

Virtual Snack with Council: The End of the CRDP & MARCIVE

from Stephani Nia Rodgers to everyone: 11:53 AM
Welcome everyone!

from Amy Laub to everyone: 11:54 AM
Hi, Nia!

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 12:01 PM
Are there any effective options for vendor support beyond OCLC's WMS?

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 12:01 PM
Also, why did the GPO decide to end the CRDP?

from Rich Gause to everyone: 12:02 PM
were we supposed to accept cookies when we logged in to get access to the snacks :-)

from David Isaak to everyone: 12:02 PM
If have any questions, please add them into the chat and send them to "Everyone."

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:03 PM
<https://www.oclc.org/en/events/2024/how-to-receive-marc-records-for-us-gov-titles.html>

from Stephani Nia Rodgers to everyone: 12:03 PM
OCLC Webinar: <https://www.oclc.org/en/events/2024/how-to-receive-marc-records-for-us-gov-titles.html>

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:03 PM
Rich :)

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:04 PM
Sources of GPO Cataloging Records: <https://fdlp.gov/catalogingandclassification/sources-of-gpo-cataloging-records>

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:04 PM
Jinx! Had it open in my browser!

from Stephani Nia Rodgers to everyone: 12:07 PM
Legal Requirements for Depository Libraries: <https://www.fdlp.gov/file-download/download/public/17694>

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:07 PM
From the Sources web page: "The Government Publishing Office (GPO) makes its cataloging records available to libraries in several ways. Consult with your library's or consortia's cataloging, metadata, or systems staff to determine how to integrate catalog records into your local workflow or to modify an

existing workflow. You may catalog as many or as few digital resources as needed for your users. Cataloging is only required for physical FDLP materials received at your library."

from Stephani Nia Rodgers to everyone: 12:08 PM

GODoRT Cataloging crowdsources document: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfomSbgO-e-vcg3ildU_gSmqOeBhFypf9qJxcqS5vm30R1ehg/viewform

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:08 PM

While there is no legal requirement for electronic documents, if it is not in the catalog it truly makes the end user to truly find what they need. LibGuides or Websites are good, but not as affecting for users to search and browse titles as they would for tangleable documents.

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 12:08 PM

In CRDP, we have been receiving records for new & changed physical as well as new electronic. What would be the best way to receive records for the limited physical materials we are still receiving? We need these in addition to electronic records.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:08 PM

I would highly recommend that folks DO put govinfo marc records into their catalogs. Users may not realize they're looking for govinfo, so having those records in OPACs will give serendipitous access to a library's patrons.

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:08 PM

What is the justification for not requiring electronic documents into a catalog/ILS? Sorry if this is a dumb question and I should know the answer already.

from Laura Gricius-West to everyone: 12:08 PM

It has made us rethink being in the FDLP.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:09 PM

initial panic especially with Marcive shuttering. Now worked out our solution: oclc wcm

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 12:09 PM

as a regional - not much - we have added all the electronic and we will get them a different source

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 12:09 PM

We plan to obtain MARC records for online items from OCLC's Worldshare. However, Our ILS (Evergreen) seems to require a barcode for online records. This is so that the system does not think the record is empty and delete it during the yearly purge. MARCIVE was attaching the barcode for us until now. For those of you who get records from OCLC, did you come across this problem and how do you automatically attach barcodes to your online records in the catalog?

from Tammy Ackerson to everyone: 12:09 PM

It's costing me time, but we only select around 7% as a law school library, so it's manageable.

from Elizabeth McDonald to everyone: 12:09 PM

Will there be help with Marc edit to prep records for loading

from Beth Callahan to everyone: 12:10 PM

I catalog electronic government documents daily. I used Marcive. This was our last month. I attended that OCLC session and we are looking to do that, but in the meantime I'm using the FDDM

from Vaile Fujikawa she/her to everyone: 12:10 PM

It's felt really chaotic for us, we haven't come up with a firm plan moving forward. Things seem really up in the air.

from Lara Adair to everyone: 12:10 PM

I'm very curious how people making electronic resources discoverable if they aren't creating records for electronic resources, especially as more and more print resources shift to being electronic

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone: 12:10 PM

We will need to change the way we get records - more work because technical services is a separate department (different building), so it will be a lot of spreadsheets sent to be added. I plan to use the new Data Manager each month to see what we want to add

from Kyle Davis to everyone: 12:10 PM

We plan on stopping electronic records and eventually removing them, and we'll try straight cataloging the little print we actually receive - most are serials so just need to be popped onto serial records we already have.

from Bradley Seybold to everyone: 12:10 PM

As the Regional in California, we will be moving most likely to OCLC for full electronic records, and the limited amount of print we are still receiving.

from Betty Monterroso to everyone: 12:10 PM

It has required us to take time to investigate alternatives to Marcive record loads - workload affects Gov Doc cataloging staff as well as our general cataloging staff.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:10 PM

we are likely to load most of them, because our users are more familiar with our search interface and expect to find it there. But we will discuss this further

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:10 PM

Right now, we are scrambling to figure out a process for our consortia to come up with a plan to upload our digital records that we received through them and MarCive.

from Michelle Colquitt to everyone: 12:10 PM

I was in distress, but I think I'm okay. I have to figure out more of the OCLC behind the scenes work, but I did get it set up.

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:10 PM

It's very disappointing as if the FDLP is on its way out. First end print distribution, next stop distribution of records. Where does this end?

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:10 PM

The transition has caused a lot of discussion between myself and our tech services/metadata staff. For my library, it's not a question of IF we should do this, it's what's the best service and workflow

from Lisa Hartman to everyone: 12:11 PM

Deciding whether to pick and choose online documents, or have access to all as a part of a consortium. If we want all documents, then our consortial fees might increase.

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:11 PM

We are still trying to evaluate all of the options available. Which will be least time intensive and which will most easily mirror our current procedures.

from Trillian Hosticka to everyone: 12:11 PM

like many of the other answers, there was some initial panic/confusion but our cataloging team thinks the OCLC option will work for us.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:11 PM

We are also looking at an upcoming ILS migration. So one more thing to consider before that move

from Elizabeth McDonald to everyone: 12:12 PM

Is very time consuming to figure this out

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:12 PM

@Mark, I like to keep in mind that even though we may not like these changes, the materials themselves have changed so much. FDLIP is only on the way out if it we all fail to reimagine it!

from Kaitlyn Tanis to everyone: 12:12 PM

For those who are using OCLC, did you have to upload your selection profile or just provide your depository #? I wasn't sure if I needed to upload a new profile every year when I adjust our profile.

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:12 PM

It would truly be useful for more of a heads-up about the end of MarCive.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:12 PM

@ Kaitlyn we just provide our depository number

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:12 PM

@Charlie, thank you for this response. I will bear it in mind.

from Elizabeth McDonald to everyone: 12:12 PM

For OCLC how do you handle the fact you can't delete records

from Lara Adair to everyone: 12:12 PM

If a print edition is *discontinued* so we don't catalog it and we don't catalog electronic resources, it's absolutely essential that we figure out discoverability

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:12 PM

Don't fret @markH. There's still meaning for the FDLP and still LOTS that we as govinfo librarians can do to aid in the building of the National Collection. I hope ALL FDLP librarians will participate in "unreported" docs reporting to GPO

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:12 PM

As a regional in Tennessee, we are trying to figure out which option we are going to use to ingest records in our catalog.

from Kaitlyn Tanis to everyone: 12:13 PM

Thank you, Kim!

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone: 12:13 PM

We have one silver lining - we got pretty much everything online from the CRDP. It was too overwhelming to update the profile to make significant changes. Now I can pick and choose which ones I want.

from Michelle Colquitt to everyone: 12:13 PM

We're part of the ASERL Centers of Excellence, so we remain committed to gaining print in A13, I29, and I49.

from Ella Shurr to everyone: 12:13 PM

First panic, then, okay, we'll just go back to Marcive, then panic again (with the end of Marcive) We'll probably go with OCLC, since we have a subscription to Collection Manager, and it's a free add-on service.

from Brianne Hagen to everyone: 12:13 PM

Are folks still choosing based on their selection profile or just getting all of the electronic records now?

from Jonathan Underwood to everyone: 12:13 PM

How do you get the records to load to OCLC

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 12:13 PM

Our person who does electronic record loads will do these. They haven't used OCLC collection manager previous but is not concerned (and was not concerned about github either). It will be different but other than a half hour of panic we are OK

from Betty Monterroso to everyone: 12:14 PM

We have Libguides with direct links to government documents; however, the online records are useful for those titles that are discontinued in print and continued online. Patrons don't know to look beyond the library catalog, to search the CGP, etc.

from Elizabeth McDonald to everyone: 12:14 PM

How are people deciding between OCLC, Git Hub and Data manager

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:14 PM

@Brianne, we are starting with our profile but we will consider if we want to expand or curate.

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:14 PM

Thank you @JamesJacobs, this is another great point. there really *are* a lot of unreported docs!

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:14 PM
+Charlie

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:15 PM
There are SOOOO MANY unreported docs. Pretty much the entire exec branch is unreported!!

from Cindy Lu to everyone: 12:15 PM
Last Friday we were able to import records into Alma, it worked well.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:15 PM
@Cindy Lu. Are you part of a consortia?

from Joshua Finnell to everyone: 12:15 PM
+1 Nia. We went with OCLC because it worked with our metadata and cataloging workflow.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:15 PM
Can any of our veterans speak to the good (or bad) things that came out of past changes? We're always so doom and gloom in the presence of new changes. I'd love to get some hindsight!

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:15 PM
@Kim we are not

from Karen Pfiffner to everyone: 12:15 PM
I would have expected the FDLP to be the SOURCE of information on options and not leaving us to struggle through information gathering, not necessarily knowing what questions need to be asked.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:16 PM
@Morgan. Thanks. We'll be moving to Alma and having to deal with community and network zones. Oh joy! ;o)

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:16 PM
If I am able to get the consortia upload the documents via OCLC or another option, I am taking all electronics.

from Susan Ashby to everyone: 12:16 PM
We plan to continue to curate at The University of Akron.

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:16 PM
The thing we will miss the most not having CRDP and Marcive is that our profile was set to have both our bib and item records created and downloaded, with minimal customization after downloading. We can get the bibs from OCLC or FDM or z39.50, but no longer have that ability to have item records created automatically as well.

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:16 PM
+1 Karen

from Brianne Hagen to everyone: 12:17 PM
@Lawrence That's what I'm considering now, too.

from Dean Jensen to everyone: 12:17 PM
+1 Andrea

from Chris Fox to everyone: 12:17 PM
+1 Karen

from myrrianna morningstar to everyone: 12:17 PM
+1 Karen

from Elizabeth McDonald to everyone: 12:17 PM
Andrea, Marc Edit can add this feature in

from Jonathan Underwood to everyone: 12:17 PM
What about keeping all of the print? Especially if the library wants to repurpose the Gov Docs stacks area into study areas?

from Kathleen Agno to everyone: 12:17 PM
Is it possible that the FDLP will change it's guidance to require the cataloging of digital resources? I agree with Lawrence that it makes it easier to find in a catalog.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:17 PM
@Kim We by no means have everything figured out, but our Gov Docs Lib is making headway! We are still looking at sources and what is going to be the most complete for what we need for

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:18 PM
I am aware of Marc Edit and our Library System Specialist uses it, but again it was less work we had to do on our end

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:18 PM
@I figured, having all electronics increases my users and their ability to access everything possible with the ability to have the help of a Government Documents Librarian. That is even more important than just the document.

from Elizabeth McDonald to everyone: 12:18 PM
Adrea agreed!

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:18 PM
It would be helpful to have a graphic/table/list of the capabilities/advantages/disadvantages of OCLC, Git Hub and Data manager.

from Cindy Lu to everyone: 12:18 PM
University of Mary Washington is a part of the VIVA consortia in Virginia.

from Rich Gause to everyone: 12:18 PM

print serial set distribution to selectives was a big deal at the time (late 1990's?). since then we've managed to afford commercial versions & some materials have been digitized by govt

from Karen Pfiffner to everyone: 12:18 PM

OK, I'm looking for SOURCES, there can't be that many, regardless of ILS.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:19 PM

@Karen, I can't think of a publisher/content provider that also provides not only their own records, but also for all systems out there. I think part of the issue is that we have so much proprietary conflicts.

from Susan Ashby to everyone: 12:19 PM

I'd like to hear from Alma libraries that curate and are using Community Zone collections for gov docs records. How easy is it to only get the classes you want

from Lara Adair to everyone: 12:19 PM

I've seen people talk about the need for training in a good number of sessions, has anyone gone over a good place to find training? Because I would *love* to access training, especially with so many of these resources shuttering, and possibly more resources closing down in the future

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 12:19 PM

+Perveen

from Betty Monterroso to everyone: 12:19 PM

I don't understand. If CRDP and Marcive could be made to fit a large variety of situations, why do away with them and then say it's too difficult to adapt to variety??

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:19 PM

GPO is currently working on updating the guidance to assist libraries in making decisions on providing access to electronic government publications

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:19 PM

+1 Betty M

from Kaitlyn Tanis to everyone: 12:20 PM

+Susan

from Kathleen Agno to everyone: 12:20 PM

Thank you!

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:20 PM

+1 Susan

from Kathy Hale to everyone: 12:20 PM

With the overwhelming amount of academic libraries, the smaller public libraries will follow the larger academics in their state.

from Valerie Glenn to everyone: 12:21 PM

GPO does provide a list of sources of GPO catalog records:
<https://fdlp.gov/catalogingandclassification/sources-of-gpo-cataloging-records>

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:21 PM
Sources of GPO Cataloging Records:

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:21 PM
+Betty M. Could GPO work with another provider to make records available in a way that Marcive did?

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:21 PM
<https://fdlp.gov/catalogingandclassification/sources-of-gpo-cataloging-records>

from Beth Callahan to everyone: 12:22 PM
Agreed to the poor quality of the ALMA community zone records

from Benjamin Franz to everyone: 12:22 PM
Community Zone records are often fraught with peril, this is true.

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:22 PM
Understandable re there being a lot of individual circumstances, but GPO can still proactively set up the infrastructure to support the community expertise sharing, and they may need to gain better expertise in order to adequately support us in this transition.

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:22 PM
+Chad

from myrrianna morningstar to everyone: 12:22 PM
+1 Chad

from Betty Monterroso to everyone: 12:22 PM
+1 Chad

from Jim Noel to everyone: 12:22 PM
@Betty, my number one job over the years was to make things work for each of our customers, and their needs were worked out gradually over the years.

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:22 PM
GPO: Any vendor or ILS can download all the records from GitHub

from Jessica Robinson to everyone: 12:22 PM
Given that the GPO has discontinued print and electronic documents are all available on their website with a bigger barrier for individual libraries to add them to their own collections, what is realistically the advantage for a library to remain in the FDLP?

from Shari Laster to everyone: 12:23 PM
+1 Chad

from Darlene Townsend to everyone: 12:23 PM
+Jessica

from Shari Laster to everyone: 12:23 PM

To me, this transition raises the question of what services GPO would be able to provide to FDLP participants going forward -- if cataloging services are not accompanied by services that support locally loading of catalog records, then that adds to the barriers for libraries to make use of these records, which can reduce the overall value of participation. If GPO wants to rebalance its service portfolio away from access services, what do they plan to take its place? What services does the community need?

from Rich Gause to everyone: 12:23 PM

Alma CZ users - we need to spin off a discussion regarding assessment of quality of records; would like GPO to work with Alma regarding loading CZ collections; perhaps creation of subsets to allow libraries to select; activating a specific full CZ Collection rather than selecting individual items from the collection allows updates to automatically load into your institution zone library catalog.

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:23 PM
+1 Chad

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:23 PM
+1 Rich - count me in

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:24 PM
@Rich Gause. Yes .We'll be moving to ALma and in a consortia

from Elizabeth McDonald to everyone: 12:24 PM
It is disconcerting that this happens at the same time as the Service areas are set up. A lot of work going onto the libraries

from Kathy Hale to everyone: 12:24 PM
+1 Rich

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:24 PM
One group of Community Zone records I found had good records, but when I looked at them, they were from the CRDP. :-D

from Eileen Snyder to everyone: 12:24 PM
My library plans to continue putting catalog records for e-resources into our catalog, probably using OCLC Worldshare. It makes it so much easier for our users to find what they're looking for, and I think it's useful to provide the search results in context with other materials. We're an academic library, and so many undergrads in particular don't even know what a government publication is, they might never think to look at a government source outside the catalog.

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:24 PM
Remember an item that is not cataloged or searchable it is as if it does not exist. No different than a tangible item placed on a shelf with no catalog record.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:24 PM

@Jim Noel. We really really appreciate all of your help over the years!! We'll miss working with you

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:25 PM

Most of the ILS systems have ILS user groups; one such example is the OCLC Collection Manager Community:

https://help.oclc.org/Metadata_Services/WorldShare_Collection_Manager/OCLC_Community_Center_WorldShare_Collection_Manager_community

from Laura Gricius-West to everyone: 12:25 PM

Yes, thank you! A value statement is most needed.

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:25 PM

We have always received records for online docs for our catalog. Originally we got all records with 856 links, but switched to only our profiled titles after the internet got "better". Even with the digital transition, we still receive records from Marcive for print and mf each month, both for new and changed title records.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:25 PM

General info science comment. This larger conversation has really stressed to me the lack of not only a general consensus of what are the best library practices, but the lack of wide-spread adoption of those best practices as well.

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:26 PM

+1 Shari

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:26 PM

+1 Shari

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone: 12:26 PM

Our patrons will still use the print titles that are available, as there is a large digital divide for urban public libraries.

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 12:26 PM

Yes, this is true for us as well Sarah

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:26 PM

+1 Kim Allen

from Vaile Fujikawa she/her to everyone: 12:27 PM

+1 Sarah

from Susan Ashby to everyone: 12:27 PM

Is there way to either grab the chat content or is there a transcript of the chat? I'd like to be able go through it later. Thanks

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:27 PM

Definitely split the record batches on GitHub into different formats (i.e., print and online).

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:28 PM
@Mark Hamilton +1

from Amanda Ros to everyone: 12:28 PM
+1 Mark

from Michele Pope to everyone: 12:28 PM
Would like to see discussion groups by ILS type

from Kathy Hale to everyone: 12:28 PM
In the legal requirements what GPO needs as far as inclusion or disposition of electronic records

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:28 PM
+1 Michele - or other resources of this type - scaffolded infrastructure to help people make decisions

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:28 PM
We won't know for sure until after the change over in January. ;o)

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 12:29 PM
+1 Michele

from Kaitlyn Tanis to everyone: 12:29 PM
+ Michele!

from Kyle Davis to everyone: 12:29 PM
We feel good about our current plan but ask me again in the spring as I'm the one gov doc cataloger!

from Beth Callahan to everyone: 12:29 PM
If you are an OCLC subscriber there ability to provide records for free (with subscription) seems to be a very viable option

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:29 PM
+1 Kathy

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:29 PM
+1 Michele

from Kyle Davis to everyone: 12:29 PM
We're going to stop inputing electronic records and I will try to just catalog any print that comes in as most go onto serial records we already have

from Ella Shurr to everyone: 12:29 PM
@Beth- that's what I'm hoping, because that's our current plan! :-)

from Kyle Davis to everyone: 12:29 PM
(we don't get much print anymore)

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:30 PM
+1 Beth

from Kyle Davis to everyone: 12:30 PM
Otherwise I'm noting what everyone else is going to incase we need to do that same

from Susan Ashby to everyone: 12:30 PM
I'm willing to team up with a partner or two for a webinar. Once we figure it out in Jan or Feb

from Lindsay Tebeck to everyone: 12:30 PM
I was considering OCLC records and leaving the FDLP - have other institutions considered this? If you have, can you state your institution?

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone: 12:30 PM
+1 Kyle

from katie mihaly to everyone: 12:31 PM
my institution has not, I have!

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 12:31 PM
@Lindsay why would you leave the DFLP? You can scope what you get from OCLC if you have a profile

from Michele Pope to everyone: 12:31 PM
COuld GPO arrange for Marcive, Worldshare, Github to have training sessions?

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:31 PM
YES GWEN!! It's the people!!

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:31 PM
+1 Michele

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:32 PM
OCLC has regular webinars and trainings

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 12:32 PM
+1 Michele

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:32 PM
I've met a lot of different types of librarians. And there's great people in all the different libraries. But gov info librarians as a whole are by far the best community to be part of.

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:32 PM
I personally think GPO should be facilitating or offering the webinars. One suggestion would be a panel that represents different kinds of libraries. Here is the information, here are stories of it in practice, etc.

from Lindsay Tebeck to everyone: 12:32 PM
considering leaving the fdlp due to shelving space (records show little to know use of the physical collection) but online records are of great use

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:32 PM
+1 Michele

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:32 PM
Transisiton training? Maybe narrowed to ILS specific

from Amanda Ros to everyone: 12:32 PM
when my library was considering leaving FDLP, the fact that our congress people would be alerted was a good reason to stay

from Lindsay Tebeck to everyone: 12:32 PM
all can subscribe to the gov doc section from oclc regardless of fdlp status

from Monica Dorame to everyone: 12:32 PM
+Michele

from Kaylee Erdos to everyone: 12:33 PM
@Lindsay - It is a potential discussion, depending on the amount of work that will need to go into these changes versus a very small usage by our patrons.

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:33 PM
Are people not getting changed records from CRDP or Marcive. This is one of the most valuable things I am looking for going forward.

from Rich Gause to everyone: 12:33 PM
Value of being part of FDLP is the identification of a person at the individual institution who has at least a piece of their responsibility to be familiar with the government information; without that label it is easy for there to be nobody

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:33 PM
+1 Gewn, I agree that there is a need a FDLP, I do think it is important for more support and guidance from GPO and I think if they were to make it similar to print that electronic resources be cataloged.

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:33 PM
+1 Rich, I agree 100%

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:34 PM
+1 Michelle re arranging training sessions

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:34 PM
Not only were we affected by CRDP, but with Marcive closing overall, that affects our subscription authorities service. So we also have to investigate that.

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 12:34 PM
@Beth we have been getting changed records via CRDP

from Tiffany Panzarella to everyone: 12:34 PM

@Beth we have been getting changed records via CRDP

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:35 PM
+1 Andrerera re authority records.

from Kim Allen to everyone: 12:35 PM
Yep. the authority hit was also hard changes in both workflows and price!!

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:35 PM
The shift I see in the FDLP is that we as govinfo librarians will need to be more proactive about participation. It's no longer the case that libraries can sit back and get documents delivered to them by GPO. We all need to be more active in the management of OUR program.

from Laura Gricius-West to everyone: 12:35 PM
Yes

from Kathy Hale to everyone: 12:35 PM
+1 Andrea

from Andrea Kroll to everyone: 12:35 PM
Yes. We use CARL

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone: 12:35 PM
+1 Andrea

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:35 PM
+1 Andrea re authority records

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:35 PM
I would be willing to help with a webinar. We use Sierra

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone: 12:36 PM
I would love a webinar with people using Sierra or EBSCO Discover

from Jeff Nylander to everyone: 12:36 PM
We're in the middle of transitioning to Koha and a webinar would be nice

from Wendy Robertson to everyone: 12:36 PM
+1 Andrea

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:36 PM
Recent webinar: "How to receive MARC records for your U.S. government document titles from OCLC":
<https://www.oclc.org/en/events/2024/how-to-receive-marc-records-for-us-gov-titles.html>

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:36 PM
+1 Beth re Sierra

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:36 PM

I would be willing to participate, but I am at an Alma library.

from Chris Fox to everyone: 12:36 PM

@Lindsay You said "all can subscribe to the gov doc section from oclc regardless of fdlp status." In my research, I found that you needed to be an

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:37 PM

@Andrea Kroll - refresh my memory what CARL is. Thanks :)

from Colleen Turkal to everyone: 12:37 PM

@Kate Pitcher - thanks for the link!

from Kyle Davis to everyone: 12:37 PM

@Kate - thanks for the webinar!

from Karen Scott to everyone: 12:37 PM

There are also several libraries where a staff member has become the de facto "gov doc librarian"

from Andrea Kroll to everyone: 12:37 PM

Our ILS. Used to be Colorado Research Libraries. Now owned by TLC

from Darlene Townsend to everyone: 12:37 PM

Wondering if EBSCO Discovery has a collection for gov docs in the knowledge base that can be turned on?

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:37 PM

Karen - great point. I am fortunate that that's not my situation, but I think that is a reason why this has been so painful for some.

from Ella Shurr to everyone: 12:38 PM

I would appreciate a webinar for both Sierra and Alma-we are transitioning to Alma, but we'll still have a few months in Sierra. ;-)

from Chris Fox to everyone: 12:38 PM

@Lindsay You said "all can subscribe to the gov doc section from oclc regardless of fdlp status." In my research, I found that you needed to be an FDLP library to get ongoing records after the initial download. That's why I thought OCLC wasn't a solution for me (we're not FDLP).

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:38 PM

@Darlene - I would be interested to know that as well - we use Ebsco EDS layer overtop our Polaris ILS

from Andrea Kroll to everyone: 12:38 PM

@andrea craley I should have restated I'm talking about our ILS: CARL

from Susan Ashby to everyone: 12:38 PM

We're migrating from Sierra to Alma so I'll be able to present on both

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:38 PM

@Andrea Kroll - understand :)

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone: 12:38 PM

I'm curious to know how many folks use online records vs. searching for the information by going straight to the agency, congress.gov, govinfo, etc.? I'm trying to see the value in getting online records if I can find most of the information from the source OR get it from the GPO catalog.

from Ella Shurr to everyone: 12:39 PM

+1 Susan!

from Tammy Ackerson to everyone: 12:39 PM

We migrated from Sierra to Alma, and I still cry about it sometimes. Wishing you a better experience.

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone: 12:39 PM

@darlene townswnd : As far as we can tell in our research there isn't a complete collection to enable in holdings management for EBSCO Discover

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:39 PM

@Sarah, call me a rebel or perhaps just bad! But I rarely start with a library catalog.

from Darlene Townsend to everyone: 12:39 PM

@Elizabeth Goode Thanks, Elizabeth!

from Lindsay Tebeck to everyone: 12:39 PM

Hey Chris, I just attended a webinar regarding oclc records. You will not get autofed the records (because you would not have an fdlp profile for them to pull from) but you can subscribe to their govdoc collection. This collection would include everything, however, so this could be cumbersome to have such a flood of records. I'd be interested to learn more from you!

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:39 PM

EBSCO Discovery does have the ability to turn on CGP. We have it turned on in our EDS.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:39 PM

@sarah I do both catalog AND internet/agency sites. Think of the catalog as a curated list rather than a GIANT haystack to make users sift through

from Patricia Rush to everyone: 12:39 PM

+ Ella

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:40 PM

Lack of time on the part of library personnel+wearing several hats+lack of cataloging knowledge=more involvement of GPO in providing records/serious assistance with the process.

from Chris Fox to everyone: 12:40 PM

@Sarah I think the benefit is that you can find good gov doc resources even when you're not necessarily looking for them.

from Lara Adair to everyone: 12:40 PM
yes, please training on how to do that

from Kathy Hale to everyone: 12:40 PM
We go directly to the document

from Teresa Weisser to everyone: 12:40 PM
@Darlene: Yes, we have had the Government Publication Office turned on in EDS for years.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:40 PM
ALL the training please and thank you!

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:40 PM
There are now many avenues to access so we need the catalog as well as other ways (libguides, search engines etc)

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:40 PM
Many end users do not know which agency would publish on which topics, let alone executive/legislative/judicial. Is good argument for records vs. link to agency pages only.

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:40 PM
+Beth - thanks :)

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone: 12:40 PM
Yes training on Purl reports would be helpful. I have had support tickets in about not getting any data even though I have used it for years without changing anything on our end.

from Susan Ashby to everyone: 12:41 PM
@Ella Shurr we're migrating from Sierra to Alma too. So I'll be able to discuss both during the webinar.

from Lara Adair to everyone: 12:41 PM
Thanks to @JoAnna, I know how to spell Purl report

from Eileen Snyder to everyone: 12:41 PM
+1 Mark

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone: 12:41 PM
@beth when we turned that on we found many records in our catalog that weren't in that collection

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:42 PM
+1Joa

from Lindsay Tebeck to everyone: 12:42 PM
Just thankful for everyone here! It's great to be able to discuss this.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:42 PM
Thank you for this session!

from Trina Magi to everyone: 12:42 PM

We find it helpful to have records in the catalog so that when students are searching for books on a topic, they will also encounter government reports/documents that are relevant. It happened recently with a patron needed information about maple syrup production, and there was lots of good material from the Department of Agriculture.

from Andrea Craley to everyone: 12:42 PM

+1JoAnna

from Rich Gause to everyone: 12:42 PM

fully activating a specific Alma CZ Collection enables automatic updates when new content is added without requiring additional actions by library staff. getting the CZ Collections as good records could make the process easier for Alma customers.

from Kathleen Agno to everyone: 12:42 PM

Thank you! The chat was on fire.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:42 PM

+1 Lindsay

from Laura Gricius-West to everyone: 12:42 PM

well, my snack was snoball cupcake. yours?

from Joshua Finnell to everyone: 12:42 PM

Great job, Gwen + Nia!

from Monica Dorame to everyone: 12:42 PM

We'll be using OCLC at Univ of New Mexico and try to figure things out along the way.

from Angela Bardeen to everyone: 12:43 PM

Thanks you!

from Priya Subramanian to everyone: 12:43 PM

+1 JoAnna

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:43 PM

Thank you.

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:43 PM

@Elizabeth I know that we have documents in our catalog that we have pulled in records for during retro cataloging that are not in the CGP. Relying only on CGP would be problematic for finding all available documents.

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:43 PM

+1 Josh. Great work Gwen and Nia! Thanks for facilitating!

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:43 PM

I would just say that this is a major transition for many many people. I would caution against responding only with "we don't require records for electronic titles" - that feels a little dismissive of the actual experience. Thank you, GPO, for doing the best you can - please help us. We appreciate you.

from Lawrence Mello to everyone: 12:43 PM
Yes, we are all in this together.

from Teresa Weisser to everyone: 12:43 PM
One issue with the CGP in EDS: Because the records are bibliographic not full text, they tend to get a bit lost among all the full-text documents. That was the reason that we were using records from the CRDP. I think OCLC will be the best option for us now.

from Eileen Snyder to everyone: 12:43 PM
+1 Trina

from Perveen Rustomfram to everyone: 12:43 PM
A big thank you to Gwen Sinclair and Nia Rodgers for holding this session!

from Chris Fox to everyone: 12:44 PM
@ Lindsay My email is cfox@byui.edu

from Chad Deets to everyone: 12:44 PM
+1 thank you Gwen

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone: 12:44 PM
@Beth how are y'all going about deciding what to include in the catalog than. There is so much to evaluate and I'm always finding myself saying "well someone, somewhere may use this!"

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:44 PM
yes indeed Stephani!

from Lindsay Tebeck to everyone: 12:44 PM
Thank you @Chris !

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:44 PM
Every time I hear Nia speak, I come away even more fired up!

from Mark Hamilton to everyone: 12:44 PM
Definitely a big thank you to Gwen and Nia for hosting this session and keeping up with the rapid chat!!

from Amanda Ros to everyone: 12:44 PM
thanks for that Teresa

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:44 PM
sorry, Nia

from Monica Dorame to everyone: 12:44 PM
+1 Charlie :)

from Michele Pope to everyone: 12:45 PM
Could GPO build relationships and have representatives of Github, Worldshare, MarcEdit that can help with transition.

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:45 PM
@Elizabeth We are actively cataloging our collection that predates GPO cataloging. We include all electronic records that fit our profile. We are a 70+ percent selective.

from James Jacobs to everyone: 12:45 PM
Thanks Gwen for moderating!

from Elizabeth Goode to everyone: 12:46 PM
@Beth thank you for the advice!

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone: 12:46 PM
This was a lovely way to enjoy my cup of tea, thanks everyone!

from Lindsay Tebeck to everyone: 12:46 PM
Thank you Gwen!

from Michelle Colquitt to everyone: 12:46 PM
Thank you, Gwen!

from Michele Pope to everyone: 12:46 PM
Thank you for asking the FDL community for our feedback.

from Monica Dorame to everyone: 12:46 PM
Thank you Gwen!

from Stephani Nia Rodgers to everyone: 12:47 PM
Thank you everyone! Y'all are awesome!!

from Dean Jensen to everyone: 12:47 PM
Thanks Gwen!

from JoAnna McCulley to everyone: 12:47 PM
Thank you for the discussion!

from Trina Magi to everyone: 12:47 PM
Thanks, all, and especially Gwen for hosting.

from Jody Hewitt to everyone: 12:47 PM
Thank you! This was a great session!

from Greg March to everyone: 12:47 PM

Thanks you!

from Kaylee Erdos to everyone: 12:47 PM

Thank you!

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:47 PM

Thank you all for all of the questions and concerns.

from Ella Shurr to everyone: 12:47 PM

thank you!

from Beth Downing to everyone: 12:48 PM

Awesome discussion. Thank you everyone]

from Kate Pitcher to everyone: 12:48 PM

Thank you Gwen and Nia.

from Morgan Mangold to everyone: 12:48 PM

Thank you for getting up early for us Gwen!