

## National Collection Strategic Plan and the National Collection Service Areas – Chat Log

**Kelly Seifert** -> All Participants: Thank you, everyone. Please join us back here at 2pm (EDT) for, “The National Collection Strategic Plan and National Collection Service Areas.”

**Lisa Russell** -> All Participants: Thanks, Jenny, that's a good idea. For what it's worth, there is a handout linked from the main NCSA web page that lists the states and territories in each NCSA in each NCSA on p. 2. The direct URL to the handout is <https://fdlp.gov/sites/default/files/map-ncsa-number-fdls-02032022.pdf>

**Aimee Quinn** -> All Participants: Thanks for this link to the map, Lisa.

**Katie Salis** -> All Participants: How do we get to Meeting Room B?

**Ashley Dahlen** -> All Participants: Katie - you'll want to “X” out of this webinar session and then get back to the event site's Monday Agenda. The link to Join the Program is now displayed for room b.

**Andie Craley** -> All Participants: I have a line of BINGO! Read the LSCM Update Handout - Verified my FDL Directory Information - FREE Space - Congratulated the Library of the Year - Shared my Location on the Kickoff Map

**Lisa Russell** -> All Participants: @Jenny Groome - makes sense. Thanks.

**Kate Irwin-Smiler** -> All Participants: Andie: I'd have bingo except I was getting coffee when the kickoff map went by :)

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: I got bingo last session and I'm sitting on two ready to hit.

**Kate Irwin-Smiler** -> All Participants: You're an overachiever, Charlie :)

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: It's a real problem.

**Andie Craley** -> All Participants: @Jenny - I am not teleworking, as we are back on campus,, so no sure how the sweatpants thing is going to go.....my position has a certain dress code on campus, lol...

**Robbie Sittel** -> All Participants: I have the same problem as Andie

**Andie Craley** -> All Participants: Way to go Charlie!

**Andie Craley** -> All Participants: Guess I'll have to bring a pair sweatpants with me, just so I temporarily wear them in my office during session....

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: @Andie, You'll need a picture to submit for conference photos.

**Andie Craley** -> All Participants: @Jenny - great workflow question!

**Andie Craley** -> All Participants: @Charlie :)

**Kelly Seifert** -> All Participants: we'll come up with a new square next year to replace the sweatpants :)

**Jennifer Lindley** -> All Participants: @Kelly: Cardigans??

**Lisa Russell** -> All Participants: Cardigan with a tissue in your sleeve!

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: Apologies to my colleague in advance. But changes to Regional collection policies impacts Selectives. When I was coordinator at a Selective, I would not have made collection development decisions I did if I had known that Regional could later discard items.

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: so the threshold for regional discard is now even lower?! That is extremely disappointing

**Kris Aberly** -> All Participants: Agree James

**Cass Hartnett** -> All Participants: My LIS students were surprised with the number of four copies (especially as they had just learned of the # of depositories over the decades ... four seemed small to them).

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: +1 James - yes it is! More than disappointing

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: What happens when federal government is shut down and online documents not accessible?

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: This will upend so many selective weeding policies~38#x2026;

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: Regionals can help if they have a tangible copy

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: having a single paper copy only in 1 regional of a state is already a burden on public access, especially in western states where the regional may be hundreds of miles away

**Cass Hartnett** -> All Participants: I assume Jenny's question is one of the reasons we still have print-on-paper from Congress.

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: +1 James. And what happens if the print copy is damaged - circulated and not returned?

**Aimee Quinn** -> All Participants: +1 James. I am extremely concerned for those depositories who serve underserved populations who have issues with Internet/wireless connections. These are the same populations who cannot travel. I have said before 4 copies is not nearly enough.

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: Since this first was presented people have commented that "no fewer than four" is not enough. That comment has come up every time I've seen this topic presented

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: This (and next) map is what I mean about not ADA compliant

**Aimee Quinn** -> All Participants: Kate, do you have a map with those numbers on it?

**Kate Pitcher** -> All Participants: <https://www.fdlp.gov/about-the-fdlp/national-collection-service-areas>

**Valerie Glenn** -> All Participants: @Aimee the numbers (broken down by state) are available in this document: <https://fdlp.gov/sites/default/files/map-ncsa-number-fdls-02032022.pdf>

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: This is when DDM3 would be really helpful - to add NCSA

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: another access issue is that if a library decides to be a preservation partner for a particular title, will they still do ILL for that title?

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: To those who were still getting fiche, has the cessation of FDLP fiche caused you to consider weeding/discarding fiche altogether, as possible?

**Holly Chambers** -> All Participants: Yes.

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: How can you lend a preservation copy on ILL?

**Aimee Quinn** -> All Participants: @Valerie, thank you. I read it in that document. I wanted to know if it was mapped.

**Janelle Breedveld** -> All Participants: Our regional library will continue to retain the fiche that we have.

**Kate Peck** -> All Participants: We have been discussing with what to do with our collection of fiche, likely retaining what we have.

**Aimee Quinn** -> All Participants: @Janelle, thank you for that information.

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: So there may be more copies of a title within a region, but it may not be "accessible" and puts an additional burden on the library for digitizing ILL'd documents. There should be a process whereby the preservation library who scans a document then deposits that document into GOVINFO.

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: +1 JJ

**Sarah Klein** -> All Participants: @Charlie-Our Regional has graciously agreed to take all of our fiche as it was just sitting in drawers and was never used.

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: Do all preservation stewards digitize the tangible items?

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: I wish NCSA followed what Rhode Island FDLP libraries do - they coordinate item selection

**Scott Briggs** -> All Participants: @James, Adding extra burden to Preservation libraries, might cause them to choose to NOT be a Steward. Our library doesn't have the staffing to be able to digitize tangible items.

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: +1 Scott

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: +1 @Scott

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: Most of our fiche collection (if not all) is in Hein, which we

subscribe to, and if our fiche reader breaks, replacing it is going to be a HARD sell.

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: What is a Regional if it isn't required to keep documents? And claim from discards lists of Selectives? If a Selective sees another Selective's discard list, there is an assumption the Regional will have all those documents listed.

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: I would like to see regionals get together and provide digital archives (perhaps as part of their institutional repositories) for those titles that they decide to only select in digital format.

**Kris Abery** -> All Participants: +1 JJ agree but I'm not sure that would be possible for some regionals

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: Sounds like 2001 (or so) FDLP Consultant model that didn't get off the ground. GPLNE had tried to be part of pilot project

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: +1 James

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: I'm not sure either, but I would feel more comfortable if regionals would step into the digital preservation space

**Kris Abery** -> All Participants: @James good point

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: James - some Regionals want out of the program. I think it might push them to leave

**David Walls** -> All Participants: Hi Jenny. Preservation Stewards may choose to digitally image the publications under their stewardship, but they are not required to do so. If a library is digitally imaging Fed docs, we would like to work with them to ensure the imaging meets the guideline we follow and encourage them to become Digital Content Contributor Partners. Those partners digitally imaging gov docs and that content is added to Gov Info.

**Kris Abery** -> All Participants: We're a preservation steward in CT but only for the print copy. We don't have the capacity to digitize every preservation copy

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: +1 Kris - exactly!

**Scott Briggs** -> All Participants: +1 Kris

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: There have been comments over and over again that "no fewer than four" is NOT enough. Those comments seem to be ignored

**Kerry Scott** -> All Participants: this seems very reasonable, rational, and I appreciate the critical thinking that went into this approach

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: But Selectives count on Regionals. If Regional chooses to discard, etc. it impacts Selectives

**Abigail McDermott** -> All Participants: LSCM can assist Preservation Stewards or Regionals with replacing copies for those lost/damaged via FDLP eXchange. LSCM also cover shipping for Preservation Stewards.

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: Where would they get the copy? If there are now only 3 tangible copies, who would risk damaging their copy by scanning it?

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: I haven't read anyone saying that FDLP is forcing Regionals, I think our bigger concern is that FDLP is changing the rules PERMITTING them to do so.

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: +1 Charlie - exactly!

**Elisabeth Garner** -> All Participants: There are also regionals who are taking part of the "Shared Regional" option too; like UNC Chapel Hill and Univ. of Virginia have done. Making sure print copies of certain runs are maintained, while the other regional can choose to discard

**Kate Pitcher** -> All Participants: Partnerships and Preservation Stewards:  
<https://www.fdlp.gov/about/partnerships>

**Kate Pitcher** -> All Participants: Regional Online Selections: <https://fdlp.gov/regional-online-selections>

**Kate Pitcher** -> All Participants: Selective Housing Agreements:  
<https://www.fdlp.gov/guidance/selective-housing-agreements-sha>

**Kathleen HALE** -> All Participants: I think that the Digitization depends on the size of what is being

preserved.

**Kris Abery** -> All Participants: If a library doesn't have the ability to digitize preservation documents is that something GPO could take on?

**Rick Mikulski** -> All Participants: I agree that Regional Coordinators will not dash to discard. Anyone who makes it to a regional coordinator position will have an appreciation and respect for the materials ~38amp; their state collection. I do worry, though, that their library directors can use this policy to force/pressure their docs librarians to discard. As a hypothetical illustrative example, I imagine the library director at R1 University suddenly realizing they can \*Finally\* weed their regional collection, and then lean on their reluctant docs librarian to discard materials

**Jenny Groome** -> All Participants: +1 Kris - yes

**Debora Jarrell** -> All Participants: Is there a grant program that might be able to pay for the digitization of depository items?

**Janelle Breedveld** -> All Participants: It might be helpful to share a link for the specific publications that are currently eligible for Regional Discard and Regional Online Only Selection.

**Kris Abery** -> All Participants: @Debora Jarrell good question

**Oksana Osborne** -> All Participants: from Kris Abery to All Participants: If a library doesn't have the ability to digitize preservation documents is that something GPO could take on?

**Abigail McDermott** -> All Participants: Janelle, you can find the current Regional Online Selection and Regional Discard authorizations within each NCSA on the new NCSA pages: <https://fdlp.gov/about-the-fdlp/national-collection-service-areas>

**Debora Jarrell** -> All Participants: My library has a Title 3 grant to digitize some of our old books. Something like this might help libraries that can't afford to do it.

**Suzanne Ebanues** -> All Participants: GPO has a process for determining our digitization priorities. If a title under Preservation Stewardship fell within those priorities, it would be up for GPO digitization.

**Kate Pitcher** -> All Participants: Each of the NCSA web pages has a list of the current authorized titles eligible for Regional Discard in their NCSA.

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: \*cough\* Partner w/HeinOnline \*cough\*

**Kate Pitcher** -> All Participants: For example, here is the West NCSA page with those lists: <https://fdlp.gov/about-the-FDLP/national-collection-service-areas/west-national-collection-service-area>

**Suzanne Ebanues** -> All Participants: Preservation Stewards are a tangible focused partnership. If you are digitizing, please consider becoming a Digital Content Contributor.

**Jennifer Morgan** -> All Participants: +1 Charlie

**Rick Mikulski** -> All Participants: Main issue with relying upon Hein for digitization is that it (I believe) ends up behind a paywall.

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: That's why I'd like to see a partnership.

**Kristina Bobe** -> All Participants: Agreed, Pilot Projects are small-scale, selective projects. Please attend the session tomorrow at 2:15 for more information.

**Vicki Tate** -> All Participants: Rick is correct. Hein is NOT free to all and most smaller libraries cannot afford.

**Jen Kirk** -> All Participants: More information about the Pilot Projects tomorrow in the 2:15-3:15 session. Also, check out the poster in the conference's poster gallery.

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: I assure you, well aware Hein is not free to all. But the right partnership could change that.

**Jen Kirk** -> All Participants: Pilot Project 1 is for Temporary War-time agencies.

**Jen Kirk** -> All Participants: (WWII)

**Stephani Rodgers** -> All Participants: I'm sorry if this has already been answered - does GPO have a way to help provide computing hardware to small/rural libraries?

**Jen Kirk** -> All Participants: Thanks, Lisa!

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: maybe GPO could reach out and partner with IMLS to advocate for more govinfo projects granted through IMLS grants?

**Charlie (she-her) Amiot** -> All Participants: @Stephani, hard no from what I've gathered over the years.

**Kelly Seifert** -> All Participants: The poster on LSCM Pilot Projects is available here:  
<https://www.fdlp.gov/2022-poster-presentation>

**Kris Abery** -> All Participants: +1 JJ

**Kristina Bobe** -> All Participants: Pilot Projects poster also have more information:  
<https://fdlp.gov/2022-poster-presentation>

**Kristina Bobe** -> All Participants: \*has

**Lisa Pritchard** -> All Participants: Thanks, Kristina. :)

**Kathleen HALE** -> All Participants: A library might be able to go through their state through IMLS grants

**Stephani Rodgers** -> All Participants: Laurie, I want you to have ALL the powers. :)

**Lisa Pritchard** -> All Participants: That cracked me up, Sephani. :)

**Stephani Rodgers** -> All Participants: Thank you for wrestling with this!

**Mary Bangert** -> All Participants: Some state prison have document digitization services - some even for free. (eg. OCI Oklahoma - yearbook digitization)

**Kate Peck** -> All Participants: Thank you.

**Vicki Tate** -> All Participants: Thanks to Cindy and Kate for the clear presentation.

**Carolyn Ottoson** -> All Participants: thank you!

**Kathy Wu** -> All Participants: thank you!

**Lisa Pritchard** -> All Participants: Thank you for presenting this.

**Jennifer Morgan** -> All Participants: Thank you Cindy and Kate!

**Thomas Rohrig** -> All Participants: Thank you! Lots of food for thought!

**Barbara Darrow** -> All Participants: Thanks for an interesting presentation and discussion.

**James Jacobs** -> All Participants: btw I'm not sure using unpaid prison labor to digitize FDLP collections is a good way to go