

DLC Business Meeting – Transcript of audio

Hello, everyone. Welcome. It is 3:30 Eastern daylight Time and I would like to call the fall 2022 depository library Council meeting to order. And welcome councilmembers and guests that are here today. We will begin with roll call and then we will have the secretaries report and we will move on to working group reports. Councils will have some time for discussion and will be time at the very end for some community questions and contributions. If you have thoughts or questions for us at the end of this visiting meeting. So we will get started with roll call and Renee Bosman, the D.O.C.s secretary will proceed with roll call. Thank you, Renee.

You're welcome. So, councilmembers, I will call names. I am just going to read names off the website. And as I read your name, please unmute yourself and say present or here and let us know. Rick Mikulski?

Present.

Julio Ezzo?

Present.

Vicki Tate? Does anyone here Vicki? Jennifer Morgan?

I am present.

Lisa Pritchard ? I know Lisa is present.

Sorry. I am present and then I lost the unmute button. Apologies.

Aimee Quinn?

Present.

Alan Moye?

Present.

Richard lighter? Is Richard here? I see him as a presenter. He might be muted. Any law Carol?

Present.

Allie Smith?

Present.

Rob Erdman meet?

Present.

And Suzanne Carol.

This is Vicki. If you call my name, I am here.

Okay, great. Vicki and Suzanne. Suzanne may just be having audio issues. I see she is muting and unmuted.

I am here. Can you hear me?

Yes. Great. All right. Everyone is present.

[Indiscernible - overlapping speakers]

Yeah. Thank you, Renee. Now it is time for the secretary's report.

You're welcome. Just saying that the minutes from the spring 2022 Council meeting were distributed earlier. They are also available online on the FDLP webpage. So counsel has already have the opportunity to review those minutes and I am just opening the floor to see if there are any questions or corrections to that.

No corrections, this is Jen Kirk. I move that we accept the minutes from the spring the LC meeting.

This is Aimee Quinn. I second.

Are there any other questions or corrections? If there are none, we will accept the minutes and they will be approved by unanimous consent. Okay. The minutes are approved from the spring 2022 depository library Council meeting. Thank you. Okay. Our next item of business is the election of the 2023s/2024 depository library Council chair. At this time, I would like to call for nominations. Are there any nominations for next year's chair?

This is Valerie Glenn. I nominate Jen clerk of Utah State University, of the next DLC chair.

Thank you, Valerie. Jen Kirk, do you accept this nomination?

I do. Thank you, Valerie. Thank you, Lisa.

Are there any other nominations for chair for DLC for next year?

This is Aimee Quinn. I strongly second Jen Kirk.

[Laughter]

Okay. Thank you, Aimee. That is appreciated . Anybody else have any acclamation's they would like to make because if there are no other nominations, we can elect Jen Kirk to chair by the process of acclamation with our enthusiastic response being enough in this case. We don't have to have a formal for and against vote. So I will call one more time for nominations. Hearing none, congratulations, Jen Kirk, on being elected unanimously by your fellow councilmembers to be chair next year. Can we all take a moment and give Jen a big round of applause and show her our appreciation and enthusiasm.

Thanks, Lisa. Thanks, everyone in the chat.

Yay! All right. Okay. The next item is Working Group Reports. So I will keep my video and audio muted and we will move on -- for each report, we will move on for each report. Our first report is the discovery and election which will now be called the subcommittee, and that is a transition that we are making. Previously a working group but a standing subcommittee. Thank you, Valerie.

Thank you, Lisa. For everyone who doesn't know me, my name is Valerie Glenn. I am the head of the map and government library and the regional transits of Georgia. This year, I had the pleasure of serving as the chair of collection and discovery services subcommittee. Kelly, if you could advance the slide. Thank you. So as Lisa mentioned, we are definitely still in transition between working group and subcommittee. Just so you know, we are a standing subcommittee of the DLC established at the request of GPO at 2019 and our charge is to assist in coordinating the thoughts, research, plans, and efforts related to the management and development of the national collection of U.S. government public information as well as the expansion and enhancement of the discovery and access services GPO provides to its stakeholders and to engage the depository community in these processes. Kelly, next slide. So our membership. Like many counsel working groups,/committees, consist of members of the depository memory counsel, and the depository library community. This year, again, I am serving as chair. Suzanne Karo is our secretary. And other DLC members include Vicki Tate, Jennifer Morton, Richard Leiter and Kelly Smith. And then from the depository library community at large, we have Michael maven, at the University law library and will Stringfellow and Brenda Bette University. So just a quick overview of the lights activities that we have undertaken in the past six months as we did submit a report on both reviewer ports and submitted reports on lessons learned from two open forms that we held last year. In order to better determine what our community activities would be moving forward. So you may have -- you may recall from the spring virtual meeting, we had some lessons learned. After that, we reviewed the chat log as well as a presentation to determine what areas the community is still interested in. Part of our committee meetings is that -- you may have seen, I think, last week there was a news alert where GPO is exploring the use of terms -- in policy areas, use of terms used in gpo.gov in congressional hearings as a group we discussed that with Stephen from LSD on library technical services. I strongly encourage GPO to move forward with that. Also, as we transition from a working group into a subcommittee, we haven't reviewing our founding charter, clarifying information about the committee including the terms of service, thinking about organization in general and membership. You may have noticed that our community members are fewer than we have had in the past and that is because one area of discussion is related to the recruitment of and expectations for committee members. And most recently, in yesterday's program on community collections and national contributions, pilot projects with GPO was an an idea that came out of this questions from this group, as we realized that if we aren't all that familiar with what GPO is doing, then probably the community at large needs a refresher as well. Next slide, Kelly. Okay. So, some steps. Clearly, we're in the process of moving changes to the founding document for approval by Depository Library Council and once that happens, we do plan to solicit more community members to kind of make the group more robust and ensure that we are responding to the needs of the community and incorporating y'all's opinions into will work. We are also excited about the

strategic plan for the national collection of U.S. government public information. We will be discussing future activities in the context of that plan as well as the national collection service area framework and I think just participating in the discussions from this meeting and seeing some of the questions surrounding this new framework, I think that will yield some really interesting discussion and activities for our subcommittee. And so that's the update that I have on the collections and discovery services group and so I guess now I am going to turn it over to Alan for the unique challenges and underserved populations. Thank you.

Give me one second.

Thank you so much.

Can you all see and hear me?

Yes. We can.

Thank you. Okay. I am going to kind of go over some of what I mentioned during our presentation yesterday just to bring everybody up to speed, but our group is called Unique Challenges and Underserved Populations Working Group . We have referred to ourselves as UPQ. So this is our charge. And as I said yesterday, this group was formed out of some discussion from our presentation in last fall's conference. I believe it was Celesta Welch, Todd, Dana Chandler, and Scholl Ferguson but gave a presentation about some of the issues facing certain FDL libraries. They focus mainly on HBCUs I and tribal libraries. But it opened up some discussion among so counsel about other libraries that may be having similar challenges and sorts of issues. So we, again, petitioned directed how Byrne to create a working group and -- which he did in January -- and our charge is to study federal depository libraries at Affinity focused institutions and other which unique needs and challenges that impact their ability to provide access tangible and digital depository resources. Today determine possible solutions and provide recommendations that include ways in which the U.S. government office can better support these libraries. Our goal is to deliver a report to director how Byrne by next March. We made to need to ask for a little bit because we just are looking at the data we hope to gather some information from folks and things like that, but we certainly have some preliminary information at that time. Next slide. So this is our membership and I was just alerted that there is an error here. So we have the depository library councilmembers and I think we have included Dana Chandler of the skeezy, who also is one of our many members along with Jane Canfield. Jane Canfield is also a community member. I believe that is true. And then, of course from the GPO, Cindy Aiken, Jamie Hayes, Todd Scuderi and Celeste Welch. As I mentioned yesterday, we divided into five subgroups. Next slide, please and I think we can -- yeah. Okay. Here we are. In terms of the activities, we added some new members, as I said. Amy Rick Mikulski and Robin Meade. We divided into five subgroups to begin the looking at the literature and biennial survey data from 2017 to 2021 and part of the issue, as I mentioned during the presentation yesterday, was the subgroups that we started looking at -- we started out of course with the HBCUs and tribal libraries, but we thought there would be other categories I want to focus on as well so we brought it to include HS eyes or Hispanic serving institutions, public libraries and committee colleges, and rule libraries. And like I said, the tribal colleges and Alaskan, native, and why in have sympathy because those roots were already working together. So that was more logistics than anything else. The biggest challenge, initially, was deciding which libraries were going to be included in how we are going to find them. User ones were the ones that were statutorily defined, we had to do a little bit of other work or outside work to define the rule libraries as well as the small public libraries. So we are in the process now of examining and organizing the data and then, we hope to get some -- develop some focus groups.

A couple of folks to contact me after the presentation yesterday and they are interested in participating in focus groups. So that is kind of the next stage. That is the next up we want to work on is to get some more feedback from focus groups. The other thing that I should mention is that many of us attended some conferences and workshops and made some connections with people within the communities and that is how we got those really good speakers yesterday. I was really impressed with both Juliet the, Heather, and Jonathan. And the research that they did on this to add another perspective and depth to the whole -- to the information that we have. Next slide? Or am I done. Next step. Complete analysis of the data. Possibly convene focus groups. With that said, if any of you want to participate or know anyone who wants to be candidates please reach out to me or Amy. We want to be able to present some findings at the spring DLC virtual meeting. And again, as I said, our goal is to have a final report and recommendation's by the end of the spring 2023. We will see how far we get with that. We are also including urban libraries. Yes.

Thanks, Allen. Thank you so much. I believe Renee Bosman is going to report on exploring the durability of PURLs and their alternatives.

Okay.

Hi!

Hold on, let me get out of the way. [Laughter]

you are fine. I will put my camera on. Yeah. So I am recording for the exploring the durability of PURLs in their alternative working group. Also, another mouthful working group. Here is our report. If you can go to the next slide, please. I will working group charge since March of 98, GPO has been using PURLs to provide stable access to federal information and to changes in collection policies, technologies, et cetera. It has been a long time since the late 1990s. Consecrated a working group to investigate the durability of these resources and look at alternatives. Next slide, please. Our group membership. We currently don't have -- well, our trainer is -- he was the last year's DLC chair and he is still chairing the working group. So will Stringfellow is our chair. Myself, Rick, Amy, Rob, Jennifer -- loops. Looks like our slides gotten mixed up.

[Laughter]

yeah, I was about to say. I did not think those a group I was co-chairing.

But Cindy, Alec, and accede actually how are -- I apologize since I don't have the other slides in front of me if I am leaving out any other members. Next slide, please. Since spring, 2022, we don't have a lot to report. When we met in the spring, our group was kind of finishing up some of our research and getting the final report together. And since April, we just continue to do some work and continued our comes persistent identifier research to polish off our report and are working on kind of editing and proofreading some of the different sections and making sure everything fits together. So we are fairly close. Our report is nearly complete. Next steps. Next slide, please. Our next steps. Our next steps are to complete the report. We are fairly close now. We are kind of in the next last ages. And then, once that report is submitted, this group will sunset. And that is all I have.

All right. Thank you, Renee. Thanks so much and I'm not sure what sort of slide they have gotten to retroactively pull people to be on the committee but I think we'll get that all fixed up. Yeah. We will get

it figured out. The reports are all accurate so that is what matters and we will make sure everybody gets credit for their committee work. And the last working group report is the Long-term Impacts of COVID-19 on FDL Access, Collections, and Services and Rick is going to give that report.

Hi, all. Rick Mikulski happy to give this report. I'm grateful to all of you who sat through the larger presentation earlier. Can we go to the next slide, please? So our charge is to examine the practices, policies, and procedures that were enacted by FDLs since March 2020 as they adapted to the COVID-19 pandemic. The purpose of our group is to assess with changes and one portly, which changes are still in place and could potentially assess which changes remain in place in the foreseeable future. Next slide, please. Our working group membership, and this does look correct, is Aimee Quinn, Allen Moye, Richard Leiter, Lisa Pritchard, that -- on the GPL side, we have lower flints, Abigail McDermitt and Cynthia Akin. Cynthia is also our dedicated officer. Next slide, please. So our next activities for 2022. As I noted, we added two new members to the group so thank you both. We elected a new secretary. So Suzanne, thank you for volunteering for that. The biggest news is that we begun reviewing the data from the 2021 survey related to the working group charge. This was question six on the last biennial service so I sincerely thinks all of you will fill that out. I know you working quite contractually obligated to fill out but think of all the same. We started in the last few months to examine and organize the data, which allow the working group to identify major themes within both the quantitative and qualitative survey and responses. The biggest challenge these last few months has been created workflows and mythological frameworks which we can at analyze the data, particularly the qualitative data. Our hope was to make a system that can be replicated by a totally different group of DLC folk by two years of now when they do a similar study on the next census -- the next biennial survey. In somewhat tension related, our group also worked with GPO to test a new communications platform as well. So in addition to our work, I appreciate that my working group colleagues also fiddled around with that with me. So thank you for that. Next slide, please. So next step. This little bullet point is doing a lot of heavy lifting, but complete analysis of data. Had to guess, I would say maybe three quarters of the data we collected has been analyzed and categorized in is ready to go and be presented. The last part is sort of the off the rails, but whatever response you want section. So we have about 1000 responses that we, as working group, will have to go through and cold in such a way that not only allows us to identify major themes and major trends, but also do so in such a way that another group of DLC members down the line can do a similar study, even though it is totally different people. So that mythological aspect is going to be key. All right. By the next D.O.C., we plan to compile a report identifying key themes good policies that were implemented in response to COVID-19 between March 2020 and December 21. And as well as identify policies that we think will stay in place in the foreseeable future. We will of course share the findings with DLC in early 2023. It is really weird to see 2023 on the slide and we will also present these findings to the FDL community in 2023 and finally sending a report at the end of the spring 2023. So that is it from me.

Thank you, brick. Now. This is a time for counsel to ask questions of the working group members or a comment. I would just like to say that is impressive the amount of work that's been done by the people on counsel and in the community and at GPO to create these reports, to analyze this data, to go out and see community input. I mean, going to conferences in order to make sure that our voices are lifted up and that we have the best information possible and the breast data possible to help make good decisions and recommendations about how to move the program forward I think is essential. And as I said on the first day, I think the strength of this community is that we all do good work where we are with what we have and that is so obvious in this work and I think it is to be commended I am very impressed. I learned some new tidbit, whether it is a word or something essential about a program that I have worked with with a long time almost every single day for and from all of you. So thank you for that.

So any questions, comments, or feedback to other Council members have? I will mute my video but please, anything about the transition from working group to subcommittee for the collection and discovery group that was one. A question I had, may be, Cindy about how that came about and if you could tell the community and counsel a little bit more about that.

Sure, Lisa. I'm glad to do that. The collection and discovery services working group was established as a working group. That was what we had. We had working groups. We just went along with the convention. As we look back at reviewing the founding charter, this group came about in a much different way. This is something that GPO asks for . They wanted to have a committee in which they could seek some advising and guidance. So this was initially established as a permanent working group. So it is an ongoing group and as we are looking at revising the founding documents, we have decided to rename it as a subcommittee since it is ongoing.

[Captioners transitioning]

And that is not the case with the election and discovery services, so we are straightening things out with the charter, and as Valerie said, once we get that done then we will be looking to go for more members from the community and fill out the slate of membership on that group. But we have decided to rename it a subcommittee because it is a standing committee of the Council.

Okay, thank you, Cindy. Yeah, that makes a lot of sense. Any questions? Any other questions from counsel about that? Okay, any other comments or questions or feedback about the working groups to and the subcommittee.

This is Jen, I just wanted to reiterate Allen's point about the UCUP working group, bringing resources back from the conferences they attended, I really appreciated it. The working groups presentation yesterday, and I enjoyed hearing from all of the expert they brought in. So, thank you to the members of that working group, and the external presenters.

Yes, I agree, that was great. And that working group, I think it exemplifies the responsiveness of counsel to community interest and concerns, and the responsiveness of GPO to our request to bring forward and look more deeply at some of those really important issues. So, thank you to everyone involved in that.

Sure, I agree. I think that that really did, as I said, at a different perspective. I kept referring to it as putting some meat on the bones from the data, and I think it made a big difference. I just also wanted to acknowledge Amy's recognition of Cindy Atkins outstanding work, because she has got her hands in everything, and a lot of this just would not be happening without her support. So.

Yeah, absolutely, yes. I don't think any of us would be doing what we are doing with the ease we are doing it with without Cindy's guidance, and help, and institutional knowledge but a lot of kudos to Cindy, thank you. The number of questions, including when I asked this morning about how we accept the recommendations that she answers for me is unbelievable. Just so helpful. And she never makes me feel stupid. Any other thoughts or feedback about that?

Hi, Lisa, this is Jennifer. I have a comment about something else.

Oh, absolutely, please. About the working groups, you mean?

This could be useful for working groups. I wanted to point out in the sessions that I attended, including the snacks session, there was so much feedback in some of these sessions. Speech feedback and questions from members of the community, that I started copying the chat transcripts and pacing them into word. And I would urge members of counsel and working groups that when the transcripts are made available with the video recordings, that you look at those. Because there were a lot of questions and comments from the community that could inform our working groups and lead to the work that we do, or projects.

Thank you, Jennifer for pointing that out. We actually talked about that, I think after Allen's presentation about some of the comments and questions and suggestions that came up, that could inform that working groups, you know, eventual recommendation. So, I think you are right, that those are super important. And I think we will also have more time to talk about a few of those in a few minutes when we think about our own recommendations. Thank you, Jennifer. Anything else from councilmembers? Now is your chance to give helpful suggestions, ask questions, get clarifications. Let's see, Rick says a number of our working groups who directly out of common comments at conferences say that community feedback is so important. Yes, I cannot emphasize that enough. And Jennifer says Allen, lots of links to resources. Thank you, I mean you all can just chime in with their own voices. I am somehow in chat moderator mode. Anybody else? Okay, I want to make sure I give you a chance to -- oh, Suzanne said she sent links and suggestions. Yes, thank you, to -- for OER to GPO for possible guide. We have, we are good on time.

This is Jen, just a brief question, I guess for Renee, it is for the Pearl group. For the next steps, you said you are close to finalizing the report. Not to put you on the spot, because I know Will is not able to be here, but do you have a timeline for when you think the report would be ready? Or are we talking about before the end of the calendar year? Or more before the spring meeting?

We don't have a deadline. I am thinking more calendar year than spring meeting. Initially it was going to be this meeting, we just had some difficulties over the summer with the scheduling and whatnot. But yeah, we have another meeting, I think next week. And I would say we are close enough that, you know, again, it could be after December, but I think December more than April.

Okay, to great, thank you so much.

Okay, last call for questions, comments, feedback. Hearing none, will move on to our next agenda item. So, the GPO responses to the spring 2022 DLC recommendations can be found on our page, F DLP.gov, so you can read them in their entirety there. Council members have already had the opportunity to review and read these. But before, I will turn on my video here. Before we get to a discussion about them, I want to take a moment and thank the former DLC chair Will, for transmitting and recommending to the current counsel so that we can then transmit them to GPO and follow all of the protocols. So, Will worked a lot, even though he was not, he was no longer DLC chair to get these together for that spring meeting, and get them transferred to GPO and director Halperin. And I really want to thank director Halperin and GPO for their thoughtful consideration of our recommendations. And their agreement with our commendation of Lori Hall. So, at this time, councilmembers, any thoughts or questions or concerns, or observations about the response from GPO to the spring 2022 recommendations and commendation? Thank you, Will. Yes, lots of thanks to Will. He worked so hard, and they are beautiful documents, and you know the sort of counsel work continues from one counsel to the next, much like all of the work that we do. Thank you, Will. So, any questions, comments? And the community can read those. So, hearing no -- oh, I hear may be

This is Jen, I was just going to say, I appreciate that GPO took us up on our recommendations.

Me to, yes. And they were good recommendations. Well crafted and about a definition of digital deposit and also about creating F DLP Academy workshop on topics that keep coming up over and over again, that we hear about from the community, and that of course the commendation to Lori Hall. Anything else by councilmembers about this? So, I will need a formal motion to accept the responses from GPO.

I moved to accept.

Thank you, Rob.

Second.

Thank you, was that Alan?

Yes.

Thank you. So, any other questions or comments? I think we can accept the responses from GPO by unanimous consent. All right. Great, thank you so much GPO, and thank you councilmembers for taking time to look at those and see that all of that work paid off and is now there for everyone to read. And GPO will take us up on our recommendations. So, the last and not least, at all, part of our agenda has to do with talking more, I believe was it Jennifer or Valerie? Who talked about people -- oh, it was Jennifer Morgan, talked about people asking questions in the chat during various sessions and having lots of questions, and we have all been talking about the way the community and put in forms what we do and drives what we do a lot of times. So, this is where we talk about the conference, what takeaways we have had. What recommendations we might consider. I have heard from a couple of councilmembers about some things that they felt passionate about that came up from the community, but what do you all think about what you have heard during the conference, what direction DLC might want to go. Are there recommendations? Do we need to wait on recommendations? What do you hear ?, What do you want to say about the conference?

I for one, I think all of the programming is really top-notch and good quality. Excellent presenters. I was actually just commending Rob on the one that he did on the indigenous and tribal histories. It is very, everything is so thoroughly researched, and you get really, really good speakers. I was on something. So, I guess anything that would be similar in terms of recommendations. I think the thoroughness of the research and getting really good speakers is what I think makes it stand out.

I agree.

I was thinking similarly, this is Jen. To Alan, which is that I don't recall counsel ever like thinking the community presenters. I mean, and the GPO presenters, obviously. But I was thinking maybe we can have a -- it would not have to be a recommendation, but maybe just the counsel did send a thank you to everyone who presented.

Oh, what a good idea. Yeah, the Council. What a good idea, both of you. We could write from Council to the broader community a letter of acknowledgment and gratitude for the expertise that everyone

brings. I mean, that is a great idea. I don't know if that has ever been done before. Cindy, you are our institutional historian, what you know?

Lots of agreement from counsel about this, so there is enthusiasm if it is something that we may do. There is a lot of enthusiasm for it.

And just this year creativity that people are bringing from their jobs back to the community is, I think, another point of thank you.

Yeah, I think I heard Rick speak to this before, so Rick, I will make some space in case you want to say anything here. About the importance of the FDLP conference to that government information community, specially for those folks on the tender track. So, I think maybe some sort of communication to GPO about Council's support for space for the community members to share their innovations and tips and tricks with the rest of the community.

I think that is a great idea. So, Jen, is that something that you, I think we discussed it, that, you know, when we have an idea that if there are a couple of people's on counsel who can take that forward and do a first draft. So, Jen and Allen, or anyone else? Are you willing to do a first draft on something like that? A letter of appreciation or an acknowledgment. Something to GPO about our support for these kind of spaces for community voices.

Sure, I'm happy to work on that.

Okay, all right. So, it sounds like Allen and Jen will work on that. That is, I feel really happy. That is such a great idea, and so affirming, and a show of appreciation that I know we all have all the time. And Suzanne is talking about the tips and tools is not. I wrote down many from that session that Suzanne and Kelly and Jen did, you know, just those small things make a big difference to the community, learning one time-saving tip, or big things. The community learns about the program, or a resource I think make a big difference. I don't think they can really be overstated. Okay, we have settled on that, what else? What other things stood out to you?

Hi, Lisa, this is Jennifer.

Okay, Jennifer. Yes, please.

They were similar themes that came up in the ask me anything, ask Council anything session. And then the weeding session, and it has to do with the task force on the digital FDLP. In particular, the future of like libraries legacy collections. And I think there may be some confusion, or misconceptions. One community member asked in the weeding session. They said if GPO goes fully digital, I'm wondering what the process will be for weeding out the print. You know, there seems to be a misconception that if the FDLP goes digital then people think they have to get rid of their print collections. This came up in the, you know, like I said that ask counsel session. And also in the national collection strategic plan. That question came up. People talked about also, you know, changes to regional collection policies, and hunting the electives. So, I'm wondering how Council should address these concerns, especially with the task force. So, the task forces meeting tomorrow, and we are going to discuss the comment, the public comments that were received on the draft word. We received 50 comments. So, I don't know if any of the community members with concerns did in fact comment on the report. So I'm just wondering how you think, how Council should deal with it.

Well, I have thought about it a little bit when I was thinking about recommendations that I have heard about as possibilities. But what I wondered, Jennifer, was if we should wait to see what happens after direct to help reviews the task force report, which will include all of those public comments, and is there a way for your group, since you are part of the task force, to include the comments from the conference?

Yeah, I will ask tomorrow, because tomorrow when we review the public comments we will be deciding what comments should we have incorporated into the report.

Right.

I will bring it up. That was talked about, I think quite a bit.

Yeah, and Valerie is being if/when director helper accepts the final recommendations, which I heard somewhere, and I don't know where, so it could be an unofficial source, it is hard to keep straight in digital land, but I heard that that would be in December. So, they are definitely would be needing to a lot of communication and education. But I just don't want to get ahead of things as counsel when the task force, you know, still doing work, like you said. Meeting tomorrow and Director Halpern is going to review it.

Yeah, the final draft will be completed, I think mid-November, November 15th. It is going to be presented to Director Halpern in May or December.

I did, so that was an official, that was not just my imagining that. So, do you know what I'm saying? Don't you, do you think?

Yes, yes.

I think we definitely need to keep it top-of-the-line that those conversations are happening. But there is something happening in chat that I cannot quite get to.

Lori commented, she said they will be a communication plan post report delivery to Director Halpern patience okay, thank you, thank you. I'm having a hard time looking at the chat. So, are there any other, anything else about that, about what Jennifer said? You know.

This is Jen, I had the same comments rising to mine. I was not in the ask me anything session, but I was in the other sessions with Jennifer, and it was the same themes coming up. I do agree with you, Lisa. I think we are so close to seeing what the task force is going to report out, that we are kind of in this weird holding pattern, and we should keep what we have learned at this conference until we know what the task force is going to put forward. And then, you know, we are going to have some work to do. In the immediate aftermath patience yes, I think we will, for sure. At the same thing about the UCUP working group. That there were some things that came out there that really rose to the top as themes for people. But also, we need to let that group finish its work and make their own recommendations before we get ahead of that, if that makes sense to everyone.

So, in regards to the report on an all digital FDLP , I'm kind of wondering, so I suspect that a lot of the individuals who responded actually read the report. And the comments I have heard from, like in

selective's in my state about oh, does that mean we're going to get rid of all of the paper? That has to be from people who have not read it yet. I think maybe the title of an all digital FDLP as part of the problem. Because if you only read the title, I think that is where the thought that there will be no physical, no tangible collection whatsoever where it is coming from. And I'm not sure if that is a helpful thing to bring up. That may be just retitling it from all digital FDLP to maybe digital future FDLP might be helpful in that. Because it on how many people actually going to want, or will have time to read the whole report.

So, Jennifer just pointed out, it is digital FDLP not all digital, but I think yet people are still hearing all digital, and digital FDLP still sounds all digital. Susanne, somebody else echoes what you're saying, Susanne. Are you on the task force, Susanne? I do not believe I am.

Okay, so Jennifer you are on the task force. There are other councilmembers in the task force, is that something else that can come up at your meeting? Or I know, you know, Lori is here, and Cindy, I mean that is something that can be --

Yeah, it is Jennifer.

This is Valerie, I just wanted to mention that, you know, as part of the charge from director helper and, I mean that was, you are asked to consider the feasibility in the charge, some sure we can Kodaly get away with that even though the task force does not have all in its title. I think you something to keep in mind and I have heard, I think Scott has mentioned that, is that this is, this group was formed to investigate the feasibility. It is not something that is going to be, you know, handed down, or handed off in December or January and that immediately implemented area there are many, many steps along the way. So, some of the questions I have seen are, you know, are asking things that would be considered in an amplification phase, like more planning, and not necessarily sort of right away. So, I know especially there has been throughout this conference, there has been a lot of discussions about regionals and selective's. Without necessarily acknowledging that that model has already been shifting, you know, in some cases, shifted a long time ago. So, just thinking about kind of expanding our definition of the FDLP in libraries, that maybe something that counsel brings up for future either presentation or communication areas. On some of that in relation to depository services and collection management.

Okay. Thank you, yeah, that makes sense. Thanks for bringing in a little bit about the charge there. We are close to our time for the end of our business meeting, so I will run discussion on the all digital task force, but I think everything that was said is good that is safe to make sure it's out there. Those confusions exist, there are opportunities to clear them up in lots of different ways should move up to an implementation phase. So, thank you, and I cannot keep up with any, I see the friendly morning. So, I have one thing either any other ideas about recommendation. Because Renee and I talked about one, and I will bring that up, and it is the idea of exploring -- asking GPO to explore informal and formal frameworks for mentorship. So, what we are hearing is there a lot of 30s for education, and lots of attorneys to learn technical aspects of being a FDLP coordinator, or working at a turn on . But then what people are longing for the arsenal personal connections that are really hard to form in an age when people are not traveling for us. So, what this counsel in the three minutes we have left, think of that idea of the desire for mentorship, and if there is some way that we could ask GPO to help us come up with something, some sort of model for that.

This is Jen, again. I love that idea, I think the wording that you proposed of asking GPO to explore the options is a good way to move forward with the recommendation. And I think it helps bridge a gap that

we are seeing in the community. I think, if I recall, of the people who answered in calisthenics who was a new coordinator, that we had 83 that answered. And that is just that they happen to be in the calisthenics session. So, I think there is a gap here that counsel should encourage GPO and potentially participate in identifying is for.

Oh, GODORT is amazing, I love GODORT. But a lot of our librarians are not in ALA, so they can't participate in the dues. Even though GODORT is wonderful, but maybe a mentorship program could be a collaboration. I mean, we can explore the idea. Yes, I definitely want to give kudos to GODORT and all of the education they have provided to me and to many other people. But this was just something that keeps coming up over and over again that we thought could be a recommendation from counsel, and I will be happy to help craft that. I will not put that on anyone else, but I would definitely like help with that. Any disagreement from Council that that is something we want to ask GPO to explore?

Lisa, this is Renee. I am happy to work with you on that recommendation as well.

Okay, thank you, Renee. I would appreciate that. It is easier to have someone to bounce ideas off of, and that obviously, all of Council has to approve anything that goes forward. And Aimee Quinn says she will help, too. All right, we are getting to the end here. Committee members who wanted to ask us questions, you will have a greater opportunity to do that during the wrap-up. I think I saw one question, so we will try to find that and pop it over into the next session. There was interest in certification program. So, we have not forgotten you, depository library community, we have a lot more space in the next wrap-up session if you can stick around. If not, we will find your questions and make sure we respond to them. I need to close the meeting at this time in order to stay on schedule. So, anything else that I have forgotten to do or need to do? GPO , are we good? Me asking for a motion to adjourn the meeting. Okay. I'm going to ask for a motion. Is there a motion to adjourn the meeting?

This is Jen, though.

This is Susanne, second.

Okay, everybody have a good break, and we will see you here at the wrap-up. Thank you so much.

Thank you, Lisa. And we will be back in 15 minutes with the wrap-up and closing questions.
