arlene, for bringing this up.

17 It has been on my mind ever since  
18 I read the article, which I happen to have in  
19 my hand.

20 The one sentence I'm particularly  
21 concerned about and would like to see some  
22 response to from GPO is, why are we still  
23 talking about depository libraries when they

1 are far outnumbered by all the other libraries  
2 that have just as much access to government  
3 information as do the depositories.

4           Them is fighting words.

5           MS. SEARS: I agree, Stephanie.

6           Yes?

7           MS. CHILDS: Hi, Miriam Childs,  
8 Law Library of Louisiana.

9           This is a different topic. I'm  
10 kind of a new depository librarian, so this  
11 might also be incredibly naive, but I'm  
12 hearing a lot at this conference about all the  
13 work GPO is doing, tracking down all of the  
14 Born Digital documents with the crawlers and  
15 all that, and I was just wondering if there is  
16 some way to get the agencies to be a little  
17 bit kinder and work with us a little bit more,  
18 you know, understanding what, you know, the  
19 principles are with government information,  
20 that it's a democratic ideal.

21           It just seems like they are kind  
22 of doing what they want to, and we are chasing  
23 after them, like trying to herd cats, which is

1 a very difficult thing to do.

2 So, I don't know, maybe it's naive  
3 to just try to get the agencies just to, you  
4 know, kind of be more aware of the information  
5 they are putting out, that it's really  
6 government information, it's not just, you  
7 know, having it on a website and then taking  
8 stuff down.

9 MS. SEARS: And, I think Ric has a  
10 response for you.

11 MR. DAVIS: Ric Davis, GPO, on a  
12 subject near and dear to my heart, because I,  
13 without mentioning the agency, I often bring  
14 up an example of about 15 years ago when I was  
15 with an agency, and I asked them how long they  
16 were going to keep content up on their site,  
17 and they said, well, when our usage statistics  
18 go down, we are just going to get rid of it,  
19 and 15 years later, almost a year ago, I had  
20 that conversation again.

21 So, you know, we've tried a couple  
22 of different activities, one of which is to  
23 police it through lawyers, and have legal

1 staff force the issue.

2           What I've found that works better  
3 is what we are doing now, which is to  
4 communicate, collaborate and educate, and the  
5 staff in Lori Hall's area, under Joe McClane,  
6 we have a contingency of 15 or 20 people,  
7 along with contracting officers up in our  
8 customer service operation, who are doing that  
9 on a daily basis.

10           And, it's a real different  
11 challenge than it was 15 years ago, because we  
12 had one or two print officers to deal with,  
13 now in some agencies we have hundreds of  
14 webmasters whose first question is, what is  
15 the FDLP. So, it's an ongoing educational  
16 process we're finding, to get that  
17 information, to ensure ongoing access and  
18 permanency, collaboration is working better  
19 than force, but force is always a last resort  
20 that we'll use if we need it.

21           MS. SEARS: Thank you.

22           Anymore comments, suggestions,  
23 something that we left out?

1 I'd like to take this opportunity  
2 to thank Lance Cummins and his staff for  
3 putting on, yet again, another wonderful  
4 conference.

5 (Whereupon, applause.)

6 MS. SEARS: I would mention all of  
7 them, but I would leave somebody out I'm sure,  
8 and then I would feel awful. They worked  
9 tirelessly to make sure that everything runs  
10 smoothly for all of us, and I really  
11 appreciate all of the hard work that they did.

12 Another reminder to turn in your  
13 evaluations, and when you do, just tell them  
14 thank you. They really appreciate that.

15 So, another reminder I want to  
16 give you is that you can always contact any of  
17 the Council members directly. Our contact  
18 information is in your packets, so you do have  
19 our email, snail mail, phone. We are here to  
20 represent you, and to advise GPO, the  
21 Superintendent of Documents and Public  
22 Printer, on your behalf, and we can only do  
23 that if you are communicating with us.

1                   So, I also would like to say that  
2                   I planned on eating lunch by myself, so if any  
3                   of you are staying and would like to have  
4                   lunch with me, I am more than happy to do  
5                   that, and I can meet, if you'll let me run  
6                   upstairs and change into something that is not  
7                   a three-inch heel.

8                   Larry, you have another comment?

9                   MR. MEYER:   Yes, moment of  
10                  personal indulgence, Larry Meyer, Law Library  
11                  for San Bernardino County.

12                  I've been coming to these meetings  
13                  for a long, long time.  There is somebody here  
14                  today who is at her last meeting, who has been  
15                  at many of those meetings, who really, really  
16                  helped teach me the ropes, and I think we  
17                  should recognize Mary Jane Mallonee before she  
18                  -- Mallonee, I can never get it right -- Mary  
19                  Jane, please stand up.  This is her last  
20                  meeting.  She says she's retiring.

21                  (Whereupon, applause.)

22                  MS. SEARS:  Since we are speaking  
23                  of retirements, we have lost two members of

1 Lance's crew to retirement, Nick Ellis, who --  
2 unless you were here on Sunday you did not  
3 see, and his wife, Yvonne, who was here on  
4 Tuesday.

5 Council is working on  
6 commendations that will be presented in April  
7 on the retirement of those two very valued  
8 employees from Lance's staff.

9 (Whereupon, applause.)

10 MS. SEARS: So, someone once told  
11 me that a successful conference is one that  
12 you can go to and learn at least one thing,  
13 that you can take back with you to your  
14 institution, that will benefit you or your  
15 institution.

16 So, I hope that you have found the  
17 last three days to be both informative and  
18 successful, and I thank all of you for your  
19 input, and again, please feel free to continue  
20 to contact us, and we will see you all in the  
21 beautiful State of Texas in San Antonio, not  
22 that I'm biased, on April 4th.

23 (Whereupon, applause.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

MS. SEARS: And, I'll try to do  
this better than I did opening the session,  
but the session is adjourned.

(Whereupon, applause.)

(Whereupon, the above-entitled  
matter was concluded at 11:38 a.m.)