Open Discussion with Council: Transition to a Digital FDLP – Chat Log

from Allen Moye to everyone:
ok thanks

from Kelly Seifert to everyone:
Handouts and slides: https://www.fdlp.gov/file-repository/991/handouts-and-slides

from James Jacobs to everyone:
digital collection development, distributed digital preservation

from Jeff Nylander to everyone:
Making it clear to patrons how to access the collection

from James Jacobs to everyone:
unreported documents

from Janelle Breedveld to everyone:
+1 James

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
Communication with the broad range of FDL coordinators is definitely going to be challenging.

from Olivia Hedlund to everyone:
Very few for my (very selective) library but I'm sure it will be a challenge for larger libraries!

from Marna Morland to everyone:
Displays will be less interesting.

from Thomas Rohrig to everyone:
Ensuring that people with limited online access can access this information

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Outreach, but not a new issue. We really should have pre-created QR displays for digital materials.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
accessiblity to those with vision issues

from Beth Harper to everyone:
Convincing admin for the need for gov info specialists when there aren't so many print documents.

from Janelle Breedveld to everyone:
Any guidelines for managing and/or weeding the digital collection

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
Public libraries heavily rely on agency publications. Print is the best format for my patrons.

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:

Less copies of print means less access to government materials

from Marie Concannon to everyone:
+1 Beth Harper

from Marija Gudauskas to everyone:
Meeting the digital divide with the community user groups that libraries work with on a daily basis

from Carla Pritchett to everyone:
Why should any new libraries become FDLP libraries? They can get documents online for free and not be obligated to be open to the public.

from Jennifer McLean to everyone:
conern of losing primary law in print

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
I see challenges in staffing here at my library, and necessary communication with GPO throughout the process.

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:
+1Carla

from Beth Harper to everyone:
Shifting of immediate costs for print access to those who need prefer/print (people who want/need print will have to pay for it, or the libraries will).

from Andie Craley to everyone:
+1 Janelle - I've had that same thought

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone:
Harder to promote electronic documents. Getting information out to patrons. Getting library staff and patrons to understand where to find information

from Kate Slauson to everyone:
+1 Beth Harper

from Thomas Fischlschweiger to everyone:
+2 Carla - I've been thinking this for several years.

from James Jacobs to everyone:
connects with unreported documents. There are very few exec branch documents in CGP and Govinfo. very few agencies work w GPO to get their documents into the "national Collection"

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
How will libraries be encouraged to manage print legacy collections during transition

from Brian Hamilton to everyone:

Assumption of digital access (having worked in public libraries, that's something that assumed a lot nowadays, especially for people trying to apply for jobs/benefits)

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone:
Figuring out the rules for where to house digital material. Of course we all intially say the catalog but I saw a session at another conference recently where a fdlp library was housing documents in their repository and I was wondering if that is only libraries that are digitizing their print collection or if that could be a viable alternative to having everything in your catalog

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
+1 Beth and convincing admin that the FDLP is still a valuable program and deserves attention

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Carla

from Janelle Breedveld to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from James Jacobs to everyone:
so govinfo librarians will have to be MUCH MORE ACTIVE in helping feed GPO with born-digital documents

from Nancy Tileston to everyone:
Access to documents for areas with limited internet access and/or with great distances to larger communitites. There was an 14 week internet outage in Northwest Alaska.

from Stephanie Wilson to everyone:
Rural states like ours have serious digital divides and challenges for internet access, which makes print essential for public access to information.

from Beth Downing to everyone:
Even I with govdocs knowledge sometimes struggle with finding documents in GovInfo that I currently have physically in my hands. I wonder how the general public will fair with no physical option.

from Rick Mikulski to everyone:
+1 james

from Emily Boss to everyone:
Less print maps that our patrons use/rely on in a rural state

from Lucy Duhon to everyone:
convincing library administration of importance of selecting digitally (or even remaining in FDLP) when part of a consortium (where access will be almost assured somewhere)

from Cheyenne Stradinger to everyone:
+1 Emily

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone:

Concern about losing access during government shutdowns

from Beth Downing to everyone:
Inadequate online access on agency websites

from James Jacobs to everyone:
just because documents are online doesn't mean they are easily found or contextualized. Curation is critical so that the public understands where the document is coming from

from Stephanie Wilson to everyone:
As a government law library, and our highest state appellate court library, we need to have access to the print and ability to preserve print copies.

from Cecilia Robinson to everyone:
How to deal with Internet interruptions

from Shari Laster to everyone:
I think the way that GPO communicates the value of the FDLP to participants will need to change.

from Nancy Tileston to everyone:
Gap between what is identifed as the legally controlling version and the reality of publication (print legally controlling; digital might be a surrogate but is not the legally controlling version)

from Melissa Fayad to everyone:
I have talked to people who distrust digital access, either with concern that they won't be able to access it or that it has been tampered with. I know a lot of distrustful people.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
I admit to struggling with the concept and actions related to unreported documents. Someone should do a guide/accesible training!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Charlie

from Janelle Breedveld to everyone:
+1 Shari

from Marija Gudauskas to everyone:
+1 Nancy

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Melissa

from Tim Lozier to everyone:
+1 Melissa

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Stephanie about courts requiring print

from James Jacobs to everyone:
and expertise of govinfo librarians is also critical for users. One of the best ways to be an expert is to participate in digital collection development

from sam hidde tripp to everyone:
+1 Melissa. for me its been mostly staff

from Jacob Schmotzer to everyone:
Determining what to add to the local catalog. Will we still receive lists of newly published materials?

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
How will public get certified copies?

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone:
Yes an Institutional repository. They had multiple collection of State documents and One federal collection but they didn't go into detail about how they went about getting permission or why they chose to do that.

from Stephanie Wilson to everyone:
Nancy +1 yes internet & power outages are a real concern in New Mexico also

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Re: distrustful patrons, I think that becomes our responsibility to teach digital and information literacy. Those same people tend to be suspicious of the information, even in print. It's less medium, more message.

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
promoting the idea of sharing resources (consortiums, etc.)

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
ADA issues - sometimes need print

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
As fewer docs are published in print, I wonder whether the familiar print characteristics (title page, table of contents, chapters, index, etc.) might be replaced with databases containing the same information but that may be harder (than print) to identify, access, and preserve.

from Sheila Dorsey to everyone:
#NAME?

from Paul Nease to everyone:
I'm concerned library administrators will be less likely to support legacy print collections that are no longer being updated with new print material

from Suzanne Reinman to everyone:
Becomes lost in the mix of what is in Google. We will have to emphasize the importance of the .gov and maintain expertise in using resources such as the Statutes, Federal Register, etc.

from Brylynn Ellis to everyone:
Older documents that aren't even in the CGP. It'll take a long time for those to be made accessible online as well.

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:
#NAME?

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Paul

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Paul - yes legacy print collection will need to be supportive

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
supportED

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Brylynn

from Beth Downing to everyone:
#NAME?

from Erin Adams to everyone:
My library has a collection of braille gov docs, will these still be updated?

from Hugh Truslow to everyone:
+1 Paul

from Thomas Rohrig to everyone:
Our Library does not include records for born digital titles only if its both print/online - an administrative decision.   Will be more challenging to direct patrons to various GPO webpages and indexes.

from Andie Craley to everyone:
+1 Erin - good question, we do too.

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
Even though we get bib records for online materials, our OPAC doesn't display the links well. Having a print item that someone can check out or place on hold online makes access much easier.

from Valerie Glenn to everyone:
@Erin GPO has announced that they will no longer be distributing braille materials

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
how will publications with many transmittals/loose leaf format be handled? Esp when patrons need to trace history of changes

from Mary Bangert to everyone:
+1 Nancy & others... The Digital Divide

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
History of transmittal changes in context of full document

from James Jacobs to everyone:
feel free to contact me @Charlie re unreported documents. I'm at jrjacobs@stanford.edu

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:
+1Jenny

from Marija Gudauskas to everyone:
Another challenge will be working with some K-12 schools. Instructors are teaching students that they must site print versions in papers rather than digital, even for primary source material.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
James is the Unreported Doc wrastler

from Erin Adams to everyone:
thanks @Valerie

from Jennifer Hart to everyone:
Will we be receiveing paper copies of the shipping list or do we access it online?

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
What about screen fatigue? It can be harder to read online

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
It seems that the phrase "legacy collection" has been established in the present context, but "legacy" has a connotation of no longer being relevant. Might be wise to find another way of talking about those materials that correctly connotes their value. (MW: "of, relating to, associated with, or carried over from an earlier time, technology, business, etc.")

from Ronnie Joiner to everyone:
+1 Sarah

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:
IF one of the reasons to stop printing docs is to save money, where the save money go?

from James Jacobs to everyone:
recent DttP student paper had a good analysis of unreported documents and digital preservation.
https://freegovinfo.info/node/14639/

from Brylynn Ellis to everyone:
+1 Jenny

from Laurie Hall to everyone:

We will not be distributing Braille publications. GPO has been in contact with National LIbrary for Blind.... and will be happy to link up the library who has a Braille collection with staff at the National LIbrary to assist in collection development for Braille

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
@Marija, again I think that's an info literacy issue. And behind the times. We need to explain to the instructors.

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
+1 Barbara ... we may want to rebrand to "research collections".

from Jacob Schmotzer to everyone:
The shipping lists we receive are helpful for collection development. Sometimes I see items we don't get and then add those items to our selection profile. With the digital FDLP it will be difficult to find new monograph materials that might be useful to catalog.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Will the NLS be able to print on demand braille gov docs?

from Angie Bonnell to everyone:
+1 Barbara

from James Jacobs to everyone:
+1 Barbara. I always like to use "historical" rather than "legacy" ;-)

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
S.L. help us know what is available - even if not in our selection profile.

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
 +1 Barbara re: losing print characteristics and +1 Jenny re: screen fatigue -- we still need documents that we can easily download and print

from James Jacobs to everyone:
+1 Jacob.

from Angie Bonnell to everyone:
+1 Barbara and +1 Arlene

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
You should definitely contact your state's Talking Books Library to get more support for braille publications. https://www.loc.gov/nls/

from Brylynn Ellis to everyone:
There are many comments talking about making sure patrons can find their research. I understand the concern but isn't that part of our jobs already?

from Stephanie Wilson to everyone:

I agree about the term Legacy for collections. Legacy is for a floppy disk drive, not for government publications and law. Old laws, cases, and information are still relevant.

from Jim Noel to everyone:
New titles display on CGP can help with awareness:
https://catalog.gpo.gov/F/BVLKIL7R59ADICLYI7VN4E2AP1IE7MBDAPLMTDSYQ3KQHCCQXL-13555?func=file&file_name=find-net&local_base=NEWTITLE

from Andie Craley to everyone:
Academics that have programs that use FDLP titles as part of their accreditation - we will need to be in contact with our paralegal program to make sure what we receive electronically meets their needs for any titles that may no longer be in print

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
The CGP has a list of new titles, I try to check that regualrly to see if there is anything we should get. The lists are print and electronic.

from James Jacobs to everyone:
I shifted my Marcive subscription to be 100% e-docs many years ago so that we continue to get all digital docs records for our catalog

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Mentioning S.L.s' importance is to help keep them for us

from James Jacobs to everyone:
collections and services are intertwined!

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone:
My library (small, private university) has only accepted digitally material since the pandemic so not much changing for us.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 James - 100% correct

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Digital FDLP will require more gov't info librarian expertise

from Sheila Dorsey to everyone:
As opposed to the printing/publishing role of GPO, it appears that the future focus of GPO will go to collection of government publications. Should the CRDP program be opened in an unlimited way to FDLP libraries?

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
+1 Sheila

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Good porint Sheila

from Valerie Glenn to everyone:
We have had so many libraries make this transition to an all or mostly digital depository. What lessons can we learn from them?

from Shari Laster to everyone:
Great summary -- I think many of these challenges also apply to library services for collections outside of gov info too.

from Kathy Wu to everyone:
+ 1 Sheila

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Opportunities - open up to more libraries

from Scott Matheson to everyone:
Another way to potentially display new titles, in addition to New Electronic Titles and CGP new titles list, the Canadian program has these nicely formatted weekly lists.
https://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/weeklyAcquisitionList/list/2023/23-41/20231009-20231013.html

from Wren Pierce to everyone:
Honestly leaving the FDLP is so much work libraries remaining in the program but doing no collection development is a bigger concern...

from Mary Bangert to everyone:
@James - I am considering the 100% Marcive edocs, but I am a new FDLP coordinator and am not sure if that will clog our catalog with an overwhelming number of items that will be hard for patrons to navigate. On the other hand, it definitely increases accessibility to all those electronic items.

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
not sure if this has been mentioned, but there will presumably also be a need to continue to convince Congress of the value of the FDLP.

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
I'm concerned about the assumption that NCSAs can create a culture of cooperative collection building. I hope we can, but it will take some work!

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Wren

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone:
For a small selective despository, having more access to content. Able to supplement more gov document information to a decreasing budget for library materials

from Angela Kent to everyone:
@Jen Kirk. You may want to note for first question: competing needs/demand for limited print docs (from law library to public libraries)

from James Jacobs to everyone:

@mary my goal has always been to make my local catalog a govinfo catalog :-)

from Mary Clark to everyone:
my PURL reports tell me that our users of gov info come at all hours of the day and night and find info they need without the aid of a librarian

from Mary A. Ries to everyone:
Greater ability to fine tune our selections to better match a Library's overall collection strategies

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Ability to expand selection profile without impacting physical space (although catalog may be filled up)

from Scott Briggs to everyone:
For our library, Digital FDLP it allows us to pick up ALL titles and make them all available to our patrons vs. needing the space for the tangible collections.

from Cecilia Robinson to everyone:
space and cost savings for libraries

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
ADA - some accommodations served by digital format (but not all)

from James Jacobs to everyone:
opportunities for FDLs to participate in curating the National Collection

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Greater reach to users and other libraries. I think we can create great "packages" of materials for users.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
All digital could allow smaller libraries to join FDLP

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
opportunities for smaller libraries to contribute, perhaps at the NCSA level

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
Creating a culture of cooperative collection building at an NCSA level is also an opportunity!

from Thomas Rohrig to everyone:
I think that many administrators who understand the value of the depository program but have problems with curating a print collection will applaud this approach.

from James Jacobs to everyone:
exactly Jen!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:

Cheaper discard procedure (no physical items to dispose of)

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Less ILL?

from Shari Laster to everyone:
For some research libraries there could be an opportunity to connect with work they already need to do in supporting open access to research (especially federally funded!) and curating unique, specialized, and locally focused collections.

from Scott Matheson to everyone:
(The question about where the potential print savings go is an opportunity - we would be able to redirect those resources ($ and people!) toward acquiring, describing, and preserving DIGITAL publications.)

from James Jacobs to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Jenny- we won't have to worry about finding a way to recycle formats!

from Heather Kitchen to everyone:
For my community, digital documents will make government information more accessible because that is their preference. Our print collection is underutilized.

from Kate Slauson to everyone:
+1 Jenny

from Andie Craley to everyone:
We have been rightsizing all collections for repeated years even before COVID, moving all collections more electronic, so there is acceptance here in the Library of an electronic FDLP.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
I look forward to CGP updates.

from Jesse Silva to everyone:
When we switched to a mostly digital depository, it helped reduce the workload of our already stretched processing staff

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:
realloactating resources such as fte from processing to instruction

from James Jacobs to everyone:
govinfo librarians will be able to create targeted collections and services for their particular patrons

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
BUT discard means no more microfiche for Susanne Caro's great lights and earrings

from Allen Moye to everyone:
+1 Andie

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Less Documents Dirt (a special kind of library dust)

from Sheila Dorsey to everyone:
I think libraries with large uncatalogued print collections can focus some efforts on cataloging and preservation, as well as showing off historical collection items.

from Cynthia Etkin to everyone:
#NAME?

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
a digital FDLP will be an opportunity for the private sector, selling print docs no longer available to libraries that need them

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Sheila

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Sheila

from Brylynn Ellis to everyone:
+1 Sheila

from James Jacobs to everyone:
want to see pics of Susanne Caro's microfiche lights and earings!

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Sheila +1, I have a goal to get everything cataloged to the item level!

from Shari Laster to everyone:
I would like to see the FDLP become a leader in new ways that libraries can work together to provide critical public services

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
HOPEFULLY agencies send more to GPO - so more content

from Suzanne Reinman to everyone:
Environmental: saving paper/trees and transportation costs/fuel.

from Brent Abercrombie to everyone:
+1 Jenny

from James Jacobs to everyone:
that's sad @barbara

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
+1 Shari

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
James, if you want a pair I'll send you some

from James Jacobs to everyone:
@susanne sure!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
@James - I had the light in background of my presentation yesterday afternoon.

from James Jacobs to everyone:
oh cool I'll go back and check Jenny

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
I created an extensive guide on the KKK hearings in the Serial Set. It would be great to have more time to do those deep dives.

from Lucy Duhon to everyone:
Find ways to incorporate government information into Open Educational Resources for students (at any level)(including at the college level - where textbook affordability is a huge issue)

from Brylynn Ellis to everyone:
I'd be lucky if half my collection was cataloged. Have a digital collection would help my personally in cataloging and making my historical collection more available.

from Rob Mead to everyone:
I am glad that we're still going to have some print copies - I think that ensures preservation against low probability but high impact threats.

from James Jacobs to everyone:
on the flip side @suzanne, digital has HUGE electricity needs

from Beth Downing to everyone:
+1 Barbara re: private sector. Subject selectors in mylibrary still purchase the Statistical Abstract from Bernan, along with other titles that are no longer printed by GPO

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:
like curating a state of florida national forests, national parks, monuments etc with census data, hearings, to make a deep dive into local info

from Andie Craley to everyone:
+1 Lucy

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Susanne - in a GODORT discussion I suggested we get your permission to make and sell your creations as fundraiser

from James Jacobs to everyone:
so probably a small savings in paper equates to a HUGE environmental issue for digital

from Kate Slauson to everyone:
+1 Suzanne and James.

from Shari Laster to everyone:
Critical public services can include description/preservation of born-digital content in a variety of formats and access options, creating and disseminating toolkits that anyone can use including outside the FDLP, and having close ties for a regional partnership with libraries of different types -- the St Louis Model comes to mind

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
maybe a registry of unique guides ?

from Brent Abercrombie to everyone:
GODORT or FDLP libguides would be two great options for hosting community guides

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Barbara

from James Jacobs to everyone:
+1 Shari

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Brent

from Ronnie Joiner to everyone:
The FDL LibGuides are going to be even more important for smaller libraries. Not every library has a way to publish guides online.

from Cecilia Robinson to everyone:
opportunity for the FDLP to partner with local agencies and other entities in distributing government information perhaps through domain specific kiosks in specific locations

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Ronnie

from Becki White to everyone:
+1 Ronnie - no way I can do that at my small public library depository.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Cecilia

from Mary A. Ries to everyone:
Has anyone considered as we switch to digital, that some point we will max out an individual libraries computer capacity to handle the PDFs, etc.

from ben amata to everyone:
similar to James' comment. curating is still important and tailoring to the primary users is valuable. unfortunately some administrators say give users all titltes which leads to information overload. tools like CGP provides the access to all info if one needs it.

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
Thanks for sharing, Shari!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 ben

from James Jacobs to everyone:
+1 Ben

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Barbara -the FDLP Research Guides do link to guides from other libraries, this may need to be promoted more. https://libguides.fdlp.gov/

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Ben

from Nancy Tileston to everyone:
It's not the legal community. It's what is laid out in the law as the "real" copy. The laws need to be changed--and that's not up to us. This is like the access issue in that the responsibility seems to be passed of as someone else's problem ....

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Yesterday I put out idea of colaborative History Day guide (through GODORT?)

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Nancy - YES laws are issue, not law community

from ben amata to everyone:
FDLP attempts to control what otherwise would be chaos. it does it relatively well.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Ben

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:
+2Nancy

from Kelly Smith to everyone:
+1 Ben

from James Jacobs to everyone:
+1 Gwen

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Gwen

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
Agree with Rich about the Bluebook -- Bluebook editors need an update on how the law is published.
E.g., they require citation to the print CFR but give no instruction on how to cite the eCFR. The reality is
that most authors are probably using online legal resources, but Bluebook requires citation to print
formats.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
FDLP libguides are breaking the friendly URLs to which we linked

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Burn the Bluebook.

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
another way of encouraging collaboration is through FDLP Academy programs highlighting projects and
opportunities

from Jennifer McLean to everyone:
transparency

from James Jacobs to everyone:
collaboration will need to be highlighted to administrators as a great way to participate and remain in
the FDLP. Many hands make light work, but that work needs to be highlighted and shown to be
important and impactful to the entire system

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
Clear timelines and instructions for how to handle new paradigm

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 James

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone:
+1 James

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
+1 Arlene. very important.

from Andie Craley to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from Erin Adams to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from Scott Matheson to everyone:
@Jennifer - Rule 18.2.1(a) - the CFR on GovInfo can be cited as if it were the print. (Bluebook 21th ed.)

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Arelene

from Stephanie Wilson to everyone:
+1 Nancy re: authenticated and "real" copies.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
FDLP and GODORT coordinating research guides with each other - and with libraries

from Becki White to everyone:
I appreciate that our consortium ILS has the ability to pull records directly from GPO. I'll be looking into the availability of records for online resources as well.

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:
lessons learned by libraries esp. those digital depositories

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
I will throw out my broken record: It's going to become a serious problem providing public patrons with access to computers networked on an academic network.

from Sheila Dorsey to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from Sarah Erekson to everyone:
ways to solicit new participation - libraries won't need space

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Charlies

from Beth Harper to everyone:
+1 Charlie

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Support for ADA access

from Jacqui Celecia to everyone:
Provide training on research and information on best practices

from Rebecca Hyde to everyone:
+1 Charlie

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
More bandwidth to access digital

from Scott Briggs to everyone:

+1 Jacqui

from Becki White to everyone:
LOL Jenny. Wouldn't we all?

from Laura Kirkland to everyone:
+1 Jacqui

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
@Becki but it will be needed

from Stephanie Wilson to everyone:
Do we try to catalog these digital records in our local catalogs for OPAC access, or will we find everything via govinfo?

from Paul Nease to everyone:
+1 Charlie, I'm not sure what form it would take but an all digital FDLP means more demand for computer usage. It would be great if there was a grant or some sort of financial help for depository libraries to get an extra public computer dedicated providing access

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
But Scott, the CFR on govinfo is only as up to date as the print. I was citing a section from Title 9 CFR last week and that part isn't updated in govinfo yet.

from Lucy Duhon to everyone:
more training and guidelines on weeding e-gov docs over time

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
I do often wish that I could access a digital document in two formats. The really high quality ones offered, but also a lower quality ones when I just need a quick look/don't care about high-def.

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
GPO staff resources could be redirected to work with agencies to better package their information for public consumption.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Promotional materials directed to directors and boards

from Brylynn Ellis to everyone:
How will our jobs change when the FDLP goes all digital? In terms of cataloging.

from Cass Hartnett to everyone:
+1 Paul

from Andie Craley to everyone:
+1 Lucy

from Kris Abery to everyone:

+1 Paul

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Yes Paul - computers and bandwidth

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
+1 Charlie. Students also want dual format as well.

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
+1 Charlie re 2 formats

from Shari Laster to everyone:
I would like to see guidance on how academic libraries can serve their communities beyond providing internet access -- for my institution, the communities we serve include people who never step inside our buildings

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
This is a bit counterintuitive, but a guide or curated list of print pubs available from GPO or even on Amazon for agency materials.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Bring back the FDLP PSAs!!!

from Suzanne Reinman to everyone:
There are so many resources on agency websites/pages.. learning and promoting these in addition to what is in the FDLP.

from Sheila Dorsey to everyone:
+1 Lucy

from Beth Harper to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
re: getting extra funding for computers ... make sure you look for support from your state libraries, that have access to help with other funding for technology upgrades

from Natalie Bond to everyone:
+1 Suzanne

from Stephanie Wilson to everyone:
Justifying physical space, collection budget, and staffing FTEs if "everything is available online"

from Kate Slauson to everyone:
+1 Brylynn

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Stephanie

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
I mentioned working with companies like Overdrive to get epubs on major platforms

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Stephanie

from Allen Moye to everyone:
@ Charlie- our university provides a "public image " for our public patrons that allows access to digital government informtion

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
+1 Stephanie

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Public Service Announcements!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Sarah - yes, digital content for different platforms (like Internet Archive does)

from Brylynn Ellis to everyone:
+1 Sarah

from James Jacobs to everyone:
:-)

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
+1 Sarah re: help with outreach to vendors!

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
(Off the current topic) - we may also need to emphasize to Congress how important it is for GPO to catalog digital docs (rather than rely just on Google).

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
I've heard some libraries complain that they don't want gov docs in the catalog because the results overwhelm the other collections. Options for having a seperate collection search options seems to help but that depends on your discovery layer. If the GPO could have a plug-in that libraries could use would be helpful but I suspect that is impossible.

from Stephanie Wilson to everyone:
+1 re: support for bandwidth, computers, closing the digital divide to continue providing access to information and (for our law library) access to justice and the courts

from James Jacobs to everyone:
yes @barbara. ironically, GPO may need MORE funding to do digital curation and preservation right!

from Ronnie Joiner to everyone:
I think it is harder to weed digital items.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Ronnie

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Work with agencies to maintain major reference works in format easy to use (ex. so we don't have to rely on vendors for Stats Abs)

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 James

from Beth Harper to everyone:
+1 Susanne

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Susanne

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
+1 Barabra

from Beth Harper to everyone:
+1 Barbara

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
@Scott -- eCFR needs tp be official -- discussion for another day!

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone:
@Susannecaro that's my issue currently. My catalog is clogged. Lots of duplicates. And the amount of digital gov docs is overwhelming and unorganized at this point in my catalog

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone:
+1 Susanne I've had that told me. I've been weeding our e-gov docs for that reason

from Angela Kent to everyone:
+1 Susanne and maybe that's where some of the reallocated resources could/should go

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
Working with vendors on discovery layer development would be a very helpful support GPO could provide

from Beth Downing to everyone:
+1 Susanne My reference staff want govdocs in the catalog, but they also insist on a way to apply limits to filter them out of results

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
I have been working on a project to find ways to identify fed docs in the catalog. (thank you GPO!) Given the wide variety of sources for records, this is apparently not an easy task. Would be great to have support on this from records vendors.

from Marie Concannon to everyone:
+1 Arlene

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
+1 Barbara

from Andie Craley to everyone:
Someone mentioned this on an earlier question, but maybe widen the CRDP to allow more libraries? There seems to be a cataloging concern here with electronic doc, and maybe some can't afford a MARCIVE subscription or other kind of subscription.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Re: emphasis to Congress, for the AALL members, we really need to push to fill the Government Relations position. GD-SIS is working on this, but everyone can help!

from James Jacobs to everyone:
how many FDLs are repurposing their acquisitions/processing staff for other purposes related to govinfo? EG I have a acq staffer who is now working on unreported docs, checking several agency websites regularly and reporting new docs to GPO

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
+1 Charlie!!

from Scott Matheson to everyone:
@Jennifer - That would be ideal for many users! Needs, I think, a legislative change, but good idea.

from Marie Concannon to everyone:
That's fantastic, James

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 James

from Angie Bonnell to everyone:
Exploring how growing Generative AI and the value of gov sources, more promotional / advocacy from GPO

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
* and also thanks to Valerie!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
More work with library schools

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
+1 Jenny!

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
+1 jenny, teaching this stuff is hard. :-)

from Brent Abercrombie to everyone:
Jennifer you do an excellent job teaching gov docs!

from Brylynn Ellis to everyone:
+1 Brent

from James Jacobs to everyone:
the reason I ask is that docs have always been low on administrations' lists and therefore not well-staffed. So it'd be problematic in my opinion if those alaready low staffing and support levels were repurposed to other non-govinfo purposes

from Shari Laster to everyone:
You did amazing Jen!!! Thank you

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
You did great, Jen!

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
Jen, you are so good at it ... easy to tolerate!

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone:
Thank you!

from John Olson to everyone:
Great job Jen!!

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone:
Thank you

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Thank you Jen!

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
Great job, Jen and DLC!

from Beth Harper to everyone:
Good job Jen!

from Ella Shurr to everyone:
Thank you!

from Erin Adams to everyone:
thanks!

from Joshua Finnell to everyone:
Awesome job, Jen!

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
good discussion, thanks!

from Andrea Stelljes to everyone:
Thank you for this session!

from Mary Clark to everyone:
thanks!

from Raquel Estrada to everyone:
thanks!

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
Thank you all :)

from Shari Laster to everyone:
Hello tiny Ben!

from Jennifer Lindley to everyone:
I like to hook up new bluetooth headphones right before meetings

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
James, thanks for this conversation about staffing. We've just completed a realignment and needed to guard our gov info support staff lines

from Jennifer Lindley to everyone:
really helps :D

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
How can you tell if something is arbitrary and capricious? It depends. I'll know it when I see it.

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
:) Charlie!

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
See the APA Charlie.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
I haven't carved my pumpkin for the year yet. And I saw the FDLP email. What should I carve into this year's pumpkin? I'm better than the FDLP logo, but I can always default to it.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Susanne - GODORT really did discuss fundraising and giving you credit for design, while the rest of us make the fiche eaarings

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Jenny - that would be fine, just don't volunteer me to make 100!

from Scott Matheson to everyone:
@Charlie - a portrait of Adelaide Hasse? Or just your favorite SuDoc class number?

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
@Scott, I haven't seen enough SuDoc class numbers to have a favorite yet. I've touched a lot of Y4 fiche though… Maybe Hasse…

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
No - you'd get credit - we'd do the work. Of course, I can't get old fiche

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
For pumpkin carving: printing press that turns into binary code? (Digital FDLP transition...)

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Ooooooh oooooh oooh.

from Ashley Dahlen to everyone:
If anyones posts anything "FDLP" to Ravelry, I'd totally enjoy copying that project :)

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Knit little logo socks.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
I made a retiring gov doc librarian a tie tack from a Marcive card with GP 3.2:

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
I have my pumpkin design.

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Maybe there should be a webinar on gov crafting?

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
1899?

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Yes Susanne!

from Cass Hartnett to everyone:
1898

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
1877

from Paul Nease to everyone:
1890

from Monica Dorame to everyone:
1868

from John Olson to everyone:
1892

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
All the dates are killing me! I don't do dates!

from Scott Briggs to everyone:
1902

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
1891

from Cass Hartnett to everyone:
1901

from Monica Dorame to everyone:
oops

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
1900

from Aimee Quinn to everyone:
1895

from ben amata to everyone:
great photo

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
1902

from Ella Shurr to everyone:
1888

from Scott Matheson to everyone:
I was going to say a GP number Jenny, but maybe LC 14.26 - as I always say that's my favorite doc.

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
1895

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Where's the time line from previous session

from Cass Hartnett to everyone:
Our heroine!

from Cass Hartnett to everyone:
Adelaide and Jennifer, I mean

from Jen Kirk to everyone:
We are working to convert and upload the timeline, Jenny

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
LOL Cass

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
@Scott, Constitution?

from Jennifer Morgan to everyone:
Aimee Q said 1895 first :-)

from Scott Matheson to everyone:
@Charlie, yes, though maybe one of the print classes... CONAN is one of my faves.

from Kelly Seifert to everyone:
Aimee and Jennifer will both get a prize! Apologies Aimee! You are both winners :)