What should librarians know about differential privacy and the 2020 Census?

David Van Riper

vanriper@umn.edu @dcvanriper

FDLP Academy June 9, 2020



Protecting the Confidentiality of America's Statistics: Adopting Modern Disclosure Avoidance Methods at the Census Bureau

Fri Aug 17 2018

WRITTEN BY: DR. JOHN M. ABOWD, CHIEF SCIENTIST AND ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR FOR RESEARCH AND METHODOLOGY

TPUMS

Protecting the Confidentiality of America's Statistics: Ensuring Confidentiality and Fitness-for-Use

Tue Sep 04 2018

WRITTEN BY: DR. JOHN M. ABOWD, CHIEF SCIENTIST AND ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR FOR RESEARCH AND METHODOLOGY

Census Bureau Adopts Cutting Edge Privacy Protections for 2020 Census

Fri Feb 15 2019

WRITTEN BY: DR. RON JARMIN, DEPUTY DIRECTOR AND COO

IPUMS.ORG

Outline

- New disclosure avoidance algorithm
- Fewer invariants
- Less publicly available data
- Less consistency among data products

NEW DISCLOSURE AVOIDANCE ALGORITHM

IPUMS.ORG

Suppression and swaps
 Differential privacy



- Suppression and swaps
 - Top coding for income or household size
 - Table suppression
 - Swapping
 - Identify unique HHs in a geographic area and swap with similar HHs in a different geography
 - Absolute

Differential privacy

IPUMS

- Suppression and swaps
 - Top coding for income or household size
 - Table suppression
 - Swapping
 - Identify unique HHs in a geographic area and swap with similar HHs in a different geography
 - Absolute

- Differential privacy
 - Inject noise into statistics
 - Magnitude of noise depends on policy decisions
 - Relative

HOW IS DIFFERENTIAL PRIVACY IMPLEMENTED?

IPUMS.ORG

"True" microdata

<u>Sex</u>	<u>School</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>School</u>
Male	Never	(Female	Never
Male	Never	x4 🖌 🗄	
Male	Never	Female	Never
/ Male	Attending	Female	Attending
Male	Attending	x17	
^12	:	Female	Attending
Male	Attending	Female	Past
Male	Past	x31	
x33 〈	•	Female	Past
\ Male	Past		

Construct cross-tabs from "true" data

	School Attendance				
	Never Attending Past				
Male	3	12	33		
Female	4	17	31		

Population = 100

Draw noise from Laplace distribution



Add noise to cross-tab

	School Attendance			
	Never	Past		
Male	3 - 1 = 2	12 + 0 = 12	33 + 1 = 34	
Female	4 + 8 = 12	17 + 2 = 19	31 - 2 = 29	

Sum = 108

POLICY DECISIONS

IPUMS.ORG

Policy decisions

- Global privacy loss budget (ε)
- Fractional allocations
- Invariants and constraints

Policy decisions

- Global privacy loss budget (ε)
- Fractional allocations
- Invariants and constraints

Fractional allocations

- Geographic levels
- Queries

• Detailed person

– Age * Sex * Hispanic * Race * HHGQ * Citizen

- Voting age * Hispanic * Race * Citizen
- Detailed housing
- Hispanic * Race * Size of HH * HH type

Invariants and Constraints

Invariants are counts not subject to noise injection

2010 Demonstration Data Product	
State – total population	
Census block – total housing units	
Census block – group quarters count	
Census block – group quarters type count	
	22

2010 Demonstration Data Product	2010 Decennial
State – total population	Census block – total population
Census block – total housing units	Census block – total housing units
Census block – group quarters count	Census block – occupied housing units
Census block – group quarters type count	Census block – voting age population
	Census block – group quarters count
	Census block – group quarters type count

Invariants and Constraints

- Invariants are counts not subject to noise injection
- Constraints

Invariants and Constraints

- Invariants are counts not subject to noise injection
- Constraints
 - Non-negativity
 - Consistency

NOISE INJECTION

IPUMS.ORG

ANALYZING DIFFERENTIALLY PRIVATE 2010 CENSUS DATA

- Results based on talks given at the Workshop on 2020 Census Data Products: Data Needs and Privacy Considerations
 - Hosted by CNStat
 - December 11-12, 2019
 - <u>https://sites.nationalacademies.org/DBASSE/CNST</u>
 <u>AT/DBASSE_196518</u>

United States®

nsus

2010 SF1 vs. Demo: Total Population

Population Decile

	States	Counties	Tracts	Block Groups
10-	23,000,000	640,000	8,100	3,100
9 -	11,000,000	130,000	6,100	2,100
8 -	7,300,000	67,000	5,300	1,700
7 -	6,000,000	43,000	4,700	1,500
6 -	4,800,000	31,000	4,200	1,300
5 -	3,600,000	23,000	3,800	1,200
4 -	2,800,000	16,000	3,300	1,000
3 -	1,700,000	11,000	2,900	910
2 -	1,100,000	7,300	2,300	780
1-	660,000	2,900	1,400	560
10	25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75 Percent of Discr	10 25 50 75 epancies > 10%

2010 SF1 vs. Demo: Total Population

		States	Counties	Tracts	Block Groups	County Subs	Places	
	10 -	23,000,000	640,000	8,100	3,100	64,000	59,000	
	9 -	11,000,000	130,000	6,100	2,100	9,300	8,900	
	8 -	7,300,000	67,000	5,300	1,700	4,800	4,100	
ile	7 -	6,000,000	43,000	4,700	1,500	2,900	2,300	
on Dec	6 -	4,800,000	31,000	4,200	1,300	1,800	1,400	
opulati	5 -	3,600,000	23,000	3,800	1,200	1,200	870	
۵,	4 -	2,800,000	16,000	3,300	1,000	720	550	
	3-	1,700,000	11,000	2,900	910	400	340	
	2-	1,100,000	7,300	2,300	780	190	190	
	1-	660,000	2,900	1,400	560	49	74	
	1	0 25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75	
	Percent of Discrepancies > 10%							

2010 SF1 vs. Demo: Total Population

		States	Counties	Tracts	Block Groups	County Subs	Places
	10-	23,000,000	640,000	8,100	3,100	64,000	59,000
	9-	11,000,000	130,000	6,100	2,100	9,300	8,900
	8-	7,300,000	67,000	5,300	1,700	4,800	4,100
ile	7-	6,000,000	43,000	4,700	1,500	2,900	2,300
on Dec	6-	4,800,000	31,000	4,200	1,300	1,800	1,400
opulati	5 -	3,600,000	23,000	3,800	1,200	1,200	870
ď	4 -	2,800,000	16,000	3,300	1,000	720	550
	3-	1,700,000	11,000	2,900	910	400	340
	2-	1,100,000	7,300	2,300	780	190	190
	1-	660,000	2,900	1,400	560	49	74
	10	25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75	10 25 50 75
	Percent of Discrepancies > 10%						

2010 SF1 vs. Demo: Hispanic/Latino Population

Age & Sex: Median County

Population Pyramid for Lyon County, Minnesota

Shape your future START HERE >

Age & Sex: Median County, 5-Year

Shape your future START HERE >

Percentage of counties with zero vacant housing units, 2010 DP

LESS PUBLICLY AVAILABLE DATA

Census 2010 – 2020 Crosswalk

 https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/2020/programmanagement/data-product-planning/2010-demonstration-dataproducts/2020-census-data-products-planning-crosswalk.xlsx

2020 Census Demographic and Housing Characteristics File Proposed List of Tables								
2010 Census Table Number	2020 Census Table Number	Title	Lowest Level of Geography Proposed for 2020	Proposed for Inclusion in 2020 DHC	Lowest Level of Geography Proposed for 2010 Demonstration Data Product	Proposed for Inclusion in 2010 Demonstration Data Product		
P35A.	N/A	FAMILIES (WHITE ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
P35B.	N/A	FAMILIES (BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
P35C.	N/A	FAMILIES (AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
P35D.	N/A	FAMILIES (ASIAN ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
P35E.	N/A	FAMILIES (NATIVE HAWAIIAN AND OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
P35F.	N/A	FAMILIES (SOME OTHER RACE ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
P35G.	N/A	FAMILIES (TWO OR MORE RACES HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
P35H.	N/A	FAMILIES (HISPANIC OR LATINO HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
P35I.	N/A	FAMILIES (WHITE ALONE, NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO HOUSEHOLDER)	N/A	No	N/A	No		
						- 1		

		2020 Census Demographic and Housing Characteristics File Proposed	List of Tables			
2010 Census Table Number	2020 Census Table Number	Title	Lowest Level of Geography Proposed for 2020	Proposed for Inclusion in 2020 DHC	Lowest Level of Geography Proposed for 2010 Demonstration Data Product	Proposed for Inclusion in 2010 Demonstration Data Product
P38A.	PCO11A.	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (WHITE ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Yes	Block	Yes
P38B.	PCO11B.	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Yes	Block	Yes
P38C.	PCO11C.	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Yes	Block	Yes
P38D.	PCO11D.	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (ASIAN ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Yes	Block	Yes
P38E.	PCO11E.	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (NATIVE HAWAIIAN AND OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Yes	Block	Yes
P38F.	PCO11F.	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (SOME OTHER RACE ALONE HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Yes	Block	Yes
P38G.	PCO11G.	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (TWO OR MORE RACES HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Yes	Block	Yes
P38H.	PCO11H.	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (HISPANIC OR LATINO HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Yes	Block	Yes
וסכם	PC0111	FAMILY TYPE BY PRESENCE AND AGE OF OWN CHILDREN (WHITE ALONE, NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO HOUSEHOLDER)	County	Voc	Plack	Voc

Concept	Finest 2010 geog	Finest 2020 geog (proposed)
Race	Block	Block and TBD
Households	Block	County
Families	Block	N/A
Group quarters	Block/tract	County/state

LESS CONSISTENCY AMONG PRODUCTS

Group 1 products

- Apportionment
- PL94-171
- Demographic and Housing Characteristics (DHC)
 - Replaces SF1
- Demographic Profile
- Congressional District DHC

Group 2

- Detailed race/ethnicity
- American Indian and Alaska Native Summary File
- Person—household joins

Less consistency in counts

- AI/AN_{DHC} != AI/AN_{summary file}
- County_{DHC} != County_{Detailed race/ethnicity summary}

• Census modifying its algorithm to try and fix issues found in the 2010 demonstration data

- Census modifying its algorithm to try and fix issues found in the 2010 demonstration data
- Timeline is short
 - I'm not sure Census has time to address all issues and create usable data

TPUMS

- Census modifying its algorithm to try and fix issues found in the 2010 demonstration data
- Timeline is short
 - I'm not sure Census has time to address all issues and create usable data
- Need another demonstration dataset

TP1 IN

Resources

- Census Bureau Disclosure avoidance
 - <u>https://www.census.gov/about/policies/privacy/statistical_safeguards</u>
 <u>/disclosure-avoidance-2020-census.html</u>
- IPUMS Differential Privacy
 - <u>https://ipums.org/changes-to-census-bureau-data-products</u>
- New York Times editorial
 - <u>https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/02/06/opinion/census-algorithm-privacy.html</u>