

DLC Open Session – Chat Log

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Here, here! Glad that's on there!

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: *hear, hear -- Things I now know.

Ashley Dahlen -> All Participants: Agree!

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: +1 Lisa

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: I second you Lisa!

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Yes Will, working with you has been so rewarding!

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: Of course, but you have been great! So inclusive and cheerful during this strange year.

Valerie Glenn -> All Participants: I agree with Will that it appears outside of Council's scope.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Thank you!

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: Thanks for the info, Aimee.

Renee Bosman -> All Participants: Also agree, as another agency. (But appreciate the suggestion!)

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: More relevant is Laurie's retirement as SupDocs. A commendation for Laurie?

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: Indeed!!!

Laura Sare -> All Participants: I agree Jennifer

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Yes, that is something although she is staying on as head of LCSM

Mary Clark -> All Participants: Yes - Please a commendation for Laurie!

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Laurie deserves a commendation as SuDocs.

Yvonne Williams -> All Participants: I agree with the commendation for Laurie.

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: We've lost a position in our Gov Docs department. I was the staff specialist/cataloging, but our Coordinator retired, so I applied for her job and was offered the position.

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: STAFFING a. What is the impact on staffing? b. How will staffing levels be affected? c. What skills are needed? d. Role of FDLP coordinators? e. Roles of other library staff?

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: I'm thinking unchanged because so many staffs have been cut so far already.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Moe staff with technical/cataloging skills

Lisa Hartman -> All Participants: Staff would not need to process new books, but their efforts could be redirected toward updating older/uncataloged items in the collections.

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Staff moved out of physical processing.

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: To clarify, my supervisor decided we no longer needed the staff specialist since I was versed enough in cataloging.

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: c. skills needed: more cataloging, reference, less collection maintenance, collection development

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: c. More staff with technical skills for digitization, metadata creation, cataloging

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Impact on staffing - the focus would be different so we'd need to do some training for the more technical e-resources side of things

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: I worry directors will be less willing to hire gov docs staff if there isn't a physical collection to manage (out of sight, out of mind)

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: +1 Lisa

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: +100 Rick

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: b. Agreed: Library staff is not growing.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: This would make keeping up with WebTech Notes Sudoc, ceased publications even more important than ever

William Sudduth -> All Participants: a. Will have a negative impact on staffing as library administrator's won't have tangible results to point to unless transactions are well documented. Duties will be disbursed

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: Technology skills. Educating co-workers and the public. Coordinating with the FDLP. Assessing the needs of library users. Assuring that library clients have access to online resources.

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: I see that some Directors of Libraries or other Administration will think we don't need this because it is available online. Staff would have to become trained more on all available.

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: I think they'll be more a need for promotion and education - raising awareness of what's available.

Kimberly Wirth -> All Participants: May need more staff for cataloging and fewer student assistants

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Agree Kathy. Tech/Cataloging have been devastated

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: +1 Rick

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: Agreed Daniel!

Allen Moye -> All Participants: I agree with Rick, the collection may not be seen as a specialized collection

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: tech and cataloging skills will be necessary.

kathy piselli -> All Participants: For a public library, an all digital collection is an invisible collection. Active promotion would be needed for discovery.

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: +10 Kathy!

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Agreed that promotion and education are very big factors for discovery

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Uncertain how regional coordinators would help manage all-digital collections in their states. Assuming that role will change.

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: I agree with Rick.

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: WHAT ABOUT THE NEEDS OF THE LIBRARY USERS WHO STILL NEED PAPER COPIES? go NEEDS STAFF STILL RESPONSIBLE FOR IDENTIFYING PAPER AND UNDER CURRENT LAW SHOULD BE PART OF WHAT CAN BE SELECTED. bad POLICY TO HAVE TO TAKE ONE OR THE OTHER.

Lisa Hartman -> All Participants: Efforts could also be redirected to more emphasis on services and outreach to the public.

Paul Nease -> All Participants: I agree with Rick. I worry an all digital FDLP would mean less boots on the ground in the department. So staff dedicated to gov. docs would be less likely to be hired

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: ++Kathy regarding concern about invisible status.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: c. Will need more skills in data analytics, data visualization, and content mining and curation.

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Responsibilities will most likely be absorbed by other staff if positions aren't replaced. The importance would be making sure that the admin/or director that collection maintenance has changed, but has not disappeared. Skills technical/cataloging/electronic resource management will be necessary.

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: Really working with liaison librarians (at academic institutions), to share the mostly, or all-digital collection. I've started doing that since taking on the Coordinator role in March.

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: +1 Lisa Hartman regarding outreach

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: librarians will be back to begging agencies for paper copies.

Carolyn Frenger -> All Participants: The impact on staffing in my library would be adapting current

duties and making sure that current support staff are retained and given assurance of its value.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Elisabeth Garner - Liaison Librarians and Embedded Librarians in courses

Kris Abery -> All Participants: How to deal with electronic records when part of a consortium with multiple selectives

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Much of my expertise derives from familiarity with print pubs. A different approach is needed to develop expertise with digital materials.

Carolyn Frenger -> All Participants: My library's staffing level will probably remain the same.

Kimberly Wirth -> All Participants: FDLP coordinators will need to learn effective management techniques/skills for online content.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: My biggest worry of all digital is the fear that libraries will continue to withdraw from the program, because the burden of providing public access via computers, which increasingly on campuses cannot be used by non-community members, due to security concerns.

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: Good point, Elisabeth.

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: +Kimberley

John Olson -> All Participants: Promotion Promotion Promotion to our users as more of the collections turn all digital.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Good point Charlie

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Charlie makes good points about access via public computers.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: +1 Gwen

Simon Healey -> All Participants: The slow move to more and more digital documents was one of the points that caused the dissolution of a government documents department of 5 librarians to a government publications section with one dedicated librarian and 1 1/2 time librarian ... have to agree that a lot of thinking would need to go into gov pub outreach to library directors

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: My staffing level will not change - we have steadily decreased positions in the Library over time. I don't see any increase here

Lawrence Mello -> All Participants: D) I think FDLP coordinators will need to become more of a collection promoters, through creating workshops and holding events to showcase the the digital materials.

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: + 1 Charlie

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Lawrence - completely agree

William Sudduth -> All Participants: d. Half of this answer has to come from GPO; how does GPO see the role of the coordinator and how will it communicate its value to library administrations. Need to develop a definable skill set that library administrators can use in defining roles within the depository setting.

Mary Clark -> All Participants: Charlie, access is why we must keep public libraries in the program, searching the catalog and accessing from home is normal. All digital could help access

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: +1 William

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: +1 William

Kris Abery -> All Participants: @mary clark agree

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Maybe time for GODORT to revisit core competencies for gov info librarians.

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: +1 to William great point!

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: TRAINING a. What skills will library staff and library users/other stakeholders need? b. What training content, methods, and resources are needed?

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Not everyone can search from home - no internet or only mobile internet.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Mobile + .gov is the worst combo.

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Some libraries also only offer access to some subscription services like HeinOnline on-site

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: A primer in MARC Edit! :)

William Sudduth -> All Participants: e. Libray staff tend to avoid the uncool parts of the library; answer more government information training in libray science programs make it part of the broader information work and not a niche area.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: An actual folder/packet containing all a coordinator needs to pick up and go, no matter what they inherited.

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: +1 Bill Sudduth

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: Prospective docs librarians will need more training in their LIS programs, because lack of a physical collection will make it difficult to learn-on-the-job. I at least largely learned about the structures and nuances of gov info by seeing it reflected in the physical collection; I'm not sure I really understood agency structures or the relationship between congressional publications until I processed & used the physical items.

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: +1 Charlie

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: accessing map info without paper will be time consuming and librarians trained in compling data so it makes sense to the user.

Allen Moye -> All Participants: b. Training content should include video, print and live training sessions

Kris Abery -> All Participants: +1 Rick

Alicia Kubas -> All Participants: +1 Rick

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: Good point, Rick!

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Charlie -- There is an FDLP LibGuide on succession planning.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Training 100% needs to be more available in print, for those of us who are not auditory learners.

Patty Alvyay -> All Participants: Lots of libraries got rid of a dedicated librarian and it was just added to somone elses duties. I was hired as a tech services librarian and just given gov docs when I showed up.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: a- Other stakeholders, need to create other stakeholders and respond to their needs;

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: Library staff will need ongoing training as to what is available. A person would have to become a specialist in one area. More sharing between staff as to their knowledge across the whole country.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: The FDLP LibGuide on succession planning only helps the future, not the current new people!

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: Most of our students are not interested in learning any more than they have to to get the information they NEED for the current assignment for their class. We barely have support to see library basics taught, Gov Docs are barely covered.

Laura Sare -> All Participants: +1 Rick - guides on how to use materials such as the Guide to U.S. Government Publications to find historical material that might not be digitized

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Again keeping up with WebTech Note changes will be important and tech skills will be needed

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +! Scott Briggs - same ehre

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: Govt Docs and Information training in library school is good but it does not stop there. It has to be ongoing and this includes for any librarian joining a government information center from another discipline, related or not.

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: +1 Patty Alvyay same thing happened to me

Kris Abery -> All Participants: +1 Scott

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: I've had a hard time getting some staff interested in Gov Docs. I'm

trying to figure out how I can making training engaging.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: b. The first training for professionals started in the Library Science programs; this does not happen any more; just because it's digital the intellectual process is still much the same.

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: +1 Ronnie

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Ronnie, start with the most fun items. Smokey Bear, McGruff, etc.

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: I agree with Kathy, having gov info friends across the state, region and country is vital.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Gov Docs is only 1 of the hats I have worn, it did become part of my official title in 2013 - but overall I manage all cataloging and processing and ILL and Gov Docs in Tech Services

Holly Chambers -> All Participants: Even in the context of an all-digital FDLP, training for librarians will still be needed regarding what is available in physical form.

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: Separate training on tech services and discovering digital resources. Coming from a unionized university libraries, library faculty and staff cannot perform each other's duties, so our staff couldn't perform reference duties to help patrons discover/find access to documents.

Kelly Smith -> All Participants: +1 Michelle

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Smokey Bear does not have a the in his name.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Correct

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: There needs to be training on understanding how to use the tech and software needed to run or open digital content. (Not to mention need to have the tech and software available to run or open digital content.) Also training on understanding and finding government documents. Guides are great!

Laurie Fortier -> All Participants: Government shutdowns make an all digital FDLP problematic

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: Charlie. I'll share that with them when I do my training next week.

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: map agencies may have to go back to their own depository program in order to serve those dependent on map info.

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: Crucial is good cataloging. Good searchability begins there.

Sarah Hendy-Jackson -> All Participants: Smokey was originally Smokey the Bear but the name change occurred in 1950!

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Ronnie, while more fun a few years ago than now, some of the older duck and cover items are also fun/interesting. And the comics that are FDLP.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: +1 Marina communication between Tech and Reference is key

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: Knowledge of how to use application programming interfaces.

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: +1 Ronnie

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: We need to engage both colleagues and the public to see government information as interesting and important.

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: SERVICES a. What changes in services can be anticipated? b. Services from FDLs? c. Services GPO provides?

William Sudduth -> All Participants: a. It starts with awareness

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: From GPO: Perhaps the cost savings from no longer circulating print can be used to expand the catalog record distribution program (CRDP). Enrollment in the CRDP would be an incentive~38#xA0;to stay in the FDLP even if there are no physical items.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Impacts on ILL

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Rick - good suggestion

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: @ Kris can you expand what you mean?

Lisa Pritchard -> All Participants: Yes, the CRDP has really helped our small depository provide more current content to our users.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Libraries won't have to lend physical items

Laura Sare -> All Participants: c. GPO will have more PURLs to maintain

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: seems like all the benefits are for librarians, not users.

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: More patrons coming in person to use the computers to download. My library only has 2 computers available for community users. No funds to add more. We need funds to purchase computers for patrons to access the documents

Paul Nease -> All Participants: More public access computers would be needed within academic libraries to maintain public access but campuses are going the opposite direction because of security concerns

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: More item numbers to create or will the FDLP move away from item selection?

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: +1 Paul

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: GPO is willing to provide thousands in print materials, but apparently not provide computers, that would be substantially cheaper and last longer than printed items.

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: GPO would need to make guidelines and assistance with the most thorny issues of cataloging, archiving and accessing for maps and datasets.

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: +1 Marina

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: Without a tangible collection of any kind, less investment in staff and services by library administration is a reality, staff will be assigned other duties, and online reference references will only be as good as the library's investment in updated online content.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Good point Susanne

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: computers can not compete in long life like archival paper - we still have the constitution in paper.

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: I don't believe that many changes will be needed. We've always had to accommodate people such as the incarcerated who don't have access to computers or electronic documents.

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: Since there will not be tangible items, preservation of the digital content is crucial.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: We have seen a trend here post pandemic - our library computers are not in demand, students bring their own laptops into the library or checkout laptops at the Circ Desk

Laura Sare -> All Participants: +1 Kathy

Kelly Smith -> All Participants: +1 Kathy

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: +1 Andrea - As the print collection ages, there might be more pressure to weed the tangible.

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: From reference librarians: patrons will need more assistance. Now patrons can be pointed to a specific shelf or series, and through some skimming can usually find the answer. It is much more difficult to skim digital material, and those users will require more librarian assistance to navigate all the e-material.

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Libraries would need to provide technology/software (or more technology/software) in order to access digital content. Increase in reference services on helping more patrons get to digital content. Would make it more important that staff understand how to use the tech/software.

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: +1 JoAnna

William Sudduth -> All Participants: c. The main role of GPO should be maintaining and expanding it's relations with agency publishers that create the content and demonstrate the value of the FDLP network.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: +1 Rick

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: +1 Rick

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: + 1 Rick

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: +1Rick

Lisa Hartman -> All Participants: Could GPO provide a "print on demand" for digital publications requested by library users? (Admittedly this would create a big delay in getting the information to the patron.)

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Will GPO need to provide digital "collections" based on topics to make it easier for browsability?

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: c) Adding on to William, they should encourage a central database like the FOIA one. Not perfect, but better.

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: Digitization and online access will provide more ready on demand information available. That said, it will require a more user-friendly and accessible interface(s) for patrons.

Laura Sare -> All Participants: c. more retrospective digitization of government documents, finding more partners to digitize historic collection

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: need a panel of agency publishers - this will increase their work taking care of users who can not get what they need at the library.

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: Could GPO provide funds for WiFi services so patrons can connect with their own devices to search and be provide reference assistance?

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: GPO will need to work in Acquisitions of material that is not owned by a third party that is not accessible in the future.

Simon Healey -> All Participants: Amdrea's comment gets the real world result of all EL docs ... library directos are going to say it's all online and we don't have to spend on librarians or IT ...

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Also important for GPO to get some Congressional committees on board who currently only offer materials in print (like Appropriations)

Mary Ries -> All Participants: The need for computers for access is going to feed the gap between have and have nots.

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: +1 Simon

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: +1 Simon

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: +1 Janelle

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: Has the FDLP considered using AI in the future?

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: if the members of congress want it in paper so will their constituents

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: AI

Kerry Gibson -> All Participants: Library staff will need to know how to find, access digital Government resources should there be a Government shutdown.

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: Yes, I meant AI

Lawrence Mello -> All Participants: It is one thing to search a catalog and finding a digital government document, However, many users come in and need more indepth research help and have no understanding how the government processes work or navigate Gov. websites. This is why having designated gov. docs staff who know how to truly do government research.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: I'm concerned about what happens in the event of a cyber attack.

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: +1 to Simon.... We have been hearing that "Everything is available online" at our library for years.

Simon Healey -> All Participants: also the resources will need to be accessible to patrons using access technology to use a PC

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: OPERATIONS a. What changes in operations can be anticipated? b. Regionals/Selectives relationship? c. Internal? d. Ongoing/New paper materials? e. Legacy tangible collections? f. Technology and equipment needs?

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: There may need to be changes to regulation to make up for problems with access.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Whose operations?

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: e.) Library Admin will likely want more weeding over time as print usage declines.

Laura Sare -> All Participants: +1 Mark

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: Libraries will need practical ways to ensure that everyone can access the internet.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Agreed Mark. That's my first task.

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: b. Regionals currently oversee weeding of tangibles. Assuming this would continue for legacy tangibles, but regionals wouldn't have a role in overseeing electronic weeding (unless that changes in the future).

Kris Abery -> All Participants: I would expect some selectives to drop out since "everything is online" and/or the regional will have cataloged the electronic version.

Paul Nease -> All Participants: It may be harder to justify space usage to administrators for a collection with no new print items being added. A living collection could become something that's seen as outdated.

Laura Sare -> All Participants: b. Regionals can refocus on training selectives if they don't have to deal with weeding?

Allen Moyer -> All Participants: +Paul

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: I'll need to rethink my collection development policy.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Laura

Becki White -> All Participants: It might require regionals and selectives to work more closely on "collecting" digital subjects within the region so there is less duplication.

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: +1 Paul - We already weeding, but would probably be pressured to do more.

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: We might consider upgrading or adding servers to host content. Additionally, we might need to add high quality scanners to digitize historic materials.

Laura Sare -> All Participants: f. access for non-primary patrons to computers in academic libraries

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: I got other librarians excited about how govinfo could help their support their collections which in turn they used in their Instruction and engagement.

Simon Healey -> All Participants: as we all have gone through the Q, I come to conclusion that GPO and DLC will need to seriously think what an all digital collection would impact gov doc librarians and collections at public libraries who are under extreme pressure to use limited funding wisely ...

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: +1 Paul

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Susanne - Could be. That's why we didn't add records from our CIS holdings - similar issue.

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: Guidelines from GPO on what tangible items to keep. Directors hear everything is online. Automatic reaction is to clear everything out. GPO would need to provide specific guidelines

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: We are also actively weeding all collections - all collections are going more digital here, as we prepare for a future Learning Commons

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: Legacy collections will be more used since more people will be weeding. Preservation Stewards needed to keep legacy collections intact.

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: Any legacy tangible collections will mostly be kept for historical

reasons and to have access to the tangible materials for displays and exhibitions. But this all depends on maintaining a dedicated space for these materials.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: The biggest change I see in the future is that libraries need to develop LSP - Library Service Platforms that are not so tied to overly restrictive structures. Need quicker more natural language access to information.

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: @ Paul, yes, we're getting an expansion to our library, but actively deselectioning hundreds of thousands documents. When we move into our new "space" we will only have room for a very small print collection.

Alicia Kubas -> All Participants: more focus on purl usage data and other ways to measure usage of online material

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: we are already mostly digital and only select the tangible items we need because the print is the "official record". We already include government documents in each of our research guides by subject. We also do not have a dedicated Gov Docs librarian, it is just another task of mine.

Kerry Gibson -> All Participants: If selectives have to have digital deposit, I will need to convince my institution's department that I need a digital server to house the materials.

Karina ricker -> All Participants: legacy docs in hathitrust are increasingly more important for research than current GPO records in our online catalog

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: historians and others need access to historical docs for their histories and comparisons in such areas as statistical data

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1Alicia

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: Our main floor/reference area would shrink as GPO legal sources such as Statutes, Code, CFR, Cong Record would soon be out of date.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Kerry

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: +1 Karina. Law schools will just pivot to Hein I bet.

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: +1 Karina--I could foresee more operational partnerships with HathiTrust/Internet Archive to digitize and host materials

Sinai Wood -> All Participants: +1 Kerry

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: +1 Kathy re: legacy collections/preservation stewards - and we'll need to really collaborate and develop clear communication on how and where these legacy collections are available

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: If all digital, would FDL be required to provide a specific number of computers for public access to community members (non-university users)?

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: what happens if the private publishers go out of business?

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Will there be some kind of 5 year rule for receiving electronic docs, like print docs?

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: +1 Leslie

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: +1 Andrea

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: + 1 Andrea

Kris Abery -> All Participants: +1 Andrea

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: I work in Technical Services. In the short-term, we would not hire a temporary student employee to process government documents. In the long run, I don't see Tech Services maintaining the skills/employee training to oversee GPO records which would lessen our ability to problem solve/correct electronic records. In the future, libraries would accept the records we get -- like we do currently by accepting minimal e-book record loads from vendors into our catalog. I think we need to plan to do this, but I would caution to go slowly so all the impact is understood!

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: +1 Andrea

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Private publishers adapt, they publish e-books and charge a premium for access.

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: +1 Andrea

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Good point Andrea

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Andrea M

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: Would GPO provide deletion lists for these online records when the links are failing if they are not following a 5 year rule?

Zachary Broughton -> All Participants: Speaking of servers, especially if selfhosted, consideration on digital preservation will need to include proper backup strategies, and cyber security considerations ~38#x2013; such as risks of malware like cryptolockers.

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: +1 Andrea!

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: PARTICIPATION a. What are the pros and cons of library participation? b. Why will libraries want to be in the FDLP? c. Will this retain current FDLs? d. Bring new FDLs?

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Self hosted servers ? I thought AWS won that war.

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: C. We will definitely stay! We have 19,000 students and our library director is a big supporter of the FDLP program.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: b) they simply won't. Especially if they lack someone who is really into GovDocs.

Lisa Hartman -> All Participants: Pros: networking with the depository community, training opportunities

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Most of our selectives have weeded most of their tangible collections. Yet, they have mostly stayed in the program because they recognize the value of a geographically distributed network of libraries that offer expertise and computer access.

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: The community and the community expertise is something you don't find anywhere else.

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: +1 Susanne!

Alicia Kubas -> All Participants: +1 Susanne

William Sudduth -> All Participants: a. What is the value proposition to maintain the level of staff and space, each library will need to answer this some day.

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: +1 Susanne

Mary Ries -> All Participants: a) possible greater control of exactly what a library selects over the current "selection lists"

Kimberly Wirth -> All Participants: We are losing our physical space for the tangible collection and need an all-digital FDLP. We are staying!

karina ricker -> All Participants: a librarian can have govinfo expertise without the library being in the FDLP

Sinai Wood -> All Participants: My dean was ready to pull us out but digitization efforts saved the day. Digital deposit is a subject I am afraid to bring up.

Renee Bosman -> All Participants: pro= being in the program means there is someone on staff for who govdocs is on their radar -- having that expertise to contribute to research questions

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: @Kimberly are you at a regional or selective?

Gina Brandon -> All Participants: +

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Karina makes a good point, though GPO and FDLP provide great training these days.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: I feel like Charlie. Only myself and my P/T Library Associate work with the Gov Docs. We are both passionate about it. I still need to work on getting us 3 deep in training....I don't want to loose this on my watch (over 50 years). But I worry in the future when eventually myself or my worker retire....

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: d. Directors at libraries interested in fed docs could assume it's easier to just add some gov docs links to their websites without having to meet all the requirements of being an FDL member.

Kimberly Wirth -> All Participants: @Gina We're a selective.

Becki White -> All Participants: Smaller libraries would likely drop out if we are required to receive digital deposits. We can barely afford one small server for general use; adding additional servers or paying for online storage is out of the question.

Mary Bloomquist -> All Participants: Plus Reputation, commitment to our community, legacy

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: +1 Renee

Holly Chambers -> All Participants: I think one reason some libraries may stay in is because of the time it takes to discard through eXchange, properly discard physical items and get them out of catalog, etc.

Simon Healey -> All Participants: I don't think you're going to like this but GPO is going to have smaller universities from underserved communities like the recent tribal universities ... but lose almost all selective public libraries

Sinai Wood -> All Participants: Let me clarify, not the fact that content is available online allows us to weed and not have to leave the program.

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: If the communication and training expertise remains as strong as it is now, FDLs will remain. Not sure what will happen once documents coordinators and others retire

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Agree Holly

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Pro ~38#x2013; libraries and library staff become more aware of access to free government content. Provides more content to a library if there are limited resources, space, and budget. This might be appealing to prospective FDLs to meet the needs of their community. Our library is mostly online and we moved more in that direction when we lost a lot of physical space moving into a new building.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: An addendum to my "they won't", I am mostly thinking of Law Libraries. I would expect broader libraries to remain.

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: The importance of library participation is keeping government information on the public's radar especially when the public needs help to learn about trust sources of government information and become educated constituents.

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Will chat transcripts be available for these programs? The chat is very enthusiastic!

Holly Chambers -> All Participants: +1 Becki

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: Depends on the support from your library administration. I previously worked at a library that would never drop and worked at libraries that would have dropped without me as an advocate to stay in and work on the tangible and electronic collections.

Paul Nease -> All Participants: +1 Janelle. I would expect some libraries to leave. Those without historic print collections could likely still find the electronic resources without worrying about the program requirements.

Holly Chambers -> All Participants: btw I really like eXchange!

Vicki Tate -> All Participants: I don't think anyone is proposing mandating receiving digital deposited materials to stay in the FDLP. It would only be an option, not a requirement.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Holly!

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: We really like eXchange for rehoming materials that don't meet our collection focus.

Holly Chambers -> All Participants: +Daniel

William Sudduth -> All Participants: In response to Simon, smaller public libraries are pivoting to being community service centers, less room for collections, less budget for staff; but an odd opportunity on the positive.

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: Yes, Chat Transcripts will be made available.

Caroline Gilson -> All Participants: we have so much legacy print gov docs that are catalogued, so we have to stay! Plus I believe we are the oldest depository in the state of Indiana (1879). That may not be enough to justify participation in 5-10 yrs....

Simon Healey -> All Participants: Public libraries are under extreme pressure from funding and staffing issues caused by COVID. My director is more focused in getting all branches open and fully staffed ... any funding / staffing / IT needs that could be saved since all digital federal docs will go ahead and cut gov docs

Marina Bacchetti -> All Participants: +Caroline

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: We found electronic access to government information helped immensely when the library was shut down. We have no plans to drop as we get access to an extra database and because we have a gov docs librarian we show students the gov information they can use for all their assignments. plus, we can easily show them new resources that are only found in gov docs.

John Olson -> All Participants: Being a preservation steward tells your lib admins that there is a vested interest to remain as an FDLP library.

Yvonne Williams -> All Participants: Would there be any financial assistance (grants) to help FDL adequately provide services if the FDLP becomes all digital?

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: small rural public libraries are keeping pubs and docs because they in Mt may be 600 miles from the regional

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: @Charlie, I don't think that law libraries will leave the program. The FDLP is very important to law librarianship and legal research.

Laurie Hall -> All Participants: GPO does not have grant authority

Simon Healey -> All Participants: The only way we stopped the last two directors from leaving was the \$\$ and staff time needed to go through the process of leaving the depository program ... we showed them our legacy collection and the directors kinda ran away

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Speaking of odd opportunities our state legislature is mandating that college students must demonstrate knowledge of our founding documents be taught. Lot's of captured 1st year students possible.

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Simon :-)

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Jennifer. Our paralegal program depends on access (physical or electronic) to several Gov doc collections we get in FDLP

Patty Alwayay -> All Participants: I think cataloging gov docs with LC shows their worth more.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: User Impact: a. How will users be impacted? b. Accessibility, functionality, content?

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: USER IMPACT a. How will users be impacted? b. Accessibility, functionality, content?

Caroline Gilson -> All Participants: +1Patty

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Patty. We integrated all Gov Docs into LC, we do not shelve by SuDoc since 2013

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Users in bandwidth poor areas will get frustrated in using e-docs. This is a lot of rural areas.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: User's don't miss what they do not know about.

Patty Alwayay -> All Participants: We have a mix because of how our shelving is distributed.

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: As Richard mentioned earlier, reference guidance may be even more important to help users filter through all the electronic gov docs (rather than being able to skim the shelves).

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: +1 William

Holly Chambers -> All Participants: +Patty

Laura Sare -> All Participants: the majority of my users prefer information available online

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: +1 Janelle

Lisa Hartman -> All Participants: Frustration with viewing larger-format items (oversized books, maps) online.

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: +1 William

karina ricker -> All Participants: reference guidance to our fellow reference librarians!

Beth Downing -> All Participants: Many of my users are reluctant to use electronic resources.

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: +1 Janelle

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: +1 Lisa

Bernadette Johnson -> All Participants: I don't think my users will be majorly impacted.

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: We would get requests to purchase print subscriptions or purchases for certain titles, especially journals, kits, and maps.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: I don't see our patrons impacted here

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: For our urban users with good bandwidth, they do appreciate the handiness of electronic documents - except maps. Wishes for maps to stay tangible.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: One can only hope that we swing back into the value of truth, facts, and documentation in our political and daily discourse.

Paul Nease -> All Participants: Many of our patrons still prefer print material. Given the choice, many still choose print. I hope FDLP continues to offer print and electronic to meet the needs of all patrons.

Simon Healey -> All Participants: I'm far away from the reading room but we still have patrons coming from the surrounding area to use tangible print documents both current and legacy

Laura Sare -> All Participants: b. it would be nice to have a print on demand service for maps

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Laura

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: accessibility is a key factor. Digital docs needs to be properly formatted. If so, accessibility through e-readers may provide greater access

Lawrence Mello -> All Participants: I think since GPO cannot force just highly urge to catalog their digital resources, if the items are not cataloged the user will never know what is there for them to use and be informed. Also again, for the titles that do make it into the catalog many users need a designated staff who can help them navigate the vast wealth of Government Information that is out there.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1Jen - most definitely

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: Very long items and maps can difficult to access. Maps often don't print at the same resolution as the originals. Being able to search text is very helpful for research.

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Legal researchers still need access to print CFR and US Code (at least in my state) since the courts require citations to the print rather than electronic.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Good point Janelle

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Kimberly - depends on how the digital docs are presented. Not automatically accessible.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Keep funding for the CRDP program - it is crucial to our cataloging here

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: We are definitely keeping CFR, US Code, and General Statutes, plus the topo maps for the state of NC.

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: +1 Janelle!

karina ricker -> All Participants: it's tough to weed tangibles from the online catalog when gpo cannot keep up with cataloging online versions.

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: as a historian i have to go all over the country to use certain docs which are only in special collections. many of those online are incomplete - do not have maps and charts or they are unreadable.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: May be government information needs to try to meet the user at

their access point - twitter, instagram, etc

Simon Healey -> All Participants: As a gov docs user myself, it's a 50/50 coin toss if a pdf document has been tagged so a screen reader on PC / tablet / iPhone can read the information to me

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: +1 Janelle!

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 William - very good point

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: Many of my patrons are non-native speakers, elderly, and people with limited computer skills. It'll be a lot harder for them to access government information online without help.

Kris Abery -> All Participants: Agree Min

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: +1 Simon

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: We would see more requests for ILL for print documents, especially military documents

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: Journals and historical reports that disappear online

karina ricker -> All Participants: we have non-native speakers who could show ME how to navigate digital docs!

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: many libraries do not loan precious docs that were only sent to a few libraries.

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: @ Andrea, agree. It'll be tough to deselect and offer those D.O.D. resources when we get to that section.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Center for Military History must have a whopper of a budget.

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: COLLABORATION a. What types of collaborations are useful? b. Are new collaborations needed? c. What would those look like? d. Collaboration among FDLs?

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: The GPO/Community/Agencies collaboration on FDLP Academy Programs has been fabulous!

Shawn King -> All Participants: Digitization collaborations. If a library digitizes a historical document but doesn't want to or is able to host, another library could host.

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: We need more ASERL-type centers of excellence; greater collaboration in creating training materials - webinars, guides, OER materials; cataloging cooperation.

Laura Sare -> All Participants: c. TRAIL is a good example <https://www.crl.edu/programs/trail>

William Sudduth -> All Participants: b. Because of the lack of government information being taught in library science programs there is less intellectually based collaboration in early career librarians; even if they are not documents librarians.

Renee Bosman -> All Participants: For me, participation in 1) ASERL and 2) a shared Regional has been instrumental in allowing us a bit more flexibility with our collection.

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: Possible increase in shared housing arrangements for historic tangible collections? In-state, cross-state?

Lori Thornton -> All Participants: locks

William Sudduth -> All Participants: New collaborations - digital visualization; data mining of the Congressional Serial Set, data

Lori Thornton -> All Participants: lots of copies keep digital docks safe

Laura Sare -> All Participants: +1 Lisa

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: Training collaborations. Indiana is hosting another statewide Government Information Day in 2022, <https://www.in.gov/library/collections-and-services/reference/feddeposit/government-information-day/>, I really appreciate attending other online training like the Western States government information virtual conference! Continued training collaboration of FDLs and FDLP in the FDLP Academy training is critical.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Increase collaborations with faculty, student researchers, community members

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: New collaboration to provide reference services cross state lines/time zones

Lori Thornton -> All Participants: or geographically dispersed server storage

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: Would there be shared digital collection agreements/requests from regionals or within the 4 National collection regions? What happens if libraries start dropping electronic items?

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: We need better ways to communicate with federal agencies to find out what they are doing, learn whether the material on those legacy CD-ROMs is available on their websites, etc.

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: need to work with federal libraries not in the fdlp, especially libraries at field offices and labs.

Simon Healey -> All Participants: Being positive for at least one section ... GPO plans to set-up preservation stewards and moving from regional to area would help collaboration ... we have an extremely large regional / state / legal collection only 25 miles away but is across a state border that currently we wouldn't be able to collaborate with ...

karina ricker -> All Participants: many agency website have bibliographic databases that are inadequate

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: +1 Gwen; wouldn't it be great if we could partner with GPO to have FDLPs work with federal agencies as government information emissaries or something?

Andrea Stelljes -> All Participants: More collaboration among departments within my organization comes to mind. We are a huge public library system and there are silos between divisions that could be more collaborative~38#x2014;public services vs. web/online services especially in the area of promoting our government document collections. Web developers don't want to dedicate any website space to promoting our FDLP status, unfortunately.

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: +1 Andrea

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1Andrea S

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: +1 Andrea

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: Share your top one or two concerns when you contemplate an "all-digital FDLP.~38#x201D;

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: Loss of support for, or interest in documents.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: The eventual decline of the program, in response to various libraries withdrawing.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: How will the user benefit?

Hannah Edlund -> All Participants: Access during a government shutdown or following major department/agency/etc. shifts and changes

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: Potential loss of information.

Yvonne Williams -> All Participants: +1

Holly Chambers -> All Participants: +1

Tekla Slider -> All Participants: Unstable internet connections due to weather, gov shutdowns, power outages

Mary Bloomquist -> All Participants: Loss of staff with subject expertise

Kerry Gibson -> All Participants: Justification for staying in the FDLP program.

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Same as my concern for a tangible FDLP: user access

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: User access

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Agencies stop providing information to GPO.

karina ricker -> All Participants: +1 Tekla

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: Information will fall through the cracks and the requirement of informing our users with the current information and the historical information will not be stable.

Isobel Moody -> All Participants: Very concerned about user access

Simon Healey -> All Participants: not having a government documents expert librarian around to help patrons to find the documents and information they need

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Also justification for staying in FDLP

Laura Sare -> All Participants: convincing library administration to stay in the FDLP program

Bernadette Johnson -> All Participants: Users who prefer print resources or those who are not very tech savvy.

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: Access during a shutdown is a big concern. Digital Deposit could help, as could law changes to make keeping servers live during a shutdown.

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: +1 Aimee

Mary Clark -> All Participants: Will GPO continue to support an FDLP?

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: +1 Simon, we are experiencing that now at our library.

Paul Nease -> All Participants: Loss of support from administration for space and staffing.

Tekla Slider -> All Participants: +1 Paul

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: +Paul

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Not directly related to my role, but concern for potential layoffs for everyone working at GPO warehouses

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Convincing director/aBecause of the "invisible" collection, it's harder to promote awareness that government information is available. Not just with users, but internal with staff. Reduces the interest and support. dmins

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Yes, Janelle.

Laura Sare -> All Participants: Enough staffing at GPO to track down publications in scope of the FDLP program

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: This is just my sarcastic saying I use all the time for anything ...what happens when the cloud goes "poof"? Infrastructure, outages, access issues...

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: losing smaller public libraries

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Sorry I think my copy and paste went all weird!

Becki White -> All Participants: Helping students and those looking for materials understand the difference between just doing a Google search and identifying legitimate, authoritative materials.

Daniel Cornwall -> All Participants: +1 Laura

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: I think we need to retain some print collections in every region in order not to discriminate against some groups of users. Also, I am very concerned about loss of expertise.

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: +1 Becki

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: +1 Becki

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: +100 Becki

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: +1 Becki and reminding users/admin that there is work done to make them available and findable

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Becki....education needed...

Tekla Slider -> All Participants: Cybersecurity wars with IT departments

Simon Healey -> All Participants: "what happens when the cloud goes poof" still haven't stopped laughing ... going to use that to advocate

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: It could make reference work much more difficult. Print indexes are infinitely easier to use than digitized indexes, especially when toggling between multiple volumes.

Rita Wilson -> All Participants: Library staff thinking all government research is a google search away and not needing an expert

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Rita

Beth Downing -> All Participants: Difficulty with keeping up with files that move and the PID's being

updated.

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: fugitive docs

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: GPO being defunded

Beth Downing -> All Participants: +1Lisa

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Lisa

Michelle Donlin -> All Participants: The roles of the depository coordinator. Librarians' job descriptions will change more.

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: +1 Beth

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: +1 Michelle

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: +1 Michelle

Holly Chambers -> All Participants: I have to leave now but I'll share one thing I'm excited about - the ability to share online material more easily with off campus patrons (despite all the issues mentioned including bad internet connections.) Very interesting sessions.

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: What are you most excited about when considering an "all-digital FDLP~38#x201D;?

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Holly - agree

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Hopefully a website overhaul to something more modern and engaging for users, with a greater amount of materials. While not part of FDLP, the CRS Reports website is awful, yet contains amazing materials. UNT did it right with their archive. Would love to see GPO/FDLP do similar things.

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Instant access to content. For younger patrons and students, many are used to searching material online. Seeing they could directly access content now, wherever they are, is something they are interested in. (Last minute assignment turn in anyone? lol!)

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Not having to process new print materials

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Greater access to materials for our patrons who are spread across the Hawaiian Islands and Pacific.

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: Letting liaison librarians know about it; they can share more widely with faculty across campus.

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: Not as much staff time spent processing tangibles

Carolyn Frenger -> All Participants: No longer having to lift 25+ boxes!

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Increased acces but is it improved access?

Bernadette Johnson -> All Participants: Easier and quicker access and sharing.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Elisabeth

Carolyn Frenger -> All Participants: oops! 25+ lbs boxes

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Another type of opporunity for promotion of our role in the FDLP and promoting access

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: providing greater access to new materials if users can't get to our library

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: Being able to highlight more publications and send out lists of materials with links that go directly to the item. And less time sheleving and less concerns about leaks, floods, natural disasters.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: +1 Elisabeth and Andrea

Laura Sare -> All Participants: Less weeding for space

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: Greater access to digitized historic material--very helpful for email reference.

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: greater access to our students who are online only and out of state

Andrea Stelljes -> All Participants: Since our tangible print collection is non-circulating, an all-digital FDLP will certainly improve access, especially if the historical collection is digitized.

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: +1 Susanne

Allen Moye -> All Participants: Greater access

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Reaching more users

Rita Wilson -> All Participants: Being able to provide access to government information as our physical collection shrinks. Students expect it all online.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Promoting access to historical and primary documents, that we simply cannot house as a small community college selective

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Less concern about lack of access if libraries shut down physically again due to peaks in the pandemic.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Being able to embed in learning modules,, online tutorials, and guides

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Aimee

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: +1

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Having digitized documents can make research easier, re: document searching and the ability to copy/paste content from documents. It's also easier to share digitized documents with students, faculty, and other patrons. But print documents are easier to browse or flip through the pages. And as Rick noted, indexes are much easier to use in print. Depending on the source, I like to use a hybrid approach -- use both print and digitized documents for research.

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: +1 Aimee

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: +1 Jennifer

Bernadine Abbott Hoduski -> All Participants: seems to me that docs librarians need to work together to get support for funding, space, and status.

Mary Clark -> All Participants: working in a closed stacks library, no one has ever browsed our collection except online

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: Improved metadata description and cataloging records, supporting amazing user discovery, like we have seen in the detailed records for resources govinfo!

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: A suggestion. Maybe FDL/GPO should be more proactive about advertising to the public about the web access. If the information was advertised more there might be a greater surge in desire for access. Many people outside of my library (in the community in general) do not know about Gov Docs. Nevermind FDL.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: FDL tattoos do wonders for public outreach!

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Scott

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: +1 Scott

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: My community college students LOVED the Ben Tattoos!

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Agree Will

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: I love to talk about the FDL and to discuss how gov info can help a project or assignment. Having it digital makes it even easier and relatable to most people I work with.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: In relation to Scott's comment, throwing it back to earlier today, GPO used to do PSAs in both radio and TV spots. It should definitely come back.

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: More resources for GPO/FDL to preserve and provide access to authentic, official digital content!

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: +1 Aimee

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Aimee

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: +1 Charlie

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: I'd also love to see the posters make a comeback.

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: A big promo item refresh is coming in 2022

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: They used to be more fun, less factual; tricks people into being interested!

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Glad to hear it, Kelly!

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: WOOOOO!!!!!!

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: Fantastic!
Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Yay Kelly!!!!
Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Whoo-hoo!
Kelley Plass -> All Participants: yay!!!!
Joy Urbina -> All Participants: Woohoo!!!
JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Yay!!
Joy Urbina -> All Participants: How often can we order?
Kathy Hale -> All Participants: we did one with Ben in your library
Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: D.
Joy Urbina -> All Participants: C
Christopher Shaver -> All Participants: c
Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: C--but currently by appt only
John Olson -> All Participants: A, B & C
Sydney Tallman -> All Participants: C
Tabitha Carr -> All Participants: abc
Brent Abercrombie -> All Participants: c
Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: A and C
Becki White -> All Participants: a, b, and c
Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: Open for the general public.
Kelley Plass -> All Participants: A,B, & C
Mary Ries -> All Participants: a, b, c
karina ricker -> All Participants: c
Mary Bloomquist -> All Participants: abc
Chris Brady -> All Participants: A & B
Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: *ABD
Susanne Caro -> All Participants: A, B, C
Tonia Wood -> All Participants: A,C
Patricia Kenly -> All Participants: C.
Kathy Hale -> All Participants: a,b, and c
Jeanne Vince -> All Participants: C from Jeanne in Tampa
Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: sorry- A and D!
Min Shaheen -> All Participants: a, b, & c
Allen Moyer -> All Participants: ABC
Shawn Pierce -> All Participants: Can you repeat that slowly?
Yvonne Williams -> All Participants: a, c
Bradley Seybold -> All Participants: C
Antoinette Avila -> All Participants: C
Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: shrinking
Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Too small.
Becki White -> All Participants: Small and static for now.
Laura Sare -> All Participants: We are under a hiring freeze for librarians, and we are short on staff and student workers
Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Stable and adequate.
Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Small but remaining static for now.
Allen Moyer -> All Participants: Stretched thin
Sydney Tallman -> All Participants: Stable
Isobel Moody -> All Participants: Very tiny.
Mary Bloomquist -> All Participants: Fully staffed

Brian Garrison -> All Participants: Stable and adequate.

Jim Gillispie -> All Participants: Adequate

Renee Bosman -> All Participants: Lots of retirements. Short staffed

Bernadette Johnson -> All Participants: Shrinking

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: Adequate

Brent Abercrombie -> All Participants: Stable

William Sudduth -> All Participants: 22 positions vacant

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: Overall library or as it pertains to those who are working on the FDLP collections?

Lisa Hartman -> All Participants: Stable

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: Describe the current staffing landscape in your library.

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Librarians/staff who retire aren't being replaced.

John Olson -> All Participants: In flux.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Still trying to fill 3 positions.....

Jeanne Vince -> All Participants: Stable.

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: Stable.

Tami Morse -> All Participants: Cripplingly understaffed. We lost a lot of people during the pandemic (but not to the pandemic thank goodness!)

Jackie McFadden -> All Participants: Greatly reduced staff with a hiring freeze.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: NAU is trying to fill vacated positions from the pandemic.

karina ricker -> All Participants: shrinking with no permission to fill vacancies

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: We were understaffed but are getting back to normal.

Mary Ries -> All Participants: Stable

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: stable

Hayley Johnson -> All Participants: stable

Leaola Brock -> All Participants: Small and shrinking

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: we've had a lot of newer staff join us recently (turnover), but we are stable. a few vacant positions that are open or will open soon

Yvonne Williams -> All Participants: Stable staffing.

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: Losing positions

William Sudduth -> All Participants: library budget was reduced \$1.75 million due to COVID

Bradley Seybold -> All Participants: Short staffed - trying to fill 1 position

Lynne Maes -> All Participants: Retired staff were not replaced, but staff is stable for now.

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: understaffed, trying to fill two possibly three positions

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: stable but working in a hybrid situation

Jim Gillispie -> All Participants: Fewer candidates for open librarian positions.

Mary Clark -> All Participants: lots of hiring - difficulty finding applicants and qualified people, still can't convince admin to hire a gov docs libn full time :)

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: We have ~5 vacant tenure track faculty librarian positions due to unfilled retirements

Zachary Broughton -> All Participants: Doing better than before, but still understaffed in my opinion.

Julieta Calderon -> All Participants: fluctates as new staff is hired but others leave for various reasons

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: 1 FT staff 1 part timer, a part time Librarian, and one short hours student worker. If people leave we are not being replaced, unless it is for the current "Hot topics" Like AI labs, VR labs, Maker spaces....

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: +1 Jim and Mary

Beth Downing -> All Participants: understaffed. vacancies are reallocated to other areas within the library

Simon Healey -> All Participants: Beyond thin down at least 40+ lobarooms / 100+ para hiring freeze and approx 10 branches closed each day ... everyone in my units is doing work of 2+ librarians

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: we had several vacants positions filled so new faces!

Alicia Kubas -> All Participants: gov pubs is stable but the library as a whole is woefully understaffed with slow hiring after retirements, turnover, etc.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: In fairness, I just got hired to fill the coordinator position, after a two-year vacancy. I was pleasantly surprised.

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: teleworking - at home three days two days in library

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: less than 2 FTE to manage our FDLP

William Sudduth -> All Participants: 8.75% reduction

Paivi Rentz -> All Participants: Hiring freeze, staff wearing multiple hats

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: Many new staff do not stay because of greater workload among fewer people

Paivi Rentz -> All Participants: Lots of turnover and disarray because of lost knowledge

Simon Healey -> All Participants: Short answer it's not good here in Philadelphia ... library system is in big trouble due to many many open positions and a hiring freeze

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Morale is pretty good here on my campus.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Morale - kind of split between those who have come back to work and those still working from home.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: We are filling positions

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: What are you interested in or excited about in the upcoming year related to U.S. Government information or Federal depository libraries?

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: We are all working in the library and morale is quite good.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: FDLP collection is managed by me as F/T and 1 P/T. I manage all cataloging, processing, and ILL in addition to being the FDLP Coordinator. My 1 P/T Library Associate who works on Gov Docs as part of her Tech Services duties, she also does ILL, and also works the Circ Desk. All our Library Associates (1 F?T until June retirement and 3 P/T all work in Tech Services and Circulation Desk. In search process now for another P/T Library Associate.

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: New FDLP promotional material Kelly alluded to.

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Looking forward to celebrating our 50th anniversary as a FDL in 2023! Yay party!

Laura Sare -> All Participants: 1950 Census

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: Congrats JoAnna!

Brian Garrison -> All Participants: Laura +1

William Sudduth -> All Participants: GODORT's 50th anniversary

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: If possible, an in-person Fall DLC!

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Discovering more about my collection materials (I learn more every day!)

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: We are planning a BIG celebration on Sept 20 as a combined 55th FDLP anniversary, Constitution Day, and National Voters Registration Day!

Laura Sare -> All Participants: +1 Rick

Mary Bloomquist -> All Participants: Release of the 1950 census

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Census!

Dawn Combe -> All Participants: + 1 Rick

Bernadette Johnson -> All Participants: Possibility of attending another in-person DLC conference and improving my depository collection.

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: And huzzah on these anniversaries, I wish our library knew ours!

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: Oooh! That sounds amazing Andrea!

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: Don't forget to send photos and details of your celebrations so we can

feature you on GPO social media. kseifert@gpo.gov

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 William

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Rick

Ronnie Joiner -> All Participants: I'm excited to finish some projects I'm working on to help direct people to online digital materials, and making more room on the shelves

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Sadly GPO hasn't been able to figure it out so far either, but thanks Aimee!

Laurie Hall -> All Participants: Don't forget to ask GPO for anniversary items!!

Sinai Wood -> All Participants: Check the Serial Set it may say when you became an FDL.

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: Completing our huge depository collection move... only 2.5+ years in the making

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: Making progress in our inventory of docs

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: What are some of the successes you and your library has had over the past year?

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: Doing a webinar about U.S Census and release of 1950 Census and getting back to our building in Harrisburg.

Will Stringfellow -> All Participants: Our student assistants copy cataloged 2669 gov docs titles in the month of Feb

Becki White -> All Participants: Working on a new website, which has been a 15+ year project for me!

Sinai Wood -> All Participants: Kept us in the program for now!!!! Had to give an impromptu sales pitch!

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: Alma migration with new process for documents

John Olson -> All Participants: Adding more titles as a preservation steward to our list.

Laura Sare -> All Participants: Serving on DLC - I enjoyed working with GPO and everyone on Council

Mary Bloomquist -> All Participants: Reopened after being closed after we were hit by 2 hurricanes.

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Made several promo videos for the collection and retro cataloging of print docs of Dept. of Energy and related agencies. Now working on Coast Guard.

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Upgraded 2/3 of our electronic compact shelving and participating in Pilot Project 1 with GPO!

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Been able to add a 20 hr per week temp with 40+ years of gov info experience

Anna Xiong -> All Participants: developed and delivered new census short courses on campus

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Starting to figure out Alma/Primo

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Actually hiring a dedicated GovDocs librarian! (I'm biased, but thrilled just as an FDLF fan)

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: Alma migration

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: we hired a new documents technician who shows great promise!

Cass Hartnett -> All Participants: Holding another virtual Constitution Read-Aloud, this time with more critical reflection from a diverse panel.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: +1 Gwen

Tekla Slider -> All Participants: Filled 7 vacant positions

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: Being a Preservation Steward and Print Selector. First one in the NE for FR!

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Yea Cass!!

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: Thanks to funding from the State Library of Oregon, Portland State hired an excellent one-year cataloger to process our backlog. We cataloged 24,469 previously uncataloged items, 4,982 are uniquely held by PSU in Oregon.

Mark Hamilton -> All Participants: Item profile review

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Congratualtions Kathy Hale!

Caroline Gilson -> All Participants: A colleague discovered a glitch in Smithsonian Contributions digital collections and the linking to WMS - the ball is in Smithsonian's court, but hopefully this will help others too.

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Cass, make sure I'm on that list! Wouldn't want to break my streak!

Alicia Kubas -> All Participants: making a plan for serial set preservation and finishing up most of our deduplication weeding

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Teaching LIS government information courses for two universities with my wonderful co-instructor, Andrea Morrison.

Bernadette Johnson -> All Participants: Currently enrolled in the Coordinator Certification Program and learning a lot.

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Photography class came to library did candid shots of the collection and mounted photos along the hallway outside govinfo

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: @Rick, that is amazing!

Cass Hartnett -> All Participants: Morrison and Morgan ROCK as instructors! They let me lurk on their class for the first time. Lots of new documents librarians being minted.

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Congrats, Alicia!

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: Using my FDLP ang GPO resources to help as a BSA Merit Badge counselor for Citizenship in the Nation and Citizenship in the Community merit badges

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Thanks Cass! :-)

Alicia Kubas -> All Participants: and how could i forget: our cataloging partnership with GPO!

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Great on BSA Merit Badge

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: Participating with National History Day and GPO for a featured article in GovInfo

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: What topics or challenges would you like the Depository Library Council to address?

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Ideas for improved user engagement and outreach

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 William

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: +1 William

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Definitely how to quantify/explain to Directors/Deans why it's important to remain in the program if we go all digital. Especially w/the cybersecurity issues.

Elizabeth Williams -> All Participants: How you picture small selectives fitting into the digital depository picture.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Charlie

Becki White -> All Participants: +1 Elizabeth!

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Promote the value of gov info education to Librray and Information Science programs

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Elizabeth

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: +1Elizabeth

Alicia Kubas -> All Participants: +1 Bill

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: +1 Bill

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: +1 William

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +! William - another good one

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Get the AdCouncil to promote FDLP. If Ghostbusters can be used for Emergency Preparedness plans, we can also do FDLP!

Jackie McFadden -> All Participants: +1 Bill

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: + 1 Charlie

Cass Hartnett -> All Participants: +1 Monsieur Sudduth

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: William, do you have a list of LIS programs that don't include a course in government information?

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: +Charlie

William Sudduth -> All Participants: My child will graduate a SIS program that did not teach gov info

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Charlie - I bring out the Zombie CDC gov doc for displays :)

Rich Gause -> All Participants: Figure out how to balance promoting the benefits of where we're headed (National Collection, digital depositories, etc.) with making sure that deans/directors understand that we're not there yet so they can't just toss out the collections.

Cass Hartnett -> All Participants: I agree with Rich. There's a lot of nuance in this transition.

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: + Rick

Scott Briggs -> All Participants: +10 Rich!

karina ricker -> All Participants: +1 Rich

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: +1 Rich

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Rich

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: There are very few programs that have a docs course :(I think the last time I counted there were maybe 5

Kelley Plass -> All Participants: How you will handle the legal requirement for tangible Official Records like the CFR and US reports, will the electronic editions become the official record?

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: +1 Kelley

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Cass taught my GovPubs class, you can all blame her for creating me.

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Kelley

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: And Jennifer and Andrea taught my Gov Docs class!

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: Promoting the FDLP academy to MLS programs?

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: And we are thankful they taught all 3 of you. Welcome to the community!

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Could GPO create a general glossary page on FDLP.gov?

Andrea Craley -> All Participants: +1 Susanne

Rick Mikulski -> All Participants: Cass' classes are so good they have encouraged students to get FDLP tattoos: <https://journals.ala.org/index.php/dtpp/article/view/7475/10327>

Kathy Hale -> All Participants: promoting print selectors for future tangibles

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: noted and +1 Kathy

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: @ Jennifer We are in the process of creating a glossary.

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Thanks Cindy! And thank you to Renee!

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Thanks to Will and Laura for your service on DLC, especially as our chair and secretary respectively. We will miss you beginning June 1!

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Those stories inspired me to get my own tattoo. Cass has much power.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Cass is phenomenol!

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: I strongly encourage applications for the Pilot Projects. If not, I'll apply again! :)

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: Learn more and apply for Pilot 2: <https://www.fdlp.gov/project-list/lscm-pilot-projects>

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: The impact to me was quite light. If you want to ask me about my experience, please reach out jen.kirk@usu.edu

Bernadette Johnson -> All Participants: Thank you!!

Leslie Cunningham -> All Participants: Thanks, all

Joy Urbina -> All Participants: Thank you!

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: Thank you!

Mark Ames -> All Participants: Thanks!

Jeanne Vince -> All Participants: Thank you. Haven't been in Docs for many years, and now back in it.
Jeanne from UT Tampa FL

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: Does not seem like 3 years!

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: Haha!

Janelle Breedveld -> All Participants: Thanks Will!

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: Thank you, Will. You have been an exceptional leader of the DLC.

Gwen Sinclair -> All Participants: Thanks to you, Will, for your leadership, and to all DLC members for your service!

William Sudduth -> All Participants: Thanks Laurie and Will

Laurie Hall -> All Participants: Yea Will, thanks for your work with GPO and all the DLC members whose terms are ending! Such incredible work and achievements. are

Alicia Kubas -> All Participants: thanks will, council, gpo, and all! this was a fantastic spring meeting.

Melissa Fairfield -> All Participants: +1 Kelly

Elizabeth Eckert -> All Participants: Thanks, Will! Great work!!

Jennifer Morgan -> All Participants: Thank you Will, DLC, GPO, and FDL community!

Laurie Hall -> All Participants: Great work and reports from the Working Groups!!! Much work coming for GPO!

Allen Moye -> All Participants: Congratulations and thanks, Will. Great job!

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: +1 Alicia. Thank you for being such a wonderful leader. You are very inspiring and a great leader.

Kelly Seifert -> All Participants: Thank you Will, Rick, and Laura for your service these last 3 years.

Robin Mize -> All Participants: That's actually the reason I became a librarian, but it's a little startling to hear the responsibility of it from someone else, haha

Elisabeth Garner -> All Participants: Renee will be great as Secretary!

Andrea Stelljes -> All Participants: Thanks for a great conference/meeting. Nice job DLC members and GPO staff

Jen Kirk -> All Participants: Thank you Laura, for your service as our Secretary this past year

Vicki Tate -> All Participants: Thanks, Will, for your outstanding leadership during your year as Chair. Also thanks to Laura Sare who will be going off DLC. Glad Rick will be staying around for another year.

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Thank you to Laura Sare, who has been an outstanding Secretary to Council.!

JoAnna McCulley -> All Participants: thank you everyone! Wonderful spring meeting!

Cindy Etkin -> All Participants: Thank you all for attending the sessions, providing your thoughts, and ideas. Thank you, too, for your continued dedication to the FDL, and access to Government information.

Andrea Morrison -> All Participants: Special thanks to the Working Group chairs! Great meeting!

Min Shaheen -> All Participants: Thank you!

Susanne Caro -> All Participants: Thank you Will!

Aimee Quinn -> All Participants: Bye all! thanks!

Jackie McFadden -> All Participants: Thank you!

Rebecca Hyde -> All Participants: Thank you!

Brian Garrison -> All Participants: Thank you all!

Chris Brady -> All Participants: Thank you!

Lynne Maes -> All Participants: Thank you all!

Wendy Etchison -> All Participants: Thank you very much!

Sandy Himel -> All Participants: Thank you all!

Charlie (she-her) Amiot -> All Participants: Thank you!

Renee Bosman -> All Participants: Thanks Will for serving as Chair!

Barbara Darrow -> All Participants: Thanks to everyone!

---End Transcript---