

Cataloging Roundtable: Making Online Government Publications Discoverable to Patrons – Chat Log

from Helen Keremedjiev to everyone: 3:16 PM

If have any questions, please add them into the chat and send them to “Everyone.”

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:19 PM

Interested in follow-up discussion with Alma users regarding activating Community Zone Collections - identified four collections thus far to take a closer look at: 61317000000000069 and 613790000000000947 and 614330000000001323 and 613790000000000919 - have not assessed the records in the collections yet

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:23 PM

Alaska State Library also shares a consortial collection with dozens of other institutions. Use Sirsi Enterprise.

from Helen Keremedjiev to everyone: 3:24 PM

If have any questions, please add them into the chat and send them to “Everyone.”

from Helen Keremedjiev to everyone: 3:24 PM

Also, don't forget the Conference Bingo <https://fdlp.gov/file-download/download/public/25656>

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:25 PM

Can you state the name of that open access collection again from the CZ, please?

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 3:26 PM

+1 Morgan

from Shawn King to everyone: 3:26 PM

US Government Documents is the collection I am aware of.

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:27 PM

US Government Documents 61317000000000069

from Elisabeth Garner to everyone: 3:28 PM

Yes, for splitting the records up from print and electronic! Thank you!

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 3:28 PM

Thank you, Rich!

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:29 PM

Thank you!

from Holli Redden to everyone: 3:29 PM

Can you say that again?

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 3:29 PM

I think she said U.S. Government Documents electronic collection.

from Trina Magi to everyone: 3:29 PM

What is the scope of the "US Government Documents" collection in Alma's community zone, in terms of online/print, comprehensiveness, dates of coverage, etc.?

from Holli Redden to everyone: 3:29 PM

OK. Thanks

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:29 PM

+1 Trina

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:30 PM

Ariella - What's your selection rate?

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:30 PM

I understand the reasoning for splitting print and electronic records. But I'd like to hear ideas for how others *connect* their print and digital collections. eg someone in the stacks sees a serial that ended in print in 2004, but continues online. and vice versa, someone finds a record that shows digital from 2004 - present, but not the earlier print runs. Any ideas for making connections between the govinfo diaspora?

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 3:30 PM

What would be a feasible strategy for a tiny tech services department, say 1 librarian who covers both cataloging and government docs in the wake of no CRDP or Marcive? How simple is it to figure out the FDL manager or the github resources?

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 3:31 PM

Omg, where's Jenny Groome?

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 3:31 PM

+Benjamin

from Lorelei Sensabaugh to everyone: 3:31 PM

Here is Stephen's presentation from the FDLP Academy: <https://www.fdlp.gov/training/connecticut-state-library-single-record-workflow>

from Douglas Lord to everyone: 3:31 PM

+1 Sinai Wood

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:32 PM

Thank you Lorelei!

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:32 PM

THANK YOU LORELEI

from Trina Magi to everyone: 3:32 PM

+1 James. I'm thinking about this, too.

from Becki White to everyone: 3:32 PM

Benjamin - I'm close to that status. I have a cataloger who will work with me, but I have to do most of the work. I found our ILS allows me to connect to FDLP records via Z39.50, and I can obtain individual records that way.

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 3:33 PM

-Becki thanks. Will look into that.

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:33 PM

+1 James - Connect the diaspora!

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:33 PM

other Alma CZ Collections: U.S. Government Printing Office 61379000000000947 and U.S. Government Printing Office 614330000000001323 and Freely Accessible Government Documents 613790000000000919

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:33 PM

Becki - I may reach out to you. We are working with similar and I have used ZProfiles before as a relatively easy workflow. Thank you for sharing

from Eileen Snyder to everyone: 3:34 PM

At our library, Print and E records are connected in the discovery layer, so the user sees one record even though in the staff side there are 2. I am not sure exactly how it works, but we put 776 fields in the MARC records to connect P+E. I suspect the developers use that in some way.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:34 PM

Benjamin - Here is the FDLP.gov page, Sources of GPO Cataloging Records:
<https://fdlp.gov/catalogingandclassification/sources-of-gpo-cataloging-records>

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 3:35 PM

Benjamin - we have specific training on using the FDLP Data Manager (FDM) here: <https://fdlp.gov/file-repository/1030/file-data-manager>

from Beth Callahan to everyone: 3:35 PM

What do you think of the quality of the ALMA community zone records? Our library just started using this ILS and as the FDLP coordinator so far I have been unimpressed with them -- very brief, limited subject headings, and sometimes no SuDoc. Have those records you've loaded been easily discoverable to your community?

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:35 PM

Thanks Eileen. What discovery layer/ILS are you using?

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:36 PM

Here is the link to our webcast on FDM: <https://fdlp.gov/training/introducing-fdlp-data-manager-fdm-new-tool-assist-managing-fdlp-collections>

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:36 PM

Alma Community Zone Collection List (for those who don't have tech access inside Alma) - the link to the most recent spreadsheet list is available at https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Content/Knowledge_Articles/Alma/Alma_Community_Zone_Collection_List

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:36 PM

Here is the webcast series on GitHub: <https://fdlp.gov/training/lscm-github-repositories-webcast-series>

from Mary-Ellen Petrich to everyone: 3:38 PM

What or where is the "Kickoff Map"?

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:38 PM

@Beth Callahan - assessing quality of the Alma CZ records and other issues is the discussion I think we'll need to have across the community

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:39 PM

+1 Rich

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:39 PM

Is there any reason to continue using an FDL's selection profile? Why not just get all records of all electronic titles?

from Amy Fitch to everyone: 3:39 PM

+1 James

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:39 PM

Does anyone currently have CGP (catalog.gpo.gov) incorporated into their discovery service? How do you like it?

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:39 PM

+Rich

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:39 PM

(my evil plan is to make my library catalog a govinfo catalog :-)

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 3:40 PM

good question James. I think our database mgr would have a slight problem with this, cleanup tasks

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:40 PM

+James

from Becki White to everyone: 3:40 PM

@James - some of us go the opposite direction, and add fewer catalog records than our selection profile would imply. :)

from Amy Fitch to everyone: 3:40 PM

+1 James lol

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:40 PM
thank Sarah. nice to know there's another FOLIO library out there

from Paul Nease to everyone: 3:40 PM
James, we were getting all new electronic records through marcive and it did not create any issues for us. Post marcive, we'd like to continue doing the same. If there was an easily importable download of all new electronic records, it would be great

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:40 PM
I agree James, as long as I can get them all uploaded, since we went all digital, I am looking to have all the e-titles

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:41 PM
@James - The selection profile gives me a manageable list of publications to review and choose highlights. But I can see the case for accepting all records.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:41 PM
+1 Paul

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:41 PM
+1 Paul

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:41 PM
@daniel and I can see why some libraries wouldn't want ALL records

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:41 PM
@James - I'm at a large academic and I want all the available records for my users, but I've heard feedback from some colleagues that they find the huge number of government records overwhelming - my counter argument is "now you realize how much info is available from the government about your topics" and "learn how to filter results post-search

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:42 PM
@paul we were also getting all e-records from MARCIVE

from Marie Concannon to everyone: 3:42 PM
I may be old fashioned but I still think the CGP is a decent way to find gov docs. Am I not seeing something?

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 3:42 PM
+1 Rich

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 3:42 PM
I will be relying more on CGP, Marie

from Amy Fitch to everyone: 3:42 PM
@Marie I love the CGP. It's my favorite way to get the OCLC number.

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:42 PM

Although, since we're in a catalog consortium with several academic depositories, I think we'll be exploring how to get all records. Some consortium members HAD been subscribing to Marcive Documents without Shelves.

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 3:43 PM
I don't love CGP but appreciate it more

from Becki White to everyone: 3:43 PM
Marie - I use CGP to identify the documents. But loading those records into my ILS isn't as easy as using the Z39.50 function.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:43 PM
+1 Rich. our blacklight catalog helps with the faceting. and we've also created a govinfo search via a "genre" <https://searchworks.stanford.edu/govdocs>

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:43 PM
I agree with you James. Since our Dean went all Digital, I selected all Digital records. My view is to grab it all and provide it all who comes to our catalog to use it. It also opens the research abilities for everyone even in my local communities.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 3:43 PM
We are currently a Sierra environment, moving to Alma with our consortium. So we'll need to work this out.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:43 PM
+1 Laurence

from Paul Nease to everyone: 3:45 PM
I may be wrong but it's my understanding that the github download for new records doesn't split print docs from electronic. If all the new monthly electronic records were available as a download via github that would be really helpful.

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 3:45 PM
James is Stanford Alma/Primo?

from Kim Allen to everyone: 3:45 PM
we use marcedit to split out print from electronic. just part of our normal clean up

from Joshua Lambert to everyone: 3:45 PM
+1 Paul

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone: 3:46 PM
For libraries that don't weed the electronic materials, do you find that the number of electronic titles overwhelm your catalog?

from Marie Concannon to everyone: 3:46 PM

Here's a regional question. We select 100% as we are obligated to do so. Do the electronic-only new titles have to be in our catalog or does providing public access to the CGP count? Of course, we do plan to keep all the records for the vast historic tangible collection in our catalog.

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:46 PM

@JoAnna - Doesn't seem to overwhelm on most subjects. Sirsi Enterprise does a good job with faceting/filtering.

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:47 PM

I am dealing with a very small collection management/tech service department. Our previous Dean gutted our old tech service department. Plus we are in a consortia so having to have a system to upload everything into our catalog via our consortia is what is needed.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:47 PM

Paul - You are right. We currently do not split new or changed records into electronic and tangible files. Thank you very much for suggesting this. We will look into that.

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 3:47 PM

Good question, Stephen!

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 3:47 PM

Currently, an electronic item selection profile serves the same purpose as tangible because you only get records for those item numbers

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:47 PM

@sinai we're EBSCO/EDS

from Mary Jo Heacock to everyone: 3:47 PM

Stephen - I have often wondered this.

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone: 3:47 PM

@Marie, Valerie mentioned at the beginning that GPO does not require libraries to put records for electronic documents in their catalog. So access to CGP is acceptable.

from Douglas Lord to everyone: 3:48 PM

This chat is so fascinating -- speaks to the need for a Discord (or some other great tool) to harness all the collective wisdom and learning in the room.

from Christine Fletcher to everyone: 3:48 PM

The profile lets us choose what online records we want to ingest automatically these days. We don't want CONgress-- so no X or Y Su DOcs. etc.

from Marie Concannon to everyone: 3:48 PM

Stephen, I understand what you are saying! I explain to our selectives that to "select" something that is online only means that they are pledging to provide reference service for that title. And they are also promising to provide a pathway to it somehow, either through the catalog or by a link from the library website.

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone: 3:49 PM

@Daniel We have had issues with having too many electronic materials overwhelm our catalog so it becomes harder to find print materials. That is why I was curious about what other libraries experiences were

from Becki White to everyone: 3:49 PM

One of the benefits of being in a consortia: if another depository already added a record, it's easier for me to tag it to show up in my library's catalog.

from David Cox to everyone: 3:49 PM

We are very selective (around 7%) and our goal was to include all of the relevant items and only those. But now in our consortium we have most everything electronic in the catalog so it doesn't matter per se anymore

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 3:49 PM

For example, OCLC uses the library's item selection profile to make sure the library is getting records for those items in OCLC Collection Manager (if your library is using OCLC Collection Manager)

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:49 PM

separate from Alma CZ Collections, who is making use of Primo Collections feature for discovery? e.g., https://ucf-flvc.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/collectionDiscovery?vid=01FALSC_UCF:UCF&collectionId=81407318210006596&lang=en

from Paul Nease to everyone: 3:49 PM

Thank you Stephen! That would make it much easier on our systems folks because it would take the workload of splitting electronic versus print off libraries. I've heard that's an issue for other libraries as well.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:50 PM

Paul, you're very welcome and thank you very much!

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:51 PM

If the titles are not inputted into the catalog there is no real way for patrons to truly fine them. Yes they can access CGP, but then that takes our users out of our system when having those records into our catalog it allows them to access librarian support. I love LibGuides, but they are not search and finable to use for e-titles where a catalog would better serve the user.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:51 PM

+Lawrence

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:51 PM

+1 Lawrence

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone: 3:51 PM

+1 Lawrence

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:51 PM

As far as discoverable, and referring to Rich's example, this is where Primo VE is helpful

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:52 PM
+1 Lawrence

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 3:52 PM
+Lawrence

from Paul Nease to everyone: 3:52 PM
Does anyone have any experience with turning on the ALMA CDI Collection ID: 6113917700000041 ?
That's the one we were looking at turning on when Marcive ends

from Chris Fox to everyone: 3:53 PM
All the talk in this session pertains to larger libraries, consortia, and systems. Where and how can I get answers that apply to smaller institutions like mine? I'm the sole electronic catalog librarian. My only experience is with government documents from MARCIVE. I just want to know how to replace those records.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:53 PM
+Chris

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 3:53 PM
+Chris

from Becki White to everyone: 3:53 PM
@Chris - this is where those online communities mentioned earlier today would be super helpful.

from myrrhianna morningstar to everyone: 3:53 PM
+1 Chris

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:53 PM
+1 Chris - what's the alternative

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:53 PM
+1 Becki

from Michele Pope to everyone: 3:53 PM
Would it be possible to create support groups by the ILS type. to be able to assist at this time with getting GPO records.

from Marie Concannon to everyone: 3:54 PM
I love that idea, Michele!

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:54 PM
Second Michele!

from Chris Fox to everyone: 3:54 PM
I'm a SirsiDynix library.

from Debra Gomes to everyone: 3:54 PM

In OCLC's Collection Manager you also have the option to download a KBART file with all titles from the automatic feed and not just the selectin profile. You can then create a new collection using those records..

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:54 PM

+1 Michele

from Patricia Rush to everyone: 3:54 PM

Yes Michele

from James Jacobs to everyone: 3:54 PM

+1 Michele

from Kim Allen to everyone: 3:54 PM

The Githubs are also a source. You don

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 3:54 PM

Great idea Michele!

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:55 PM

I know in FL are under a consortia and we met a couple of weeks ago to as a info session to see what we can do since our consorita is the one who would get the records through Marchive to upload.

from Chad Deets to everyone: 3:55 PM

Fantastic idea, Michele

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:55 PM

PURL Usage Report FDLP Academy webinar: <https://fdlp.gov/training/fdlp-purl-usage-report-analyzing-usage-digital-fdlp-resources>

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 3:55 PM

@Michele - this is what the previous session was suggesting with something like Discord - a channel for each ILS etc

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone: 3:55 PM

+1 Wendy

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 3:55 PM

Resources to help you determine whether or not to use your FDLP item selection profile:

<https://www.fdlp.gov/examine-your-librarys-depository-operation/determine-fdlp-item-selection>

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 3:55 PM

+1 Wendy - yes!

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 3:55 PM

+Wendy

from Shana McDanold to everyone: 3:55 PM

Link to OCLC's option in Collection Manager:

https://help.oclc.org/Metadata_Services/WorldShare_Collection_Manager/Use_Collection_Manager_for_content_from_a_specific_provider/Providers_and_contacts_for_automatic_collection_loading/GPO

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:55 PM

PURL usage is decent, but we only get report on a consortium level - that's not GPO's fault. I need to check to see if there's any URL click reporting out of Sirsi Enterprise.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:56 PM

PURL Usage Reporting Tool instructions:

<https://www.fdlp.gov/instruction/purls>

from Kim Allen to everyone: 3:56 PM

Githubs are a choice. You don't have to know git commands. You can use your browser to download "the code" (the files) and then load similarly to MARCIVE. BUT I believe the 010 is a GPO number and not the OCLC # which will make setting holdings in oclc more interesting

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:56 PM

Thanks Stephen, I use the Purl Usage Report every month and if the e-titles are not in a catalog system, those numbers would be even smaller.

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:56 PM

Alma CZ Collections - if collectively we assess existing collections and find them wanting, perhaps we in the community can tackle improving an existing collection or creating a new one that better meets our needs

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:56 PM

+ Rich

from Becki White to everyone: 3:57 PM

Anyone else here using Evergreen? Or a local version of it?

from Chris Fox to everyone: 3:57 PM

Kim, I don't understand GitHub -- how to select which repository/collection, how to maintain it on an ongoing basis, etc.

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 3:57 PM

FYI - recorded OCLC webinar on using them to load records -

<https://www.oclc.org/en/events/2024/how-to-receive-marc-records-for-us-gov-titles.html> - it would use what you r library has selected on FDLP profile

from Paul Nease to everyone: 3:57 PM

+1 Rich

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 3:57 PM

+Rich

from Elisabeth Garner to everyone: 3:57 PM

Our IT librarian created a way to track usage of patrons who click on gov doc links from our catalog...we add "liblink.uncw.edu" for our federal records

from Shawn King to everyone: 3:57 PM

Alma CZ collection says it's been updated this month but if you look at the portfolios they seem to all be created/last updated in 2023?

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:58 PM

Kim Allen - Thank you very much for your comment. Sorry, if I am misunderstanding. Our records on GitHub have OCLC numbers in 035 fields.

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:58 PM

LibGuides has an "asset report" that reports links clicked from a guide. Could be helpful - must add titles as LINKS and not as part of an HTML object.

from Rich Gause to everyone: 3:58 PM

LibGuides can also track statistics about the individual assets usage

from Elisabeth Garner to everyone: 3:58 PM

Our IT Librarian added a "liblink.uncw.edu" to our online gov doc records we bring in each month, to track patrons who click on gov doc links/purls, etc.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 3:58 PM

@Chris, I think they may have monthly loads and you can keep track locally of which ones you have done. But I have not done a lot more investigation since we are going with oclc

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 3:58 PM

The CGP number is in the 001 field.

from jennifer obrien to everyone: 3:59 PM

Hi, there. I work at one of the CSCU libraries (Hi, Stephen!) - we are satisfied with the record management workflow/arrangement, and very grateful to the State Library for assuming primary responsibility for gov docs records. And I will note that we (Tech Services) do not tend to receive any kind of comments/complaints about the gov docs records in our catalog (usually, it's our IZ vs NZ ebook records that cause the most agita!).

from Tim Lozier to everyone: 3:59 PM

"Dissolve" your libraries???

from Kim Allen to everyone: 3:59 PM

@Stephen, thanks for the 035 info.

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:59 PM

LibGuides are useful for some stats, but also to get them out into the public sector and community you need to tag to get them found.

from Amy Ruhe to everyone: 3:59 PM
Thanks.

from Holli Redden to everyone: 3:59 PM
Very helpful!

from Alicia Kubas to everyone: 3:59 PM
please note that there are a variety of factors in determining if a library should use their item selection profile or not. see GPO guidance article for more info: <https://www.fdlp.gov/examine-your-librarys-depository-operation/determine-fdlp-item-selection>

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone: 3:59 PM
Thanks!

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 3:59 PM
Thanks.

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 3:59 PM
@ Becky We use Evergreen with Aspen layer

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:00 PM
@Kim, you're very welcome and thank you very much.

from Becki White to everyone: 4:00 PM
Hi Priya, I don't know what our "layers" are. We are part of Pennsylvania's SPARK consortia.

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:00 PM
@Kim, isn't the OCLC Collection Manager option only for FDLP libraries? We are not a depository.

from Shawn King to everyone: 4:04 PM
@Chris it says in the OCLC documentation that any library can select titles from the GPO knowledgebase

from Kim Allen to everyone: 4:07 PM
@Chris, we lucked out because we are an fdlp and we already have OCLC WorldShare Manager. So either way we are good.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:08 PM
@Chris Fox - This FDLP.gov page has the links to our seven GitHub repositories:
<https://fdlp.gov/catalogingandclassification/sources-of-gpo-cataloging-records>

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 4:08 PM
Truly this is where the guidelines need to be updated, as for the fact without the requirement to catalog the electronic records, any other way truly is mute and renders them useless and hard to find. It is near impossible to do it through LibGuides as would need to do it one link at a time, and then with many of us wearing multiple hats even if a guide was created (which is not best search option) you never truly get them updated in a timely manner. Webpage is no better.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:09 PM

The CGP Maintenance Files repository has the monthly files: <https://github.com/usgpo/cataloging-records-CGP-maintenance-files>

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:10 PM

We post the new monthly files in the middle of the following month.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:10 PM

https://github.com/usgpo/cataloging-records-CGP-maintenance-files/tree/main/CGP_Records_Monthly_Files

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:10 PM

We recently posted the September monthly files.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 4:11 PM

@Chris BUT if you look at the github for CGP monthly files: https://github.com/usgpo/cataloging-records-CGP-maintenance-files/tree/main/CGP_Records_Monthly_Files you can see groups for new, changed and deleted. Choose which group. Then the file names within that group indicate the month. And you can choose which format you want: .mrc or xml. It can be a little overwhelming at first.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 4:13 PM

@Chris, then when its selected, you can download the code

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 4:15 PM

Y@stephen - you recently posted the September files, so does this mean that the last files we will get from Marcive/CRDP are the Novemeber records?

from Helen Keremedjiev to everyone: 4:15 PM

If have any questions, please add them into the chat and send them to "Everyone."

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:15 PM

Will the chat be available to read later???

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 4:15 PM

@ Becky, Aspen is a discovery layer which we just recently acquired.

from Helen Keremedjiev to everyone: 4:15 PM

Also, don't forget the Conference Bingo <https://fdlp.gov/file-download/download/public/25656>

from Kim Allen to everyone: 4:16 PM

Thanks @Stephen Kharfen for the info

from Christine Fletcher to everyone: 4:17 PM

Welcome Capt. Solo and Chewie.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:17 PM

@Wendy - You are right. The last record set made available for the CRDP will be disseminated in December and include records cataloged in November 2024

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 4:18 PM

"The last record set made available for the CRDP will be disseminated in December and include records cataloged in November 2024." From the CRDP web page <https://fdlp.gov/cataloging-and-classification/cataloging-record-distribution-program>

from Douglas Lord to everyone: 4:18 PM

Is it the MST3K background?

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 4:18 PM

@Stephen Thank you for clarifying

from Helen Keremedjiev to everyone: 4:18 PM

@Chris Fox: Yes, the chat will be made available after the conference.

from Jim Noel to everyone: 4:18 PM

@Wendy: Yes, "November" records will be your last ones we send you in December. (That means the records were created/updated in November, distributed in December.)

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:18 PM

@Kim Thanks! It is a bit overwhelming.

from Christine Fletcher to everyone: 4:18 PM

Thought it was Millenium Falcom

from Will Mayer to everyone: 4:18 PM

Falcon

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:19 PM

@Wendy - You're very welcome and thank you very much. We will continue to post the monthly files on GitHub.

from Holli Redden to everyone: 4:19 PM

When will the recording of this be available? Will the chat be available in that recording? I have a meeting tomorrow that I need this for.

from Shawn King to everyone: 4:20 PM

That is only to set up a profile for records, otherwise you can get them even without a profile it appears from OCLC documentation?

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 4:21 PM

@Will Mayer please define automatic content loading; does that mean it goes directly from OCLC connexion client to your local import profile?

from Rebecca Daly to everyone: 4:21 PM

That was OCLC WorldShare Record Manager, that allowed for auto import of gov docs?

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:21 PM

+1 Benjamin

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:21 PM
spot on @Valerie :-)

from Will Mayer to everyone: 4:22 PM
It loads directly to our profile automatically the documents will be available in WMS Discovery with full text. (If available).

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 4:22 PM
Cool

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:22 PM
we've played around with QR codes. have found not many people use them, perhaps because they think it's an ad for starbucks or something :-)

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 4:22 PM
Thanks!

from Will Mayer to everyone: 4:22 PM
Yes, WMS can be setup to autoloading GPO docs.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:23 PM
can you do 77x notes automatically?

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:23 PM
The what program?

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:23 PM
thanks @stephen

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:23 PM
Sorry I missed that

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:23 PM
was that conserv?

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone: 4:23 PM
@Morgan CONSER

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:23 PM
Thank you Gwen!

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:23 PM
Records that have discontinued in tangible formats and continues online contain notes and linking fields.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:24 PM
+1 Gwenn

from Kim Allen to everyone: 4:24 PM
@Stephen Slovasky - preaching to the choir! ;o)

from Andrea Kroll to everyone: 4:26 PM
I am also interested in an answer. Also a "tiny" tech services department.

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 4:26 PM
Our ILS (Evergreen) seems to require a barcode for online records. This is so that the system does not think the record is empty and delete it during the purge. MARCIVE was attaching the barcode for us until now. Those of you who get records from OCLC, how do you automatically attach barcodes to your online records?

from Shana McDanold to everyone: 4:26 PM
Program for Cooperative Cataloging CONSER program: <https://loc.gov/aba/pcc/conser/index.html>

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:27 PM
Example: Fact book : a year in review. Continued by the web-based: NIAID year in review, issued only online. 785 field with link to online version record.

from Helen Keremedjiev to everyone: 4:27 PM
@Holli Redden: The recording and chat will be made available after the conference on the FDLP Academy Training Repository (<https://fdlp.gov/training>)

from Andrea Kroll to everyone: 4:27 PM
Yes, how to keep links up to date

from Amy Fitch to everyone: 4:27 PM
I have the PURL links in the 856 line

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:27 PM
ostensibly both github and FDM will be the "same" records in terms of robustness right?

from Kim Allen to everyone: 4:27 PM
@Priya, that may be an ILS issue. We load eresources both with or without item records. into Sierra

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:27 PM
https://catalog.gpo.gov/F/?func=direct&doc_number=000869902&local_base=GPO01PUB

from Andrea Kroll to everyone: 4:28 PM
In my experience, even purl links become broken links over time

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:28 PM
@James Jacobs - The records on GitHub and FDM are the same records.

from Shana McDanold to everyone: 4:29 PM
@priya - do you use a dummy item record? we do that currently for Sirsi Symphony which also requires an item record. We have a 949 field to create an item record included in each record to generate that dummy item.

from Will Mayer to everyone: 4:29 PM
wmayer@lee.edu

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:29 PM
thanks Stephen

from Shana McDanold to everyone: 4:29 PM
you can add taht field using MarcEdit if the file from OCLC can't have it added prior to export from WorldShare

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:30 PM
@James Jacobs - You're very welcome and thank you very much.

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:30 PM
Isn't OCLC Collection Manager (ongoing records) and FDM for depository libraries only?

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:30 PM
I report a lot of "unreported" docs and want to especially make sure those records make it into my catalog. Do any of the services have subsets for unreported, or Congressionally mandated reports, or other kinds of subsets?

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 4:31 PM
Stephen Kharfen- how does the GitHub record batch compare to the monthly new electronic titles in CGP?

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:31 PM
thanks @scott

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 4:31 PM
OCLC Collection Manager is for anyone who has an OCLC cataloging and metadata subscription, I think.

from Amy Fitch to everyone: 4:32 PM
We do have CGP on our list of Government databases. I don't know how often it's used by patrons.

from Chad Deets to everyone: 4:32 PM
I thought GPO was looking at updating the CGP to a new iteration? This could be a useful thing to keep in mind for that.

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:33 PM
@Kim The Monthly Updates on GitHub include multiple formats. I'm only interested in electronic docs. I guess I can use MarcEdit to pull out those. Unless there's a more efficient way?

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:33 PM
@Mark Hamilton - As of now, the GitHub monthly files include all formats. We will look at creating files of online only records and records for tangible formats.

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 4:33 PM

To create an FDM account, you need and FDL number. If you are not at a depository library and do not have a FDL number, enter in a one-word name or an acronym. <https://fdm.gpo.gov/Tools/Tutorial>

from Chad Deets to everyone: 4:33 PM

Stephen, I think splitting formats on GitHub would be incredibly useful

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone: 4:34 PM

Yes, I asked that question!

from Kim Allen to everyone: 4:34 PM

@Chris. My folks have a MarcEdit task that does it for them. I'm just not the one who normally does that

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:34 PM

As already mentioned, libraries with OCLC cataloging/metadata subscriptions have access to Collection Manager.

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 4:34 PM

Thank you Stephen K!

from Libby McDaniel to everyone: 4:34 PM

Separate github files for separate formats would be really helpul.

from David Cox to everyone: 4:35 PM

Not removing electronic records, and in fact have added more historical entries in our consortium via the Documents without Shelves program

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 4:35 PM

Weeding electronic records would be a great webinar.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:35 PM

And also access to the two knowledge base collections: U.S. Government Documents - Electronic and U.S. Government Documents - Non-electronic

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:35 PM

@Stephen Kharfen I know we have access to OCLC CM, so I can download all records in the electronic collection, but getting automatic records feed (ongoing updates and additions) requires FDLP status. Right?

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 4:36 PM

Right, where do we start, what does a workflow look like, etc.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:36 PM

+1 Sinai

from Arlene Weible to everyone: 4:36 PM

I'm curious if GPO is working directly with discovery layer vendors (Primo, EDS) to populate their U.S. Collections, or if the companies are just using GitHub or other sources for their data. It seems like GPO could help with improving the data in these collections.

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 4:36 PM

Agreed Sinai Wood - I would love to see a webinar on weeding electronic records

from Marie Concannon to everyone: 4:36 PM

+1 Arlene

from Rich Gause to everyone: 4:37 PM

+1 Arlene

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:37 PM

+1 Arlene

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone: 4:38 PM

As I understand it, libraries are not required to put records for electronic docs in catalogs, so Regionals not required to add records and consequently not prohibited from deleting records, either.

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 4:38 PM

Regarding discovery layers and GPO, we the community should ask them to look into this, a Council task force???

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:38 PM

@Chris Fox - A library needs to be an FDL to use the Collection Manager service that matches item selection profiles to records.

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 4:38 PM

@ Kim Thank you. I am talking to them.

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 4:39 PM

+Sinai

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 4:39 PM

@ Shana Our ILS loads the record for us, we do not manipulate the OCLC record in anyway at the library. So to answer your question, I do not know.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:39 PM

+1 Arlene [clap]

from Marina Bacchetti to everyone: 4:39 PM

Isn't there a retention policy for some documents, i.e., that after 5 years they can be withdrawn? This separately from offers listings sent to the Regional Library for approval to offer or to discard?

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:39 PM

@Chris Fox - The two knowledge base collections are automatic and posts the files of the records in your CM account.

from David Cox to everyone: 4:40 PM

Sounds like a lot of the solution is OCLC which we had to cut years ago for being way too expensive

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:40 PM

@Stephen Kharfen Sorry but I don't know what "matches item selection profiles to records" mean. This maybe outside the scope of this discussion, so maybe I can get an answer offline.

from Shana McDanold to everyone: 4:40 PM

@priya - sounds like you need to talk to your ILS and find out what they need to load item records with your gov docs

from Rich Gause to everyone: 4:40 PM

Alma CZ - US Government Documents 61317000000000069 has 256,095 items

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 4:41 PM

There do not seem to be any other vendors for gov docs. Just putting that out there.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:41 PM

@Chris Fox - I am glad to provide additional information: skharfen@gpo.gov.

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 4:42 PM

GPO is definitely open to having conversations with vendors about sources of cataloging records.

from Becki White to everyone: 4:42 PM

@Priya, I know that I am able to add our holdings info to the record once it has been imported. I don't know what the timeline is, how long I have between when I retrieve the record and when I add our holding.

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 4:43 PM

Specifically related to vendors' discovery layers and systems.

from Becki White to everyone: 4:43 PM

Our consortia has pretty strict rules about who can alter/add to records, so I can do some things but nothing that is solely the privilege of an original cataloger.

from Teresa Weisser to everyone: 4:43 PM

We use EDS for discovery and have had CGP turned on for many years. I would observe that government documents rarely come up very high in results set because we have so much full-text turned on that bibliographic records aren't weighted as heavily as the full-text results. We have continued to get CRDP records in effort to bump the records we're most interested in higher in our results set.

from Arlene Weible to everyone: 4:44 PM

While I don't have much experience working with vendors on discovery layers, it seems like there is more proactive advocacy needed to get vendors to take advantage of the rich meta data GPO provides for these publications.

from Marina Bacchetti to everyone: 4:44 PM

Thank you, Valerie.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:45 PM

+1 Arlene

from Chad Deets to everyone: 4:45 PM

+1 Karyn

from Shawn King to everyone: 4:45 PM

I wonder if the discovery layer options could be similar to the way we have Hathitrust links populate our discovery search results without loading the records in our Alma repository

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:45 PM

that would be awesome Karyn

from David Cox to everyone: 4:45 PM

@Teresa I'm looking in Ebsco Admin now and only seeing a single title entry for the CGP, not any of the metadata contents. Do you have title-level records showing up in your EDS from the CGP? If so how?

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:45 PM

That kind of group effort would be so incredibly helpful to all of us, big and small I think

from Chad Deets to everyone: 4:45 PM

It really needs to be supported by GPO and not left to the libraries to figure it out amongst themselves

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 4:45 PM

+Karyn

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 4:45 PM

The gov docs community can forward these ideas to Council and they can ask GPO to investigate

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:46 PM

right now my metadata dept is looking into all the options, but it certainly would be helpful if GPO put out that info

from Arlene Weible to everyone: 4:46 PM

+1 Chad!

from myrrhianna morningstar to everyone: 4:46 PM

+1 Chad

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:46 PM

+1 for a Council recommendation

from Monica Dorame to everyone: 4:46 PM

+Karyn

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:46 PM

+1 Karyn

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 4:46 PM

Kate said GPO was open to ideas, see Chat

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:46 PM

Are there any smaller cataloging departments out there who are interested in getting together somehow to share questions and answers?

from Kim Allen to everyone: 4:46 PM

Discord!!!

from Chris Fox to everyone: 4:47 PM

I'm at BYU-Idaho

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone: 4:47 PM

Reminder about snacks session Tuesday morning first session about the end of CRDP and Marcive -- a chance to continue the conversation with Council.

from Marie Concannon to everyone: 4:47 PM

Make a DLC recommendation -- maybe for GPO to get more actively involved with discovery layer vendors, if such a thing is possible

from Chad Deets to everyone: 4:47 PM

Thank you, Gwen! I will for sure come.

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 4:47 PM

The CDS Working Group (earlier session today) does have a number of recommendations, including the development of "community of practice" groups

from Sinai Wood to everyone: 4:47 PM

If the Alma collection(s) in the CZ aren't up to local standards, it will be hard for us to get the records in Alma

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone: 4:48 PM

+1 Kate

from Andrea Kroll to everyone: 4:48 PM

@Chris I'm at a small public county library technical services department

from Cassandra Wenzel to everyone: 4:48 PM

Has anyone had issues with over import of electronic records? As in, the records have proliferated to the point that they are hard to keep up to date? Any solutions for this?

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 4:48 PM

And this is something that GPO is exploring in how to leverage the FDLP network to support such types of communities

from Becki White to everyone: 4:48 PM

Our nearest other depository library decided not to add any records for electronic docs into their catalog. If you do that, I'd think you really to be on top of your gov docs web page.

from Cassandra Wenzel to everyone: 4:48 PM
Interesting idea Becki.

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 4:49 PM
<https://fdlp.gov/file-repository-item/managing-fdlp-digital-publications-library-catalogs-survey-findings-october>

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 4:49 PM
I am guessing vendors like Ex Libris (for Alma/Primo) will be more responsive to customers. They also may not know about the github records and how useful this would be for customers than GPO - especially if the github records could be split into electronic and physical as suggested above

from Teresa Weisser to everyone: 4:49 PM
@David I didn't set this up so I don't know EBSCO Admin but, if I search EDS, and limit by Government Publishing Office Catalog, I get individual titles.

from Rich Gause to everyone: 4:52 PM
I still want SuDoc call numbers assigned to the electronic materials, even though the non-physical aren't going onto a shelf. SuDoc provides one more discovery access point.

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone: 4:52 PM
+1 Rich

from Marie Concannon to everyone: 4:52 PM
I agree, Rich

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:52 PM
+1 Rich

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 4:52 PM
+Rich

from Tim Lozier to everyone: 4:53 PM
+1 Rich

from David Cox to everyone: 4:53 PM
@Teresa - hey look at that! Okay, excellent. I wonder where they're getting that data loading through but that's cool. I'm Electronic Resources and Cataloging and Government Documents, yay lots of hats...

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone: 4:53 PM
You'll have to pry SuDocs from my cold hands!

from Marie Concannon to everyone: 4:53 PM
Being able to "select" by SuDoc stem still seems useful, even if they are electronic only

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 4:53 PM
I would prefer the LC call number in records, as a library who integrates into its other collections.

from Cassandra Wenzel to everyone: 4:53 PM

@Rich, I usually assign those myself as possible via CGP, but it would be so much easier to have them assigned already.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:53 PM

GPO has a list of some cataloging/metadata resources on LSCM Metadata and Collection Services Information Portal

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:54 PM

*Portal

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:54 PM

<https://libguides.fdlp.gov/lscm-metadata-and-collection-services/cataloging-metadata-resources>

from Cassandra Wenzel to everyone: 4:54 PM

@Andrea, having both is definitely technically possible, as there are resources that have this in OCLC

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 4:55 PM

By the way there is a community group for OCLC Collection Manager/WMS users:

https://help.oclc.org/Metadata_Services/WorldShare_Collection_Manager/OCLC_Community_Center_WorldShare_Collection_Manager_community

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 4:55 PM

I think that keeping SuDoc numbers on e-records helps the SuDoc Coordinator to know where within the SuDoc family other related content can be found and/or traced to.

from Arlene Weible to everyone: 4:55 PM

E-Resources Librarians have more skills working with vendors when things don't work the way they are supposed to, in my experience, so perhaps those are the folks that can help with talking to vendors.

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 4:55 PM

+1 Arlene

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone: 4:56 PM

Thank you for the discussion!

from Chad Deets to everyone: 4:57 PM

Thank you panelists for sharing your experiences.

from Bobby Griffith to everyone: 4:57 PM

Thanks, everyone!

from Cassandra Wenzel to everyone: 4:57 PM

Thank you everyone!

from Jody Hewitt to everyone: 4:57 PM

Thank you! Great discussion!

from James Jacobs to everyone: 4:57 PM
very interesting session. thanks everyone!

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 4:57 PM
Thank you! This has been very helpful!

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:57 PM
Super informative, thank you everyone for your insight!

from Elissa Lawrence to everyone: 4:57 PM
I enjoyed hearing all these perspectives!

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 4:57 PM
Thank you for the conversation and insight our each of our different GovDocs world among all of us.

from Cindy Lu to everyone: 4:57 PM
Thank you so much for your insights!

from Amy Fitch to everyone: 4:58 PM
Thank you

from Alberto Pagan-Ramirez to everyone: 4:58 PM
Gracias

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:58 PM
Wonderful session. Very helpful!

from Mary Ellen Lomax-Bellare to everyone: 4:58 PM
Thank you so much. tons of valuable information.

from Stephen Kharfen to everyone: 4:59 PM
Thank you very much, everyone!

from Cynthia Etkin to everyone: 4:59 PM
Great session! Thanks so much. And good questions in the chat, I appreciate the your participation too.

from Monica Dorame to everyone: 4:59 PM
Thank you! Learned so much from chats and presentations.

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 4:59 PM
Thank you for this very relevant discussion!

from Becki White to everyone: 4:59 PM
Sometimes it's just good to know others are as confused as I am. :) Thank you all!

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 4:59 PM
+1 Becki LOL

from Holli Redden to everyone: 5:00 PM
Very interesting! Thank you!

from Rebecca Hyde to everyone: 5:00 PM
Thanks so much, all! This was a great discussion!

from Min Shaheen to everyone: 5:00 PM
Thank you.