

Please stand by for realtime captions. We will be beginning in just a couple minutes . >> We will be getting started in just a moment . >> Good afternoon. Welcome back everyone. Welcome to the afternoon sessions on Tuesday, here at the Federal Depository Library Council meeting and conference. I just want to make a couple quick announcements that we are going to have LSCM updates and open form in the session. Remember, there's an alternate screen . If you're listening online that have other programs in it. With a full schedule for the rest of the day. Other than that, I think I will turn it over to Lori Hall.

Okay. In an effort of full disclosure, antennae were just chatting a few minutes ago. The open form used to be the session the first day or some of it the first day where we had an LSCM who and is and what we do and the different organization peace.

Then we would have a session that would be -esque is any question that you want. Or that you have the courage to get up to the mike and ask .

We put this back on the calendar schedule again, we were a little concerned because we weren't sure how we would do the session because most of the things we have the results we were going to show you, already have Ace session . >> We put together general sites about the organization because we never know who is in the audience and has never been here before. Also, they get a little sense of names and faces, people that are here and in what areas they work in and what projects they're working.

Family will run through those and they will take whatever questions you have. So any questions that you have about whether we need the session, whether you want to make it longer or shorter, the Council and community, to .

We will start and run through some of the slides .

We do change on a regular basis. People who are from different organization says that they don't report that kind of stuff usually. We will do that for you here. So talking about organizational structure, to superintendent documents of library services and content management. A quick update on some of the initiatives that I may have already talked about in the session yesterday that some people may not have been here. Then we will do what we normally do and that is open questions that come to meet and ask questions about what is going on. That we have staff from LSCM here. They help us answer the questions or the people that are working specifically in an area that you may want to ask about. That is where we are going to go .

Briefly, the SuDoc office sets up the direction of the FDLP and other directions we have, like international exchange, bylaws and indexing program .

We implement any strategic programs within the operation , we do policies, we analyze data, conduct outreach to our libraries and we communicate internationally or Ashley about the indexing program. We

recount if the strategic level to the Library of Congress, other national libraries, about things that impacted FDLP programs .

The SuDoc office oversees content management division and also implement sales area. These are the four programs and for those of you that don't know the federal depository Library program there's a cataloging indexing program which is a bigger broad scope of everything that is not of national security but may not be distributed to the depository if we get a hold of it. If we disseminate or distribute you a tangible version of something and it may also be available in CD, then we don't distribute, we would still catalog the CD version. That set a good example. We do a lot of administrative, internal documents that are not meant for the public but pay for with your federal tax dollars. If we get a copy, we will catalog it. International exchange program for those of you who do not know, we do administer the distribution of international exchange material from GPO on behalf of the leper Congress. Our warehouse and Laurel, Maryland, distributes these two international exchange libraries that are the treaty libraries. So the national Library of Australia, the rich library, other labors under treaty . >> Those libraries sent their government publications back directly to the Library of Congress although we end up getting quite a few ourselves because GPO cannot figure out the addresses. We take them over to LC .

The pilot program is an obscure and it doesn't have as much as it used to many laws, they will request the Tran went to see make a copy of three copies that any citizen can request. The Department of Agriculture puts out a report on crop science. At the end, the city may say how GPO printed an additional 100 copies for anyone who wants a free copy. We store those copies out in our Laurel warehouse. That doesn't hipness much anymore but it's still one of our mandated programs . >>

Obviously, everybody knows that we're supposed to keep the American public informed and support these programs. Expanded beyond now the tangible versions of the lifecycles of the tangible and electronic information .

This is our group on the day that we took the photo. It does not include everyone. We do have some people who are camera shy and some people that don't want their photo taken or were on leave for telework that way. We try to get as many of our staff into the picture. This is LSCM. Our staff is not just library professionals. We are archivist. Library technicians, web developers, analysts, product manager Scott program managers cut and administrative professionals that all support the work that we do. We have a wide variety of staff with a wide set of skills that help us get the job done . >> Everybody knows with the FDL PS. I just explained to cataloging and indexing programs. Our catalog of record for the national bibliography of government information which is the CTP. This is a comprehensive index. We have been putting Muffy catalog entries from the tangible when we were publishing the monthly catalog into the CTP. So our goal is to get as much of our bibliographic information from the very beginning into the catalog. So there are bunch of projects going on that we report and report those in the handout to

increase not only 1976 forward, but prior to 1976. When we definitional inventory, initial bibliography, it is our system of record, the CTP .

I talked about the international exchange program. I talked about the bylaws. We don't have to deal with those anymore. So the internal LSCM program, office of the Director. That's me. Actually, I'm the manager. I'm hoping to head straight now. We do the strategic direction of the operational unit of LSCM. We do the budget , we do the staffing, the human capital resources. We do the communications in the marketing for the

FDP and the other programs. We do the biannual survey. We reach out to the libraries. Those are all over function. The main divisions , our technical services. Projects and systems coveted Anthony smacked Smith. Outreach is rapid. They fall within those three groups at this time .

Here's a combination office picture I'm looking at here. Have seen a couple of these people already. These are the people that work rectally with me and we work together on budget analysis, marketing, communication, strategic direction, policy, and technical services and, of course, putting the conference gather in those kinds of things. Robin you were in the focus. She was not purposefully not there . She was out. You will not see her photo in your .

Technical services. Technical services is what you would normally think of that . Its classification, processing Cussler's control, serious jacket, harvesting, maintenance of the catalog, 10 Tech SuDoc classification what am I missing? Item number maintenance , idling class creation for the SuDoc class and items .

Oh yes, thanks rapid.  
Robin.

All of the lifecycle management

and work that goes on in technical services. Here's most of the technical services team. We just hired five new technical services librarians . We have some additional positions. Yes, I see some of the new faces. Stand up, new faces. [ Applause ] .

They are getting their new first indoctrination in the meeting here today. Hopefully they will not run in the opposite direction. In the technical services area, there's also the tangible processing unit which is out in Loera, Maryland, in our warehouse. Some of our staff and they create

the shipping list. They do the work on the microfiche contracts. To help classify publications and get them ready for shipment which then goes out to the world warehouse. They prepare your shipping boxes for tangible items. Then there is the collection development working with agencies. Working with other parts of GPO when things come into the agency to print. We decide if we are going to get tangible copies of material society or whether we are going to look for an electronic version. And that part of the collection telephone and and the SuDoc development. If it's a serial teaching title, that kind of area of research is classed and

The bibliographic control section. Of our technical service librarians and metadata control Lebron's , we have two categories. The catalog and all formats. In RDB catalog and export to the IRS Cadillacs and public cups. [ Indiscernible ] . We contribute subject headings to the LCF's age LC SH . We contribute to the name authority for those .

We do a lot of we are also now in cataloging in publication's. In my slide behind? I'm just remembering things and technical services that we talked up before. So now are officially doing CIP. One of our first VIPs that we talked about this morning was keeping America informed. If an agency comes to the GPO and they went cataloging in publication on the back of the title page and at the government pup, we do the work with the exception of the Dewey classification. We are just learning to read right now.

We were in that program a while ago but we just rejoined because it is electronic . I did mention electronic distribution. They do handle and fulfill all of your claims. They also manage indigency recalls. We had quite a few of those recently. Superdistribution working with tech services and other staff and web content put together. If we have to do recall, we usually do a news alert, sometime some kind of survey , a letter. If we have to have things returned , things that were out to. If we have a recall for PII, or any other reason, the whole organization tips together to get individual parts of a recall together .

There is the distribution staff. Tony Brooks, who's probably not here high because his daughter had a baby last night . He manages the staff. Some of the staff and distribution here last night .

Projects and system. Since I need to  
Anthony I will let you speak for a while .

This is my first time on the big stage. I feel like people are looking at me. [ Laughter ] .

Projects and systems. Overarching directives is to provide support services to Robin's team , Dawn's team, Laurie, as well as all of you in the depository library community. We have an amazing team of 25 individuals . I'm going to have to figure out this clicker here.

Thank you. To carry out our objectives.

We are also in project and systems very fortunate to have two GPO veterans , managers. Mr. Darrell Walker and I think he's in another session. He oversees daily operations of library systems and the web team. Also, Mr. James Maltin, who I know is

back in the red brick building holding down the fort today. He is responsible for archival management as well as the projects team .

We also have within project in systems three senior specialists . David Welsh whom many of you may have met at the session this morning , as our preservation librarian and provides leadership preservation planning and program development. Lisa Russell, who is the library services system and maybe first time this conference that that has been

mentioned. She is that program manager. In other words, we're in the process of developing our next generation technical infrastructure. You got to see a piece of it, a preview of it with the new exchange tool which was an early release of for us in meetings

meeting requirements for the LSF system. Is that is our program manager for systems development. That is a program that is made up of a lot of projects. The exchange, or needs and offers was one of those projects. Also, our most recent addition to the team. I was hoping would be here today, Dr. John pro-Syrian

he is a regional operational manager. John brings some needed and new skills to our team. For example, [ Indiscernible ] I don't know what Felty has because also built on levels of March 00. He's plain sick Sigma. He was hired to help us do data analysis work. We have a lot of data that we have been gathering in this program over the years. John was hired and has the background to help us to understand what all of it is saying to us. What it means and how we can use it in order to move in the direction going forward. That is the projects and systems team. I don't know if there's anything else. I'm sorry. Did I not mention I guess I can talk. I did mention let me just turn back here. My apologies. I will go into a little bit more detail. Laurie mentioned the web content group. I mentioned that Darrell Walker is responsible for library systems and the web content team. It might help to know a little more about each of these. I will take a minute to go through some of that. Starting at the top, the archival management group has the responsibility for establishing best practices and providing harvesting services, Web harvesting services for archiving the federal archiving web content. That is there primary focus.

They do some other things in that group. For example] management service, to support our program internally called the records program. Their primary focuses on archival management and Web harvesting activities. Library systems. I mentioned Lisa's role as a senior program manager, which in her capacity, she is primarily involved in development. So as new services technology, because she's specifically technology, as we identify needs for new technology, we turn to his essay, here's what

we say, this is what we need to implement. She leads that process. That is what she did with the exchange, the needs and offer tool. All of the planning that has gone into LSS, which primarily involves the work practice study. Many of you are at least familiar with the fact that we conduct it a work practice study using ethnographic methods of the depository libraries. Essentially, what that is is using anthropological methods to do observation of how people do their work. We said when we started LSS that we wanted it to be we wanted to be able to demonstrate a user center model. Ethnographies do that in a way that many other approaches cannot match. You're actually going out and observing. Your gathering data on how people do what they do in order to support in this case the depository Library program.

So, we are close to being complete. That work is close to being finished. We almost have a final report ready to go. What will come out of that is a con ops document of conceptual operations model, as well as our functional requirement that will allow us to begin to look at implementation of additional tools and services to support the LSS

model. It's really intended to be a user center metal. Just backing up, Lisa oversees a lot of the development work. The development work is market analysis, developing and understanding what the function requirement needs are. Wants that

the and the exchange will be one of the first once it is completed in and accept it and be in beta stage, it then gets turned over to operations. They have to expect it .

So that is Darrell Walker and his team. The library systems folks and the web content team. We list them separately, but they are really not. They work closely together . They benefit each other in so many different ways. So I think we have a tendency to list them separately because that has been the legacy.

I think that in recent , at least in the recent past one or two years, it probably it could be better represented by one key library systems which has been the ILS folks and the web specialists, the web content team led by Miss Katie Davis . Can I get you to stand up in the back? [ Applause ]. Sheet does a remarkable job and has a spectacular team supporting her work . >> I mentioned I Kaibab library systems, web content. You can get a sense of some of things they are involved in, FDL P.gov and other web related services and tools. The conference app was developed by Sean, who I don't see out here, Tanya who is in charge of the webpage. He led the work on the work on the app . [ Indiscernible ] finally, there is the project management group. What I really want to say , project management traditionally has done a lot of work with regard to project management , but also program management. Balaclava whatever project team members , Heidi Primos was sitting here on the left, is heading up the program development for the regional discard project , which is a program. It is not just the project . There's probably a number of little projects that will be associated with implementing the program. The resources that we really provide out of that group are folks that have the knowledge and skills to help us operationalize new programs as they emerge. Heidi and Suzanne both are sitting over here representing the projects team, but do a lot of that type of work. Suzanne is involved in a program planning program project right now. >> The preservation stewards . The direction that we are trying to move in with the projects team is really more about program management activity or office that we're doing some of that already. Is really trying to formalize that level of work in ways that we have not been previously. Dr. Paul Surry is part of that. He is the data analysis person. Is an important part of program planning. He has skills that help us operate efficiently. I'm going to stop there for the sake of time. >> [ Indiscernible ] . There are few people in this group also that are camera shy. They prefer not to have their picture taken.

Thank you Anthony . >> Okay. Next up is outreach and support. Raven she is going to pass. Outreach support currently is working on education and training. The LSCM Academy, going out to talk to the libraries, outreaching. She's responsible for the on the go and issue tariff cut getting all those folks out of the library.

We did not mention we said LSCM staff are outreach people, we also included the technical service staff on some of these visits as well. I see been walking in the door. You size picture yesterday. We wanted

to include not just the outreach staff but our other folks so they get an idea of whom their customers are in the depository community. We plan on including additional staff on some of these chips as well. That was Raven's idea and it was a really good one. You got to meet some of our other staff that usually only get to go to conferences or ALA. They were on travel to libraries as well. Of course, why they do your consultations and library visits. They manage to see RDP, the that we have with Mark on archive. They do the legal requirements and program regulations. They will be working on a revision of that also. Here they are in the main hall of GPO. They are all here also. Just so there are quite a few things which can hear.

Cindy came by and asked me about the work practice study. There's a little bit of information here. We try to not have sessions on things we put here that's not always true. We did update a few things here. We are going to be talking about the regional discard policy this morning little bit. I know we will talk about that probably today at the regional meeting this afternoon or this evening.

You have seen the LSCM exchange. Then we had a new revision this year and won an award.

The CTP enhances enhancements

[ Indiscernible ] news alerts went out and the room was full. Some more on that. You can see the new look and feel. At this particular time, coming up in a few minutes is enhance content. Heidi will talk a little bit about our new content that we put in. We just put in admin notes and a couple others. We will do a lot of our own FDLP material. We have that recently.

Web archiving, our team is here. Dorrian is in the session right now talking about our web harvesting efforts. We continue to add affirmative content. We're still talking about BAPCo graphic issues with their content, looking at different ways of promoting the content in their. Some of Robinson folks are working with the harvesting team to find additional ways to promote the content that is in that collection. We are still part of the web archiving group with the Library of Congress, the national archives, Smithsonian, I think Smithsonian just joined the group as well. Probably a monthly or six-week meeting to make sure we don't overlap in what they are harvesting. I think we did send out a news alert a couple of months ago about the end of harvest term if anyone is interested in participating in that. The GTL on the go initiative that Raven is presenting on this afternoon you did it this morning? Okay. Right before conference on Thursday, we launched an interactive map that one of the web teams Lori Corey worked with some of the other web team and reference group. And interactive math map so you can see where we've gone in the interactive library.

In your session this morning, you had tarps whereas we had a map.

Yes, it was very ugly. You know where we have been and now we know where we have not been. The map is very helpful. More stuff on the FDLP a cavity. We can't do most. We can't travel into all of the Academy at the same time. Proper mobile working with her group on that. When were not traveling as much. We do have an additional staff member coming on board. She's actually here at the conference. >> Our partnerships

continue to grow. We have a lot of cataloging partnerships. Obviously, the fifth that partners, preservation stewards partnerships we've added quite a few. The ones that we've had for quite a while with cataloging we have lots of statistics in the handout that tell you just how much work goes on with the partnerships.

I don't remember what page it's on but they were pretty big statistics in the back. Our partnerships continue to add content to catalog material. Other initiatives? Kelly does a lot of work on Tran are FDLP. Those things are new

products and promotional items. We continue to do the national ideographic records inventory that are a shelf list project converting [ Indiscernible ] and adding materials from this cataloging partnership.

We are adding 30 new libraries to the cataloging whiskered distribution program. We did have a waiting list so we try to clean it up and add more libraries every year. We did report on the Perl usage reporting tool yesterday or was it this morning. Now .

It's happening now. Really, good tool for you and for us. We had a lot of good work and technical services area and reference area working together on the team to get the kind of tool that we really want to. We have a couple new libraries come into the program. Raven is working on those. Sometimes we lose them, sometimes again them. On the initiative was to identify vacancies so have that data. We are going out to try to recruit libraries for those vacancies. The communication tool for media and were also working on future events like as soon as we finish with this we are working on the spring virtual event .

There are all of our names and numbers. That's how to get in touch with us. That's all we had. I guess it's open for questions from whoever . >> We will start with questions from counsel, thank you very much everyone .

This is Scott Evason from the law library. I have a couple questions. This is probably for Raven. It's great news that you are getting a new person, yet a. Can you give an update? With the certificate program will be , when will it be brought back .

This is Robin. The certification program is coming back. Our intent, it was our intent to bring it back this fall. But somebody made us go out in travel [ Laughter ]. So our goal is soon after the new year we do intend to run more than one cohort this time. I also want to remind people that a subset of those that program they took each of the sessions and

compressed them a little and cleaned up some of the questions and made them available from the FDLP Academy. You can access those that way also.

Think you. >> Anyone else from counsel?



I can keep going so did you get to certain content for ingest into Governor. info was one of the goals that you had shared with us this spring . Can you tell us a little bit more about that.

Lori health. Yes. We have done the internal review. I think less Wednesday I got one of the final copies of the statement of work. Suzanne, anything else on that? In the last couple of days? >> Suzanne GPL. We have completed our to be reviewed internally. The next step will be to work with acquisitions to determine the best for them to review and determine what we think is the best because they agree with and how to go best edit .

More on that in the coming months . >> I'm looking at my notes for the other questions I wanted to ask. To other priorities that we talked about in the spring was federal digitize Asian and metadata. We talked about those in data passing. I know there is something about that in the handouts. Can detect what the rolling releases and what the schedules for those look like?

Project managers? Heidi Primos, GPL. The bound Congressional record, we did release just a little plug at four clock I'm going to talk about this in detail. But to let you know just in general. We don't have a schedule but expect [ Indiscernible ] to roll out in similar fashion that it did with a patch . It will continue to do to as the content is able to be processed. We continue to work on that. I don't want to give away a whole lot. But, the same thing. We have made a significant process and we don't have a schedule as of that right now. We do have a lot of details that we share this afternoon about that. Expect to see something, additional information. We plan to update the project page for the Federal Register and will get a little bit more about that coming a .

So that will continue to roll out in chunks going backwards in time .

Yes. Both actually. The plan is we will do 94 for the Federal Register, 94 through 90 ideally, they continue to grow back until we get 1936 and then down the years and the family .

Lori Hall. Scott I just want to take an opportunity. We just talked about the LSM structure but we didn't talk about and maybe it's obvious to everyone or maybe it's not , the PSD program strategy and technology office and LSCM work closely hand-in-hand. I wanted some of you to know that Matt Landgraf from PST and Heidi, the two teams for the bound Congressional record and their projects specifically are responsibility of the metadata. Matt's site is getting it ingested. They work closely together. I wanted to point it out . It is not just us, it's a group effort to work together to get that 10 . >> That's all of my notes and questions for now. So there no counsel , any other questions from counsel?

If you are in the audience and have questions, please come up to the microphone with your name and affiliation and ask a question. Likewise with people online. A question she had but please feel free to type

questions to the chat if you do not have the check box open on the WebEx meeting, put your mouse in the very center and the WebEx panel come down. Click on check and check us out your questions and comments to all participants. Thank you. >> I'm still having flashbacks. You can tell I'm excited about it. He did mention it. I got happy all over again.

I was revealing the regional discard policy. I have some questions about that that. Can we talk about them?

I want to know

because I'm a lot person

I want to know some of the details of how it's worded that discretion. What the left of discretion are. What are discretion will the Director. of document have to do discard the McCarrick Curiel arterial. Whether it's been super to seated, retained for seven years, if there is tangible copies. Assuming that all that has been met, does the superintendent that have discretion to improve our project to request or suggest yes and yes and yes and yes.

Okay, Cindy. Obviously from a lawyer perspective, check check check check check but then there's always that one person or 1.5% that is not covered. I would assume that there may be a situation where that has to be, that that would have to happen.

But I'm not sure what that exception would be my brain is not working. I just want a big picture.

Is always a sort an exception.

Are expecting and then there is discussion. Or just the request is going to be made to make those for criteria is an generally done is accepted.

That's the way we're looking at it at this point.

And then extraordinary circumstances possible, and then we would say we didn't see that exception screeching brakes.

Right.

Can I Intertec something?

Cindy from GPL. Mike Cox are turning here. I'm thinking about what those exceptions might be. Yes it means superseded, yes it means the for preservation Stuart's. Yes they are geographically dispersed throughout the program. It's on Ephesus and it has a digital signature. We really don't want you to discard those. Can you send this to this library who wants them? That's not really let them discharge but it's allowing them to remove it from the collection.

I was thinking about another exception when she started talking. We have the minimum for, right? Out what happens if that alone for our only fear or less than fair. If you come up with one that is not, that is

higher, and we are worried about something happening to those for because they are not in that great of condition, is that another possibility that we may say that we want that?

That one continentals once .

Yes. Just like you, I'm trying to dream of scenarios, that came up with and one of our discussions .

My follow-up question is that if there is discretion, I want to have this conversation about what the principles might be that would be the discretion, like where that discretion would lie and what would underlie that as a matter of principle. Not as a matter of this is the exact questions we would ask but to start having that conversation that we would start thinking about . So brainstorm this kind of thing. I think it's a policy principle matter that is of great interest to parts of this community.

We've done a lot of that brainstorm yourself internally. We have to turn it into more of an open form brainstorm and figure out how to logistically do that. I think there's a people in the community who are very hungry for that.

I think everybody's going to the next logical step. If we did not do that, I would be concerned about the community .

My second follow-up is about the number four. I know we had a lot of conversations about the numbers. I don't want to have a rehash of that, but I do want to know and perhaps this is a piece of that extraordinary consideration for some titles if this is

if we could put this on the list of extraordinary considerations for some titles that may be the number four is not appropriate for all titles. That could be a consideration to think about some titles might need more than just for . >> Cindy Adkins, GPL. I think that's a valid point and good suggestion. Let us know if you have such titles in mind.

Primary legal materials .

[ Laughter ] . >>

Broward County. I can think of one possible discretion scenario , not necessarily when things would be rejected in the sense, but perhaps put on hold. I'm thinking specifically if there's going to be a review period in three years pending the outcome of that review, especially because I don't think it's going to take place in a 24 hour 72 hour period let's say for example that execution ask is going to undergo its review period. That is the title for which they are having their stewardship review that the superintendent of documents or director might want to put a hold on any additional request to be pending the outcome of that so that there is nothing in the pipeline that may then be weeded through all the Senate if it turns out that we only have three .

There might be something built into the infrastructure of this wherein that review period sort of cover just about

Marianne Risa . One of the possible criteria for exceptions and for are not enough, they could be related to a density of population or sparsity of population in a particular area as well as a geographically distributed , East Coast, West Coast dense population , not so much in the middle. We need that in the middle. Anyway, that is not an answer, but something to consider when we are talking about geographic distribution four is not enough .

Rich Gosse, University of Florida. I have two [ Indiscernible ] about thinking about the exceptions being extraordinary and placing the SuDoc in the position to have to fight to defend a decision that may be reasonable but wire not letting me disc heart discharges but I think there are materials that just because this existed, there may be materials that the online products is valid but may not be the best method for working with something. Let's say that becomes part of the process or big documents that are really difficult to work between five different [ Indiscernible ] electronically. There may be a need for other material that has more than just for at the bare-bones minimum . >> Glory Holick and . >> We've had a lot of discussions about this at the GPL. In the same situation where somebody really wants to discard and we cannot validate or whatever, that we would be willing to take things ourselves at GPL

GPO. That's all about that's one of the things that we also had discussed . Is you are saying that they are feeling anxious, I won't they let us take this if our evaluation period takes too long, we cannot identify the four copies . We can't identify uniqueness, that we may need to take temporarily that collection ourselves . Not everybody likes that idea .

Rich Gosse. JCP identified them for is there bare minimum. Cindy said in terms that if there is a preservation partner there's something coming down the pike that's going to be

that might be willing to take it but they are not ready yet to receive it, could you hold onto it for another six months or whatever it is until such time so we don't ship it to GPL and then turn around and ship it to that other institution. I think there are a lot of other considerations wherefore is the minimum .

Those are considerations we talked about, to. [ Indiscernible ] what happened with the flood. What happens if one of our four preservation stewards has damaged copies. So are we willing to Windows copies in and help get them back , clean them up or whatever, so they can go back to the collection. Where we would take them over , if we did have a library there's anxious and could not hold on to them anymore, then we would have to serve as an meet intermediary for another library. Yes, it's a jacking of collections all of the country .

Scott Matheson again. Another option in that situation might be to establish a select housing agreement with another, with a local selected

for the region. Who says they will take that selection for them and hold it for a while. Maybe there's a better disposition for it .

Dan Amman a compound University. It's good to hear that clearly these discussions have gone on internally with within GPO . They would've had to to reach the policy that he did. That it's also great that there will be more put (conversation about this, too. This brief conversation has raised issues. Different possibilities . They merge for considerations. Also, part of that process helps educate all of us to have a better understanding of what the working policy really is. As Richard pointed out, you want to be in a position where it seems like everything has been met and yet you are pushing back on a particular case because they have , while there are exceptions to the exceptions, the extent to which there can be predictability in this process will be really important because it is not a small undertaking for many libraries to start down this road. They want to have some level of idea of where they're going to end up the stop based on the understanding of what those criteria are .

Glory Holick a. It's also a big undertaking for us because of the inventory piece of it. I did now we're talking about a particular venue for brainstorming. I will announce and I will do it as a caveat because we still have to do a lot of processing and work on it. Davida has given me permission to have a regional meeting in Washington, hopefully this spring , and have one representative from each regional library. We think that would probably be a good time because hopefully will have the exchange ready in January or December. We will have gotten everybody's thinking more about it. We have a few more preservation stewards . So we will give you a little bit more information on that. Granted, she's given us permission and also we have to find adequate facilities of the short notice and who knows what's going happen with the administration budget and infected. I'm looking past to December 9 at this point. Which is given us permission to have the kind of meeting. Would also have it virtually. More and more, once we get to this meeting, we will start talking about that. So hopefully we will open the dialogue and we're thinking we'll have more information . >> Scott Matheson. I went through the policy and that my head around where there might've been ambiguity. For parts to see of the policy, which is publication existed intelligible format and for tangible copies distributed am I to understand that that information is tracked in some form portion of the new [ Indiscernible ] replacement piece , the holdings information, do we know where we are tracking that yet ?

Everybody's standing up . We've talked about a wide variety of Mark Fields. We have brainstormed on potential exchange being the location for that information. So we bantered around a lot. We've not made a decision yet but we entered around a lot .

Suzanne, GPL. Lie said we are documenting the work process for the preservation program. We are implementing and developing at the same time . I think we're doing philosopher. We've had discussions about what the requirements are for reporting that information, what information we need to record [ Indiscernible ] to discuss exchange and PFTs to

discuss [ Indiscernible ] so there are a lot of options. [ Indiscernible ] so we are working on it, we know we need to be recorded somewhere. We have to get that done as soon as we are able and practical in official efficient manner . >> Susan said it very well. I think we try to encourage not putting the cart before the horse, making sure we understand what those functional needs are to support this before start looking at solutions. There are certainly ideas out there and we've talked about those. At this stage, we are really trying to at least give this some time so we have a better understanding of some of these exceptions. These will need to be factored in a neat technology solution that meets we put in place .

Sort of related with that, in the policy statement looking at 2016 in the section on page 2 that says policy. Part one says this is superseded or in inbound form is one of the conditions. This is not a new opportunity for regionals to discard materials. However, I also know that some regionals to sticky material that has been superseded. Can we Colwell were building these systems, while protecting this data, ensure that we are able to capture the data from those originals, for those laborers generally who choose to keep things that are superseded even though they don't have to. That tells us important information about the collection .

Am I making sense. ? >> Kiss hairnet, University of Washington. We brought this up I can't remember if it was earlier today or yesterday. It's hard to put into word but to see the supersession data overlapping with preservation partners. It will become clear as we go forward I think. >> Okay. Any more questions from counsel? Or the audience? I'm getting a shaking of the head from Kelly. Nothing from the virtual disciplines .

Cindy. >> Cindy at GPL. I want to remind everyone that there's part of this policy that is not been brought up. There is nothing in this policy that requires regionals to discourage. We have not seen the barn doors flying open with requests coming in. So this gives us, I think, some time to continue to brainstormed further and work on the systems that we can identify the functionality that we need. I think the meeting in the spring , if thicketts on the calendar is going to be a good opportunity to discuss all this .

I think we still have to look at the real possibility that a lot of these collections are not just going to be dumped. There are a lot of people in 2014 when we did the initial intent survey were 25 of the regional repository libraries in the region that they were going to retain the collection. I know things can change. I know it's been a couple years. At 25 Is Way more than the four that we are talking about that they joint committee on printing has asked us to have as a minimum . >> Hello, Beth Williams from Stanford Law school. I like what you just said. I appreciated from an emotional standpoint, but it doesn't comport with what we heard yesterday about the number of libraries that really living DFT LP. I think that might be the tail wagging the dog little bit. Like everybody else in the room, I worry a good deal that . The barn doors may not be swinging open now but administrations see an opportunity and can behave inappropriately .

Cindy from GPL. I appreciate the comment as well. The numbers that we were talking about yesterday were for public library. Thankfully, we have not seen the kind of drop rate from regionals. We do also have a very large number of large selective depository libraries with very large collections that can also be preservation stewards. That does not always have to rest on the regional depository libraries. I certainly understand how things can change at a library. >> So, in terms of preservation stewards, actually, this is an interesting question. We know it's open to regionals and selectives. What about consortia. I mentioned a bunch of those when I was talking. If one of our consortiums that one of us is a member of wants to retain something, would it GPA GPO pursue this, what is the best course ?

Sandia Atkins at GPL .

I'm going to go to the broader umbrella . Very early on we identify potential partners. We also identified that there are a lot of organizations or consortia on a lot of libraries that have publications in them that are either no longer overpass depository and if we could work with those libraries or consortia, we can do that .

Thank you .

That is why we are visiting you , to find out about all the stuff. So you would be surprised. I echo snit because I was thinking about the depositors that are no longer in the program but still may have collections or may have to move this collections. So the more we visit you guys, the more we hear about these things in here who has what. I'm hoping that we can continue to do [ Indiscernible ] . >> Last call for comments, thoughts, questions? This is your chance . One last look at Kelly.

No one from the online stream. We are happy to take your comments or questions you have email on the screen . >> If you think of something later, you are welcome to contact any of these folks directly or to contact counsel. Cindy at GPL .

Let me add one more thought to that. If you're interested in being a FIP-NET, preservation stewards, anything else about this related stuff, you can email preserve Fred info FIP-NET, preservation stewards, anything else about this related stuff, you can email preserve Fred info@GPO.gov .

Preserve Fred info@GPO.gov.

One more pitch since we hear from the web content team. If you have not seen this on the FDLP website , there's a section called banner ad for the conference. If you click on that, you will see a little blue button in the middle of the screen , one of them says handouts and materials. If you click on that, there are 60 some it was three screens of slides, handouts and the poster sessions that are so nice in the Van Buren room here in the hotel. You can get a PDF version, an online virtual version of those poster sessions. Especially virtual attendees and folks who are in attendance who want to take things back, take a look at the website and look at the conference materials.

Anything else?

One more. A virtual question . No , it's a Kelly Seifert,. There's 90% of the slides and handouts that you will have these three days and by the end of the week everything will be there. If it's not there today, it's just a small band and by Friday will all be there .

Thank you. And thank you for your hard work on that Kelly. You heard a teaser about the program here that you might want them learn more about, remember that the LSCM folks are presenting full-size on PURL's on the exchange demo. You can famously think it even more information .

Kate Irwin, I have another question. [ Laughter ]. Going back to the policy , criteria one is the publication is superseded or later issued inbound form. I don't know if this a new criteria or one that I just always glossed over. Now that I am looking at more closely, I'm not sure I understand it.

Maybe this is a dumb question and it's just because I desperately need a pretzel. [ Laughter ]. What I don't understand about it in this moment is how there are hearings on the disc hard list. Is I don't know what this means. Superseded or later issued an bound form. What is that mean?

I think one and two are not additive , they are alternative. One is not a new criteria. So superseded or later issued inbound form has always been eligible for discarded by regionals. But later issued in bound form think

prints and form. So much get the bound volume, you can [ Indiscernible ] said Anthony this will make you said it. Libraries will keep the premium and the bound. Researchers ask for them because they change more often than we think. So one is not new, Kate. That policy has to be read as an or. Or you are correct. It doesn't make sense.

That makes more sense and that's why gloss over because regionals can always discard that.

S .

I clearly am in desperate need of a pretzel. [ Laughter ]. I see a lot of people nodding and saying there's need for a break . I will think very much the LSCM staff. [ Applause ]. Thanks for the productive conversation. Please come back in 45 minutes and we will have our last session of the day which will be interested document archivists . >> [ event concluded ]