

Regional Libraries Meeting – Chat Log

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
Thank you for your service to the group, Lori!

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
Thanks Arlene for listserv management!

from Natalie Bond to everyone:
<https://www.fdlp.gov/project-list/digital-fdlp-implementation>

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
Do regionals have a sense about whether your selectives are completely aware about the digital transition?

from Kris Abery to everyone:
I've sent out notices to the selectives in RI and CT

from Brent Abercrombie to everyone:
I hope so. I've tried to encourage all Indiana selectives to attend the session on it. I would guess at least half are familiar, and the other half I'm not certain.

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
I don't know about all of my selectives. I've sent notices but...

from Lori Thornton to everyone:
Completeness is the key work here - aware yes, but I don't think they understand exactly what's happening yet.

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
I know many are aware, but I don't think they have an item-level understanding of how much distribution will change (especially if they were receiving Congressional Hearings). I've begun to provide the data to them directly (which is not an easy thing to do)

from Kris Abery to everyone:
I've passed along the webinars and slides.

from Amy Laub to everyone:
I've sent notices to the selectives in Kentucky and I've had one or two reach out to me.

from Celina McDonald to everyone:
Mine seem to be aware of it. I am honestly more worried about librarians outside of the gov docs community. I get a lot of referrals from non-docs librarians and I worry about what that means in the long term when there are expectations based on the old way.

from Trillian Hosticka to everyone:
We usually do a follow-up zoom after the conference and I'm sure it will be a major conversation topic

from Kathy Hale to everyone:

Some of the smaller selectives are rethinking about being part of the program if everything is available digitally.

from Brent Abercrombie to everyone:

I am noticing that as well in Indiana

from Kris Abery to everyone:

Agree Kathy. I've had several question whether it makes sense to remain in the program if everything is digital

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:

OTOH we have a library that wants to join as a virtual depository!

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone:

We talked about it at our monthly touch base meeting. Those who attended and some others are aware. Some selectives are asking questions about deselecting print item #s and a couple about leaving the program.

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone:

There is confusion about retaining print the they already have.

from Jen Kirk to everyone:

I am still seeing confusion about the digital first approach to newly distributed/produced materials. Some selectives think this means they can get rid of historic materials (an ongoing confusion that we have seen for years)

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone:

that and not the

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:

Natalie, great question!

from Thomas Rohrig to everyone:

Texas also does a follow-up Zoom after the meeting. A number of our Selectives have only stayed in the program because they could go digital.

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:

We have enough retrocon to keep support staff busy forever.

from Susanne Caro to everyone:

I think some selectives joined because they could get expensive document sets for free- now they may lose that incentive and have to rethink their budget

from Susanne Caro to everyone:

+1 Gwen

from Lori Thornton to everyone:

Yes, our director has already asked what two positions in Tech Services will do now if there isn't print federal materials to process.

from Kathy Hale to everyone:

The biggest concern is about the Bureau of Mines and other maps that do not digitize cleanly.

from Jennifer McLean to everyone:

my concern is cost if primary law is no longer sent via GPO. These titles will be costly to purchase outright.

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone:

+1 Gwen and Susanne

from Susanne Caro to everyone:

This came up in the school outreach session- the price of printing. If we want something in print either we or the user will take on the cost

from Celina McDonald to everyone:

sound is a little wonky.

from Celina McDonald to everyone:

is anyone else having the same issue?

from Brent Abercrombie to everyone:

Your audio is weird

from Erin Adams to everyone:

yes

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:

yes sounds like she is under water

from Marie Concannon to everyone:

Audio hard to understand, maybe speak slower

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:

In our survey we said we didn't want anything in print except US Reports and FRUS. No one uses other titles in print.

from Chris Bloodworth to everyone:

This is the first time I've heard of REGIL. Does this group have a website or listserve?

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone:

Titles list and copy distribution: https://www.fdlp.gov/sites/default/files/file_repo/print-distribution-titles-20230918.pdf

from Trina Magi to everyone:

I am at a 90 percent selective library. I am unclear on when and how I will have the chance to say what we would like to continue getting in print. I don't want to miss my chance.

from Trillian Hosticka to everyone:

We prioritized the titles that will be coming back to our Main Library after our renovation (coming this spring!). It fit pretty nicely with the unlimited print list, plus FRUS and the CFR

from Natalie Bond to everyone:

REGIL site: <https://library.ndsu.edu/ir/handle/10365/28087>

from Kris Aberly to everyone:

how will this work in large states? will they receive additional copies?

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:

@Chris Bloodsworth <https://library.ndsu.edu/ir/handle/10365/28087>

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:

I think the NCSA meetings will cover a lot of the what, who, and how.

from Kathy Hale to everyone:

I thought that it was good that GPO talked to regional areas with people that were preservation stewards

from Doris Hutson to everyone:

Have Preservation Stewards been identified for all titles from the survey?

from Susanne Caro to everyone:

There will be more discussion among the regions- I think the 20 copies are going to be an issue.

from Cindy Etkin to everyone:

There will be meetings with the National Collection Service Areas (NCSAs) soon. All depositor coordinators are encouraged to attend.

from Jennifer McLean to everyone:

I'm concerned about the criteria used as to who receives these limited print titles

from Lori Thornton to everyone:

Regional-L, is the discussion forum for FDLP Regional Coordinators and affiliated staff. Please contact Regional-L moderator to join the list or with any questions: Arlene Weible, arlene.weible@slo.oregon.gov

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:

In a recent meeting with Keith and Suzanne at GPO about our preservation steward agreement, I mentioned that the inventory and condition assessment was a major barrier to participation in the preservation steward program. We need GPO to visit and help us :)

from Kris Aberly to everyone:

Will libraries be required to sign an agreement with GPO to retain the material for a certain time period?

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone:

Preliminary results from the regionals/preservation stewards survey indicated that not all the 20 copy titles have been claimed--as in 20 regionals have not requested all of the 20 copy titles--that was my understanding. It came as a surprise to me.

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:

+1 Perveen - we need the results of that survey!

from Jen Kirk to everyone:

+1 Gwen and Perveen

from Natalie Bond to everyone:

Yes!

from Susanne Caro to everyone:

There may be a preservation steward plan b- who gets the collection if the preservation steward stops being a preservation steward or drops out of the program?

from Brandon Burnette to everyone:

I agree everyone should be able to see the results , even if you're not a regional.

from Cindy Etkin to everyone:

FYI, the survey being referred to was for information gathering, not for decision-making.

from Kris Abery to everyone:

Thanks for the clarification Cindy

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:

I was surprised by the house and senate journals being included

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:

I've never used them in reference

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:

just that they were still going to be in print

from Valerie Glenn to everyone:

I think they were included because it's in the law that they should be printed. goes back to the 1813 law i think

from Cindy Etkin to everyone:

House and Senate Journals are in the Constitution to be printed and in Title 44

from Jen Kirk to everyone:

I was dismayed to see Congressional Hearings won't be printed

from Beth Downing to everyone:
Hearings

from Theresa Canavan to everyone:
Surprised to see the CFR on a limited list.

from Joshua Finnell to everyone:
+ 1 Jen

from Colleen Williams to everyone:
CFR

from Kris Aberly to everyone:
+1 Jenn

from Beth Harper to everyone:
+1 Jen

from Jenny McBurney to everyone:
In addition to the titles list, there will also still be Special Selection Offers. This could be an incentive for some libraries to remain in the program.

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
+1 Jenn

from Mary A. Ries to everyone:
If all 20 copies of a title are not claimed would the GPO be open to shifting the the unclaimed number to another titles on the list that over 20 libraries want

from Trina Magi to everyone:
I was surprised to see Astonomial Almanac and Nautial Almanac on the list. Are these used a great deal by users?

from Kris Aberly to everyone:
What percentage of Congressional hearings are currently issued electronically? Is there any way to estimate the increase in electronic format?

from Jennifer Lindley to everyone:
the alamancs are not available online

from Trina Magi to everyone:
Oh, thanks.

from Marie Concannon to everyone:
Is there one sentence that summarizes regionals' new minimum responsibilities with regard to receiving new print docs? We used to say we were required to take everything that's distributed. Now, is it correct to say that regionals are no longer required to take any print materials?

from Natalie Bond to everyone:

from GovInfo: "Most congressional hearings are published two months to two years after they are held. Hearings are available on govinfo as they become available during each session of Congress. Not all congressional hearings are available on govinfo. Whether or not a hearing is disseminated on govinfo depends on the committee."

from Jen Kirk to everyone:

+1 Marie

from Melissa Fayad to everyone:

+1 Marie

from Beth Downing to everyone:

House Appropriations and Senate Armed Services are limited online according to my catalog

from Laurie Hall to everyone:

LSCM monitors govinfo on a daily basis, all the hearings that are posted, There are very few hearings that do not have a digital version. LSCM works closely with GPO Congressionally Publishing if we do identify a missing digital version of a hearing

from Susanne Caro to everyone:

In some cases some of these have been part of my work life it seems strange to not get them anymore- at the same time I know some selectives are very happy about this and space issues will be reduced. I still think it is easier to navigate the Con Record in paper

from Natalie Bond to everyone:

That's a good point, Marie--the regional status can certainly be deployed by library/university admin as a 'status' kind of thing, but will that stay true if the nature of print distribution and thus regionals changes?

from Jen Kirk to everyone:

Marie, I have noted your question for DLC and NCSA discussion

from Cindy Etkin to everyone:

Marie, regionals are not required to take all print materials now. In the new distribution model, regionals will not be required to take print materials.

from Kathy Hale to everyone:

It is my understanding that there will only be 20 printed copies of certain titles. it will be by Region not for each Regional

from Marie Concannon to everyone:

Thanks

from Lori Thornton to everyone:

I think we'll have to wait for the Legal Requirements revision to find out what Regional requirements will be - perhaps all digital instead?

from Kate Pitcher to everyone:

It will be a minimum of 1 copy of each of the limited distribution titles per National Collection Service Area (NCSA)

from Laurie Hall to everyone:

Also reminder to all that print documents are still being distributed to libraries since many documents were ordered in FY22 and FY23. So as Kate and Scott mentioned, this will be rolling implementation.

from Kate Pitcher to everyone:

We in LSCM do acknowledge that this may feel like a loss to the community, but that it is a reallocation of resources to help move us into digital content discovery, curation, and management; as well as preservation of the historic materials.

from Lori Thornton to everyone:

Realistically, will ILL be a viable method (7 to 10 day turn around time) for acquiring print docs when necessary for our patrons? Will patrons want to wait that long for what they need?

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:

+1 Lori

from Jen Kirk to everyone:

+1 Lori

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:

I understand the need for the change, it just feels like there's a lot to be figured out in a pretty brief window of time

from Jen Kirk to everyone:

Adding to Lori, how does ILL function across FDLP libraries? Are the networks and resources there to respond to new demand?

from Susanne Caro to everyone:

previously we kept track of what was in our state (or states for those where multiple states have one regional) now we will need to be more aware of what is held in other states.

from Monica Dorame to everyone:

Just wondering about ILL costs for libraries would that increase?

from Brett Cloyd (privately):

yes

from Brett Cloyd to everyone:

Yes

from Laurie Hall to everyone:

Susanne Caro: Preservation Steward holdings are in the CGP, that this is a first step to be able to identify volumes/titles beyond state boundaries. MOre comming on this...

from Brett Cloyd to everyone:
I'm researching that question

from Elizabeth Williams to everyone:
Some selectives are very small. We don't even have OCLC ILL.

from Brett Cloyd to everyone:
Iowa has SILO Interlibrary loan, <https://www.statelibraryofiowa.gov/index.php/libraries/search/silo/ill>

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
+1 Elizabeth

from Lori Thornton to everyone:
My understanding is the survey results will be released in the NCSA meetings, but I would like to discuss those nationally as a regional group. Perhaps I'm old school but I still think of this as a national collection, rather than regional service area collections.

from Angela Kent to everyone:
Is there a large demand for print ILL vs (scanned) document delivery service?

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone:
+1 Lori

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
Lori, I've volunteered to help convene the NCSA conversations in the West, and I have noted your request. Thank you

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone:
I'm always surprised to see how many hearings and documents/report get borrowed from my library (State Library of Ohio)

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
If I have a takeaway, Regionals and GPO need to do more communication with selectives beyond the letter that was sent to directors and the emails that announced NCSA meetings etc. Maybe a form with an acknowledgement that they've read the information?

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
Just info about digital transition, since some people don't understand its scope

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
Infographics are a good way to convey info. Before: piles of boxes. After: a computer.

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
yes, Lori, that's how I took your meaning! :)

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
Yes, I think an interim REGIL meeting would be a good idea.

from Mary A. Ries to everyone:
I think that as complete of GovDocs should be available in each region to guard against natural disasters.
Not just in one place or system.

from Erin Adams to everyone:
Yes Gwen! I am at a selective library and have not heard much of anything from my regional library

from William Sudduth to everyone:
Make sure you also include the Preservation Stewards in the meeting; they are important partners.

from Kathy Hale to everyone:
I think that would be a good idea.

from Monica Dorame to everyone:
Sounds good to me

from Amy Laub to everyone:
+1 Bill

from Suzanne Reinman to everyone:
Thank you!

from Brett Cloyd to everyone:
Thanks

from Korina Tueller to everyone:
Thank you!

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Thank you!

from Monica Dorame to everyone:
Thank you

from Crystal Rowe to everyone:
Thanks, everyone!

from William Sudduth to everyone:
It would also be interesting to hear from regionals that have a state meeting in the next six months.

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
Thank you!

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:
thanks

from Natalie Bond to everyone:
Thanks for facilitating, Lori!

from Wendy Etchison to everyone:
Thank you!

from Kate Pitcher to everyone:
Thank you.

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
1990

from Michael Coby to everyone:
1994?

from Allie Lovette to everyone:
1992

from Sarah Hendy-Jackson to everyone:
93?

from Sarah Pettus to everyone:
1991

from Yan Liao to everyone:
1972

from Kathy Hale to everyone:
1987

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone:
1989

from Scott Briggs to everyone:
1995

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
yea Dan!

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone:
Thanks!

from Jim Noel to everyone:
I remember that disc!

from Kathy Hale to everyone:
Yea Dan!