Depository Selection Mechanisms: Questions for the Community



As the review of the item number system used by the Federal depository libraries to select publications continues, GPO would like feedback from the depository community on the proposals put forward in the briefing papers. Please assist us by responding to the following questions. In order to accurately gauge the views of all conference participants, please fill-out only one questionnaire. Please return the completed questionnaire to the registration desk.

For more on the proposed new models, see the revised briefing papers in your folders or online at

•	.gpo.gov/su_docs/fdlp/selection/index.ht Librar	
_	sday's session on selection mechanisms	
General Backgrou	ınd	
requirements for or	at the requirements for distribution of tanging the dissemination to merit separate mechanism. No	gible publications are different enough from the nanisms for selection and distribution?
of online publication		s to be revised to reflect the increasing number
	at the system needs to be revised to allow n	more flexibility for tangible selection?
(Circle the appropr a) Biblio b) New	lection development tools would assist you	
consider using to so a) Colle b) Agen	nodel for selection of online publications is elect online publications? (Circle the appro- ction development tools cy-based item numbers bination of both	
	users use the "Locate Libraries" feature of	the CGP for online publications?
agrees to provide a Do you agree that t		which online publications a depository library sing that publication and others on that subject new model for online selection?

8. If the proposed model were adopted, how much time would be needed to review the new collection development tools before implementing the proposed changes to item numbers? (Circle the appropriate response)
a) 30 days
b) 60 days
c) 90 days
Selection of Tangible Publications
9. If the proposed model for selection of tangible publications were adopted, depository libraries will have
a specified time period to review and select titles with items they have designated as "review." What is a
reasonable time period for review and selection of these items? (Circle the appropriate response)
a) 2 weeksb) 30 days
c) 45 days
d) 60 days
10. If your library is not a regional, how would you use the review items? (Circle the appropriate response) a) I would only use the selected and non-selected items
b) I would use the review items primarily for category classes, such as General Publicationsc) I would use the review items heavily to tailor my collection more closely to my users' needs
11. Would you consider the additional workload of having to review some titles before receipt an acceptable tradeoff to allow more specificity in selection of tangible items and reduce unwanted items held
for 5 years?
Yes No
12. What is an appropriate claims period for selected items (similar to standing orders)? This period would begin at time of shipment.
a) 30 days b) 45 days
c) 60 days
13. What is an appropriate claims period for review items (similar to review copies)? This period would begin at time of shipment. (circle the appropriate response)a) 30 days
b) 45 days
c) 60 days
Comments on Either or Both Proposals (If you have additional comments, please use the comment cards.)